

FILE

Sirs

Your proposed increase in my Customer Charge will impact my budget immensely. We are retirees who live on a fixed income. We would like to reduce our energy usage by upgrading to more efficient appliances. But your increased Customer Charges would make this impossible. Please reconsider your proposed Customer Charge increases.

Thanks

Jim Sullivan

835 Chelsea Ave.

Bexley, Ohio 43209



CASE: 16-1852-EL-SSO

RECEIVED-DOCUMENTS
2017 JUN 16 AM 11:38
PJCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician AN Date Processed JUN 16 2017

Dear First Energy,

Please do not increase the rates. So many Ohio families are struggling, and things are already so hard for so many of us.

We are all doing our best to tighten our belts and economize. Could you please do so also?

Raen Peet
760 Chelsea Ave
Bexley Ohio 43209
CASE: 16-1852 EL-550

6/13/17

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Re: Case #16-1852-EL-SSO

To Whom it may concern,

I understand that the public utilities are requesting approval for a "customer charge" of 119% to bail them out of the losses they are incurring on their coal burning power plants.

I strongly feel it is ~~not only~~ inappropriate to dump these problems on the consumer. We have a difficult enough time ~~paying~~ making ends meet each month with out having a massive fee over which I have no control added on top.

It is actions like these that make me want to get off the grid entirely. I hope you will consider the impact on the consumers as you make this decision.

Thank you,

Martina Canfora

2381 Brentwood Dr.
Bexley, OH 43209


Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
100 E. Broad St.
Columbus, OH 43215

Re: Case Number 16-1852-EL-SSO

I am an Ohio resident and am against
fixed rates charges and the proposed increase.
You need to focus on finding cleaner energy
and more efficient energy use.

We, as a family, have invested a lot of
money in energy saving upgrades to our
appliances and these big fixed fees
reduce our overall savings on those
investments

Best regards,


Michele Santini
2474 Brentwood Rd
Bexley, OH 43209

I know you can't read this, but please mention

that is what I want the company to have about my future.
I want to see a world where energy is not something put on
the back burner by a company put out the companies, but rather seen as
a priority. I want to be able to live my life, my entire life, and
live it the way I want I can breathe.

Fixed costs are a huge part of the cost, especially when electricity
and it should be a company's responsibility to have a disposable
income have to be paid, other things to be turned on and off.

I'm N. Don - it needs to be done before I can.

CASE 76-1852-EL-880

1/1/80

Case

2658 Brentwood Dr.
Bexley, OH 43209

Dear Public Utilities Commission,

Case # 16-1952-EL-SSO

I am adamantly against AEP's proposal to increase upfront, mandatory residential fixed fees. It's in everyone's best interest if we transition to cleaner energy and more efficient energy uses. If passed, this proposal could slow down these efforts by possibly discouraging consumers to be more energy efficient. In addition, these fixed fees could cause financial distress on many of their customers.

Marlene Miller

2412 Brentwood Dr.
Bexley, OH 43209

Case # 16-1852 EL-880

An increase in electric rates will make it even harder to pay the bill. Living on a single income is hard enough to pay all the bills.

There are already so many extra fees on utility bills, an increase would only increase those fees as well.

We the consumer should not have to incur the cost of AEP for their inefficiencies.

Natasha Kellen
832 Grandon Ave.
Bexley, Ohio
43209

I Brian Williams Do Not want any Consumer Rate changed. Look inside your budget & think about Pay Grades and their production & usefulness & Goods for the company. What does the CEO of AEP Consumer.

Brian Williams

713 Grandan Ave.
Bexley, OH
43209

16-1852-EL-SSO

To the Public Utilities Commission

I believe that utility bills should be directly tied to the amount of service used. Charging people for the amount of electric (or gas) used as opposed to a higher base service fee is the best way to discourage wasting our natural resources. We should do everything possible to encourage people to reduce their dependence on fossil fuels and if AEP makes less money than so be it

Laura K. Glaw
6-13-2017

2655 Sherwood Rd
Bexley, OH 43209

16-1852-SSO


6/13/17

Public Utilities Commission,

This increase on this customer charge
will push my already stretched thin
budget. How can people save money
or get ahead when "fees & charges"
are getting billed up 11%? Having
to decide between putting gas in my
car or feeding my kids, is not helped
by this unnecessary shift.

Please Deny AEP's request to
increase this "mandatory" charge. We
all spend money on energy efficient
bulbs and appliances to try and save
money, just to turn around and pay
what we save in fees.

Thank you

TARA HUNT


816 S. Roosevelt Ave.

Columbus, OH 43209


To: The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus OH

Regarding: AEP Case Number 16-1852-EL-SSO

It is an often overlooked fact that, since few people can just ask an employer for more money each month or are able to spend without budgeting, most of us live on some kind of fixed income. The request by AEP to add a fixed rate charge to residential electric bills means difficult decisions for many who live on an unchanging amount each month and is especially hard on those with low incomes, seniors, retirees, and minimum wage workers.

Utilities, like most businesses, must project into their future concerning investments, changes of direction for the company, advancements in technology and changing opportunities and requirements. If an automobile company has been building gas guzzlers for years after fuel-efficient models have become the clear consumer choice, then that company is irresponsible, even foolish, and it hardly deserves to expect that its customers will pay a surcharge in order to allow the company to continue building the "wrong" cars. Yet AEP seems to want its customers to continue paying for inefficient and dirty coal fired plants.

This charge will discourage innovation and conservation, will force consumers to postpone or even decide against energy saving upgrades, and will cause financial strain that no one needs. Please do not allow AEP to add this fixed rate charge to consumer electric bills in Ohio.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jim Davis', with a large, stylized initial 'J'.

Jim Davis
2337 Bexley Park Road
Columbus OH 43209

To Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E Broad St, Columbus OH 43215

June 13, 2017

My husband and I both strongly OPPOSE AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO. We feel that mandatory and fixed residential fees are unfair to consumers, AEP is trying to make customers pay for their financial problems brought on by not developing power sources other than coal. AEP should get on board and transition to cleaner energy and not box us in to maintain their reliance on a polluting coal power model — from 1852!

Many customers will suffer from increased rates and fixed deals, and they don't deserve it.

Make the transition to cleaner energy now; it is the right thing to do; and will be the economic thing to do if AEP really invests in it.

Sylvia Thomas
Fred Moore

2747 Bexley Park Rd
Columbus OH 43209

¹⁰
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION,

AS A CONCERNED CITIZEN AND LONG-
TIME RESIDENT OF THE STATE OF OHIO,
I AM OPPOSED TO ANY INCREASES
IN OUR UTILITY BILLS THAT ARE
DEPENDANT UPON NON-RENEWABLE
ENERGY SUCH AS COAL;

AS A FATHER AND AN EDUCATOR,
I WOULD HOPE TO SEE YOUR ORGANIZATION
LEAD IN THE SHIFT TO RENEWABLE ENERGY
BY WAY OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT. THE
FUTURE OF OUR PLANET CANNOT DEPEND
SOLELY ON COAL AND NATURAL GAS. PLEASE
MAKE AN EFFORT TO PRESERVE THESE

June 13, 2017

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Re: AEP Case No. 16-1852 - EL-550

Gentleman and Ladies,

It is time to wean our country from coal. Unless and until AEP acts responsibly and considers the well-being of our planet, we oppose any and all rate hikes.



Gerald S. Frankel

Lexley, OH

2572 Bryden Rd 4032943209

June 13, 2017


Public Utilities Commission of OH

Re: AEP Case No 16-1852 - EL - SSO

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am opposed to spending one more cent to support your (AEP's) use of coal to power your plants. It is unacceptable that alternate and safer ^{fuels} are not being utilized in the name of saving this planet.

Jim Frankel
Barley, OH
2512 Bryden Rd 43029 43209



Dear AEP,

Coal is being replaced with
clean + abundant natural gas.

Please do not raise our rates
so that AEP can continue to
pollute our air + water with
coal.

Thanks,
Marilyn Logue
Berley, OH

CASE: 16-1852-EL-550

ONLY NOTED

Hello,


Although I don't have a problem with coal, I'd love to see new reusable energy upgrades. It would be much more efficient.

Also, my family does not struggle but again re usable energy would be great to have!

thanks!

16-1852-EL-550

Jordan Mueller
2725 Brentwood Rd.
Bexley, OH 43209




© HMK LIC

Public Utilities Commission,
AEP Case # 16-1852-EL-
SSO.

I'm not on board w/
the proposal of increased
mandatory residential
fixed fees.

- Kelly Summitt
2428 Bryden Rd
Bexley, OH 43209

I do not want
an increase


2666 Bryden Rd
Bexley, OH 43209

16-1852-EL-SSO

6/13/17

AEP Case number 16-1852-EL-SSO

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio,

I am writing to show my disagreement with fixed rate charges for my electric bill. I am an advocate for clean energy and do my best to conserve energy when possible to keep my bills low and prevent global warming. Setting fixed rates would not only be detrimental to my family's budget, but also bad for the environment.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sarah Zimmetto

718 Vernon Road
Columbus, OH 43209



RUCKER

662 Vernon Rd
Columbus OH 43209

6/13/17

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E Broad St.
Columbus OH 43215

Re: AEP case 16-1852-EL-SSo

Dear PUCO,

We cannot delay the transition to cleaner energy. My parents have already personally invested in multiple energy efficient appliances, had a complete energy audit of our household and are educators of the 3E energy efficiency program Ohio offers. They have been responsible with our choices.

They will not be able to continue these efforts if our rates increase with our utility company, AEP. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jessica Rucker



RUCKER

662 Vernon Rd
Columbus OH 43209

6/13/17

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E Broad St.
Columbus OH 43215


Re: AEP case 16-1852-EL-SSo

Dear PUCO,

We cannot delay the transition to cleaner energy. My parents have already personally invested in multiple energy efficient appliances, had a complete energy audit of our household and are educators of the 3E energy efficiency program Ohio offers. They have been responsible with our choices.

They will not be able to continue these efforts if our rates increase with our utility company, AEP. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Brianna Rucker



RUCKER

662 Vernon Rd
Columbus OH 43209

6/13/17

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E Broad St.
Columbus OH 43215


Re: AEP case 16-1852-EL-SSo

Dear PUCO,

We cannot delay the transition to cleaner energy. We have already personally invested in multiple energy efficient appliances, had a complete energy audit of our household and are educators of the 3E energy efficiency program Ohio offers. We have been responsible with our choices.

We will not be able to continue these efforts if our rates increase with our utility company, AEP. As owners of 15 homes in the Columbus area we ask you to deny AEP's request for this increase. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Dale Rucker



RUCKER

662 Vernon Rd
Columbus OH 43209

6/13/17

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E Broad St.
Columbus OH 43215

Re: AEP case 16-1852-EL-SSo

Dear PUCO,

We cannot delay the transition to cleaner energy. We have already personally invested in multiple energy efficient appliances, had a complete energy audit of our household and are educators of the 3E energy efficiency program Ohio offers. We have been responsible with our choices.

We will not be able to continue these efforts if our rates increase with our utility company, AEP. As owners of 15 homes in the Columbus area we ask you to deny AEP's request for this increase. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Brenda Rucker


Zacary Bussey
731 S Remington Rd
Columbus OH, 43209
June 13, 2017

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad St
Columbus, OH 43215

In regards to AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO.

I am opposed to increasing the fixed rate "customer charge" portion of my electric bill over the next two years. The increased monthly cost will have an impact on our efforts to increase the energy efficiency of our home, and be able to make large investments in moving to renewable energy.

I would hope that AEP would also strive to make more investments in solar power, since the price of solar power continues to fall. Rather, coal is still a primary source of power generation and pollution in our state. As the pace of technology increases, we will soon see consumers given a real choice in how their power is delivered.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Zacary Bussey', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Melissa Bussey
731 S Remington Rd
Columbus OH, 43209
June 13, 2017

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad St
Columbus, OH 43215

In regards to AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO.

I am opposed to increasing the fixed rate "customer charge" portion of my electric bill over the next two years. The increased monthly cost will have an impact on our efforts to increase the energy efficiency of our home, and be able to make large investments in moving to renewable energy.

I would hope that AEP would also strive to make more investments in solar power, since the price of solar power continues to fall. Rather, coal is still a primary source of power generation and pollution in our state. As the pace of technology increases, we will soon see consumers given a real choice in how their power is delivered.

June 13, 2017

TO Whom IT may concern:

I do not support the
proposed increase in
electric rates; ↑ 117%!

As with everyone, AEP needs
to do their part to stay
on Budget without cutting
services to their customers.

CASE# 16-1852-EL-SSO

Sincerely,

Edwin S. NORDIN





965 VERNON RD.

BOZEMAN, MT 59709

I want (clean) energy

Protect the

environment



Re: AEP case number
16-1852-EL-SSO

6/13/17

Public Utilities Commission,

My family lives on a set budget. With our daughter on the way, any increase in our monthly bills will be devastating.

We are trying our best to reduce our power consumption and carbon footprint. We have recently upgraded our old appliances to new energy efficient ones. Higher fixed rate billing will not help us with our goals.

Please reference AEP case 16-1852-ELSSO in regards to my letter.

Timothy Banta

762 Vernon Rd

Bexley, OH 43209

I find it particularly outrageous that, as consumers have invested heavily in energy saving practices and smart technology over the past decade, utility companies have continued to rely on out dated and heavily polluting fuel sources. Even more unpallatable, though, is the fact these same companies now expect their customers to pay the price for their willful lack of foresight. It is unacceptable to pass the cost of poor planning along to the customer, to penalize families who have carefully budgeted and paid back their energy consumption. These companies, like individuals, should have to face the costs, both literal and figurative, of their failure to diversify, and innovate, and plan carefully for a future that will be marked by renewables rather than fossil fuels.

J. H. P.
Janet Linton
938 S. Remington Rd.
Bexley, OH 43209

To: Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215

In Re: AEP Case number 16-1852-EL-SSO

To whom it may concern,

I am writing this in regards to AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO. Having a "customer charge" with no sense of responsibility is absurd. Every time it is decided to raise this you are just taking money from people without conscience or reason. You are giving people less reason to conserve money because what's the point if you are going to charge anyway. There is no incentive to conserve energy. If you are going to make this "customer charge" then you need to provide in your statement that its because fossil fuels are getting costly and you need to invest that in alternative fuels. Be smart and stop clinging to 100 year old energy technology and do something towards cleaner, better energy.

Sincerely,

Deather Duncan

734 Enclave Ave
Bexley, OH 43201

Dear PUCO,

Please don't make my family take responsibility for the poor decisions of a billion dollar corporation with a monopoly over one of my basic needs. It seems to me that a favorable ruling for AEP in case 16-1852-EL-550 would be doing just that.

Thanks for your time and
consideration,




Berkeley, OH

Ed S. Goss, President

BERKELEY OH, 43209

Case # 16-1852-EL-550



Case # 13-2385-ESF
Brexley 04-432089
997 Enclave 04



果




果

Case# 13-2385-ESP
9917 Eclair ~~off~~ And
Brexit off 11/10/2017

In regards to AEP Case Number 161852-EC
-SSO

If our utility bills go up any more, we will be forced to make some major cuts elsewhere in our budget. We might be forced to eat dog food.

Please reconsider large fixed fees




Karl Bieri

1052 S. Roosevelt Ave
Columbus, OH 43209

Please don't make my
mommy get another job
to pay for large new
fees to our energy bill.
This is in regards to
AEP Case Number 16-1852-EL-SSU

Quinn Bier

1052 S. Roosevelt Ave
Columbus, OH 43209



In regards to AEP Case number 16-1852-
EL-SSO

We do not want big
fixed fees. It will have
a negative impact on our
ability to comfortably pay utility
bills.

Stephanie Bier

Stephanie J. Bier
1052 S. Roosevelt Ave
Columbus, OH 43209

I don't approve
of this increase
due to my monthly
budget.

Lisa Lintzenich

Lisa Lintzenich

1009 Grandon Ave

Bexley, OH

43209

Case: 16-1852-EL-SSC

To Whom It May Concern:

I'm writing to express my concern about your proposed increase to the customer charge fee of ~~from~~ ten dollars over the next two years. For someone who lives on a very careful budget, that increase is significant.

Instead of penalizing your customers, making it hard for me to even consider clean energy incentives when my basic electricity is so expensive; I would hope you consider alternative measures.

Thank you for the consideration.

Emily Jinn
2591 Livingston Ave
Columbus, OH 43209

AEP CASE #: 16-1852-EL-SSO

To the PUC of Ohio,

I was just made aware
of the rate increase proposed
by AEP raising the customer
charge for residential customers.
This increase is absurd and not
affordable for a family on one income.
The utility companies need to stop
these outrageous increases. So much for
saving money with my energy efficient appliances.

Sincerely,

Craig S. Miller

1040 S Roosevelt + AVE
Bexley OH
43209

AEP Case # 16-1852-EL-550

To whom it concerns:

I am writing regarding AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO

I am a firm believer in climate change, in line with

~~the~~ prevailing scientific opinion. In this example, this large

a percent increase will prevent necessary investments

in energy efficient appliances and overall ~~energy~~ energy

consumption. Please do not increase it

Mike Seal

1025 Chelzoa Ave.
Bexley OH 43209

910 Francis Avenue
Bexley, OH 43209
June 13, 2017

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215

RE: AEP case #16-1832-EL-SSO


Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to you today because I just heard about the proposed fixed cost rate increase requested by AEP. I understand that my monthly fixed rate charge could double, adding \$120 to my bill next year. That is a lot to the average Ohioan, such as myself. Currently, \$120 is my weekly family food bill. I don't know where I will find those extra dollars and really why I should find it. Why is AEP doing this other than banking on coal was not such a good idea for them and they want to assure their profits!

Second, I'm acutely aware that families such as mine who are energy conscious are most likely to be hurt by this change. If I have to pay a certain amount to assure profits for AEP irrespective of my use, what incentive is there for me to keep the thermostat high in the summer and low in the winter. I've consciously purchased energy efficient appliances. Why should I pay profits or for those who are less thrifty?

This is a bad deal for those with limited budgets and for those who are energy thrifty such as my family. Please do not approve the "bad for Ohio" deal.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Wanda Wood', with a long, sweeping horizontal line extending to the right.

Wanda Wood

6/13/17

Dear Members of the Public Utilities Commission:

I am writing to object to AEP's proposal to raise the fixed customer charge from \$ 8.40 to \$18.40 per month over the next two years. This increase would place an unfair burden on low-income customers, would de-incentivize energy conservation efforts, and would unfairly bloat AEP's coffers at the expense of consumers.

Please do not allow AEP to radically and unfairly raise its mandatory "customer charge."

Sincerely,

Michael Nolan

970 Francis Ave.

Bexley, OH 43209

Case #:

16-1852-02-SSO

Dear Public Utilities Commission-

I am writing to voice my protest against the proposed customer charge increase in AEP case #16-1852-EL-S

As consumers we already pay more than enough on proposed rate increase harm our abilities to live, thrive and raise families

Sincerely,

Robb Streicher

882 Francis Avenue, Bexley OH, 43209


TO: PUCO
180 E. BROAD ST.
COLL, OH 43215

RE: 16-1852-EL-550

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to oppose the proposed increase in mandatory Residential fixed fees. This will negatively impact our family and others in the community who do not have surplus cash. IT also ~~is~~ creates more waste while ~~also~~ removing incentives to conserve energy - this should be a priority for all of us.

Thank you,



Abraham J. Bonowitz
910 French Ave
Coll, OH 43209

My wife and I are
retirees on a fixed income.
We are against the
proposal by AEP to
increase the residential
fixed fee. (Case # 16 -
1852-EL-550)

Our health insurance
is already stretching
us and about to get
worse with TRUMP care.
Enough is enough!

Robert Redding
704 S. Remington
Bexley OH 43209

Diana Sullivan
911 Vernon Rd
Bexley, Ct 06209

Case # 16-1852-EL-550

We need to move toward
clean energy - and reduce
our dependence on coal.
The future for our family
will be brighter if we make
these changes now.

Thank you -

Diana Sullivan

AEP Case # 16-1852 EL-550

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, OH 43215

To whom it may concern:

I am a senior citizen who lives on a budget which seems to be shrinking.

I have tried to manage my power usage by purchasing energy efficient appliances, furnace/A/C and TVs etc. Now I am going to be punished by AEP's raising of customer charges.

You have mis-managed the source of power.

I believe it is unfair for the citizens of Ohio to pay for this mismanagement.

Many families may have to make decisions as to whether to heat, cool or use other electric necessities so they can pay electric bill ~~or~~ instead of seeing doctor, buy medications or food.

This raise in rates will hurt those who can not afford a higher cost of living,

32 S Gould Rd
Bexley, OH 43209
Shelda Jones
Columbus, OH

6/13/2017

Dear PUC of Ohio

Please Keep the Transmission Service
down for our AEP Electric Bill.

AEP Case # 16-1852-EL-SSO

A proposed increase is insane!

Please take this request into
consideration.

Thank you -

Grady Galouch

2510 S. Heckle Rd

Berkeley, Ohio 43209

June 13, 2017

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215

Dear Madam/Dear Sir;

I write to protest the potential doubling of the "customer charge" by AEP – AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO.

Like many people living in my area, I struggle to make ends meet. A large increase in my power bill is not fair to my family and to other families in my area.

I want to be able to afford energy saving appliances – throughout my home – and big fixed fees make it almost impossible for me to save for those energy saving measures.

I believe that continuing to use coal to supply us with electric power is the exact opposite direction that we should be pursuing. We should be pursuing clean energy sources like solar and wind power.

Please consider this a protest not only to the increased fees that AEP plans to charge, but also a protest against using dirty forms of energy when there are viable alternatives available.

Sincerely, .

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Melissa Crespy". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Rabbi Melissa Crespy
156 South Gould Rd.
Columbus, OH 43209

137 S Gould Rd.
Bexley, Ohio 43209
June 13, 2017

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Re: AEP 16-1852-EL-SSO

Dear Sirs:

AEP's decision to skirt environmental laws by not cleaning up their coal fired power plants and instead allow them to deteriorate was a cost decision they made on their own. Let their stockholders pay for it, not their customers.

Sincerely
Michael Avery Kahl

6-13-17

RE: CASE #16-1852-EL-SSO

PLANNED

THIS INCREASE IN BILL
IS WITHOUT MERIT. I'D LIKE
TO BE ABLE TO INVEST
IN ENERGY SAVING UPGRADES,
WHICH WILL NOT BE POSSIBLE
IF MY BILL GOES UP.

SINCERELY,

 Laura Wise Blau

LAURA WISE BLAU
515. CASSINGTON RD.
BEXLEY, OH 43209

Susan Elizabeth McKinley
50 South Ardmore Road
Bexley, Ohio
43209

June 13, 2017

Dear AEP,

Please don't raise our rates!

Thank you.

Susan McKinley

Case ID: 16-1852-EL-SSO

Dear Public Utilities Commission,

Please do NOT approve AEP's proposal to increase mandatory residential fixed fees. We do NOT need our fees to increase OVER 100% by 2018. We do NOT, especially, need this to be part of our bill that WE CANNOT CONTROL DESPITE REDUCING OUR ENERGY USE.

It is time for Ohio to stand up for itself - for the PEOPLE of OHIO, NOT the utilities who have taken advantage of us FOR GENERATIONS.


I refer to AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO.

SHARI SPEER for
BARRY SHANK
216 N CASSINGHAM
DEXLEY, OHIO 43209

To: Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
108 East Broad Street,
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Re: AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO

I am writing to urge you not to agree to the new proposals from the state's leading utility companies. Fixed rate increase plan only boosts company profits and keeps us from using that money to invest in energy efficiency appliances and solar.


Anne Reckling
208 S. Remington Road
Columbus, Ohio 43209

To: Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
108 East Broad Street,
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Re: AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO

I am writing to urge you **not to agree to the new proposals** from the state's leading utility companies. Fixed rate increase plan only boosts company profits and keeps us from using that money to invest in energy efficiency appliances and solar.


Asher Gould

Asher Gould
208 S. Remington Road
Columbus, Ohio 43209

6/13/17

TO : PUCO

I oppose AEP's request to double
my fixed charges to fund their coal
investments.



Mary M. Ross-Dolen
90 S. Starwood Rd
Bexley OH 43209

Re Case #: 16-1852-EL-SSD

115 S. STANWOOD RD
BEXLEY, OH 43209

FOR PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION -


FAMILIES NEED YOUR SUPPORT TO ENSURE AEP HELPS KEEP
COSTS AFFORDABLE FOR ALL. AND, WE NEED TO INVEST IN
CLEAN ENERGY FOR OUR FUTURE, OUR CHILDREN'S FUTURE
AND OUR GRANDCHILDREN'S FUTURE.

THE FUTURE ENERGY EFFICIENT UPGRADES WILL HELP OUR FUTURE,
OHIO'S FUTURE AND THE HEALTH OF OUR FAMILIES.

PLEASE SUPPORT OUR CAUSE - AEP CASE # 16-1852-EC-SSO.

Sincerely,

The Gonzalez Family



I believe that it is very unfair for
American Electric Power to increase upfront
mandatory residential fixed fees by 119%!
We need to transition from coal to cleaner
energy and more efficient energy use. Doing
so benefits everyone: both individuals
and power companies!

Sincerely,

Keith Markman

Keith Markman
180 S Roosevelt Ave.

Box 1014 44209

Case # 16-1852-EL-550

6/13/17

Dear PUCO,

RE: AETP case # 16-1852-EL-
550

Please don't let AETP take
a this large rate/fee increase!
The result is that - for some
people this will limit their
budget & ability to afford more
energy efficient appliances. Further
investing in un-clean energy no
longer makes sense - go green &
create jobs.

Many people can barely afford
their utility bills now.

There are many reasons for you
to do the right thing!

155 S. Russell Ave
Bexley, OH 43209

Sincerely,

David Ornst

6/13/17

TO whom it may concern at PUCO,

Why do you care more about ^{the} profits of a few companies
than the millions of customers you serve?

From,

David Nassau

105 S. Roosevelt Ave Berkeley CA 94709

Case # 16-1852-EL-SSD

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

6/13/17

To whom it may concern,

I have heard and read about a potential increase in Residential fixed fees; this approach is unacceptable. The majority of Ohioans are not in a financial ~~position~~ position to be paying a 119% increase for customer charges, including Myself.

I believe in a healthy, livable environment, I contribute to energy saving businesses as much as possible, and with an increase ~~of~~ fixed fees this will diminish my ability to donate.


because my excess funds will be used to pay a fixed fee. I have no control over a fixed fee, therefore I have no control over my extra funds.

Please do not raise the fixed fee rate. Thank you

* Case #

110-1852-EL-SSD

Sincerely,


(Kristin Baker)

128 Alysium Ave
Columbus, OH 43207

To: Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215

I am writing to urge you not to allow AEP to increase the "customer charge" by 119%.

If this increase happens, it will significantly affect those living on a budget (in our family that includes students and hourly rate employees). No one should ^{choose} between electric bills and food or medicine. This rate should remain the same.

By AEP created large fixed fees, this does not help families or our environmental future. By investing into solar energy we are all winners of efficiency and clean energy.

PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW AEP TO INCREASE CUSTOMER CHARGE!!!
AEP Case # 16-1852-EL-SSO

Thank you,



William Bloch
6/14/17

2756 Dale Ave
Bexley, OH ~~43209~~ 43209

To: Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215

I am writing to urge you not to allow AEP to increase the "customer charge" by 119%.

If this increase happens, it will significantly affect those living on a budget (in our family that includes students and hourly rate employees). No one should ^{choose} between electric bills and food or medicine. This rate should remain the same.

By AEP created large fixed fees, this does not help families or our environmental future. By investing into solar energy we are all winners of efficiency and clean energy.

PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW AEP TO INCREASE CUSTOMER CHARGE!!!
AEP Case # 16-1852-EL-SSO

Thank you,

Frances Bloch

Frances Bloch
6/14/17

2756 Dale Ave
Bexley, OH 43209

To: Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

I am writing to urge you not to allow AEP to increase the "customer charge" by 119%.

If this increase happens, it will significantly affect those living on a budget (in our family that includes students and hourly rate employees). No one should ~~choose~~ between electric bills and food or medicine. This rate should remain the same.

By AEP created large fixed fees, this does not help families or our environmental future. By investing into solar energy we are all winners of efficiency and clean energy.

PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW AEP TO INCREASE CUSTOMER CHARGE!!!

AEP Case # 16-1852-EL-SSO

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Chad Bloch", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Chad Bloch

2756 Dale Ave
Bexley, OH 43209

To: Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215


I am writing to urge you not to allow AEP to increase the "customer charge" by 119%.

If this increase happens, it will significantly affect those living on a budget (in our family that includes students and hourly rate employees). No one should ^{choose} between electric bills and food or medicine. This rate should remain the same.

By AEP created large fixed fees, this does not help families or our environmental future. By investing into solar energy we are all winners of efficiency and clean energy.

PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW AEP TO INCREASE CUSTOMER CHARGE!!!
AEP Case # 16-1852-EL-SSO

Thank you,



Emily Bloch
6/14/17

2756 Dale Ave
Bexley, OH 43209

To: Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215

I am writing to urge you not to allow AEP to increase the "customer charge" by 119%.


If this increase happens, it will significantly affect those living on a budget (in our family that includes students and hourly rate employees). No one should choose between electric bills and food or medicine. This rate should remain the same.

By AEP created large fixed fees, this does not help families or our environmental future. By investing into solar energy we are all winners of efficiency and clean energy.

PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW AEP TO INCREASE CUSTOMER CHARGE!!!
AEP Case # 16-1852-EL-SSO

Thank you,

Andrew Bloch
6/14/17



2756 Dale Ave
Bexley, OH 43209