9-20-2016

FILE

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2016 SEP 29 PM 1:32
PUCO

(19)

TO: WHOM IT MAY CONCERN @ P.U.C.O.

I'M OPPOSED TO DAYTON POWER & LIGHT'S PLAN TO INCREASE THE CUSTOMER CHARGE BY MORE THAN THREE (3) TIMES. THE CASE NUMBER IS 15-1830-EL-AIR.

SINCERELY,

Edward J. Guerrero
1231 BENFIELD DR.
KETTERING OH. 45429

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician le Date Processed SEP 29 2016

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

I am opposed to DP+L's plan to increase the custome charge by more than 3 times.

I want to see DP+L increase their renewable energy & energy efficiency program.

Lisa Bratten
1106 Claybourne Rd.
Kettering, Ohio
45429

To : Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (180 East Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43215)

I want to address the case number 15-1830-EL-AIR. I am opposed for the plan to increase the customer charge. I am a supporter of cleaner energy and hope that that in the future we will use energy more efficiently. Energy efficiency saves money, in my household we are doing our best to be more efficient, we use LED lightbulbs, and cold water to wash clothes. Energy efficiency improves the economy because people can create new products. Energy efficiency is also important for the environment. This is why I do not support the plan to increase customer charges. I believe this inhibits our ability to make the change to cleaner energy use and eliminates an incentive to conserve energy.

Thanks,

Lauren Han

3830 Benfield Dr.
Kettering, OH
45429

TO PUCO

I'm opposed to Dayton Power & Light's plan to increase the customer charge by more than three times.
(case number 15-1830-EL-AIR)

Larry Tackett
1211 Clayborne Rd
Kettering, OH
45429

To PUCO

Case number 15-1830-EL-AIR

I am opposed to Dayton Power + Lights plan to increase the custom charge by more than 200%.

I want to see Dayton Power + Light increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs.

Sincerely,

Michael Stone

3805 Villanova Dr
Kettering, OH 45429

20 Sep 2016

PUCO
180 E BROAD ST
COLUMBUS, OH 43215

CASE NUMBER 15-1830-EL-AIR

I am opposed to Dayton Power & Light's plan to increase the customer charge by more than three times

Teresa Morgan
911 Hardman
Dayton, OH 45429

I am opposed to Dayton Power & Light's Plan to Increase the Customer Charge By more Than Three Times

Sean Wiltz
3820 Longridge Dr.
Kettering OH 45429
Case Number 15-1830-EL-AIR

Sean D. Wiltz

I do not want to see DP&L raise Customer Charges By more Than 3 Times Every time I look at my Bill I am paying More Money.

Andrea Russ-Wilz
3820 Longridge Dr.
Kettering, OH 45429

Case number 15-1830-EL-AIR

Andrea Russ-Wilz

Dear PUCO,

My name is Danielle Wilz I live at 3832 Longridge Drive in Kettering Ohio 45429. I'm opposed to Dayton Power & Light's plan to increase the customer charge by more than three times!! Case # 15-1830-EL-AIR!

Sincerly

Danielle Wilz

Dear PUCO,

My name is Shelby Combs I live at 3832 Longridge Drive in Kettering Ohio 45429 I want to see DP&L increase there renewable energy and energy efficiancy programs. Case Number 13-1830-EL-AIR

Sincerely,
Shelby Combs,

Untitled

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

I'm opposed to Dayton Power and Light's plan to increase the customer charge by more than three times. I think DP&L should be encouraging energy efficiency, not punishing customers who reduce their usage. Case number 15-1830-EL-Air .

Emily A. Omlor 20 Sep 16

EMILY A. OMLOR

3817 Villanova Ave.

Kettering, OH 45429

Untitled

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

I'm opposed to Dayton Power and Light's plan to increase the customer charge by more than three times. I think DP&L should be encouraging energy efficiency, not punishing customers who reduce their usage. Case number 15-1830-EL-Air .

Raymond A. Omlor 20 SEP. 16

RAYMOND A. OMLOR
3617 Villanova Ave.
Kettering, OH 45429

Case 15-1830-EL-AIR

PUCO-

I am opposed to Dayton Power and Lights plan to increase the customer charge by more than three times. Dayton power and light to increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs. If not for our generation, but the next.

Thank you,

3846 Merriget Dr
Kettering, OH 45429

To Whom It May Concern at PUCO,

I am very strongly opposed to Dayton Power & Light's plan to increase the customer charge by over 300%!

DP&L should be putting forth more effort to replace coal fired energy with an alternative source of power that is renewable and clean. At the very least, they should be investing in technology that cleans up the exhaust gases on their current technology until such time when clean alternatives are available.

DP&L's current intended rate hike does nothing more than punish their customers, many of whom, are already conserving and can ill afford the increased cost of living!

Sincerely,

Dolores J. Chandler

Dolores J. Chandler, 1025 Gardner Rd., Kettering, OH 45429

To Whom It May Concern at PUCO,

I am very strongly opposed to Dayton Power & Light's plan to increase the customer charge by over 300%!

DP&L should be putting forth more effort to replace coal fired energy with an alternative source of power that is renewable and clean. At the very least, they should be investing in technology that cleans up the exhaust gases on their current technology until such time when clean alternatives are available.

DP&L's current intended rate hike does nothing more than punish their customers, many of whom, are already conserving and can ill afford the increased cost of living!

Sincerely,

Beryl A. Chandler, 1025 Gardner Rd., Kettering, OH 45429

Sara J. Dittmar
1013 Gardner Rd
Kettering OH 45429-4521
20 September, 2016

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180n East Broad Street
Columbus OH 43215

Ref: Case number 15-1830-EL-AIR

Dear Sirs:

I am concerned about activities associated with Dayton Power and Light (DP&L) and some of their stated ongoing and/or future planned programs. Of specific concern are:

- DP&L's intention of having the "customer charge," which DP&L was recently forced to reduce back to 2013 (approximate) levels, only to request permission to re-increase those charges in the near future.
    - These anticipated fee increases, apparently, will re-introduce the fees (or charges) but at a level about 300% higher than they are now.
    - It seems that these fees are just designated as a general charge and levied before any other charges are listed. In my view, this is really punishing the people with lower incomes (and therefore required to self-deny themselves of adequate heating during the colder winter months). This seems to be even cruel punishment of those people who are not in a position to do anything about it.
    - It appears that these fees are flat fees levied on all customers equally regardless of how much product is actually used by the customer. This seems to me that by doing this the effect on the low income families is grossly unfair, like the point above.
    - It seems to me that the company should be required to show exactly where those dollars will be used and then be required to reduce those fees as soon as those expenses are satisfied.
- I would like to see DP&L explore reasonable ways to move to renewable energy as expediently as possible, along with converting the equipment that does use fossil fuels to extremely effective filtration, in order to be able to keep jobs in the parts of Ohio that mine coal and pump oil so those people are not devastated like they have been recently. That ***can*** be done as far as I can see.

Thank you for considering my thoughts.

Sincerely,

Sara J. Dittmar
Sara J. Dittmar

Robert A. Dittmar
1013 Gardner Rd
Kettering OH 45429-4521
20 September, 2016

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180n East Broad Street
Columbus OH 43215

Ref: Case number 15-1830-EL-AIR

Dear Sirs:

I am concerned about activities associated with Dayton Power and Light (DP&L) and some of their stated ongoing and/or future planned programs. Of specific concern are:

- DP&L's intention of having the "customer charge," which DP&L was recently forced to reduce back to 2013 (approximate) levels, only to request permission to re-increase those charges in the near future.
    - These anticipated fee increases, apparently, will re-introduce the fees (or charges) but at a level about 300% higher than they are now.
    - It seems that these fees are just designated as a general charge and levied before any other charges are listed. In my view, this is really punishing the people with lower incomes (and therefore required to self-deny themselves of adequate heating during the colder winter months). This seems to be even cruel punishment of those people who are not in a position to do anything about it.
    - It appears that these fees are flat fees levied on all customers equally regardless of how much product is actually used by the customer. This seems to me that by doing this the effect on the low income families is grossly unfair, like the point above.
    - It seems to me that the company should be required to show exactly where those dollars will be used and then be required to reduce those fees as soon as those expenses are satisfied.
- I would like to see DP&L explore reasonable ways to move to renewable energy as expediently as possible, along with converting the equipment that does use fossil fuels to extremely effective filtration, in order to be able to keep jobs in the parts of Ohio that mine coal and pump oil so those people are not devastated like they have been recently. That ***can*** be done as far as I can see.

Thank you for considering my thoughts.

Sincerely,

Robert A. Dittmar

Dear Puco,

I am opposed to DP&L's plan to increase the customer charge by more than 3 times. I would like to see them increase renewable energy and energy efficient programs. They should be encouraging energy efficiency, and not punishing customers who reduce their use.

Case# 15-1830-EL-AIR

Thank you,
Vicki Kzeh

3819 Gardenview Pl.
Kettering, OH 45429

Leslie A. Nagel
14 Patterson Road
Oakwood, OH 45419

August 13, 2016

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215

RE: Case No. #15-1830-EL-AIR

To the Public Utilities Commission:

I am writing to express my disagreement with DP&L's proposed increase to the standard customer charge by as much as 200% or more.

This increase reflects the utility company's focus on maintaining high profits, rather than focusing on programs that encourage energy efficiency and reduced energy consumption.

If fixed charges continue to increase, consumers will have no incentive to reduce their energy use. This is NOT good for Ohio.

Thank you for your consideration,

Leslie A. Nagel