From:	Bell, Terry
To:	Puco Docketing; Butler, Matthew
Subject:	Duke
Date:	Tuesday, December 20, 2016 6:55:21 AM
Attachments:	image009.png image010.png image011.png image012.png

---

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 110378
Received: 12/19/2016 5:11:03 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 110378 AT:12-19-2016 at 05:10 PM
Related Case Number: 16-0253
TYPE: Comment
NAME: Mr. Candice and Paul Overly
CONTACT SENDER ? No
MAILING ADDRESS:

- 4026 Glendale Milford Road
- Evendale , Ohio 45241
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: co1444@aol.com
INDUSTRY:Gas
ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:
Dear Ohio Power Siting Board, It is my understanding that intra-state natural gas pipelines operate within state borders and link natural gas producers to local markets. Although an intrastate pipeline system is defined as one that operates totally within a state, an intrastate pipeline company may have operations in more than one State. As long as these operations are separate, that is, they do not physically interconnect, they are considered intrastate, and are not jurisdictional to the Interstate line rules and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Duke has explained that the rationale for this project is to bring "more reliable" natural gas to the Cincinnati area although they can't explain why we need it when customer use is flat and is predicted to decrease due to population changes in the Cincinnati area. Duke has clearly stated in public meetings as well as in writing in their OPSB application that these pipelines are part of a bigger project--the goal is to bring gas from the Appalachian Basin to

marker in the south or gulf. So how in the world is this project not an INTERSTATE project verses an INTRASTATE project? Please require Duke Energy to be transparent and ethically accountable for their project submissions. Many hard-working people will lose everything if the pipeline goes thru their yard. I am one of the unfortunate green route homeowners that are potentially looking at a pipeline less than 15 feet from my living room and the loss of 3-150 year old Oak trees which are the only thing protecting us from the busy freeway traffic occurring on Glendale-Milford Road. The pipeline proposed route actually TURNS in at my property to go right next to my house; taking my entire front yard, certainly via eminent domain, on an acre lot leaving me with half of the property I used to have. Duke has offered us no rationale as to why the pipe needs to run so close to our house or even that side of the road (there is a vacant land across the street) despite multiple repeated discoverable email attempts to receive a better understanding. We are looking for the OPSB to insure that Duke Company profits and legal tricks to receive approval under false pretenses of intrastate do not take precedence over safety and community impact. Thank you for your time Candice and Paul Overly Green Route Evendale, Ohio

Terry S. Bell
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Service Monitoring and Enforcement Department
Chief Customer Education and Contact Division
(614) 995-9087
www.PUCO.ohio.gov

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

12/20/2016 8:48:33 AM

in

Case No(s). 16-0253-GA-BTX

Summary: Public Comment in opposition filed on behalf of concerned consumers, Candice and Paul Overly electronically filed by Ms. Donielle M Hunter on behalf of PUCO Staff