Mr. Butler, We have written to you a while back, and you responded. Marcia & Jim Smith This is to certify that the images appearing are au accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician Date Processed OCT 0 4 2016

eline questiona



HAYNES GODDARD

Cincinnati. Haynes Goddard is a retired professor economics from the University of

ties is similar to the one that exploded in San Bruno, Calif., in 2010, run near residences, several schools, methane superhighway that would ume natural gas transmission line - a It's a 30-inch high-pressure and -volincinerating homes and eight people. he gas pipeline proposed by Duke Energy to go under Cincinnati area communiseveral highly populated

growth at all. A few simple observain part to meet expected growth in hospitals and emergency services.

Duke claims this project is needed centives matter, and that Duke's fieconomist, I start by noting that inown forecast, which projects no that rationale is contradicted by its nancial gain would seem to be the lerstand this contradiction. As an ions and deductions can help us unnatural gas demand in the region, but kely true motivation here.

First, with the greatly increased

excess supply of natural gas in that region. Because of insufficient pipeand Pennsylvania there is now an pipeline companies are trying to get approval from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) to sale prices and is keeping drilled pipelines that are being strongly op wells offline. There is money to be depressed regional natural gas wholeposed by communities they want to build new interstate transmission line capacity is increased. Several made by getting it to markets if pipe to export, this increased supply has line capacity to move it to market and drilling in the shale deposits in Ohio

eventually acts in ways that benefit project only, and approval is virtually guaranteed. "'Regulatory capture' The FERC process applies to inter-state natural gas pipelines and is comulating, rather than the public," acsenting this pipeline as an intrastate simpler and cheaper. Duke is repreapproval process is expected to be saved by getting approval now as an the industry it is supposed to be reg formed to act in the public's interest, Power Siting Board (OPSB), whose plex, so there is money and time to be Why is Duke apparently misleading the public about its intentions? intrastate line instead from the Ohio sappens when a regulatory agency,

and possibly up to four times that cording to Investopedia.
The messy detail in Duke's plan is profitable to Duke. struction costs low, while downplayprofit in the utility industry is compli-cated, so the shorter the transmission climate of deregulation, making a enable Duke to eventually ship gas to amount. This transmission line will that, according to Duke's calculations, ing the risk to the community, can be the Gulf region for export. In today's the current gas delivery to our area can serve at least the equivalent of the volume from this proposed line ine the lower the cost. Keeping con-

avoid payments for any input for We have regulation to prevent such also constitute such an external cos and which therefore cannot be traded property, as in the San Bruno case, on diesel emissions. Loss of life and environmental protection. These are in markets, such as public safety and which ownership is not established so cost-minimizing firms will seek to ducing costs of course raises profits, not party to a market exchange. Reternal costs falling on others who are the costs of any project: 1) private or internal costs to the firm, and 2) exfrequently ignored by business – think exploding Takata airbags in vehicles and Volkswagen's cheating Economists identify two parts to

> my and our collective welfare. improves the operation of the econolosses, and when it works, regulation

should not bear any of the costs of its interstate methane superhighway. zone" (2,000 feet on either side of the line). Ohio's natural gas ratepayers, safety risks and reduced property values within the potential "blast plus the external costs of health and the project, the private cost to Duke OPSB should approve only those prosafely routing it around them. The communities can be minimized by gas pipeline is indeed necessary, the distribution systems. If this natural presents to the densely populated through its electric and natural gas valuable service to the community jects that minimize the total cost of danger that Duke's proposed routing Duke, of course, provides a very

conversation of the justification of its gain. If this is not a correct conclucausing considerable ill will. It makes plan and engage in a transparent by releasing its detailed rationale and sion, then Duke should enlighten us transmission. But Duke seems to be take account of the full cost of gas nity for Duke to withdraw its plans more economic sense for the commufocused only on its private financial for high-risk interstate gas routes and Duke's behavior in this issue is

ENTITIES TO THE EDITOR