Case No.'s 13-1177-EL-BGN, 13-1767-EL-BSB, and 13-1768-EL-BTX

Ohio Power Citing Board

Ed Rogers's Petition for Intervening

Oct 19th, 2016 and Nov. 14, 2016

Dear OPSB,

I am still seeking intervener status as my letter from Oct. 19<sup>th</sup> had sought. I hope that I have attempted the correct path with this submission since Mr. Butler's correspondence on November the 4<sup>th</sup>. I would never want not to meet the strict standards, regulations, and policies that have been set by the OPSB. If for some reason I am still lacking with this submission, please alert me to what needs changed to meet it.

The following is a brief list of how Invenergy and Hardin Wind LLC have not met OPSB's policies, regulations, and standards but they were allowed to proceed. I not being any different from them, other than I live in Ohio and base my family here, should be granted intervener status under the same pretense.

- 1- O.P.S.B.'s own administrative code 4906-5-08 (C)(3)(d) stating a letter must be sent alerting all land owners within the proposed wind industrial complex of the O.P.S.B's hearing. These letters were never sent as the code states nor has the O.P.S.B. supplied the supporting evidence to explain why Invenergy never sent these required, informative announcements. The O.P.S.B.'s policy would have been followed, and Ohioans would have been allowed to have the due process which each citizen naturally has and is guaranteed by U.S Constitution.(Amendment V) They were allowed to proceed.
- 2- Invenergy and Hardin Wind LLC over looked entirely the local electrical Co-Op during their micro-wave report submitted to the OPSB and was allowed to proceed.
- 3- The OPSB then reopened the intervening process to make accommodations for this.
- 4- Invenergy and Hardin Wind LLC mistakenly listed me as a "participating property owner causing a closer set back of a turbine to my house but they were allowed to proceed.
- 5- The dwelling map submitted by Invenergy and Hardin Wind LLC did list my neighbor's house at all but was allowed to proceed.

6- The list goes on and on how Invenergy and Hardin Wind LLC have had a long train of regulatory abuses but have been given the green light to proceed.

I am seeking only to intervene so they HAVE to follow environmental regulations that the OPSB and the people of Ohio should count on from an industry which claims they are environmentally friendly.

Intervener status should be granted upon my past application letters were far less specific and I was still granted intervener status.

It should be apparent that if Invenergy can repeated ignore OPSB's regulations and requirements with their teams of lawyers and interns, than an everyday citizen should at least be helped through this process which was set up to protect the everyday citizen. OPSB cannot choose who proceeds with infractions and who cannot.

I should be designated an intervener to ensure an "Environmental" industry follows "Environmental" regulations.

Humbly Submitted,

Ed Rogers

## Certificate of Service

My first letter concerning intervener status was sent Oct. 19th, 2016

I hereby certify that I sent this correspondence by regular U.S. Mail on November 15, 2016.

Certified by:

**Ed Rogers** 

9247 CR 130

Kenton, Ohio 43326