

From: [Puco ContactOPSB](#)
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: 16-253-GA-BTX
Date: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 2:22:20 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Avraham and Ayala Weinrib [<mailto:info@nopecincy.org>]
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 1:30 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB <contactopsb@puco.ohio.gov>
Subject: Oppose the Central Corridor Pipeline Extension Project

Dear Ohio Power Siting Board,

As a resident of Amberley and concerned citizen, I am writing you to register my opposition to Central Corridor Pipeline Extension Project (Reference No. 16-253- GA-BTX).

Dear Members of the OPSB:

After reading the application and attending Duke's last informational session, I am more than ever opposed to the Central Corridor Pipeline Extension Project. (16-0253-GA-BTX). I urge you to reject the project. Some of my many concerns are listed below.

1. NEED

Pipeline need has not been justified. Why can't peaking plants be refurbished ? Why an additional high volume pipeline when demand is flat? It does not seem that building new pipelines is the way to address future needs for energy. Surely there is a better way to use renewables. What are other companies doing?

2. SAFETY

Pipelines regularly fail either through construction mistakes, third party accidents, or wear over time. 400 psi pressure is higher than other lines going through our residential areas. Duke now talks about an upgrade to the A line (basically old pink route). Does this mean another high pressure line in the future? And regarding the proposed new line, if need is truly there, why not a rural route for this new pipeline? Industry standard seems to favor NOT going through high consequence residential and commercial areas. Duke's proposal seems highly unusual and uncaring for public safety.

3. ENVIRONMENTAL

Removing trees and vegetation leads to increased urban heating and the need for more usage of power for cooling in summer and heating in winter.

4. ECONOMIC

What is the effect on property values and economic development in the area? There will be incredible disruption during the construction phase and long lasting consequences after it is built both to home owners and businesses.

5. TRANSPARENCY

Where is the Master Plan they promised in the application? Why is that no longer applicable?

Sincerely,

Avraham Weinrib

This pipeline will travel through densely populated areas, including my neighborhood and will pose a danger to residences, schools, businesses and houses of worship.

Unlike delivery pipelines, this pipeline will be under up to 720psi pressure and an accident or rupture could cause injuries, death and destruction of property within a radius of 1/3 mile.

I ask you to oppose this pipeline and encourage both Duke Energy and the Ohio Power Siting Board to reject this pipeline

Thank you for your consideration.

Regards,
Avraham and Ayala Weinrib

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/27/2017 1:12:20 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-0253-GA-BTX

Summary: Public Comment in opposition filed on behalf of concerned consumers, Avraham and Ayala Weinrib electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing