### Alan Lieberman 159 W Dunedin Rd Columbus, OH 43214

FILE

11/1/2016

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

Re: Case Number 13-2385-ESP

I was recently informed of the proposed increase applicable to the "customer charge" that AEP wants to impose on their residential customers. I respectfully request that you block or deny this action. Based from the information that was provided to me from Ohio Citizen Action, it appears that the exisiting charges will more than double. I don't feel I should be paying additional costs due to their mistakes and poor business decisions.

I would like to see AEP further develop new innovative methods to produce energy rather than depend on their past methods and pass their rising costs onto their customers. It's time AEP invest in their future. They should encourage renewable energy development and not punish the end user who has no say so in the matter.

Sincerely

Alan L Lieberman

2016 DEC 14 PM 2: 18

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and examines rate that the images appearing are an accurate and examines a the course of the factorial of the processed DEC 14 2016

## To the Public Utilities Commission:

blo been brought to very attention that AEP plans to dirable "custimer charge" rates in 2017 12018. At a long-time customer, this seems to be a succeen and eignificant vicrease.

REP has the opportunity to be a marker leader in the use of trenewalebu energy and energy efficiency programs? I enoturage them to vivest in these areas trather than counting con customers to share the expenses due to a change in industry standards.

Regards, Layne Peters 172 D. Dunedii Rd. Columbus, OH 43214

Re: Case number 13-2385-ESP

## Dear public Utilies Commissions

love the work that AEP does. Every day I wake up to the light you give us. I am so greatful for it. It helps us read, go to school, eat, and many other things. I love the enviorment and so much of our energency comes from tossil fuel. Manybe you should investigate in Solar power water power and stuff that does not come from the ground. I know that when I voteled News Depth in class we had a segment on solar power cars. I was amazed, you should have our power come from the sun and water that we have a lot of. When I wake up that light is coming from the ground. Tow are fearing our planet apart.

PIEPSEDO NOTUSEFOSSIL FUEL-EUR

144 Dakland park Case Rumber: Chus, of 43214 13-7385ESP Dear Public afilities.

my name is Lill miller. I am a 4th grader and I love rocks. I think we should use more solar or wind Power to make electricity. In news depth, wich is a show that tells us what has nappened that week, people were making solar Power cars. They did not have act though. Majbe we could make More things like that. If we use more penergy and that helps every one.

-Lily miller

Case # 13-2385-ESP

144 oakland park Columbus, OH 43214 Dear Aublic Utilities Commission:

My name is Ital Miller. I'm a resident of Columbus, Ohio and paster at Columbus Mennonite Church.

I Firmly believe in the importance of investing in renewable energy. It is the right thing to do for the anxionment and ultimately for the Financial bottom line of AEP and its customers.

Thank you for your work and advocacy on behalf on Ohio Citizens.

Tel Miller

OSR # 13-2385-ESP

clous, off 43214

Puco -

AEP Shouldn't be able to punish their customers on their bad decision of braking on coal. It's bad enough that they want to penalize people on using Solar. Come on AEP, be part of the Solution, not the problem.

Jucin Ziniel 204 W Dunedin

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio,
It is very important to w that AEP increase their genewable energy and energy efficiency programs. Our household values the use of the wable energy. We take many measures as a household to conserve energy and natural tresources, and a AEP automers, we usual like to see AEP doing the same thing.
thank you for listening to us your customer, and tor considering the issues that this citizen Action is bringing to your attention.
Sincerely, Erin R-Harkinson
Stilly Pall 153 Willington Rd Columbus of 43214 Case # 13-2385-85P

TO Whom it May concern Ref-case# 13-2385-ESP

Please do not raise your rates, especially to an expess rate of 100% !! as an ABP customer, I would like to remain a customer, but not at the you raising the rates anyway? In storad of raising the rates, why not invest into renawable energy?

Please reconsider this devestating decision!

Yours Truly Scot Crossley-197 E. Dunedin Rd. Columbus OH 43214

11-1-16 PUCO-Case# 13-2385-ESP

2 an apposed to aps planto More Chan double

The Customer

Charge, Please

Pronote energy efficiency Thank your lles & Dunedin Kin Wall- arstoner

Columbus, ort 43214

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Re: Case number 13-2385-ESP

I am writing to express my opposition to The AEP customer charge on customers' bills and the plan to double it.

Why is the customer covering the planning/budgeting issues that AEP has encountered?

Why hasn't AEP planned for dips and rises in the price of coal as other companies do for fuel and why have they not planned for the changes in costs and alternative modes of energy to provide power? This is not Nantucket, fighting the change from whale oil to more advanced oil sources. This is a multibillion company who has incredible resources and data analysis to maintain and project the future of the company.

There is no excuse for AEP's refusal to look at the future and adapt more fully, and realize we must acknowledge the overriding issues with continuing coal use and perhaps their current business model. All businesses plan for the future and the successful ones manage their financial resources accordingly.

AEP should build on these changes instead of ignoring them as much as possible and expecting customers to pay for their bad planning and projections.

I urge the Commission to reject the AEP request to double the customer charge, and indeed, should take a closer look at how AEP is planning and modeling for their future business to enable them to become more efficient and maximize their effectiveness. Perhaps its time to move to the future.

Carterine J. Coster

Catherine L Carter 3679 Milton Avenue Columbus, OH 43214



Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Re: Case number 13-2385-ESP

I am writing to express my opposition to The AEP customer charge on customers' bills and the plan to double it.

Why is the customer covering the planning/budgeting issues that AEP has encountered?

Why hasn't AEP planned for dips and rises in the price of coal as other companies do for fuel and why have they not planned for the changes in costs and alternative modes of energy to provide power? This is not Nantucket, fighting the change from whale oil to more advanced oil sources. This is a multibillion company who has incredible resources and data analysis to maintain and project the future of the company.

There is no excuse for AEP's refusal to look at the future and adapt more fully, and realize we must acknowledge the overriding issues with continuing coal use and perhaps their current business model. All businesses plan for the future and the successful ones manage their financial resources accordingly.

AEP should build on these changes instead of ignoring them as much as possible and expecting customers to pay for their bad planning and projections.

I urge the Commission to reject the AEP request to double the customer charge, and indeed, should take a closer look at how AEP is planning and modeling for their future business to enable them to become more efficient and maximize their effectiveness. Perhaps its time to move to the future.

Cacherine & Carter

Catherine L Carter 3679 Milton Avenue Columbus, OH 43214

- 13-2385-ESPICORCHI We try to keep the water clean in Lake Frie and all he other places on the earth that have water. Please promote clean energy of keep Mother Earth Safe! I not from \$ - regarding case Dear 7 In Cof O

To whom It May Concern, This letterid in regards to Case number 13-2385-ESP.

We are american Electric Power customers. We are opposed to AEP'S Plan to more them double the customer charge. Dince we use energy efficient appliances and light bulbs we should be rewarded with lower energy costs, not charged more. This in crease in been does not make sense. We think AEP should uncrease their efforts to use more renewable energy lowrels, which would lower the cost to the company as well as the customers.

Sincreely,

Melisser Harps Mel Wise

23 Orchard Lane, Columbus, OH

Christopher Gecik 307 East Kanawha Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43214-1211 gecik.1@osu.edu

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

November 1, 2016

Regarding Case Number 13-2385-ESP:

As a customer of the electricity monopoly in Columbus Ohio, American Electric Power (AEP) does not encourage me to save electricity. I have already replaced all my incandescent lamps with LED or CFLs, and I light only the room I am in. I minimize my use of air conditioning in the summer, and when I run the AC at night I set the temperature to 80 degrees. I placed a small window air conditioner in the bedroom window and do NOT cool my entire home. During the winter I keep the house at 55 degrees when I am not home and during the night. A warm house is 65 degrees -- and I wear a sweater.

AEP now proposes to more than double the "Customer Charge" for my priviledge of being connected to the electrical grid. This is outrageous for a utility regulated by the PUCO. I do not oppose profits for a utility, but there is no risk involved when bad decisions are made by its management. When times are good they make a profit. When times are bad, they raise my costs and still make a profit.

Instead of promoting fossil fuel energy generation AEP should be leading the push to renewable energy and efficiency. AEP's decision to stick with coal-powered generation should be passed onto its stockholders with smaller dividends, and not its customers.

I work hard to conserve energy and these increased customer charges punish me for my efforts. I am fortunate to be able to pay my bills on time. Who is looking out for those on a fixed income or not as lucky as I am to have a decent job?

I would welcome any advice from AEP on how I can further save energy. I would advise AEP to stop punishing those who try to save electrical energy by managing our usage and minimizing our environmental impact.

Thank you,

Christopher Gecik
69 Orchard
Columbus OH 4324

Re: Case Number 13-2385-ESP

AEP: 13-2385 EL-SSo/16-1852-EL-SSO

DP&L: 15-1830-EL-AIR

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

To whom is overseeing this proposal:

I'm completely opposed to proposals by Dayton Power and Light and American Electric Power to significantly increase flat customer charges. Fixed rate charges increase burden on already struggling families and fixed-income persons. Additionally, this rate increase punishes the customers who use less energy per the incentives that AEP (and presumably, DP&L) have put forth.

I completely support energy efficiency and renewable energy programs in Ohio. I want to see AEP move to renewable energy sources and renewable energy INSTEAD of gripping tightly to unsustainable energy sources of yesteryear.

Denise Philipsen 188 Winthrop Road Columbus Ohio 43214

**Public Utilities Commission of Ohio** 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Case # 13 - 2385 - ESP

Dear PUC,

I am opposed to AEP's plan to more than double the customer charge with the latest proposal to increase, upfront, the mandatory residential fixed fees, aka the customer charge. This is not forward thinking. AEP should increase their renewal energy planning and efficiency programs. They should also be updating their antiquated grid system.

Punishing customers who practice energy efficiency by reducing their electric use is not only unethical and unfair, it sends a message to those customers that efficiency is not important. Given the divestiture of investments in fossil fuels (oil, gas and coal), AEP should reconsider this proposal.

Sincerely,

William Easton

183 Northmost P) Columbus, O'H 43214

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Case # 13-2385-88

Dear PUC,

I am opposed to AEP's plan to more than double the customer charge with the latest proposal to increase, upfront, the mandatory residential fixed fees, aka the customer charge. This is not forward thinking. AEP should increase their renewal energy planning and efficiency programs. They should also be updating their antiquated grid system.

Punishing customers who practice energy efficiency by reducing their electric use is not only unethical and unfair, it sends a message to those customers that efficiency is not important. Given the divestiture of investments in fossil fuels (oil, gas and coal), AEP should reconsider this proposal.

Sincerely,

Columbus, OH 4324

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Dear PUC,

rase \$ 13-2385-ESP

I am opposed to AEP's plan to more than double the customer charge with the latest proposal to increase, upfront, the mandatory residential fixed fees, aka the customer charge. This is not forward thinking. AEP should increase their renewal energy planning and efficiency programs. They should also be updating their antiquated grid system.

Punishing customers who practice energy efficiency by reducing their electric use is not only unethical and unfair, it sends a message to those customers that efficiency is not important. Given the divestiture of investments in fossil fuels (oil, gas and coal), AEP should reconsider this proposal.

Sincerely,

Clementine Easton

183 Northmax Pl Columbus, OH 43214

Clemmie Easton

NOV 1, 2016

Rublic UtilitiES Commission (80 E. Broad ST Columbus, Oh.O Re: Case # 13-2385-ESP

Dear Commission -

I oppose AEP's plan to increase Rates.

AEP SHOULD BE Encouraging emissy Efficiency NOT

penalizins costoners. AEP Should BE increasing

Their remarkle energy & energy efficiency programs.

Tota increases are severtating to those on fixed in come in come the elderly to low income families. Instead Then should increase fates on large comparies.

Pate increased proposed By AEP Corld Double
Wary costomers Rates - that is UNACCEPTABLE
AND AS THE Representation of Consumers, your
Commission must also FIND IT UNACCEPTABLE!

Sincrey,
Penny Windle
256 Montrose Way
Columbus, Chro 43214

Monenden 1, 2016 Polic Marty Common & Oliva 180 E Broad ST Colors Ohio Por Case # 13-2385-ESP I wise you to appose Asp's regulation on the areas consumer pur 15 per rate on the areas consumer. Jun 15 per rate on the areas consumer. Jun 15 per rate on the Dear Commission -AGP most instead, increase consumers to If more emergy off circuit to who we Please Derny AEPS rate like rightst. Respect Holy Clinks Blaz-256 prosture long alust ? Sino 43214

AEP COSE # 13-2385-25P
TO Whom it may Concern —

I don't agree with your plan to raise the customer Charge to almost double.

Instead, would prefer to see AEP increase their renewable energy afficiency programs.

Thanks, am Veliholl way

Columbs, OH 43214

FROM: Bill Rodgers 237 IRVING WAY WEST COIS. OH 43214

180 E. Broad Steet
Cols OH 43215

Cose # 13-2385-ESP

Tan defended Opposed to AEPS plan to more than double Custoners Charge.

Nov. 8<sup>th</sup>, 2016 Columbus, Ohio

RE: Case #:13-2385-ESP

To Whom It May Concern:

As a national leader in consumer energy, AEP should be setting the tone for the future of energy in the USA. Green, renewable, efficient and less toxic energy sources should be the HIGHEST priority for this country.

Instead, most of our larger energy corporations are cheating the public, and ultimately themselves in not taking a risk. Let the balloon of past mistakes go and start spending some of your vast wealth on new energy paradigms.

It is way past time that AEP led the field instead of jumping on same old same old policies.

Susan C. Burghes PhD.

104 Brwoort Rd. Columbus, 6H 43214 98 Brevoort Rd Columbus, OH 43214 November 8, 2016

Re: Case Number 13-2385-ESP

#### AEP:

I want to protest your plan to increase the customer charge in order to cover your losses from over-investing in coal-fired plants. Over recent years, you should have looked into the future and invested in renewable energy sources and energy efficiency programs.

Furthermore, you should be working and pricing your product to encourage energy efficiency among your customers, rather than penalizing those customers who reduce their energy usage.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

David H. Blombach

To: Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

From: Dr. Peter S. Curtis, 40 Brevoort Rd., Columbus OH 43214

Re: case number 13-2385-ESP

I would like to express my strong opposition to AEP's proposed increase to the customer charge. Instead, AEP should be working overtime to phase out their (and our) reliance on coal as an energy source. Coal is highly environmentally destructive and is a major source of atmospheric carbon dioxide, the leading cause of global climate change. Let's see AEP become a responsible corporate citizen and public utility!

To: Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

From: Dr. Allison A. Snow, 40 Brevoort Rd., Columbus OH 43214

Re: case number 13-2385-ESP

AEP should not be allowed to raise its customer service charge while at the same time continuing to rely on coal as a primary energy source. AEP should be required to pursue renewable energy sources and become a leading advocate of energy efficiency. And, reward its customers for their efficiency! I oppose this charge increase.

Misi Sow

Nov. 8, 2016

Dear PUCO,

RE: Case# 13-2385-ESP

I am doing everything I can to increase the energy efficiency of my home. I have updated the electric system, and had the whole house rewired. I turn off lights and appliances when not in use.

AEP need to do their part to increase renewable energy sources, and promote energy efficiency programs.

Rates should be going down, not up.

Joan Matyskella Maryn

78 Brevoort Rd

Columbus, Oh 43214

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad St. Columbus, OH 43215

Case number 13-2385-ESP

November 8, 2016

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am writing to express my opposition to AEP's planned increase in the customer charge. This charge penalizes people who take measures to save electricity, since they are a flat rate, not based on usage. Any increase also harms lower income customers.

I would like to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs. Renewable energy sources should not cost more than energy derived from coal.

Sincerely,

Julie Cronk

86 E. Torrence Rd.

Columbus, OH 43214

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad St. Columbus, OH 43215

Case number 13-2385-ESP

November 8, 2016

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am writing to express my opposition to AEP's planned increase in the customer charge. This charge penalizes people who take measures to save electricity, since they are a flat rate, not based on usage. Any increase also harms lower income customers.

I would like to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs. Renewable energy sources should not cost more than energy derived from coal.

Sincerely,

**Hugh Crowell** 

86 E. Torrence Rd.

Columbus, OH 43214

H. Crowll

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad St. Columbus, OH 43215

Case number 13-2385-ESP

November 8, 2016

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am writing to express my opposition to AEP's planned increase in the customer charge. This charge penalizes people who take measures to save electricity, since they are a flat rate, not based on usage. Any increase also harms lower income customers.

I would like to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs. Renewable energy sources should not cost more than energy derived from coal.

Sincerely,

Seth Crowell

86 E. Torrence Rd.

Columbus, OH 43214

J. Cravell

To when it may concern, I Am Aggarst The AMERICAN ELECTIVE power (AED) request For An increase. I WISH MOVE 4 CTEMATIVE ENBIST. Staterely get 1h JoHn Havener 71 GLENCOE NU. Col. OH. 13-2385-ESP

23 Arden Road Columbus, Ohio 43214 November 8, 2016

Public Utilities Commission 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Sir:

I am writing in regard to AEP's plan to more than double the customer charge. I would also like to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs. Case number 13-2385-ESP.

Thank you

Janet S. Forrest

23 Arden Road Columbus, Ohio 43214 November 8, 2016

Public Utilities Commission 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Sir:

I am writing in regard to AEP's plan to more than double the customer charge. I would also like to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs. Case number 13-2385-ESP.

Thank you

Dennis E. Forrest

Towhom to may concern,

Dam opposed to AEP's planto more

Than double. The westomer change,

Divould like to see AEPinciane

Their renewable energy and energy

efficiency programs, The case #

is 13-2385-ESP,

Thankyow, Sincerely, Late Maximum 45 Gleneve Rd. Columbus, 04 432/4

# Case Number 13-2385-ESP

This letter is to express my opposition to MEP's rate increase proposal, and to urge both the Commission and AEP to focus more resources on renewable energy sources.

- A Concerned Citizen and voter,

Trever Batti
161 Arden Rd
Columbus p4-18214

Dear MEP.

I'm approsed to AEP's plan to

I'm approsed to AEP's plan to

darble their customer sence charge.

I want to see an increase

in generable every programs.

Sincerely

145 Falls Ro

columbus, of 43214

#13-2385-ESP

PUCO:

Hi:

I think AEP should invest more into renewable energy sources.

thanks dave fisher 26 Fallis Rd Columbus, Oh 43214

13-2385 -ESP

I am having a hard time understanding Columbus, on 1821A

13-2385- ESP November 8, 2016

To the Public Utilities Commision:

I am opposed to AEP's plan to increase the *Customer Service Charge*. AEP should be encouraging energy efficiency, for the good of all people.

Thank you,

Ryan Berndt

205 Falls pd

columbus, 64 432 14

13-2305-65P November 8, 2016

## To the Public Utilities Commision:

I am opposed to AEP's plan to increase the *Customer Service Charge*. AEP should be encouraging energy efficiency, for the good of all people.

Thank you,

Meredith Berndt 205 Fauus Rd

Columbus, OH 43214

# To the Public Utilities Commision:

I am opposed to AEP's plan to increase the *Customer Service Charge*. AEP should be encouraging energy efficiency, for the good of all people.

Thank you, Charles Berndt 205 Failis Rd Columbus, of 43214

	November 8, 2014	
	Dear Public Utilities Commission of Obio,	
	I am a new resident to the state of	
	Ohio ad some everyy issues bour bun discussed with me nearly. I am	
	Supportine of a vertety of energy	
	sources ad upgrading our infrastructure ad distribution capacities.	
	What I am not in four of is AEP's	
	resistance to evolve and support renewable energy and energy effectively programs and I am opposed to their plan to increase	· ··
	the mandatory fixed fee called the "Customer Change".	
	Please support us local critizens by	
	Please support us local critices by addressing there issues,	
	Than you,	
<b>-</b>	Charles Houst	
	429 Ander Reh Columbus, O21 43214	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•	··-
· · ·	+	

-----

- -----

. .....

44

Public Utilities Commission,
I AM Weiting IN RESponse to case
13-2385-ESP. I STRONGLY appose
AMERICAN Electric Power's Plan to
RAISE RATES ON CUSTOMERS. AEP Should
Be THUESTING AND ENCOURAGING GESEN
Euregy such p3 solar & wind, not
Holding to a Linited RESource That Burns.
DUBS 31
Do not Ruse Rates and Runish
Customes who are REDUCING Their
sucery consumption.
I am getting older my frest are Du Their Latz 80's and LUZ on a FIXED
Meir Latz 80° and LUL on a fixED
income. This is AN UNACCEPTABLE Suggestion AND Should be stopped
2033521, on Man Drigot to Est 2186 65D
Paul Ayotte
526 BREVOORT RD
Columbus, 011, 43214
Ţļ

475 € 7 opnem ce 1 Cals OH 43214 13-2385-EJP

Hey Mojoks, Doubling cold on your Customers is lame. Your company Should find a way to be last of a moneyold and now communite one plant our people

> parce r lavo Malloon 105 Piedmont Columbus OH 43214

154 Piedmont Road Columbus, OH 43214-3812

November 11, 2016

**Public Utilities Commission of Ohio** 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

RE: case number 13-2385-ESP

Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

This letter is to encourage AEP to increase its renewable energy and energy efficiency programs. This will benefit our citizens, our nation, and the world as a whole.

I also hope that AEP will encourage energy efficiency and do so by structuring fees that will motivate consumers to use less energy consumption versus penalize them for doing so. This, too, is a benefit to consumers and the nation.

Sincerely,

Linda Wiget
1'54' Piedmont
Columbus OH

FINGPUCO ASE # 13-2385 -ESP
I hate dirty coal and thouse AEP
efficient programs. I don't want to be
punished and have to pay more for energy I don't use!
209 Piedmont
Columbus OH
43214
·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
_
ė,
<del></del>
<del></del>

ATTN: PUCO	
I don't Garrer with any " enstoner charge	4
F don't agree with any "customer charge AEP is going to force on me and my family AEP should focus on renewable energy and a not	
AEP should focus on renewable energy and anot	
dirty coal	
(ASE # 13-2385-ESP	<del></del>
269 Riedmont	
Columbus OH	
43214	
	<del></del>
<del></del>	
·	
·	

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad St Columbus, OH 43215

## Greetings,

I am writing to document my input in regards to case number 13-2385-ESP. I am opposed to American Electric Power's plan that will increase customer charges. I prefer to see a plan where AEP increases their investment in and support for renewable energy and energy efficiency programs.

Sincerely,

nax "Killup

53 E Torrence Rd Columbus, OH 43214-3819

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad St Columbus, OH 43215

## Greetings,

I am writing to document my input in regards to case number 13-2385-ESP. I am opposed to American Electric Power's plan that will increase customer charges. I prefer to see a plan where AEP increases their investment in and support for renewable energy and energy efficiency programs.

Sincerely,

Dankley Greg Kilcup 53 E Torrence Rd 43214 - 3819

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad St Columbus, OH 43215

## Greetings,

I am writing to document my input in regards to case number 13-2385-ESP. I am opposed to American Electric Power's plan that will increase customer charges. I prefer to see a plan where AEP increases their investment in and support for renewable energy and energy efficiency programs.

Sincerely,

RABY

RuthBritand S3 E Torrence Rd Columbus, OH 43214

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad St Columbus, OH 43215

## Greetings,

I am writing to document my input in regards to case number 13-2385-ESP. I am opposed to American Electric Power's plan that will increase customer charges. I prefer to see a plan where AEP increases their investment in and support for renewable energy and energy efficiency programs.

Sincerely,

KOKERT QUEBNAN

4576

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad St Columbus, OH 43215

## Greetings,

I am writing to document my input in regards to case number 13-2385-ESP. I am opposed to American Electric Power's plan that will increase customer charges. I prefer to see a plan where AEP increases their investment in and support for renewable energy and energy efficiency programs.

Sincerely,

BAKT LAMBERT Ohio Resident

43214

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad St Columbus, OH 43215

## Greetings,

I am writing to document my input in regards to case number 13-2385-ESP. I am opposed to American Electric Power's plan that will increase customer charges. I prefer to see a plan where AEP increases their investment in and support for renewable energy and energy efficiency programs.

Sincerely,

EWAN TODD Ohio Rosident 43214

Case # 13-2385-ESP

#### To the Public Utilities Commission:

I am very opposed to AEP's request to hike customer rates. They are doing this to make up for lost profits on coal. They should have planned for this years ago. Coal needs to stay in the ground. And old inefficient, filthy coal plants should have been shut down decades ago. Make AEP commit to investing in renewable energy and efficient energy. Then let's talk about rates.

Sincerely,

Kelly Smith 614-619-0264

kellybottsmith@gmail.com

241 Piedmonl

Columbus OH

43214

391 Piedmont Road

Columbus, OH 43214

8 November 2016

**Public Utilities Commission of Ohio** 

180 East Broad Street

Columbus, OH 43215

#### Dear Commission:

I am writing to you of my concerns regarding AEP's plan to more than double the customer charge, I am opposed. I want to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs. I feel strongly that AEP should be increasing their efforts to increase energy efficiency, and not punish customers who reduce their use. I care strongly about the environment and good stewardship of resources. I thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

The above concerns are about CASE # 13-2385-ESP.

Sincerely,

391 Pied work Columbus Off 43214

391 Piedmont Road

Columbus, OH 43214

8 November 2016

**Public Utilities Commission of Ohio** 

180 East Broad Street

Columbus, OH 43215

#### **Dear Commission:**

I am writing to you of my concerns regarding AEP's plan to more than double the customer charge, I am opposed. I want to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs. I feel strongly that AEP should be increasing their efforts to increase energy efficiency, and not punish customers who reduce their use. I care strongly about the environment and good stewardship of resources. I thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

The above concerns are about CASE # 13-2385-ESP.

Sincerely,

391 Piled mond Columbus Of

Wendy Kaiser & RJ Krohn 48 Amazon Place Columbus, OH 43214

November 17, 2016

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

RE: case #13-2385-ESP

To Whom It May Concern:

It has come to our attention that American Electric Power (AEP) has a proposal to increase mandatory residential fixed fees, i.e. "customer charge", that will result in a 119% increase. This increase typically effects low-use and low-income customers.

We are opposed to AEP's plan to more than double the customer charge, and would rather see AEP working to increase the availability of renewable energy. AEP should be encouraging and rewarding a customer's energy efficiency, instead of punishing them for reducing their use of energy.

At a time like this, when our planet and its people are in such a delicate state, we need to more forward toward sustainable energy, and companies that think of more than just profit. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely

Wendy Kaiser & RJ Krohn

Jublic extellites (constant ohio) My energy effectory should not be substitizing a material houseld. Phase review case number 13-2365-ESP and be not led the project the rates on the custome charge by really double. This is a monopoly and reeds to be regulated.

The phould be us my more renderables and charge efficiency programs. This charge discoveres every efficients. Swerely Jazz (Hastry 508 falls RA Columbus, OH 43214

November 17, 2016

Dear Public Utilities Commission,

I oppose AEP's plan to increase the customer charge for electricity (13-2385-ESP). Instead AEP should increase its focus on renewable energy and energy efficiency programs, which are important for the continued health of our environment.

Sincerely,

**Gretchen Armstrong** 

440 Fallis Rd Columbus, 0 H 43214

Dear AEP, and PULO
CASE #13-2385-ESP

I am concerned about plan to raise the customer charge. I appland your efforts Concerning renewable energy and hope there efforts will not be affected by the change of administration of ow country. I also hope you will not work to discourage the work that individuales undertake to reduce their energy use, including but not exclusive to, installing Solar panels, etc.

> Sincerely Total S Gas

> > 543 Arden Rd Columbus, OH 43214

	I'm opposed to AEP's plan to double the customer charge to account for the Price of coal. I want to see AEP increase Their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs.
	double the customer charge to account
	tog the price of coal. I want to see
	AER increase their renewable energy
	and energy erriciency programs.
	I do not have the option to use another provider. As such, I am counting on my representatives, to advance the couse of renewable
	another Provider. As such, I am
	counting on my representatives, to
	advance the couse of renewable
	resources.
	Reference case number 13-2385-ESP.
	THE PIPILE CASE HUMBET 13-200 LOVE
	^
	Regards
	Mitchel Garrison
	7400 Mobile Dr. Apt 22 Columbas, OH 43220
	Apt 22
	Calumbas Off 43220
,,,	

ARTHURY THANKS

167 Amazon Place • Columbus, Ohio 43214 • (614) 284-8521

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 E. Broad St. Columbus, OH 43215

November 17, 2016

RE: Case Number 13-2385-ESP

Dear Sirs:

I am opposed to AEP's plan to more than double the customer charge. I would like to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs. AEP should encourage energy efficiency, not punish customers who reduce their use.

Sincerely,

Anthony Dudzik

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

180 Eawt Broad Street

Columbus, OH 43215

To whom it may concern:

Rather than doubling the customer service charge, it would be good to see AEP doubling its efforts to develop renewable energy and energy efficiency programs. For many customers, doubling the service charge is a serious blow to their resources.

Ann Elliot

Case Number 13-2385-ESP

Attil Ellier
51 Amazon Pl
Columbus, OH 43214

PUBLIC VITLETICS COMMISSION

I WAS DESARROZUTED TO SEE THE

AER'S PLAN TO MORE HYAN POUGLE THEIR

CUSTOMER FERUICE CHARGE. I'M ALLO LOULD

REALLY LIKE TO SEE ADP INCREASE THETR

RENEW ABLE ENERGY & ENERGY EFFICIENCY

PRO GRAMM

THANKS

GRET CHEN GEYER

CASE # 13-2385-ESP 69 Amazon ()

Columbus, OH 43214

PUCO,

AEP Should encarage energy

elfuny, not punishing customers

uno reduce their use.

563 Arden Rd

Columbus, OH 43214

November 17, 2016 Public Utilities Commission of This 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 13215 Case Number 13-2385-ESP Bear Sirs; UEP should not double the luxtomer's charge of would like to see a Et increase their renewable energy and energy afficiency programs. Thank egger for your Consideration, Sincerely, Beverly Tinnerty 543 Arden Rd Columbus, OH 43>14

PUCO, CARLY 13-2385-ESP
WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE AEP'S INCREASE
THEIR RENEWABLE ENERGY AND ENERGY
EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS TO BETTER THE
PLANT FOR OUR CHILDREN. WE WANT
THE PLANT TO BE AS HEALTHY AS
POSSIBLE. AEP SHOULD BE ENCOUPAGING.
ENERGY EFFICIENCY.

JACH & MEGAN BATTY

557 PALUS RD COLUMBUS OH 43214. Dear PUC, ase# 13-2385-ESP/11/17/16 We are apposed to AEPs plan to double the consumer charges. We consumerly afford our utilities as is, nto increasing renewable energy programs for the salee of our planet and our grand children Jennifer Stungss Alex Benit Lauren Benit Columbis, Ohio

PUCO, case # 15-0000 company
THEN I HAVE NO RESPECT FOR THEM IT YOU
THEN I HAVE NO RESPECT FOR THEM IT YOU
THANK OF EUR ARTH YOU DON'T DECERVE MOTHEN

Bince RAY Lell JON

577 Fall 5 Pd Columbus, 64 48014 It seems like the same old Story of they want us to pay so they can make more money profits, we need clean water for the futcher of our children and energy all can afford its not a Luxery, when you are trying to do is wronge in so many ways. Hopefully the people can stand firm against this proposal

thank you

Doug Mood

572 Pallis Rd Columbus, OHUBALY Vablic Utilifier Commission -I am opposed to AEP's plan to more than double the customer Instead, AEP should encourage efficiency. I want to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs. 13-2385-ESP

TO: PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISION OF OHID
180 EAST BROAD STREET
COLUMBUS, OH 43215

RE: CASE NUMBER 13-2385-ESP

To ubjoin it may concean,

I'm concerned about AEP'S plans to more than double customer fees, as a means to offer the use of coal are an energy resource. I would return see AEP focus on implementing personable energy as a larger percentage of their energy passile.

and the second of the second o

Shecrely,

SAM MEISTER

208 GLENCOE RD

COLUMBUS, OH 43214

of the Alike Landing Males will be

This needs more affordable reliable afternative Prery We have relied an coal for too long and It is
damaging Our empirorment. A EP's plan to increase
costs to customers for no reason often than to subsective the
Lacreary sprifits from coal are deplarable. A EP should be
breasing the use of alternative energy such as wind, solar, on I
rucked power. They should be note officient and Obrogens
should it have to bail them out, please review
(ase number 13-2385-ESP and do not allow A EP
to turn to Ohio over the five.

5 incorely,

Jereny Adrons 508 Palos Rd

Calumbrs, 04 4824

Bruce Burns 172 Orchard Lane Columbus, Ohio 43214

November 1, 2016

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad St. Columbus, Ohio 43215

RE: Case Number: 13-2385-ESP

I'm sure you've heard this before, but when the State permits a utility, such as AEP, to have a monopoly in an area of the state or the whole state, we count on PUCO to represent the consumers.

We live on fixed incomes and gee, I sure would like to own a company where I get to charge more for selling less of a product.

AEP should be encouraging energy efficiency. I can't tell you all the energy-savings improvements we made to our home. What good does it do when AEP just takes our savings away by charging more.

Do the consumer a favor, please?

Yours,

Bruce Burns

	Public Utilities Commission of Chiro 180 East Broad Street
	Phose (Holitie) Commission of Chio
	180 East Broad Street
	Coumbus, Chio 43215
	To Khom & May Concern,
<del></del>	
· <u>·</u> ··································	Mearwing case number 13-2385-ESP,
	1 Down ALDS Dlaw to more (1800)
	duste the wormer charge on the monthly bill. Do more flat monthly charges and no more flaging the customers.
<u></u>	monthly bill. Do more flat monthly
<del></del>	charges and no more gayging the
	customes.
	KIEP Shald be more of a leader in
	pagrams. It's time to step up and
	programs. It's time to step up and
	read the course for a greener tomaraw.
<del></del>	Sincerely,
	Lua Marie Vagy
	A -
<del></del>	217 W. Dunedin
	Columbus, ott
	·

1;

I'm an AEP customer & oppose AEPs plan to double the Customer Charge. I want to see more renewable energy + energy efficiency. Please help take care of my Earth! Our oceans are suffocating. Yours Kate Curlis-West 109 orchard Lane Columbus, Uhlo PS-In regards to 13-2385-ESP

57 Amazon Place Columbus OH 43214 11/17/16

PUCO

Tear PUCO members,

I believe very strongly that AEP should be supporting increased use of atternative emergy. It is the future of the country regardless of climate varies. AEP should be supporting energy efficiency in order to conserve resources. There is no good reason for AEP to double the Centimer Change.

Senerely, David Eller Case #13-2385-ESP

Case # 13-2385-85P Mary M Reader 527 aran B Cals O. 43214 Public Utilities Commission alpung energetic woman lame les mas house todas to tele me about what is gaing on such the AEP and asked meto write this letter. Idon't want my electricall to excrease Morethan 119% sime wedowon affect encome and that mould be a problem for Me. Jales want AEP to increase renewable energy and energy efficiency programs so that our use of energy is efficient and not musting money. Case \$ 13-2385-E5P I went an energ efficient plan that Dones me money and provides clean air, Thank you Sincerely, Mary M Reeder

TO PULLO 1/1/10 1/1/10 1/1/10

No one wants their refleto rise especially when it il cost more!

Tang Waley

en 236W. Omedutel.

Margaret K. Burns R.N., C. M.S.

172 Orchard Lane
Columbus, Ohio 43214

November 1, 2016

RE Pulle Utilities. Commission of Ohio

Jam opposed to AEP's

yels N to more than

yels N to more than

could the customer

charge - Case Number

13-2385-ESP

Mørgaret Buse

November 1, 2016
To Whom It May Concern at the Public Utilities Commission:
I'm opposed to AEP's plants more than double the customer Charge.
I want to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs.
AET should be encouraging energy efficiency, not punishing customers who were reduce their use
CASE # 13-2385- ESP
Tom- howis
Columbus, Oit 43014
II

To the PUCO =

I am writing in regard to case number 13-2385-ESP. I am opposed to AEP's plan to more than double its fixed customer charge. Increasing a portion of the bill that remains the same regardless of how much or how little electricity you use is no way to encourage energy efficiency and reduction of energy consumption. As I understand it, AEP's perceived need to increase the customer charge stems largely on the company's heavy reliance on coal. I want to see AEP increase its renewable energy and energy efficiency programs, not charge everyone more in order to keep its coal plants running -Leslie Scott

Leslie Scott 69 Orehard Ln Columbus, OH 43214 Regarding lase Humber 13-1305-ESP

Dear Public Utilities Commission:

I would like for to know that ...

"I am opposed to AFP's plan to more than double their customer service charge

I would like to see AEP increase their renewable energy lenergy efficiency programs.

Thank for for four consideration.

Jenny Pitcher
171 Fallis Rd.
Columbus, 04 43214

In writing to encourage gow to oppose AFP's plane to double consumer changes to covertheir own short-sightedness.

The denies of cool as a long-term viable energy source has been obvious to many of us. AFP Stould HAVE SEEN THE WENTING ON THE WALL and should have notified their show hilders YEARS AGO. Using show holders PROFITS to begin transitioning to a more diversified, REDEWABLE enorgy model. Including the INFRASTRUCTURE

o I'm opposed to AEP's plain to More than double the customer charge · I want to see AEP increase Their renewable energy and enugy efficiency 13-2385-ESP

Columbus, OH4324

MANABush 487 Arden Rd Columbus, OH 43214

NOV 1,16 to PUCO: Do not allow AEP rate increases

They must increase renewable energy programs

Make AEP use their profits to fix their on Dann infastructure. That's what they are supposed to do Cindy Zed 614 596-1661 (ASE# 13-2345-ESP

PUCOTO

AFP Should be encorroging energy efficiency, not possishing customers who reduce their isc.

They should be helping consumes be educated in their energy usage and looking toward the future. Its someone who is investing in both elean energy and omergy efficiency I in being informly changed. How much less energy or more surgey efficient would I have to be to unker upother cut over the course of agent? Instead It is example adding a bill so may cost per year for my trables. These reconsider this charge and what it will do jo for meaning and what it will do jo for meaning customer as well. They down meaning there womes and being efficient home will this help.

- <del>- 47</del> /// /

re: case # 13-2385 - ESP

251 Orchard Ln. Columbus, off 44124

Public Utilities Commission of other 180 E. Broad St.
Columbus, of 43215

RE: CASE # 13-2385-ESP

AEP SHOULD BE ENCOURAGING ENERGY EFFICIENCY, NOT PUNISHING CUSTOMERS WHO REDUCE WELL USE.

> AMBER J. EKICKSON 225 ORCHARD LANE Columbus, OH 43214

JAMES GATCHEZL 3587 OLENTANGY DD COLUMBUS, OH 43214

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN C PUCO

RE: CASE # 13-2385-ESP

AS A RESIDENT OF OHIO, I WOULD LIKE
TO SEE SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS TOWARD INCREASING
THE EFFICIENCIES OF EXISTING POWER SOURCES
WHICH WOULD REDUCE COSTS IN RUNNING & MAINTAINING
THESE PACILITIES.

IN ADDITION, I AM AN ADVOCATE OF RENEWABLE
RESOURCES THAT FOR PRIMARY ENERGY SOURCES
AND EXPERT OHIO TO LEAD THE COUNTRY W
CONVERTING FROM THE "DIRTY" ENERGY TO THE
MOST COST EXPECTIVE "CLEAN" ENORY SOURCES POSSIBLE.

REGARDS, A-BSX

November 1, 2016 Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 E. Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

AEP already charged customers for the cost of doing business using coal powered electric plants. Customers should not be charged excessive fees for the way they chose to do business in the past.

AEP should be expected to move into the future increasing renewable energy sources & programs that incentivize efficiency on the part of customers to strengthen the power grid instead of punishing them for reducing their use of electricity.

Please hear my opinion regarding Case # 13-2385-ESP

Thank you trusans 2 appleate 56 w. Kenvorth Rad Columbus 0H43214

RE. CASE	#13-2385-ESP November 17, 2016
	I am opposed to AEP's plan
	to more than double their service
	Charge they should indrease their
	penewable energy and energy
	efficiency programs. They don't
	need taxpayer/consumer subsidies!
	Augustie S. Char 125 Amazon 81
	Columbus, OH 43214
_	

 Public Utilities Commission of this 180 Fast broad Street
 Columbus OH 43215
 November 1, 2010
he: Customer Charge Increase - AEP
 Dear Girs
It has come to my altention that AFP has recently come up with a proposal to increase the customer charge by 119% by 2018. It is my understanding that this charge does not take into consideration the customers actual energy consumption or efforts to reduce usage. with little income and a concern for blood warming I work hard to reduce my use of electrical and has consumption. I unplue my stove and microwave when not in use and turn down my water heater
to low after I shower I set my thermostat at 50°t in winter and do not
 have air conditioning. AEP should be
 not penalizing them.
 I would like to see AFP increase
their renewable energy and energy

efficiency programs.
Please do not let AEP punish their
concientious customers who work to reduce their use
10 reduce their use.
Thank you.
Kathy Scipione
<u> </u>
84 Winthrop Bodd Columbus Ott 43214
Columbus , OII 43817
Case Number 13-2385-ESP

Hannah Eigerman. 299 Piedmont Rd. Columbus, OH 43214

Public Utilities Commission of Oblo 180 E. Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215

1/8/16 Re: Case # 13-2385-ESP

To the Commissionero:

My family feels very strongly that
coal cannot retain the share of
our energy supply that it currenty—
let alore formerly—represents.

Renewable energy is the fixure and Ohio's workers and consumers deserve investment in new technologies and increased development of non-cool, and non-fraction, energy production. by planning to more than double The customer Change (case # 13-2385-ESP) AEP injures consumers, by making as Suffer financially and environmentally and by ignoring our need for more modern + efficient infrastructure. USP Should possition itself as a promotu of energy-efficiency, not a Stubborn defender D dinosaur coal

\* anachonissi energy models. Sincerely,

Public utilities commission of okio To whom it may concern