BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the : Application of Aqua Ohio, :

Incorporated to Increase : Case No. 16-907-WW-AIR

Its Rates and Charges for : Its Waterworks Service. :

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Megan Janelle Addison, Attorney Examiner, Thomas W. Johnson, Commissioner, at Harding High School Community Room, 1500 Harding Highway East, Marion, Ohio, called at 6:02 p.m. on Wednesday, January 11, 2017.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4620
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

_ _ _

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
               Whitt Sturtevant, LLP
               By Rebekah J. Glover, Esq.
 3
               The Key Bank Building, Suite 1590
               88 East Broad Street
               Columbus, Ohio 43215
 4
 5
                    On behalf of the Applicant.
               Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel,
 6
                 Bruce J. Weston, Esq.
 7
               By Kevin F. Moore, Esq.
               Assistant Consumers' Counsel
 8
               10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
               Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
 9
                    On behalf of the Residential Utility
                       Consumers of Aqua Ohio.
10
11
               City of Marion
               By Mark Russell, Esq.
12
               Law Director
               233 West Center Street
               Marion, Ohio 43302
13
14
                    On behalf of the City of Marion.
15
     ALSO PRESENT:
     Edmund Kolodziej, Aqua Ohio
16
     Jeff LaRue, Aqua Ohio
17
     Scott Ballenger, Aqua Ohio
     Peter Kusky, Aqua Ohio
18
     Don Scott, Aqua Ohio
     John Ryan, PUCO
     Jill Kocher, PUCO
19
     Kelly Mabra, PUCO
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES: PA	GE
3	HEATHER THOMPSON	0
4	Direct Testimony by Ms. Thompson 1	U
5	JOELLE DeFRANKS Direct Testimony by Ms. DeFranks	6
6	JOSH DANIELS Direct Testimony by Mr. Daniels 2	0
7		
8	JEFF GERRITSEN Direct Testimony by Mr. Gerritsen 2	8
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2425		
23		

Wednesday Evening Session,
January 11, 2017.

- -

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Let's go ahead and go on the record. The Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio has assigned for public hearing at this time and place Case No. 16-907-WW-AIR, being In the Matter of the Application of Aqua Ohio,

Incorporated to Increase Its Rates and Charges for Its Waterworks Service.

My name is Megan Addison, and I'm an Attorney Examiner assigned by the Commission to hear this case. Presiding with me tonight is Commissioner Tom Johnson.

Commissioner Johnson, would you like to say anything at this time?

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Well, I just wanted to say that my name is Tom Johnson, and I'm a Commissioner with the Public Utilities Commission, and there are a few of these hearings across the State, and I'm -- I'm honored to be here tonight and to hear from the people that are going to testify. We are -- we have a staff and we -- you know, there's five Commissioners. We have a staff. We are independent of the staff as far as we listen to what

they have prepared for us. We -- we have our own system of looking at -- looking at the cases, but, you know, we're -- I might also just say real brief about myself, I mean, I come to the Commission having lived most of my life in southeastern Ohio, where -- where there's lots of areas where we have rural water supply, and I can just say firsthand, and I speak with the -- as far as on behalf of the other

Commissioners, I mean, we know this is -- we know water is important, and -- and that -- and we know that this hearing is important, and we appreciate all of you coming here this evening.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you, Commissioner Johnson.

Also with us today is Mr. John Ryan,
Commissioner Johnson's aide; Jill Kocher, who is from
our Public Affairs Department, she's right there in
the back; and Kelly Mabra, who is from our Service
Monitoring and Enforcement Division. She is right
over here at the table.

I'd also like to mention that we will be available after tonight's hearing if anyone has any questions they would like to ask regarding Aqua's application or any other utility matter. We are happy to assist you with any questions you may have.

Tonight's hearing is one of a series of public hearings directed at receiving public testimony regarding the requested rate increase. A formal evidentiary hearing is scheduled to take place at the offices of the Commission in Columbus on Thursday, January 19th, 2017.

At this time we will take appearances of the parties in this proceeding.

On behalf of the Applicant.

MS. GLOVER: Thank you, your Honor.

Thank you, Commissioner Johnson. On behalf of Aqua

Ohio, my name is Rebekah Glover, of the law firm

13 | Whitt Sturtevant.

Also here on behalf of the Company is Ed Kolodziej, Jeff LaRue, Scott Ballenger, Pete Kusky, and Don Scott.

17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.

On behalf of the Ohio Consumers'

19 Counsel.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

18

20

2.1

22

23

25

MR. MOORE: Thank you, your Honor, Mr. Johnson. On behalf of the residential utility consumers of Aqua Ohio, the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel, Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers'

24 | Counsel, by Kevin Moore.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.

On behalf of the City of Marion.

MR. RUSSELL: On behalf of the City of

3 | Marion, Law Director Mark Russell.

4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you,

5 Mr. Russell.

2.1

2.2

MR. RUSSELL: Thank you.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: And I'd just like to let the record reflect that there's no counsel here this evening on behalf of the Commission Staff.

Aqua Ohio filed its application on May 31st, 2016, seeking to increase its rate for water service in the Lake Erie and Masury service divisions, as well as the service divisions consisting of all areas formally served by Mohawk Utilities, Tomahawk Utilities, and the Ohio-American Water Company.

By its application, Aqua seeks a rate increase which would generate a 9.21 percent revenue increase for its water service. The Commission Staff report was issued on November 17th, 2016. The Staff of the Commission recommends an approximate 4.91 to 7.32 percent increase over current revenues. The Staff report is a recommendation only. The five Commissioners, including Commissioner Johnson, may

implement some of those suggestions and choose to reject others.

2.1

The purpose of this evening's hearing is to receive comments from the public regarding Aqua Ohio's application in this case. This is not intended to be a question-and-answer session, but instead is your opportunity to let the Commission know what you think about the Applicant's request.

The local public hearings scheduled in this case are just one aspect of the process. We have another public hearing scheduled in Ashtabula, Ohio tomorrow evening, and as I noted earlier, an evidentiary hearing is also scheduled to commence on Thursday, January 19th at the Commission's offices in Columbus.

When you arrived, you were offered an opportunity to testify. After I finish with my introductory remarks, we will begin calling witnesses forward in the order that you were signed up. I would ask that you come up to the table and face the court reporter and provide your testimony clearly and as loudly as you can just to be sure that she gets all of your remarks.

The testimony that you will be providing this evening will be transcribed. I will ask you to

take an oath that the testimony you are about to give is the truth. I will then ask you to state your name and full address. Your testimony will be considered part of the official record of this case and will be reviewed by the Commission before they make their final decision on this application.

2.1

Additionally, the parties to this case and I will be permitted to ask questions regarding your testimony. Should you decide that you do not want to testify when your name is called, you may simply pass. Also, once you're finished testifying or if you're just here to observe, you are certainly free to leave whenever you choose to do so.

As I previously mentioned, tonight's testimony is being transcribed by a court reporter. Please be sure to speak clearly and that you provide a verbal response to all questions that may be asked of you. If you brought a written copy of your testimony, please be sure that you provide one for the court reporter so that it can be included as part of the record.

The Commission appreciates your participation in tonight's proceeding, and we hope to hear from everyone who would like to provide their input; so, therefore, please try to keep your

comments to a reasonable length and try to be respectful of others that are testifying.

At this time, if there are no questions, we will begin with the witnesses who have signed up, and just to clarify, do we have any questions regarding the process for tonight's hearing?

(No response.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Okay. If anything does come up during, feel free to just raise your hand. Thank you.

When I call your name, if you could please come forward, and I will swear you in. The first name I have is Heather Thompson.

Thank you, Miss Thompson. Please raise your right hand.

16 (Ms. Thompson was sworn.)

17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.

18 | You may make your statement.

19

20 HEATHER THOMPSON,

21 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law,

22 testified as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

23

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MS. THOMPSON: I apologize. I have a

25 | cold. This is about as loud as I'm going to get.

Good evening. My name is Heather

Thompson, and I'm representing Ohio Heartland

Community Action Commission. We serve Marion,

Morrow, and Crawford Counties, and all of our clients

are at or below 175 percent of the Federal Poverty

Level.

2.1

I understand your service area only covers two of our counties, Marion and Morrow County, but the clients we serve have a difficult time paying their water bills already without the possibility of a rate hike. The 2017 cost of living increase of .3 percent in Social Security did little to nothing to help our clients obtain additional funds to support their households. Most of our clients saw their raise get absorbed by an increase of their Medicare premiums and a loss from their food assistance amount.

We, as an agency, get multiple phone calls a day from community members asking for assistance with their water bills, whether it is helping them to pay on a disconnect notice, enrolling a client on PIPP, or helping them restore service after you have shut them off.

In Marion County we used to receive funds from Ohio-American Water Company through an

employee match contribution on a monthly or a bimonthly basis to help our clients with their bills. As an example, from January 2010 to April 2013 we had received \$32,553.08 and helped 199 households with an average bill of \$163.58. This was before you bought them out. Since our -- since your existence, we have not received any funds at all and received poor treatment from your customer service department when we call on behalf of our clients.

2.1

In June 2016, Del-Co Water, which also services our Morrow County area, increased their rates, with the average bill only -- excuse me, only increasing 54 cents, to \$37.98, per their website. Their minimum bill starts at \$11.85, with the first 1,500 gallons included. Your minimum bill is \$13.50 plus the water rate of one -- I'm sorry, .0101625 per gallon. If you multiply that rate by the 1,500 gallons that is included in Del-Co's minimum rate, that brings your minimum bill to \$28.74; so as you can see, you are already charging customers over twice as much on their bills, and that is even before the rate hike, according to your website.

As a social service provider, we do business with PUCO regulated utilities every day, from Ohio Edison, Columbia Gas, and AEP. They offer

our clients opportunities throughout the year to get assistance with their bills in the form of payment plans such as PIPP, the One-Ninth Plan, the One-Sixth Plan, and the One-Third Plan, or through grants such as the First Energy Fuel Fund, Ohio Fuel Fund, Columbia Gas Fuel Fund, and Neighbor to Neighbor. They also offer the Winter Reconnect Order from October 19th, 2016, to April 14th, 2017, which allows a client to start service, reconnect service, or stop a disconnect for a maximum amount of \$175 and allows the client to make payment arrangements on any remaining balance.

2.1

After reviewing your website, I was unable to find any similar programs that your company offers to help our community. However, your financial statement showed that you had an increase each quarter of last year, excluding the fourth quarter, which you are not releasing conveniently until after all of the public hearings, as compared to same quarters of 2015. If your company would absorb your increases for the year, all three million of your customers in all of your service territories would benefit by the need to not increase the monthly rates. Also, following in line with other

financial grants would allow customers to have a chance to get caught up on their bills and would be truly beneficial for all.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

The three necessities of life are food, water, and shelter, and as a company, you make it very difficult for your customers to receive or maintain one of these basic necessities. An increase of \$3 to \$6 per month in our bill would cause people to have to possibly choose between their prescription medication, food on their table, health care, fuel in their tank, or having to postpone paying another one of their utility bills to ensure that they can maintain their water service. As a company, you have a responsibility to ensure that every one of your customers has access to this basic necessity, and they shouldn't have to sacrifice other essentials in order to have it. I think that you need to look into your own practices to figure out why you charge twice as much as surrounding water companies in your existing rates and work on mimicking their infrastructure to reduce your rates to more comparable rates.

Ohio Heartland Community Action is a not-for-profit business that has to function on a very tight budget with strict regulations on what we

can spend our money on, just like our clients who 1 2 have to function on a tight budget or within the limits of their income. There is no reason why your 3 company should be any different. We can't pass along 4 5 any short company -- we cannot pass along any shortcomings in our budget to our clients, and our 6 7 clients can't pass along any shortcomings in their budgets to anyone else. Why should you be able to? 8 9 In conclusion, a monthly rate hike of 10 the magnitude that you're proposing would significant 11 hurt residents in Marion and Morrow County, and 12 without any options from your company to help offset 13 this increase, the damage will continue to grow 14 larger than it currently is, and agencies like us 15 will continue to have our hands tied in helping our 16 clients. Thank you. 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you, 18 Miss Thompson. Just one moment. I'm going to see if 19 they want to ask questions. 20 MS. THOMPSON: Sorry. 2.1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: No. You're 22 fine. 23 Commissioner Johnson, do you have any

No.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON:

24

25

questions?

	16
1	ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Aqua?
2	MS. GLOVER: No questions.
3	ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: OCC?
4	MR. MOORE: No.
5	ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: City of
6	Marion?
7	MR. RUSSELL: No.
8	ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: There are no
9	questions. You are excused.
10	MS. THOMPSON: Thank you.
11	ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you so
12	much.
13	(Witness excused.)
14	ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: The next
15	name on the list is Joelle DeFranks.
16	Hi, Miss DeFranks. Please raise your
17	right hand.
18	(Ms. DeFranks was sworn.)
19	ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.
20	
21	JOELLE DeFRANKS,
22	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law,
23	testified as follows:
24	DIRECT TESTIMONY
25	MS. DeFRANKS: Okay. My name is Joelle

DeFranks. I'm just a person that lives in town.

Excuse me. I looked up some information on the

Internet about Aqua America, and Aqua Ohio is one of
the subsidiaries of Aqua America. Aqua America is in
numerous states such as Pennsylvania, Ohio, New

Jersey, Illinois, Texas, Indiana, Virginia, and
Florida. I looked up Aqua's 2012 yearly report, just
when they were getting ready to purchase
Ohio-American Water, and I was going to read a few
excerpts from what I found.

2.1

First, this was February 25th, 2013. It says for the, "Full Year 2012 Earnings: Net Income Gross 37 percent," and then it says, "Aqua America has paid a consecutive quarterly dividend for more than 65 years." Also, it says, "The ongoing tax accounting change, which was approved in the 2012 Pennsylvania rate order, allows Aqua Pennsylvania to continue its infrastructure improvement program without increasing customer rates in 2013 and still maintain the company's strong financial performance."

"The company projects a similar amount to be invested in 2013 on numerous projects: Pipe replacement to improve our distribution network; plant upgrades to enhance water quality; and service reliability improvements for our customers, much of

which will be eligible for a tax deduction under the recently implemented repair tax accounting change."

2.1

It also says, "We believe that the use of this cash providing tax policy is a 'win-win' for customers and shareholders as the rate order should allow Aqua Pennsylvania to continue its infrastructure improvement program without increasing customer rates in 2013, while still providing the company with the opportunity to continue its profitable performance," said DeBenedictis.

Okay. Then I was wondering if this same ongoing tax accounting change as stated in Pennsylvania, could it not be made to work in Ohio? Why would they have to have an increase here where they had some kind of an ongoing tax accounting change? I don't know if the law is different here, but it would certainly be well worth looking into.

And also, they had very good profits for the first three quarters of 2016, which is when they applied to increase. It says the -- let's see. For the first quarter it said they had 2.3 million in revenue and only .3 million in expenses. The second quarter, which is interesting, they had an increase 5.1 million in revenue, and their expenses decreased 5.7 million. It should have been a good quarter.

And the third quarter they had 5.5 million revenue and -- of increased revenue and increased expenses of 1.3 million.

So how much profit in expansion does one water company need? Is it that they don't want -- is it that they don't want competition? When does it cross the line from profit to greed? And there is what I looked up. It's all on the Internet. I don't know if you want to keep this or not (indicating).

ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: If you want to leave it up here for the court reporter, that's -- MS. DeFRANKS: Thank you.

13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Miss

DeFranks, just one moment.

Did you have any questions?

16 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: No.

17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Any

18 questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

MS. GLOVER: No.

20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: OCC, any

21 questions?

MR. MOORE: No, your Honor.

23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: City of

24 Marion?

MR. RUSSELL: No.

20 1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you, 2 Miss DeFranks. 3 (Witness excused.) ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: The next 4 name I have is Josh Daniels. 5 MR. DANIELS: Good evening. 6 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Good evening. Please raise your right hand. 8 9 (Mr. Daniels was sworn.) ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you. 10 11 Please state your name. 12 13 JOSH DANIELS, being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, 14 15 testified as follows: 16 DIRECT TESTIMONY 17 MR. DANIELS: My name is Josh Daniels. 18 I'm a Marion City Councilman. I'm also an apartment 19 owner, so I represent the residents of Marion and 175 20 souls that live in our apartments. 2.1 I'm not here tonight to impugn the water 22 company. I'd like to open by saying --23 (Discussion off the record.) 24 MR. DANIELS: I won't open tonight by 25 impugning the water company and the people that I

work with every day in the street. They're excellent. They didn't receive a 13-percent raise this year as eight key executives of Aqua did, and I don't envy those eight individuals that make up more than \$10 million in salary. That doesn't include deferred gains on stocks or stock options. I simply bring that to light because these eight key individuals have one purpose in mind, and this is why they're compensated, and I respect them for that. They're compensated well because they bring earnings to the table, to their shareholders. That is their job. That is who they are beholding to, and ethically, that is who they should be beholding to.

2.1

I, as a councilman, am not beholding to the shareholders of Aqua Ohio. It is imperative that I ethically be beholding to the citizens of Marion and, as a business owner, beholding to my clients. That said, the level of compensation that they've received directly reflects the profitability that they've brought to Aqua Ohio.

I can too go to the Internet and give you a number of statistics that will probably get lost in translation, but let me put out a few. This is from March 25th, 2015. It was their Definitive

Proxy Statement. This was issued to the Security and Exchange Commission by Nick DeBenedictis, who is the CEO and now chairman of Aqua. The market cap of Aqua, and I believe he refers to the time frame of 1992 through '15, 2015, has increased from \$150 million to 4.5 billion. These are the messages that he is directly giving his shareholders in defense of his position, looking out for their interest. He furthermore tells them, we have increased quarterly dividends 24 times, not only given a dividend, but we've increased each dividend in the last 23 years 24 times. "We have had record earnings," quote, "record earnings for the last 15 consecutive years." From 1992 to 2015 he states their return on shareholder investment rose 1,700 percent. That is to say, and again in his words, \$1,000 invested in 1992 would be worth 16,000 today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

In the last five years, from 2012 until now, their share price has gone from \$17 up to a high in 2016 of 35. It's come back a little off of that. I think today's close might have been 29. When you look at a share's price, you look at trends. This is -- you either plot each high over the course of time, each low, or the average. That is to say that in the last five years the annual return to

shareholders has exceeded 12 percent. I don't know exactly what the fraction is, but it's exceeded 12 percent, according to those numbers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

When people invest in a public utility, they do it with this in mind, they're looking for surety. They are not looking for a 30 or a 40 or a 50 percent Internet bubble-type return that's short-lived, and they're willing to take the risk because of the profitability there, because there is a correlation between risk and return. They do so because in the states that utilities operate on, the majority of which are monopolies, they use public right-of-ways, they use public easements. Most of the land that they operate on is publicly owned, and they have never given compensation for that, but they are monopolies just due to the structure. You wouldn't have two water systems in a city running side by side and then one tying into the other. So investors involve themselves in this because they are guaranteed a minimal return based upon the decisions of the PUCOs in the states that these utilities operate on. They don't expect 35 percent, but they don't expect to lose any money.

So when you look at a 12-percent return, considering -- if you took a senior citizen who has

worked their whole life, who might have a CD out there or some money put into the bank, which right now is a horrible place to keep your money, I would recommend to them that they keep it in Aqua stock, to be honest. If I were giving good financial advice to one of my clients and I were a financial advisor, I would say put it in Aqua stock. You're almost guaranteed never to lose, and you're going to make more than you do in the bank. You might even make 12 percent.

2.1

aside from what I may or may not know about the company, this is probably the most — in my mind the most definitive piece of evidence that the PUCO should consider. It is — it is difficult and arduous to just really figure out what it costs to treat water. How much should a glass or a gallon of water really cost? Well, we can look at the bottom line of Aqua and we can say they're making huge amounts of money, so obviously the cost of producing water is a lot less than what they're selling it for. That was the argument I opened with.

The second argument would be a comparison. Either they're making a whole lot of money or they're the most inefficient water company

on the planet, and if it's the second, they should not be rewarded. It's your own rate sheet. Former Ohio-American Water customers, which we are, just a real quick view, we're paying 40 percent more than the lowest and 20 percent more than the second highest. On their sheet, your sheet, we're -- we pay more for water than anybody, and tonight, when I had heard the first speaker state that Del-Co's water was a minimal fee of a \$11 and -- I believe it was 70 cents.

MS. THOMPSON: Fifty cents.

2.1

MR. DANIELS: Fifty cents. And that included the first 2,500 gallons?

MS. THOMPSON: 1,500.

MR. DANIELS: 1,500. Thank you. Step in anytime. I need your help. And then Aqua's was 13 but included no water. That's just so that you might have that meter attached to your house. If you go to Florida three months, you're still paying 13 bucks because you have a meter.

So that being said, if you took their costs for the first 1,500 and added it, again she says 28 -- that's a huge disparity between the average water bill of what someone in Del-Co, just in Morrow County pays and what we have here, and it's

```
not only the resident that gets stung with that.
 1
 2
     They get stung in a number of ways. The economic
     investment that is not made in Marion County due to
 3
     high utility rates, that person loses then, too. Not
 4
 5
     only do they have a higher water bill than everyone
 6
     else, but they have a job opportunity that
 7
     potentially is lost because a factory decides to go
     to Delaware County, which they have -- AHP is one.
 8
 9
     That's not, I wouldn't say, Aqua's fault. All right.
10
     Water rates are just one factor that companies make
11
     in their big decision plan, but when utilities are
12
     significantly more expensive in a high-water
13
     consumption business such as an ethanol plant or a
14
     car factory or anything like that, it tends to lean
15
     them away from Marion; so we're not only paying the
16
     highest water, but we're losing all the economic
17
     opportunities that may or may not be lost due to high
18
     utilities.
19
                  That's all I have. Thank you very much.
20
     I appreciate it.
2.1
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON:
                                             Thank you,
22
    Mr. Daniels. One moment, Mr. Daniels.
23
                  MR. DANIELS: I'm sorry.
24
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: You're fine.
25
                  Any questions, Commissioner?
```

27 1 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: 2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Any 3 questions? MS. GLOVER: No. 4 5 MR. MOORE: No. Thank you, your Honor. ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you. 6 7 Any questions? 8 MR. RUSSELL: No. 9 ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you, 10 Mr. Russell. 11 Thank you, Mr. Daniels. 12 (Witness excused.) 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: That 14 concludes the list of those that signed up prior to 15 the beginning of the hearing. At this time I'd like 16 to open up the floor for anyone who didn't have the 17 opportunity to sign up who would like to say 18 something. 19 Yes, sir. Yes, absolutely. I'll ask 20 you to raise your right hand. 2.1 (Mr. Gerritsen was sworn.) 22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you. 23 Please state your name and address if you could. 24 25

JEFF GERRITSEN,

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, testified as follows:

2.1

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. GERRITSEN: My name is Jeff
Gerritsen. My address is 1330 Montego Drive here in the wonderful City of Marion.

I'd like to first thank Miss Thompson and Mr. Daniels for the -- the information they provided. I'm unprepared. This is the first time I've ever been to a setting like this.

As a resident, you know, a 9.6 percent increase in our water bill hurts. U.S. GDP was two percent this year. I just got a measly three-percent raise last week, and according to the testimony Mr. Daniels' provided, some people are paid quite handsomely. I don't have a problem with all that stuff. What I do have a problem is this, a few years ago -- I have three young children, and my wife and I made the decision not to let them drink our water out of our tap here in Marion, Ohio. Here's why -- we use bottled water for just about everything now. One night I left a crystal glass sitting on the counter in my house, which we usually never do, when I woke up the next morning and when I walked out to the

counter, there was an oily sheen on top of my water that came out of my tap at my house. That alarmed me. I'm usually pretty unmovable by things like that, but when you have small children in the house that are defenseless to what's coming out of our taps, then you add that to I'm buying bottled water to feed my children so they don't drink the water out of the taps, then you add in the Dearborn or the Flint, Michigan water problem and you start thinking things through, then when you take the previous testimony of how much money is being raked in by this company, it's a little disconcerting to say the least.

2.1

In an economy of supply and demand, I'll pay the price if you're going to supply me with something I can use for my children. If you're not going to, let us invest in some company that will give me some kind of quality product that I can take out of the tap that I pay for at my house and give to my family.

I'd like to end with, the OCC, I know nothing about them, but you're asking for a 9.6 percent increase from the residents of this town to give us water that if you leave it sit out for 24 hours it has an oily sheen on top of it. The OCC

```
30
     says you should be getting a decrease of $1 to $2. I
 1
 2
     know it's not a question-and-answer period, but I
     would like to know why. Why is the OCC suggesting
 3
     a $1 to $2 decrease? Mr. Daniels' facts and figures
 4
     say that we're paying 20 percent more than a nearby
 5
 6
     community for our water, and we can't even give it
 7
     to our kids healthy and consciously. That's all I
 8
     have.
9
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you
10
     very much.
11
                  Did you have any questions,
12
     Commissioner?
13
                  COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I did not.
14
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Any
15
     questions from the Applicant?
16
                  MS. GLOVER: No questions.
17
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: OCC?
18
                  MR. MOORE: No questions, your Honor.
19
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: City of
20
    Marion?
2.1
                  MR. RUSSELL: No questions.
22
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you
23
     all.
24
                  Thank you, sir.
25
                  (Witness excused.)
```

ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Do we have anyone else that would like to make a brief statement that hasn't already had the opportunity to?

(No response.)

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: Okay. At this time it's still relatively early in the evening. I want to make sure, if there were some people that maybe got off late at work and wanted to attend tonight's meeting, that they had the opportunity to make it. Let's go ahead and take a brief 15-minute break, and we'll just see if anyone else new wants to come in or if anyone sitting in the audience would like to make a statement after that brief recess. Thank you.

We're off the record.

(Recess taken.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER ADDISON: We're going to go ahead and go back on the record at this time. I don't see anyone new that has come into the room since we took our brief recess, very much the opposite actually, but -- and I take that as no one else would like to provide any additional testimony this evening, so I will go ahead and provide some concluding remarks.

All the testimony provided this evening

will be submitted on the record for the Commission's consideration in this case. Staff and I will be here for a while in case you have any questions about the Commission's process or how to file additional comments in this case.

As I mentioned before, if you have any questions for the Commission's Staff, we will stay as long as we need to in order to answer those questions. Thank you again and have a nice evening. Let's go off the record.

(Thereupon, the hearing was concluded at

6:52 p.m.)

2.1

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Wednesday, January 11, 2017, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Valerie J. Sloas, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

12 My commission expires June 10, 2021.

13 (VJS-82812)

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

1/24/2017 12:15:11 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-0907-WW-AIR

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of the Application of Aqua Ohio, Incorporated to Increase Its Rates and Charges for Its Waterworks Service, hearing held on January 11, 2017. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Sloas, Valerie J. Mrs.