

**From:** [Puco ContactOPSB](#)  
**To:** [Puco Docketing](#)  
**Subject:** OPSB Case # 16-0253-GA-BTX (Jamie Schwartz)  
**Date:** Monday, March 13, 2017 10:09:38 AM

---

To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 111698  
Received: 3/10/2017 3:41:38 PM  
Message:  
WEB ID: 111698 AT:03-10-2017 at 03:41 PM  
Related Case Number: 16-0253  
TYPE: Comment  
NAME: Mr. Jamie schwartz  
CONTACT SENDER ? Yes  
MAILING ADDRESS:

- (NO CITY?) , Ohio (NO ZIP??)
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: [jamieandalan@hotmail.com](mailto:jamieandalan@hotmail.com)

INDUSTRY:Gas

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Duke Energy
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

OPUC, I am very concerned about this potential new pipeline and hope PUCO rejects it. The pipeline route goes thru a very densely populated area and also goes by schools, day cares, and hospital. There are other options for locations for this pipe that do not go thru these densely populated areas. Please reject this pipeline for the sake of our neighborhood and thousands of children who will now be in the blast zone. There is no need to put this pipeline in this area. Clearly there are other routes for Duke to use for this pipeline that would not include densely populated areas. Each pipeline carries its risks and safety precautions however, why put this new pipeline in an area that would be highly impacted if something did happen? This pipeline should go in an area that would have a lesser impact on people and key infrastructures (schools/hospitals). "Impact on the area if there is an issue" should be a key metric that is used to determine go-no go for this pipeline; clearly this location is a no-go. Jamie Schwartz

From: [webmaster@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:webmaster@puc.state.oh.us)  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO

Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 111684

Received: 3/10/2017 6:43:02 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 111684 AT:03-10-2017 at 06:42 AM

Related Case Number: 16-0253

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mrs. Jamie Schwartz

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- (NO CITY?) , Ohio (NO ZIP??)
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (no home phone provided?)
- Alternative: 5136389921
- Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: (no e-mail address provided)

INDUSTRY:Gas

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I oppose having this new gas pipeline run thru this high density populated area which is also near schools and hospitals. It needs to instead be in a less populated area.

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**3/13/2017 3:35:48 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 16-0253-GA-BTX**

Summary: Public Comment in opposition filed on behalf of concerned consumer, Jamie Schwartz electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing