BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval to Modify Rider PSR)))	Case No. 17-872-EL-RDR
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval to Amend Rider PSR)))	Case No. 17-873-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval to Change Accounting Methods)))	Case No. 17-874-EL-AAM

MOTION TO INTERVENE BY SIERRA CLUB

For the reasons set forth in the accompanying Memorandum in Support, Sierra

Club moves the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio for leave to intervene in the above-

captioned dockets pursuant to Ohio Revised Code 4903.221 and Ohio Administrative

Code 4901-1-11, and asks that the Commission grant it the full powers and rights of

intervention that are authorized by statute or by the provisions of the Ohio Administrative

Code.

Dated: June 28, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Richard C. Sahli Richard C. Sahli (Ohio Bar #0007360) Richard Sahli Law Office, LLC 981 Pinewood Lane Columbus, Ohio 43230-3662 Telephone: (614) 428-6068 rsahli@columbus.rr.com

Attorney for Sierra Club

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval to Modify Rider PSR)))	Case No. 17-872-EL-RDR
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval to Amend Rider PSR)))	Case No. 17-873-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval to Change Accounting Methods)))	Case No. 17-874-EL-AAM

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF SIERRA CLUB'S MOTION TO INERVENE

I. Introduction

Sierra Club seeks to intervene in these proceedings in which Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. ("Duke") has submitted an Application seeking approval for cost recovery via a modified Price Stabilization Rider that would allow it to recover the net costs of its ownership of two coal-burning power plants operated by the Ohio Valley Electric Corporation ("OVEC"). Sierra Club seeks to participate here because Sierra Club and its members may be adversely affected by the rulings of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") in these proceedings. These proceedings present several issues of interest to Sierra Club, including but not limited to the effect on electric customers of Duke's Price Stabilization Rider proposal that would allow it to recover the net costs of its ownership share of the Clifty Creek and Kyger Creek power plants through 2040. The issues related to the Price Stabilization Rider may directly impact Sierra Club's and its members' interests in promoting clean energy, reducing reliance on coal-burning generation, and encouraging energy efficiency. Sierra Club's members who reside in Duke's service area have a direct interest in assuring reasonable energy prices. As it has done in the Duke ESP proceeding, Case No. 14-842-EL-SSO—in which Duke first proposed this Price Stabilization Rider —and in the FirstEnergy ESP and AEP Ohio PPA Rider proceedings, Case Nos. 14-1297-EL-SOO and 14-1693-EL-RDR, Sierra Club expects to assist the Commission in deciding these cases by working to build a thorough record. As such, Sierra Club is entitled to intervene in these proceedings.

II. Legal Standard

The Ohio Code provides that a party may intervene in a Commission proceeding if that party "may be adversely affected by a public utilities commission proceeding."¹ To determine whether a party may be adversely affected for purposes of intervention, the Commission evaluates:

(1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;

(2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;

(3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings;

(4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.²

The Commission's rules similarly provide that any person may intervene where

"[t]he person has a real and substantial interest in the proceeding."³ The Commission's

¹ R.C. 4903.221.

² R.C. 4903.221(B).

regulations set forth the same four standards that are established in R.C. 4903.221(B) for determining whether a party may be "adversely affected," and also purport to add a fifth factor regarding "the extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties."⁴

As the Ohio Supreme Court has held, intervention in Commission proceedings "ought to be liberally allowed so that the positions of all persons with a real and substantial interest in the proceedings can be considered by the [Commission]."⁵ The Commission has consistently maintained a policy to "encourage the broadest possible participation" in its proceedings.⁶ Sierra Club easily satisfies these liberal intervention standards and respectfully requests that its intervention be granted in these cases.

III. Sierra Club is entitled to intervene under R.C. 4903.221 because Sierra Club and its members "may be adversely affected" by the outcome of these proceedings.

Sierra Club is entitled to intervene in these proceedings because Sierra Club satisfies each of the four statutory factors demonstrating that the organization and its members "may be adversely affected" by the outcome.

³ Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2).

⁴ Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B).

⁵ Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm'n of Ohio (2006), 111 Ohio St. 3d 384, 388, 2006 Ohio 5853, 856 N.E.2d 940.

⁶ See, e.g., In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company, 2009 WL 322883 at 1, Ohio PUC February 5, 2009 (Commission granted motion to intervene in light of policy to encourage participation, despite party's failure to file within the deadline).

First, the nature and extent of Sierra Club's interests in these proceedings are real and substantial,⁷ as the issues involved are directly related to Sierra Club's interests in reducing reliance on aging coal-burning generation, promoting clean-energy resources, and assuring that its members pay reasonable prices for electric generation.⁸ Similarly, the positions advanced by Sierra Club will bear directly on the merits of these proceedings. Among other environmental concerns, Sierra Club is focused on addressing the pressing environmental and health problems associated with the mining, burning, and disposal of coal. Further, Sierra Club has an interest in the appropriate deployment of clean-energy resources that will create economic, public health, and environmental benefits throughout Ohio, including for Sierra Club's Ohio members.

Sierra Club is the country's oldest and largest grassroots environmental organization. It has millions of members and supporters nationwide and thousands of members in Ohio. Sierra Club's statement of purpose is, "To explore, enjoy and protect the wild places of the Earth, to practice and promote the responsible use of the Earth's ecosystem and resources; to educate and enlist humanity to protect and restore the quality of the natural and human environment; and to use all lawful means to carry out these objectives." Sierra Club has promoted responsible local, state, and national energy policy for decades.

⁷ R.C. 4903.221(B)(1).

⁸ Because the first and second prongs of the test established in R.C. 4903.221 are closely related, these prongs are addressed together.

Sierra Club has been actively concerned with electric utility issues for decades and routinely participates in proceedings before the Commission and other public utility commissions around the country. Sierra Club has been granted intervention in numerous Ohio electric utility cases, including in Duke's ESP proceeding in which the Price Stabilization Rider was first proposed.⁹

The instant proceedings present issues that are directly relevant to the interests of Sierra Club and its members. Duke is proposing to recover the net costs of its ownership share of two 1950s-era coal-burning plants through 2040. If approved, this rider would increase customer bills and lock in subsidies that would promote the continued operation of these generation plants for over two decades. Absent such subsidy, these plants may not be economically viable. Sierra Club's interest in reducing reliance on coal-burning generation would, therefore, be directly and adversely impacted if the Duke's proposal were approved.

⁹ See, e.g., In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Provide for a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO, Attorney Examiners' Entry, p. 18 (Dec. 1, 2014); In the Matter of the Application Seeking Approval of Ohio Power Company's Proposal to Enter into an Affiliate Power Purchase Agreement for Inclusion in the Power Purchase Agreement Rider, Case No. 14-1693-EL-RDR, Attorney Examiners' Entry, p. 7 (Sept. 15, 2015); In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer in the form of an Electric Security Plan, Case No. 14-0842-EL-ATA, Attorney Examiners' Entry, p. 2 (Aug. 5, 2014).

Second, Sierra Club's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings¹⁰ as this motion is timely filed¹¹ and Sierra Club is able to comply with all case management deadlines established by the Commission or agreed to by the parties.

Third, intervention by Sierra Club will significantly contribute to the full development of the record in these proceedings.¹² Sierra Club will bring significant expertise to bear in these proceedings. Sierra Club's staff and consultants have extensive experience in electric resource planning, analyzing electric-market and economic forecasts, assessing the costs of environmental compliance for coal-burning power plants, and in the laws and regulations of energy production. Sierra Club has intervened in coal generation cases in many states. Of particular note, Sierra Club played an important role in the FirstEnergy ESP (Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO) and AEP PPA Rider (Case No. 14-1693-EL-RDR) proceedings in terms of assisting the Commission with the development of a factual record. In those proceedings, as well in the Duke's recent ESP proceeding, Sierra Club conducted written discovery, filed expert testimony, and otherwise contributed significantly to the development of the record. As such, Sierra Club should be permitted to intervene pursuant to R.C. 4903.221.

¹⁰ R.C. 4903.221(B)(3).

¹¹Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(E). As yet, no procedural schedule has been set for these proceedings.

¹² R.C. 4903.221(B)(4).

IV. Sierra Club may intervene because Sierra Club and its members have a "real and substantial interest" in these proceedings under Ohio Administrative Code 4901-1-11(B).

Sierra Club may also intervene in these proceedings because it satisfies each of the five factors listed in the PUCO rules demonstrating that Sierra Club and its members have a "real and substantial interest" in the proceeding.¹³ The first four factors are identical to those set forth under §4903.221(B) and, therefore, Sierra Club should be permitted to intervene for the same reasons as set forth in Section III above.

As for the fifth factor, ¹⁴ Sierra Club's interests in these proceedings will not be fully or adequately represented by other parties. Sierra Club's interests do not always fully align with other environmental organizations or consumer-protection organizations and, accordingly, Sierra Club's interests are not be adequately protected by the participation of other such organizations in these proceedings.

V. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Sierra Club respectfully request that this Motion to Intervene be granted, and Sierra Club be authorized to participate as a full party to these proceedings.

¹³ Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B).

¹⁴ Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5).

Dated: June 28, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Richard C. Sahli Richard C. Sahli (Ohio Bar #0007360) Richard Sahli Law Office, LLC 981 Pinewood Lane Columbus, Ohio 43230-3662 Telephone: (614) 428-6068 rsahli@columbus.rr.com

Attorney for Sierra Club

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing *Motion to Intervene by Sierra Club* has been filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio and has been served upon the following parties via electronic mail on this 28th day of June, 2017.

/s/ Richard C. Sahli Richard C. Sahli

Service List

amy.spiller@duke-er		whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com
jeanne.kingery@duk	e-energy.com	campbell@whitt-sturtevant.com
elizabeth.watts@duk	e-energy.com	glover@whitt-sturtevant.com
William.Wright@ohi	ioattorneygeneral.gov	joliker@igsenergy.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com	1	vleach-payne@mwncmh.com
mpritchard@mwncm	h.com	gaunder@carpenterlipps.com
bojko@carpenterlipp	os.com	torahood@bricker.com
perko@carpenterlipp	os.com	
paul@carpenterlipps	.com	
cmooney@ohiopartn	ers.org	Attorney Examiner
mkurtz@BKLlawfirr	n.com	
jkylercohn@BKLlaw	vfirm.com	nicholas.walstra@puc.state.oh.us
william.michael@oc	c.ohio.gov	Sandra.Coffey@puc.state.oh.us
william.michael@oc Jodi.bair@occ.ohio.g	U	Sandra.Coffey@puc.state.oh.us
	gov	Sandra.Coffey@puc.state.oh.us
Jodi.bair@occ.ohio.g	gov ph.us	Sandra.Coffey@puc.state.oh.us
Jodi.bair@occ.ohio.g bingham@occ.state.c	gov ph.us itals.org	Sandra.Coffey@puc.state.oh.us
Jodi.bair@occ.ohio.g bingham@occ.state.c rick.sites@ohiohospi	gov ph.us itals.org 1.com	Sandra.Coffey@puc.state.oh.us
Jodi.bair@occ.ohio.g bingham@occ.state.c rick.sites@ohiohospi kboehm@bkllawfirm	gov ph.us itals.org n.com om	Sandra.Coffey@puc.state.oh.us
Jodi.bair@occ.ohio.g bingham@occ.state.c rick.sites@ohiohospi kboehm@bkllawfirm dborchers@bricker.c	gov ph.us itals.org n.com om	Sandra.Coffey@puc.state.oh.us

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/28/2017 10:26:58 AM

in

Case No(s). 17-0872-EL-RDR, 17-0873-EL-ATA, 17-0874-EL-AAM

Summary: Motion to Intervene of Sierra Club electronically filed by Mr. Richard C. Sahli on behalf of Sierra Club