### THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of a Request by the Ohio Department of

Transportation for an : Case No.
Exemption from Stopping : 17-915-RR-RCP

for School Buses and Hazardous Materials Vehicles : at the State Route 93/Adamsville: Road Grade Crossing in

Muskingum County.

## PROCEEDINGS

Before Jim Lynn, Attorney Examiner, held at the Avondale Youth Center, 4155 Roseville Road, Zanesville, Ohio on Tuesday, May 16, 2017, at 1:00 P.M.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc. 222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

					2
1		INDEX	TO WITNESSES		
2					
3	Douglas Margar			Page	
4	Douglas Morgan			4	
5	Debbie Reed			6	
6	James Creech			9	
7	Michael Connor			11	
	Scott Dunbar			16	
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1 Tuesday Afternoon, 2 May 16, 2017. 3 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio and assigned for a 5 6 hearing at this time and place Case No. 7 17-915-RR-RCP In the Matter of a Request by the 8 Ohio Department of Transportation for an 9 Exemption for School Buses and Certain Hazardous 10 Materials Vehicles from Stopping at the State 11 Route 93/Adamsville Road Grade Crossing in 12 Muskingum County. 13 I am Jim Lynn, the Attorney Examiner assigned to hear this case. The purpose of our 14 15 hearing today is to receive public comments 16 regarding the request made by ODOT. Your 17 testimony will be considered part of 18 the official record for this case and it will be 19 reviewed by the Commission before they make 20 their final decision. 2.1 I will start by calling all 22 the witnesses here, and it shouldn't be too hard 23 to get everybody fit in. 24 The court reporter will record their 25 statements, so please speak plainly and clearly,

so the reporter can accurately reflect your comments. I think it's quiet enough in here that we will have no problem hearing.

Please spell your name and state
your address, and tell the reporter why you
think the request should or should not be
granted. I am assuming you are all here in
favor of it. But, if you are not at any rate
speak up. And then I may ask you some questions
after you have finished your testimony.

When you give your testimony I will swear you in. And, let's see. And that will will be about it.

For our first witness we will call Mr. Doug Morgan from ODOT who submitted the request on behalf of the schools, and what would you like to say about why the request was made and any other details about the crossing.

(WITNESS SWORN)

21 DOUGLAS N. MORGAN

called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
testified as follows;

24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go ahead.

MR. MORGAN: My name is Doug Morgan,

I work for the Ohio Department of Transportation in District 5.

2.

2.1

2.2

Back in March I received a request from the city or Crooksville School District to see about getting an exemption for the crossing here in Avondale.

It's been abandoned by the railroad company. It's currently under jurisdiction of ORDC. And the Scenic Railway is utilizing it at this time.

And so I looked into seeing what an exemption was and what needed to happen in order to go that direction. And based on our state route out there the traffic we have, which is around 9,000 cars a day, and trucks included, and it being abandoned railroad with limited crossing at this time.

There was concern for safety of the school buses with school buses having to stop right now and vehicles not used to people stopping since there is not very many rails that cross at this time.

So, upon the request I looked into it and based on the State Ohio Department of Transportation we would approve the exemption

- for their site under current conditions with the traffic that we have been told would be on the rails at this time.
- 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr.
- 5 Morgan.
- I will move on to other witnesses.
- 7 | If I have any other questions I will let you
- 8 know. Thank you.
- 9 Next we will go to the folks from
- 10 | the school district. And Debbie Reed, I
- 11 believe.
- MS. REED: Yes, Debbie Reed.
- 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Would you state
- 14 | your name and who you work for and you address,
- 15 and so forth?
- MS. REED: Okay. Debbie Reed. And
- 17 | that's Crooksville Schools. 4065 Ceramic Way,
- 18 | Crooksville, Ohio.
- 19 (WITNESS SWORN)
- 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Please go ahead
- 21 and explain why you -- I assume it was you who
- 22 | contacted Mr. Morgan about the exemption?
- MS. REED: Actually James Creech is
- 24 | the one who made the contact.
- 25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You both will be

able to testify. But, please add whatever comments you have about the issue of why you believe an exemption would be needed here.

MS. REED: Well, all the transportation vehicles are pupil transportation vehicles.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So school buses?

MS. REED: Which includes school buses, our school Suburban, our school truck.

They are all three used for pupil transportation from time-to-time.

They have to stop at any railway crossing. And any time a vehicle, one of our vehicles stops on the highway like that it just puts that vehicle in jeopardy of being involved in an accident. And we realized that this railroad is not actually active with train traffic, so if it could be exempted it would be in the best interest actually of all the school districts in the area that use that crossing.

#### EXAMINATION

By the Attorney Examiner:

- Q. Have there been any collisions up to this point between vehicles and buses?
- A. Not that I know of.

- Q. But the drivers are commenting on that saying, well, somebody nearly ran into me?
- A. Yes. Mainly with our school's Suburban and the pick-up truck.
  - Q. And they are --
- A. They are marked as transportation, school transportation vehicles, and they have to make the stop.
- 9 Q. So, but, there are students in those vehicles?
- 11 A. We have to stop even if we don't have students.
  - Q. Because it's a school vehicle?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And for the record you were mentioning Suburban. That's a Chevrolet
- 17 | Suburban?

2

3

5

6

7

8

- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. At first I didn't quite understand what you were referring to.
- 21 A. I am sorry.
- Q. Any other thoughts then?
- A. I don't believe so.
- 24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Move on
- 25 to the gentleman from the schools. And your

3 | - - -

4

7

8

9

### JAMES CREECH

5 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 6 testified as follows:

#### EXAMINATION

By the Attorney Examiner:

- Q. Your name and who you are?
- 10 A. My name is James Creech. Work with
  11 Crooksville Schools.
- Q. And, Mr. Creech, what's your role there at the schools?
- A. I am actually a mechanic and I train bus drivers.
- Q. All right.
- 17 A. And I was talking with the bus 18 driver that makes this regular route and he 19 stated the other day when he stopped here a semi 20 went blowing right by him while he was stopped 2.1 at the tracks. So and I agree as well that 22 whenever the Suburban specifically or the Ford 23 F-250 four-door or even though there is a sign 24 on the back that we stop at all railroads, we 25 are not custom vehicles, it's dangerous at any

railroad, but one that is not active, one that has been abandoned just puts the danger higher of all of our vehicles and children.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

Q. How many of your buses go over this particular crossing, either of them. Do you have any idea?

MS. REED: We have one bus that crosses four times a day.

- Q. All right. I understand.
- A. Not counting field trips, multiple field trips up this way.
- Q. Any other thoughts, Mr. Creech, beyond what you said?
  - A. I would say just with it being abandoned it would be much safer for the bus, for the children, you know, because of a couple close calls we have had.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. We
will move on to two gentlemen from the railroad.
I appreciate that.

21 Let's go with Mr. Connor. Go with 22 you first.

23 (WITNESS SWORN)

24

25 MICHAEL J. CONNOR

```
called as a witness, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:
```

2.1

2.2

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: If you could indicate your name and address and so on.

MR. CONNOR: Michael J. Connor, 1810

North Wooster Avenue, Dover 44622.

I am a retired railroad officer, but
I am serving as the Vice President marketing of
the Zanesville & Western Railway.

Railway contracted with the owner of the rail line, the State of Ohio, Ohio Rail Development Commission, several years ago to operate a limited passenger excursion service, and that is based out of Mt. Perry. The railroad is about 15 miles long. It's not been run in regular freight service for about a decade and a half.

I want to correct a misunderstanding of use. The railroad is not abandoned.

Abandoned is a legal format, really requires the Surface Transportation Board in Washington to make a decision. So, it is out of service, but not abandoned. And that creates I think an issue.

Just besides running the passenger

excursion operation we, the Zanesville & Western Scenic Railway, caused the Zanesville & Western Railway to be incorporated two years ago for the purpose of actually ultimately reopening the line for freight service.

2.1

That is not a fast process. First of all we have to determine the customer demand, and then there is basically the work getting the railroad restored. The rails are all there. The ties are generally in mixed condition.

I was with the Ohio Central railroad from 1988 until 2006. We at the time were the operator and put quite a bit of ties and surfacing into the railroad. It's currently growing a great crop of grass and trees, but those too can be removed as part of the plan.

The Z&W, Zanesville & Western
Railway, has already been working with
contractors and others to put in motion the work
needed to be done to restore it. I do not have
a schedule.

I have made customer calls, however, to start the process of letting the potential users -- we have identified 67 of them already.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are

referring to freight service?

2.1

MR. CONNOR: Freight customers,
excuse me. The first one is right at the
crossing. It would be the Carter Lumber
Company. And we will probably open the railroad
in segments as we proceed west to remove the
growth and to get other things back in shape.

So I think the really good news here is that those disappearing railroad blues that are unfortunately affecting the railroad industry nationwide are happening here. It has a chance of coming back to life and helping to support the job creation in Muskingum and Perry Counties.

We do not oppose creating the -making this a -- changing the nature of
the crossing so long as nothing that is done
will stand in the way of bringing the freight
service back that is needed.

Several things could be done. This will be a low speed railroad when it reopens.

I invision it probably 10 miles an hour, which is FRA track standard 1. We clearly could flag for the time being the crossing.

And, of course, there will be

cross-bucks and so on that will be in place.

### EXAMINATION

By the Attorney Examiner:

2.1

2.2

- Q. Mr. Connor, then you are indicating the Zanesville & Western has an excursions division, or how --
- A. It's a separate -- legally separate company, but it shares officers and shares equipment.
- Q. And then the Zanesville & Western also will eventually you are saying will provide freight service?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Presently how many of the excursion trains use that track say annually, and what times of the year do they use it?
- A. Well, none of them operate over the crossing in question. The railroad is about 15 miles long. They go about 10 miles west of here at Mt. Perry. About three miles of the railroad has been restored, i.e., brush, grass, et cetera have been cleared. I think there is at least one public crossing that we are working on getting that back open out there.
  - Q. So you are indicating then the

excursion service, will it ever use the crossing that is at issue, one that is at State Route 93 and Adamsville Road?

- A. That was a long time ago.
- Q. But it doesn't presently?
- A. Presently it doesn't. That would be up to the -- basically it's what the people want to see.
- Q. Then you are indicating you have a feel for when freight service might begin?
  - A. I think within the next two years.
- Q. And you are anticipating, you said it would be a low speed crossing like 10 miles per hour?
- A. Right. Our work will be the retail end of freight railroading. We are the ones that will deliver the cars to the customer's sidings and pull them. So there is not going to be trains thundering through the night from Cleveland to Chicago.
- Q. And you mentioned that you were interested or open to having someone flag at the crossing when trains would cross there?
- A. Yes.

2.1

Q. Okay. And at this point, so again

you said maybe two years or so before freight service could be started?

- A. It all depends on how things go.
- Q. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

A. And we will open the railroad probably in segments which means that two-year line that I quoted, I was thinking in terms of the whole thing. This one is a mile from the main interchange with the outside world.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.

11 (WITNESS SWORN)

12

# 13 SCOTT DUNBAR

called as a witness, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

16 EXAMINATION

17 By the Attorney Examiner:

- Q. If you could indicate your name.
- 19 A. Scott Dunbar.
- Q. Thank you, Mr. Dunbar. And your role with the railroad?
- A. I am the Vice President of the scenic railroad and of the freight railroad.
- Q. What can you add about this request that was made?

- A. What is going on and moving forward?
- Q. Well, yes. I mean, the fact, you know, again I would ask, you can indicate too, how many trains cross this track, how frequently it might be used. You are from the scenic railroad respect.
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Tell me a little bit more about that.
- A. The scenic railroad is over toward Mt. Perry. It is a segment of track that is opened there. This is our attachment to the outside world coming down to that switch into the Ohio Central, Ohio Southern I believe that is. And this is our connection to the world.
- Q. That is this crossing?
  - A. The crossing and a mile to the east.
- Q. I see. Connection to other miles of track, to other track that's owned by the other companies?
  - A. Correct. That is their interchange.
- Q. And when you make that comment
  about connecting to the outside world, is it
  from the standpoint of the scenic railroad using
  that ultimately, perhaps?

- A. Basically both.
- Q. You say both, the scenic as well as the freight?
  - A. Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And again, just to repeat what Mr. Connor said, but presently then there are none of the excursion trains using this crossing?
  - A. But --
- Q. But you are indicating it could happen in the future?
- 11 A. It could happen in the future, yes.
- Q. Okay. And just as Mr. Connor
  indicated the possibility or likelihood of
  flagging at the crossing, do you anticipate that
  would happen as well?
- A. We would want that to happen just for safety factors.
- 18 Q. You would want it for the safety
  19 factors?
- A. Yes. We would be concerned about
  opening the crossing up at any time. People are
  not used to anything going across there.
- 23 We are very, very protective at first. I am
- 24 | sure as time goes on we will make a change, but
- 25 looking at it right now that would be our first

move to protect the crossing.

- Q. And do you have any anticipation of how many trains from the excursion or the scenic railroad would actually use that crossing?
- A. At this point in time there is no plans for that.
- Q. No plans to go that far on the track?
- A. Yes. What we discovered, half an hour for our rides is I would say kind of the maximum people want to go. So you get too far down the track the kids start screaming and everything else. So the shorter the better.
- Q. So basically they go one direction then the other. They don't cross the crossing and perhaps -- well, you are indicating they may not so --
- A. We never use it for that. It would be our way to get equipment in and get equipment out.
- Q. But as far as the excursion part of it --
- A. No. We are not looking to come over that crossing any time in the future.
- 25 Q. So because you are actually not

using the crossing that is at issue you would not have any of the customers of your train making any comments about, you know, they observed a near collision between a school bus and other vehicle. They never would have come this far up the track I guess; correct?

- A. We have crossed the crossing in years past. We moved equipment across it, but we do use a flagger to make sure traffic stopped. We protect the crossing very heavily.
- Q. When you say we use the track, that would be the scenic or excursion?
  - A. Yes, sir.

2.1

2.2

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Connor.

MR. CONNOR: If I can make a comment. When our conductors are there there is also a train there, and the train acts as a pretty good standard for drivers to see that maybe they better stop. So I think most of the incidents connected with potential rear ending are when there is nothing at the crossing.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. I appreciate that comment. Nonetheless I also appreciate that you are interested in doing the flagging as well whenever the crossing might be

```
21
     used. It would certainly be a plus, because
 1
 2
     what you are telling me now there is just
 3
     cross-bucks at the track; is that right?
 4
                 MR. CONNOR: Yes, sir.
 5
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. I want to
 6
     thank everyone for being here today. Is there
 7
     anyone else that has any additional comments to
 8
     add?
9
                 If not, anyone else at all? Okay.
10
     Thank's so much for taking the time to come.
11
     This is information that we needed.
12
                 And have a good afternoon. We will
13
     close the hearing then. Thank you.
14
                 (At 1:15 A.M. the hearing was
15
     concluded)
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on May 16, 2017, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Michael O. Spencer, Registered Professional Reporter. 

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

6/9/2017 1:45:24 PM

in

Case No(s). 17-0915-RR-RCP

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of a Request by the Ohio Department of Transportation for an Exemption from Stopping for School Buses and Hazardous Materials Vehicles at the State Route 93/Adamsville Road Grade Crossing in Muskingum County, hearing held on May 16, 2017. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.