

FILE

16-253-CA-BTX

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV.  
2017 FEB 13 AM 10:59

PUCO

**From:** L P Luigi Espenlaub [mailto:luigiwriter2@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 12, 2017 3:04 PM  
**To:** PucO ContactOPSB <contactopsb@pucO.ohio.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: Please check info@nopecincy.org for a document

Siting, Efficiency, and Renewable Energy Division, Rates and Analysis Department, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio | Ohio Power Siting Board

Please add the following to my previously docketed file in the public comments section of case number 16-253-GA-BTX.

Yet an additional discovered Concern from answers received at The Duke open house.

**Another of Duke's arguments against their already owned right of way east of Indian Hill and inside I-275 that it would affect the same number of households is spurious.**

It is spurious because Duke is *only counting easements* affected on both routes, *not households in danger of explosion and fire of either a 24" or 36" high pressure line*. [Perhaps it could be the number of lawyers, large stock holders and possibly a board member or two they are really trying to avoid.]

If studied, from the safety aspect, which Duke seems determined to ignore, it would be found that the number of households and employees within a quarter mile either side of their proposed rights-of-way would be at least a multiple if not two larger than their presently owned right-of-way east of Indian Hill inside I-275.

Duke also has no concern for the increased population density along their proposed right-of-way 30 to 40 years from now in their comparison of the three routes.

Their answers at the public meeting indicated that the green line on my map "Why has Duke not presented ..." was eliminated by Duke well before considering safety issues.

If Duke is really wants to satisfy future demands as they have stated, why compromise for a present demand 24" lesser psi line through dense population than a 36" 750 psi line around those very populations on already owned rights-of-way.

This would provide s solution which would satisfy both present and future demands, especially in Clermont county.

In the future Duke could position a station like the Fairfax station along that route to directly supply Clermont county's fast moving development.

That route also provides the possibility of improving the connections with Kentucky through the California crossing of the Ohio river. Again a future looking rather than a "just providing for now" solution.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.  
Technician \_\_\_\_\_ Date Processed **FEB 13 2017**