BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of Rex
Froedge, Notice of
:

Apparent Violation and : Case No. 16-0869-TR-CVF

Intent to Assess Forfeiture.

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. James Lynn, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 1:00 p.m. on Thursday, September 15, 2016.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
            Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General
 2
            By Mr. William L. Wright, Section Chief
 3
            Public Utilities Section
            Mr. Werner L. Margard, III,
 4
            Assistant Attorney General
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
 5
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 6
                 On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.
 7
            Mr. Rex Froedge
                 On his own behalf.
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

		3
1	INDEX	
2		
3	Witness	Page
4	Trooper Brian K. Hann	6
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Margard Examination by Attorney Examiner Lynn	6 31
6	Further Examination by Attorney Examiner Lynn	68
7	Tom Persinger	21
	Direct Examination by Mr. Margard Direct Examination (Rebuttal) by Mr. Margard	61
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Froedge Redirect Examination by Mr. Margard	66 67
9	Rex Froedge	
10	Direct Examination	34
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Margard 	51
12	Staff Exhibit Identified Admi	tted
13	1 Driver/Vehicle Examination	
14	Report 8 3	33
15	2 Photographs 11 3	33
16	3 Notice of Preliminary Determination 24 3	33
17	4 Notice of Preliminary	
18	Determination 24 3	33
19		
20	Froedge Exhibit Identified Admi	tted
21	1 Indiana Certificate of	
22	Vehicle Registration 48 6	50
23		
24		
25		

1 Thursday Afternoon Session, 2 September 15, 2016. 3 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Let's go on the 5 record at this time. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 6 7 has assigned for hearing at this time and place Case No. 16-869-TR-CVF, in the Matter of Rex Froedge, 8 9 Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess 10 Forfeiture. I am Jim Lynn, the Attorney Examiner 11 assigned to hear this case. 12 At this time we will have the appearances 13 of the parties. We'll begin with the Ohio Attorney General's Office. 14 15 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor. On 16 behalf of the transportation staff of the Public 17 Utilities Commission of Ohio, Mike DeWine, Ohio 18 Attorney General; William Wright, Section Chief, 19 Public Utilities Section; by Assistant Attorney 20 General Werner L. Margard, 30 East Broad Street, 16th 2.1 Floor, Columbus, Ohio. 2.2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you. 23 And, Mr. Froedge, if you would indicate 24 your name and address, please. 25 MR. FROEDGE: Rex Froedge, De Motte,

Proceedings

Indiana, 9043 Hensley Drive.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you. All right. As far as our proceedings today, we will first hear from the staff, Mr. Margard and his witnesses. And, Mr. Froedge, you will have an opportunity to state your opinions as well.

MR. FROEDGE: Right.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: With that in mind, Mr. Margard, would you like to call your first witness.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor. I would call Trooper Bryan Hann, please.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Hann, could you couple up to the witness stand, raise your right hand, please.

16 (Witness sworn.)

17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you. Have 18 a seat.

And, Mr. Margard, please continue.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor.

_ _

2.1

TROOPER BRIAN K. HANN

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 By Mr. Margard:

1

4

6

7

16

17

18

- Q. Good afternoon. Please state your name and business address for us.
- 8 Α. My name is Trooper Brian K. Hann. I'm assigned out of the Motor Carrier Enforcement out of 9 10 the Bucyrus District Headquarters. My report 11 location is the Ohio Turnpike Post 90, the milepost, 12 which is rated Exit 118. We do have a P.O. Box if 13 you want that, P.O. Box 524. We don't get mail 14 delivered there but there is no number to the 15 address.
 - Q. Fine. Thank you. And you are employed by the State Highway Patrol --
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. -- motor Carrier Enforcement Division?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And what are your duties and responsibilities in that position?
- A. I am -- I handle mostly roadside
 inspections on commercial, Hazmat certified, I check
 Hazmat vehicles. I can do level III, level II, level

- I inspections. I look for violations on commercial
 motor vehicles and conduct inspections on roadside.

 I also carry on with my trooper duties enforcing the
 laws around handling crashes. I even do educational
 stuff where I travel to trucking companies or
 something and talk to drivers about safety and stuff
 like that.
 - Q. How long have you been at the Motor Carrier Enforcement Division?
 - A. I am on my second year.

2.1

- Q. And do you have other prior experience with the Patrol?
 - A. Yeah. I've been with the Patrol over 22 years as a trooper on the road. I've worked side by side with other motor carriers before I actually got into this position.
 - Q. Now, this was something for which you had to obtain additional training, additional certification?
 - A. Yes. I had to go through I think it was six different classes through our academy. We are trained by outside certified instructors to get certified in AB and then all the different Hazmat certifications.
- Q. Thank you, sir. Trooper Hann.

- A. Yep, yes, sir. That's all right.
- Q. Were you on duty on February 4 of 2016?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. And in the -- what was your job assignment on that date?
- A. I was on patrol doing motor -- commercial motor vehicle inspections.
 - Q. In the course of your duties on that date, did you have an opportunity to inspect a vehicle being operated by Mr. Froedge?
- MR. MARGARD: Am I pronouncing that correctly?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And as a result of that inspection, did you have occasion to generate an examination report?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And, sir, do you have an independent recollection of that inspection? Do you remember that inspection?
 - A. Yes, I do remember quite a bit of it.
 - Q. Okay. Very good. Thank you.

 MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, may I approach?

 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Yes, you may.
 - Q. Trooper Hann, I have given you a two-page document marked for purposes of identification as

- Staff Exhibit No. 1. Can you identify that document for me, please.
- A. This would be a copy of the Driver/Vehicle Examination Report which I initiated and completed.
- Q. This was prepared by you; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And do you have any -- have you reviewed this prior to testifying today?
- 11 A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And do you have any corrections or changes to make to this? Does this accurately reflect your inspection on that date?
- A. From what I can -- this copy looks a little different than the copy I reviewed. For some reason at the bottom "Special Checks" shows up a little different on the way mine prints. But, no, no, it looks like all the violations and stuff. Yes, it looks like the report I submitted.
- Q. The actual submission that you refer to is done by computer; is that correct?
 - A. Computer, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, can you tell me what caused you to inspect Mr. Froedge's vehicle on this date?

- A. Our dispatchers gave out a call of a vehicle towing another vehicle, commercial vehicle, and that people felt that it was unsafe. There was parts flopping around and possibly in danger of falling off the vehicle being in tow.
- Q. I note in the "Inspection Notes" on the first page of Staff Exhibit 1 it says that you received a complaint and that's the complaint to which you are referring?
 - A. Yes, from our dispatch.
- Q. Okay. And you were able to locate and identify the vehicle and pull it over for inspection.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. The report indicates that you performed a level II inspection; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And what does that entail, please?
- A. Level II is I am going to check all the documents, paperwork. I am going to do they call it a walk-around. I'm going to check lights, fire safety, both the extinguishers and triangles. I'm going to check the driver's log, his registration. I am going to run the DOT numbers to make sure that they have operating authority and also check load securement.

- Q. Now, in the course of your inspection, you had occasion to take photographs?
 - A. Yes, I did.

MR. MARGARD: May I approach, your Honor?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Yes.

- Q. Trooper Hann, I have handed you a multi-page document that I have marked for purposes of identification as Staff Exhibit No. 2. If you would review that for me, please, and tell me, if you will, if these were the photographs that you took at the time of your inspection.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And these accurately reflect the documents and the scene as you recall it; is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. I am going to ask you to turn back to the examination report, if you would, please, sir, and direct your attention to the "Violations" section.

 And generally what goes into this section as you are preparing your report?
 - A. This -- when I do my inspection reports, if I have a violation either on the driver, the truck, or the trailer, if there is a trailer involved, I will document the violation in this -- in

this section, and usually if it needs a little more explaining, there's a section where I can type in an -- a further explanation.

- Q. And so you would type in the violation code or the section code and then it populates?
- A. Yeah, it will populate like a general, I believe -- I don't know -- like a general violation.

 And then if it needs a little more explanation, there is another section that I can type in a little more to clarify what the violation is.
- Q. And you indicate the unit -- unit 1 in this case is the vehicle and the D designation is for the driver; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Let me -- let me direct your attention to the specific violations and let's start with the first one. It's denoted 385.337B. Do you see that reference?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And can you tell me what this violation is and how you determined that the company was in violation of that section?
- A. The violation is "operating in violation of the FMCSA operational out of service order for failure to permit safety audit." How I come about

program. It's supposed to be real time. It will show up -- it's usually I think in red it will show up that there's an issue with the operating authority. Then I can go to actually the FMCSA website. I'll open that and double -- dealing with something as serious, I will double-check. I will run that and confirm it. There is also an 800 number which can be called to speak to someone directly that can check I think in your office. I am assuming they have an office that I am calling, and they can verify what I am requesting at the time.

- Q. Okay. If I ask you to turn to Exhibit 2, the photographs, on page 7, you refer to the DOT number. That's the number that's displayed there; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And that's the number you would have put into the Aspen program.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And what did you discover when you did that on this date?
- A. Well, I can remember the Aspen program showed up red, and it had operating authority issue. So then that's when I went over to the FMCSA website

and ran the same number and got the same out-of-service violation.

2.1

- Q. And do you know why the company was placed out of service?
- A. Other than what it says here, failure to permit a safety audit. I would -- I remember talking to Rex about it, and I think he made a call to someone back at the office, but I was going off of what I had in front of me at the time.
- Q. Okay. Do you know what the safety audit refers to here?
- A. To me I think -- the safety audit I believe is what's done out in the field where an inspector goes to like the trucking company or something and checks their paperwork and makes sure they have everything in order, if I am not mistaken.
- Q. So this would indicate that it either had not been done or was not timely done in some fashion?
- A. From what I -- from the knowledge I would have there at the side of the road, yes.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Let me direct your attention to the second violation which is the failure to secure load. Now, I'll note for the record that no forfeiture is being assessed for this violation but how did you determine that the company

was in violation of this section?

2.1

A. When I was doing my walk-around, there was an exhaust pipe that was on -- I would have to look at the picture -- the one side, it was just sitting there flopping, and at the time it only had one bolt.

Now, the photos were taken after the driver had an opportunity to put some straps on it, I've learned now before, I take pictures prior to letting somebody, but he had put that red strap on. It was just sitting there moving around freely. I think there was like one bolt holding it on. To me it was in danger of working itself loose and falling off because it was moving back and forth when I pulled him over. There's also a picture of a fairing there with a strap on it that was sitting there flapping vigorously in the wind as he was going down the road.

- Q. And we are referring to the photographs --
 - A. Page 6.
 - Q. -- page 6?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And you are indicating that the red strap was added after your inspection?

A. Yes. It was added as I was doing my inspection. I brought it to the driver's attention, and he wanted to make it right. He got out and put some straps on it.

- Q. Was the yellow strap added as well?
- A. Yes.

2.1

Q. Okay. Thank you.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Trooper Hann, a question. It looks to me as though if you go to page 5 of Exhibit 2, is this a tractor? What I would describe as a tractor hauling another tractor?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I think he has -- he has like I think it -- a fifth wheel type tow device and this was a -- I mean, it looked like either a wrecked or one that needed repaired or something.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: All right. All right. Thank you.

Mr. Margard, back to you.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor.

- Q. (By Mr. Margard) Trooper Hann, let me direct your attention now to the third violation noted there, the Section 392.2, and that's a failure to pay UCR fee. Do you know what the UCR fee is?
 - A. Unified carrier registration fee.
 - Q. And how did you determine that the

company was in violation of this section?

A. It's also the same when you run the DOT number on the Aspen program when you go all the way to the bottom, UCR section, and you can check to see if they have paid the UCR fee for that year.

I also -- if there is an issue with that,

I will go to the FMCSA website, and when you bring it

up, there is another tab you can click on for UCR and

it will tell you whether or not they have paid for

the operating year you are dealing with.

- Q. And you would have done that in this case.
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. And you would have confirmed that, in fact, the UCR fee had not been paid.
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Thank you. Direct your attention to the fourth violation. This is the driver violation, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. This says the "driver failing to retain previous 7 days records of duty status." How did you determine that Mr. Froedge was in violation of this section?
- 25 A. I asked him if he had a logbook, and he

produced a logbook for me to inspect. According to my picture, I think I also documented there, not current. On 1-30 of 2016, 10 a.m. off duty was his last entry that I had to inspect in front of me.

- Q. And that's demonstrated by the -- the --
- A. The pictures which I took.
- Q. Okay. Very good. Thank you, sir. The next violation is an "inoperable required lamp."

 Now, there are two such violations, one for a towbar behind the vehicle and one for a left front turn signal.
 - A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. How did you determine for each of these that the company was in violation?
- A. During my Level II or walk-around inspections, I have the driver -- I do a light check. I will have him operate the turn signals, brake lights, anything that's required, high beams, low beams, marker lights. On the left rear of the towbar when he put on his left rear signal, it didn't flash. It didn't operate. According to the Federal Motor Carrier out-of-service guidelines, any inoperable lights in the rear, turn signal left or right is an out-of-service violation. The inoperable light on the front did not work but that is not an

out-of-service violation according to the out-of-service criteria.

- Q. The out-of-service violation is noted in the column that says "OOS." That signifies out of service, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. And so there is a yes for the rear light and an N, no, for the front turn light, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then the final violation is for an unsecured fire extinguisher. Can you describe for us how you determined the company would be in violation of this section, please?
- A. Same thing, when I am doing my inspection, I'll ask the driver if he has his fire extinguisher. I will check to see, one, it has a charge; and, two, that it's safely secured within the cab. I also ask for triangles and stuff like that.
- Q. And his fire -- he had a fire extinguisher, yes?
- A. You know, I specific -- I think it was just -- yeah, I think he had one, but it was just sitting on the floor of the cab. I specifically don't remember the specifics on that one.
 - Q. Okay. That's fine. Thank you. And

after you've completed your report, do you print this out and give a copy of it to --

- Α. Yes. I will go up to the driver and say these are the issues that we are dealing with, and then I will usually tell them what the course of action is going to be. If it's going to be an out-of-service violation, especially up on the turnpike, I will usually escort them to a safe location before I place them out of service.
- And do you recall whether you did this in Q. this instance?
- Α. I took Exit 110 which is State Yeah. Route 4 just to the north there, there is a Strawberry Hill gas station with a large gravel parking area.
- MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor. I 16 17 have no further questions for the trooper.
- ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: I have no questions at this time, but you are under oath, so I 20 may ask you some questions later.
- 2.1 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes, sir.
- 22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Take your seat.
- 23 Mr. Margard, do you have any additional
- 24 witnesses?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

25 MR. MARGARD: Do you want?

21 1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Sorry. 2 Mr. Froedge, do you have any questions of the witness? 3 MR. FROEDGE: No, not at this time. 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you for 5 6 the reminder. 7 MR. MARGARD: Thank you. Thank you, your 8 Honor. I would like to call Mr. Tom Persinger to the 9 stand, please. 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Persinger, 11 please come forward. 12 (Witness sworn.) 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Please have a 14 seat. Thank you. 15 16 TOM PERSINGER being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 17 examined and testified as follows: 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 By Mr. Margard: 2.1 0. And if you would, please, sir, state your 22 name and your business address. 23 My name is Tom Persinger, business Α. 24 address 180 East Broad Street, 4th Floor, Columbus, 25 Ohio 43215.

- Q. Mr. Persinger, by whom are you employed and in what capacity, please?
- A. I'm employed by the Public Utilities

 Commission of Ohio in the Transportation Department
 in the Compliance Division.
- Q. And what are your duties and responsibilities in the compliance division?
- A. My duties include determining fines associated with roadside inspections.
- Q. And how long have you performed that particular job?
- 12 A. Over five years.
- Q. Prior to your testifying today, did you have an opportunity to review the Commission's file with respect to Mr. Froedge?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

2.2

2?

- Q. And did that file include the
 Vehicle/Driver Examination Report that was marked as
 Staff Exhibit 1?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And the photographs marked Staff Exhibit
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Would you please describe for us, sir, how a civil forfeiture is determined for violations

of Motor Carrier Safety Regulations?

- A. Forfeitures are determined after the inspection is uploaded from the inspector at roadside. They are imported into our system, and then depending on the violation that's written, many of the violations will have a particular dollar amount assigned to them based upon the group of violation that they are in.
- Q. Are you talking about a group -- there is some kind of a schedule or something that determines what the amount of the forfeiture would be?
- A. Correct. We generally follow the CVSA recommended schedule for fines.
- Q. When you say generally, your fines are, in fact, consistent with the --
- 16 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 17 Q. -- Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And this schedule is used on all civil forfeiture cases involving violations of the Motor Carrier Safety Regulations?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Consistently applied for all carriers and all drivers?
- A. For the most part. There may be small

variability like history that are taken into account but generally it's across the board the same sort of fine associated with each violation regardless of carrier.

- Q. Were any of those variables or issues involved in this case?
 - A. No, sir.

Q. Thank you.

MR. MARGARD: May I approach, your Honor?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Yes.

- Q. Mr. Persinger, I handed you two documents, one marked Staff Exhibit 3 and one marked Staff Exhibit 4. They are both dated March 23 of 2016 but are different in content. Do you recognize these documents?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And are these documents part of the Commission file with respect to this case that you reviewed prior to testifying today?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Let's -- let's take these one at a time,
 if we can. Let me ask you if you would please refer
 to Staff Exhibit No. 3 and tell me what this document
 is, please.
- 25 A. This document is a notice of preliminary

determination letter.

1

2.

3

4

9

10

15

16

- And this was directed to whom? Ο.
- Α. Mr. Rex Froedge.
 - Froedge, I think, is --Ο.
- 5 Α. I apologize. I am not good with 6 pronunciation.
- 7 I am too if I mispronounce so. And these Ο. violations are -- I note it has a case number with a 8 C following it.
 - Α. Yes, sir.
- 11 And that stands for carrier; is that Ο. 12 correct?
- 13 Α. Correct. These have violations that are 14 attributed to the carrier/company's record.
 - Ο. So those violations denoted by unit 1 on Staff Exhibit 1, the inspection report?
- 17 Α. Correct.
- 18 Okay. What I would like to do is take a Q. 19 look at these specific violations and ask you about 20 the determination of these -- these assessments, if I 2.1 can, please. So let me direct your attention first 2.2 to the one that is coded 385.337B. Do you see that 23 reference?
 - Α. Yes, yes, sir.
- 25 Q. And that indicates it to be a group 4

violation?

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Can you briefly describe -- you mentioned the groups. Can you briefly describe what these groups signify.
 - A. Any of the -- depending upon the group of violations it is in, there can be differing -- differing levels of fines on it to take into account different levels of importance per violation since some violations are more irking, more serious than others.
- Q. And the forfeiture that is assessed here is \$2,750; is that correct?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And that's the amount that is specified by the schedule you used by the staff?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. The violation immediately following it, 19 the 392.2, also a group 4 violation.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And this is a failure to pay the UCR fee?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did Trooper Hann accurately describe what this is?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And the specified fee for this violation is \$500; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Now, there follow some totals and I note that there is a -- a group 0 and a total of no forfeiture for group 0. These are a number of violations for which no forfeiture is assessed. Can you please explain why that's the case?
- A. Based upon what's prescribed in the schedule, those violations were -- were deemed not to be at the appropriate level to place a fine on.
- Q. Okay. There is a line that says total of group 2 and \$50. And I presume that that refers to the code 393.9, "Inoperable Required Lamp" above?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I noted there wasn't something in that forfeiture column but that's what that \$50 refers to; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And so the total amount of the forfeiture in this case was \$3,300; is that correct?
 - A. My form is showing \$3,250.
- 23 Q. Oh, I'm sorry.
 - A. My Exhibit 3?
- 25 Q. Yes. That's for the total of group 4,

correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- A. Oh, for group 4, yeah, \$3,250.
 - Q. And \$3,300 for all of the violations.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Carrier violations. Glad we clarified that. Thank you. Now, in your opinion were these forfeiture amounts correctly determined?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. Let me ask you now to turn to Staff Exhibit No. 4. And also sent to Mr. Froedge but this is a case number that ends with the letter D denoting this is the driver violation, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. The violation that was noted by the letter D on Staff Exhibit 1, the examination report.
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. And there's a single violation here and it's the retaining duty status records and that's a group 1 violation, correct?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And the specified amount for that is \$100; is that correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And that is the total forfeiture that is being assessed against Mr. Froedge as the driver; is

that correct?

1

2

5

6

7

8

- A. Correct.
- Q. And in your opinion was this amount correctly determined?
 - A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. Thank you. Now, were -- based on your review of the file, did Mr. Froedge receive all of the notices that he was required to be provided under the Ohio Administrative Code?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you have before you Staff Exhibit 1, the examination report?
- 13 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Let me just briefly direct your

 attention, if you would, to the second page that is

 designated "Locally Defined Fields." Do you see that

 box?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And it notes that this was not a for-hire carrier.
- 21 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. So this is a private carrier; is that correct?
- A. That's what the inspection indicates.
- Q. Okay. Now, private carriers also have to

have DOT numbers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. Correct.
- Q. And am I correct when a carrier obtains a DOT number, that they are entered into the FMCSA New Entrant Program? Are you familiar with that program?
 - A. Somewhat.
- Q. And is it your understanding that the new entrants after they have been assigned a DOT number are required to undergo a safety audit?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that's true for all carriers whether or not they are private.
- 13 A. Correct.
- MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor. I have no further questions for Mr. Persinger.
- 16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Likewise,
- 17 Mr. Persinger, to the officer's -- Trooper Hann, I
- 18 may have some questions for you later. I have no
- 19 questions right now.
- 20 Mr. Froedge, did you have any questions 21 of Mr. Persinger?
- MR. FROEDGE: No.
- 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Persinger, 24 you may take your seat.
- Trooper Hann, if you could come back to

the witness stand. I do have a few more questions, okay? Thank you. Have a seat. You are already sworn in.

4

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

12

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

_ _ _

TROOPER BRIAN K. HANN

being previously duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified further as follows:

EXAMINATION

By Attorney Examiner Lynn:

- Q. Trooper Hann, I have a question. If you will go to Exhibit 2, page 7.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. It indicates F.R.C. Trucking and
 US DOT No. 2466775. When you did your check on -during the inspection, during the roadside
 inspection, okay, this is the US DOT you entered into
 your system?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And the name of the carrier, did it come up as F.R.C. Trucking? Do you recall?
 - A. I don't specifically remember. Sometimes it will come up like the specific name and then there will be a like they call dba name which might be the initials, but I don't specifically remember.
- Q. I was trying to figure out why it

- indicates F.R.C. Trucking in these photos as the carrier, I assume, associated with that US DOT, but the inspection report indicates Mr. Froedge is not only the driver but the carrier as well. That's why I was a little confused about that.
- A. Yeah. Sometimes you'll get like -- when you run it, you will have a specific name, a long drawn out name, and then if a company does the F.R.C., down below it will have the dba name, or I might have it backwards.
- Q. I see. I see. And it was your understanding in talking to Mr. Froedge that he was not only the owner of the vehicle but also obviously the driver as well.
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. I see. Okay. And you indicate here in your inspection report notes, it says, "Driver admitted the business he has is scrapping out truck parts," I guess?
- A. Yes. From talking to him that's what I had noted, that he had bought this truck to take it back to scrap it out and sell the parts or.
- 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. All
 24 right. Thank you. No more questions at this time.
 25 You can take your seat unless, Mr. Froedge, do you

```
33
 1
     have any additional questions?
 2
                 MR. FROEDGE: No.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. All
     right. I have no further questions of either of
 4
 5
     staff's witnesses right now. However, Mr. Margard,
 6
     did you have any additional questions?
 7
                 MR. MARGARD: I have no further
 8
     questions. I have no further witnesses. And, your
     Honor, I would respectfully move for the admission of
 9
10
     Staff Exhibits 1 through 4.
11
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Froedge, did
12
     you have any objections to admitting any of these
13
     exhibits into evidence?
14
                 MR. FROEDGE: Yes. I mean, my turn?
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: So Exhibits 1,
16
     2, 3, and 4, which were distributed to everyone
17
     including yourself, did you have any objections to
18
     admitting them into evidence?
19
                 MR. FROEDGE: No, no.
20
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you.
                                                      Then
2.1
     those will all be admitted into evidence.
2.2
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
23
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: And,
24
    Mr. Froedge, now, it's your opportunity to take the
25
     witness stand.
```

1 MR. FROEDGE: All right.

2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: If you would

3 | like to come up here, please.

(Witness sworn.)

5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. Thank 6 vou. Please have a seat and describe vour

you. Please have a seat and describe your perspective on any of these violations, what occurred

8 that day, and so forth.

9

10 REX FROEDGE

11 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was

12 examined and testified as follows:

13 DIRECT EXAMINATION

14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I do own F.R.C.

15 Trucking.

4

7

16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: You are the

17 owner of F.R.C. Trucking?

18 THE WITNESS: Yeah. And I admit the DOT

19 | number is out. It failed a safety inspection for

20 insurance. I had a woman come to my business and

21 doing paperwork, safety inspection. I was carrying

22 \$750,000. She thought I should care a million

23 because we are a bunch of ex-cons that I put to work.

24 | I ain't going to lie to you. At the time I had,

25 | what, six just got out of prison.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: You had six 1 2 employees or something? 3 THE WITNESS: Ex-cons. 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: They were your 5 employees. 6 THE WITNESS: Just got out and built a 7 trucking business. 8 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Help me out on 9 this, you made some reference to the US DOT number. 10 THE WITNESS: That's what it was. She 11 came out and seen us, what we were doing, picking 12 trucks up, coming to the yard and cutting them up, 13 and she thought we should have Hazmat control at the 14 yard. 15 Instead when she went back, she made a 16 report on my DOT that we should have Hazmat, you 17 know, pick up a truck we should have drained the oil 18 before -- that's what they name our businesses, you 19 know, you got to drain the oil and all the fuel out 20 and everything before you move anything. 2.1 what -- every week being towed, that's what's 22 supposed to be done. 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: This person that 24 came to your location. 25 THE WITNESS: She's a DOT lady.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Lady from the Federal Department of Transportation.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. But she wasn't part of picking it up. It was what we were doing after we got the business is what she was more or less looking at; you see what I mean? She didn't report and come back three months later that I have to have Hazmat and everything else. We're ex-felons. We can't have Hazmat; you know what I mean? You know that. It screwed up my DOT. All right?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: How do you mean exactly?

THE WITNESS: We can't -- I have got a company working on it and everything. It's costing me I don't know how much. We cannot get it straightened back out.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Help me out on this, Mr. Froedge. I'm going to ask you a few more questions, okay? Apparently you did register with the Federal Department of Transportation. That's how you got a DOT number.

THE WITNESS: Exactly.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Somebody --

THE WITNESS: I run a dealership. We

25 | started a dealership.

2.1

2.2

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Let's focus on 1 2 F.R.C. Trucking. Someone from the Federal Department of Transportation came to F.R.C. Trucking. 3 4 THE WITNESS: Right. 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: And they were 6 there to like inspect --7 THE WITNESS: Inspect the paperwork. 8 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: -- your 9 operations or something? 10 THE WITNESS: Inspect our paperwork and 11 everything like that. 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. And you 13 are indicating what did that person determine? 14 THE WITNESS: She indicated we didn't have enough insurance. She wanted me to carry a 15 16 million dollar policy. 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: All right. 18 Okay. 19 THE WITNESS: Which a million dollar 20 policy everybody knows is a little -- almost \$15,000 2.1 a year, and the truck we are using the year before come over here to Ohio going to Illinois we might 22 23 have put 2,500 miles on a truck. It's pointless. So 24 underneath my dealership on your exhibit right here I 25 picked these trucks up underneath the exhibit. I

knew the DOT was out. My truck was sitting here in Ohio because we ran back and forth across here to the same place he stopped me.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Froedge, you said you knew the DOT number was out.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

2.1

too.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: That is, you are indicating that someone came to your location -
THE WITNESS: Yes. She put us out of service.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: From the Federal Department of Transportation, she investigated whatever she was going to investigate. She was saying you needed more insurance.

THE WITNESS: Right.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: And because you didn't have the additional level of insurance at that time what were you told? Like don't do any more operations until you get that insurance or what?

THE WITNESS: No. I have a dealership

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: You have a

dealership. What do you mean exactly?

THE WITNESS: He ran me off my DOT. If

25 he would have ran me off my plates in the --

1 displayed in the windshield, it's right in your 2 picture, last picture, which is my dealer plates, my dealer plates are State of Indiana, I can go anywhere 3 with my dealer plates. I was picking my own property 4 5 up with my dealer plates. You see I had titles of both vehicles. 6 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: You are a dealer. 8 9 THE WITNESS: I have transports. 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: You are 11 referring to dealer license plates. 12 THE WITNESS: Right. There in the 13 windshield I have got them displayed. 14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Hold on. Now 15 you are referring to Staff Exhibit 2. 16 THE WITNESS: The last page. 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: The last page. 18 Hold on there just a minute. 19 THE WITNESS: I mean, the plates on the 20 truck are legal. They are legal. They still have

plates that was still -- wasn't expired. They are legal.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Whoa, whoa.

Help me out. When you say the dealer plates -
THE WITNESS: Dealer plates --

2.1

22

23

24

saying where -- are you saying that when you were -you have a tractor and you are towing what I can see
in the picture is another tractor, and you are saying
that this other tractor you use dealer plates like -THE WITNESS: I transport. I am an
exporter.

2.1

THE WITNESS: I do it every day on these plates. Now, I don't -- if I use the DOT, I have to -- if I buy a vehicle at an auction, underneath the DOT I have got to drain the oil out to transport it. If I run it underneath my dealer plate, I don't have to do it. Everything is legal and that's what I had displayed in the window. He took a picture of it.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. Hold on.

You are saying that you're a dealer, so you are a

dealer as far as do you restore these tractors?

THE WITNESS: I buy and sell.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: You buy them and sell them. Okay. So you are saying that you were towing a tractor then that you had bought at auction or something.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, right down the street

about 2 miles.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: And are you saying that you had bought it at auction, and you are a dealer of these?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. Most of the time they give you a license plate to leave with.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Well, hold on now. You had bought it and, therefore, what you are thinking you were not operating under the US DOT number then?

it's not required on my dealer plates to run a US DOT number. But to give you -- when you go through the scale house, you pull in there and they see you got your dealer's and everything you go through. But most of the time if I don't have it on there, they keep arguing I need a DOT. They said you have got -- everybody does it but this is -- this woman, she just didn't like us at all and, you know.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: So if I am understanding your comments correctly, what you are saying is that --

THE WITNESS: All I do is transport trucks.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Let me try to

summarize it because this is something that's unfamiliar to me.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

2.1

are a dealer and you buy these -- you buy these tractors and you apparently must restore them or something or maybe scrap them, either way. The point is you are saying you buy them and because of that you are operating -- when you tow them, you are doing so as a dealer of these vehicles that you bought.

THE WITNESS: Right.

saying that you -- therefore, you have a license plate from apparently your State of Indiana that says you are a dealer. But you are also thinking that when you do that kind of towing on these vehicles that you have purchased, that the US DOT number doesn't really apply under those circumstances; is that what you are saying?

apportion plate -- not -- apportion plates are on semis. They won't give you an apportion to go from truck to truck to truck. This truck is just being moved one way. I have got one way.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Applies under

1 apportion.

2.1

THE WITNESS: For semis that's being used daily. What I do is transport.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. But not as far as --

THE WITNESS: That's why you see my transports I have got both titles the way it states.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Hold on.

THE WITNESS: That's why we had copies of the titles.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Before we go any further with the point, I will tell the court reporter where you are looking at, okay? So we have Staff Exhibit 2, page 4.

THE WITNESS: Where I just purchased them.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: And let's see now, the upper photo it says State of Ohio salvage. The lower photo says -- it says assignment of ownership. Okay. So you are indicating what you towed. Then you purchased --

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I purchased it but my truck was sitting over here but I was pulling underneath my dealer plate instead of DOT so. I am not pulling for hire. It's all mine. There is no

1 hire. MC number doesn't apply if you are not pulling 2 for hire.

3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: And your MC 4 number is?

THE WITNESS: That's one of the charges.

The MC number doesn't apply to me because I am not pulling for hire. I don't have no authority to pull for hire. Never applied for it. I don't need it.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Okay.

THE WITNESS: As a dealer, I don't need it.

12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: You have a US
13 DOT number.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: And you --

THE WITNESS: I had the semi legal to

17 | pull trailers and stuff.

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: I see.

THE WITNESS: That's all I was doing with it and it was sitting over here in Ohio and she had -- you know, she sees us picking up trucks on a dealership and everything and yet she's like what do you do with this? Every once in awhile we pull trucks. She said if you pull something, it has to have all the oil out of it. I said have you ever

picked up another truck and tried to drain the oil out of it? She got real mad. I tried to talk to the woman.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: This is the -this is the inspector from the Federal Department of
Transportation?

THE WITNESS: It wouldn't help you are standing with -- a bunch of ex-cons are standing there. You know, we are a bunch of -- we have all been out, you know, spent a lot of time together. We have all been out. We started a business together, tattooed up and they were all hanging out.

again, you are a dealer in these kinds of vehicles that you bought. And apparently you sell them or scrap them. But what you are saying, help me out, you got the US DOT number because why again?

THE WITNESS: Just to have it.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Just to have it in case?

THE WITNESS: When you are -- when you are pulling trailers, you know, go after a trailer, whatever. I mean, I got 3,500 miles in a year and I paid \$7,000 and something in plates and insurance on it to have this woman walk in and destroy a business

by saying we are Hazmat. We're not. That's the whole argument with them. There is no -- these -- the officer knows this ain't a Hazmat control.

2.1

TROOPER HANN: I didn't -- I didn't inspect it as a Hazmat.

THE WITNESS: That's why my DOT is being out because they won't reset it for me. We've tried. Oh, my God.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: So your contention is that as a dealer --

11 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I am. I can pull

12 with my dealer plates. I can go to auctions. I go

13 to auctions all over.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: And so you're saying for -- if you go to an auction, purchase something as a dealer of these kinds of vehicles, you don't need a US DOT number.

THE WITNESS: No.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: But you did obtain a US DOT number at some point in time because you thought you might use it at some point later or something.

THE WITNESS: Pull semi trailers or something. You haul a load or something; you know what I mean?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Semi trailers be it private carrier or for hire?

2.1

THE WITNESS: Well, as he knows, if you pull a boat with a -- you got the vehicle loaded, the trailer loaded on the back, you got to have a DOT number; isn't that correct?

TROOPER HANN: What was the question?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Well, let's -
tell you what, we'll --

THE WITNESS: I'm pretty sure that's how that works.

12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. All 13 right.

Mr. Margard, have you heard any of this?

Do you need a few minutes to take it in and come up
with some questions?

THE WITNESS: I have got my registration and stuff right there, shows dealer plates. You can call the State of Indiana. There is no poundage or anything because you are moving equipment. You are not moving for hire. I go from auction to auction. I am in Pennsylvania and Ohio.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: You say you have your registration. Would you like to introduce that as evidence?

```
1
                 THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's our plates.
 2
     There's in -- I just renewed them.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: This is -- thank
     you. Now, this can be -- incidentally are these
 4
 5
     copies?
 6
                 THE WITNESS: No, those are not copies.
 7
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Those are not
 8
     copies, okay.
9
                 MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I can have one
10
     of my staff colleagues make copies.
11
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Why don't we go
12
     off the record a take a break for a few minutes.
13
                 Excuse me. Go off the record.
14
                 (Recess taken.)
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Back on the
16
     record.
17
                 Before we go any further we have what I
18
     will call Froedge Exhibit 1 which is his Indiana
19
     certificate of -- or vehicle registration. And,
20
    Mr. Froedge, you are indicating this registration
2.1
     shows that you are apparently a dealer --
22
                 THE WITNESS: Yeah, yeah. I didn't
23
     provide the dealer license.
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: All right.
25
     says -- on this it says "Dealer Sequential
```

Transport." 1 2 THE WITNESS: That's sequential plates. 3 There's four. ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: I see. Okav. 4 5 But the part that says "dealer," apparently does that 6 indicate in any way you are buying and selling these 7 vehicles that you say you are doing? 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 9 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. 10 THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's how they are 11 paid for. 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: And if I can --13 THE WITNESS: As a matter of fact, 14 there's insurance in there. We just paid the 15 insurance to renew everything about two weeks ago. 16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Off the record. 17 (Discussion off the record.) ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. Back on 18 19 the record, Karen. 20 Mr. Froedge, if I -- again, I was just 2.1 thinking of things you had said over the break. So what you are indicating is that issues that come up 22 23 with the U.S. Department -- with your US DOT number 24 because when someone from the Federal Department of

Transportation visited your location, there was

disagreements about whether or not you were transporting hazardous materials, that is, when you would, say, buy these tractors and tow them, that they didn't have oil drained out, gasoline drained out, and so forth.

2.1

THE WITNESS: No. Well, anything you pick up, even if I pick up at the auction, buy a truck at the auction and drive it back, I had to have oil. I told her you are not making no sense here.

It doesn't make sense for me to drain my -- you know --

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: You were disagreeing with the federal person on that matter.

THE WITNESS: Still are.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: And that's the explanation as far as issues coming up with the US DOT number. Despite that you are saying because you are a dealer in this type of business that when you were towing on -- on the date of the inspection, the fact you were a dealer and dealer license plates covered you completely and, therefore, the US DOT number didn't really matter on that date.

THE WITNESS: Right.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Margard, I believe you had some questions for the witness?

1 MR. MARGARD: I do, thank you, your

2 Honor.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

CROSS-EXAMINATION

5 By Mr. Margard:

- Q. Mr. Froedge, do you have the inspection report in front of you?
 - A. Yeah, right here.
 - Q. Thanks. I am going to direct your attention to the "Violations" section, and I just wanted to make sure that we are clear on some of these.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. You haven't said anything to contest the unsecured load violation.
 - A. Oh, the unsecured load it was kind of hard to say. It was a wreck. I mean, it was a mangled mess and I just picked it up not even 2 miles from where I picked it up and I pulled off to stop, tried to secure it. You know, you can see it was a rollover. When you pick up stuff and wind, they are all plastic, you know, you strap and you try to get them under control.
- Q. But you don't dispute the trooper's testimony.

- A. No, because it was flopping. I mean --
- Q. The failure to pay the UCR fee.
- A. That UCR fee is for somebody that's a hired company. I'm not for hire. I'm private. I do it all transporting, not -- I don't -- I am not hired out.
- 7 Q. So you don't pay a URC fee for any of 8 your vehicles?
 - A. I pay a fuel tax. I pay -- when I come in the state, I have got to pay your guys' fuel taxes I pay every month or every three months, you know, keep all receipts like that. It has to be paid.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And but we're not -- we don't have our own authority.
- Q. You don't dispute that the duty record was not up to date.
 - A. On this one there is.
 - Q. Or that the lights were out, inoperable?
 - A. It was the towbar, wasn't it?
- TROOPER HANN: The left rear towbar, yes.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Pushed all the way in,
- 23 | that's all.

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

- TROOPER HANN: It was out at the time.
- 25 A. Out at the time.

- Q. What about the fire extinguisher was not secured?
 - A. Yeah. Well --
- Q. You are not contesting those as violations.
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. So let's focus on the safety audit issue. On that same exhibit, on Staff Exhibit 1, the trooper noted that the safety audit was failed on April 22 of 2015. Does that sound accurate to you?
- 11 A. Right, right.
- 12 Q. So this is 10 months later.
- 13 A. Right.
 - Q. And during these 10 months, you have testified you have been trying to get the safety audit passed or tried to get the necessary paperwork, but you were not successful.
 - A. Right.
 - Q. So, in fact, you had a DOT number and you had failed the safety audit and you were operating despite having failed the safety audit, correct?
 - A. Right.
- 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Froedge, was
 24 that --
- THE WITNESS: That truck was sitting

- since my DOT number has been out over here in Ohio. It wasn't -- I didn't come over here and get it so that's why I come and picked it up on my transport one way plate. That truck was sitting in the same place. That's why I came with the title to it.
- Q. I understand. But you were operating that vehicle as part of your business then, right?
 - A. Well, I was bringing it back.
 - Q. For your business.
 - A. Right.
- Q. Not for personal pleasure. This is for your business.
 - A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. Your dealership.
- 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: And, Mr.
- Froedge, was that federal inspector at your offices
 because of this --
- THE WITNESS: No. It's one year, first
- 19 year.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Hold on just a
- 21 minute.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Initial thing.
- 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Was that federal
- 24 | inspector at your offices because they were under --
- 25 | they were implementing a safety audit; was that it?

55 THE WITNESS: That was the first 1 2 inspection you get one year after you get your DOT. 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: I see. Okay. THE WITNESS: I don't know. 4 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. 6 (By Mr. Margard) What we refer to as the Q. 7 new entrant audit. 8 Α. Yeah. 9 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. Thank 10 you. 11 All right. Q. 12 You can see I had all the paperwork. She 13 wanted me to have more insurance. 14 Let's take a look at this paperwork a Ο. 15 little bit. First of all, let me ask you to turn to 16 the packet of photographs and ask you to turn to page 17 3. And this is the registration for the cab that you 18 were driving at the time, correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Ο. This is an Indiana registration. You 2.1 were driving from Ohio; you were driving to Indiana, 2.2 correct? 23 Correct. The truck was here in Ohio. Α.

A. Right.

- Q. And this does indicate it has a DOT number on it, indicates motor carrier responsible for safety, designates your name with the dba, designates by the way apportioned license plate, correct?
 - A. Right.
- Q. That's the plate that was on the vehicle when you were stopped and inspected, correct?
- A. Right. But I should have took it off, but you can see the plate on the front grill. The one up in the windshield is where I have the plate.
- Q. I understand. But the plate that was on the vehicle was, in fact, the plate that matched this registration.
- A. I didn't have a screwdriver to take the thing off. He didn't run it by that. He ran it by the DOT. He didn't run it by the plate.
- Q. If I ask you to take a look at Staff Exhibit 1.
- 20 A. Right.
- Q. And if I ask you to look at the box
 labeled "Vehicle Identification," if I ask you to
 look at the license plate number there, that's the
 same license plate number that matched the
 registration that's on page 3 of Staff Exhibit 2,

correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

2.2

- A. Right, yeah.
- Q. And when you operate this vehicle, when you come to Ohio to use this vehicle, do you use it for purposes of hauling vehicles to Indiana?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Okay. Just one note with respect to the registration that you provided to us today.
- ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: It's Froedge Exhibit 1.
- MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor.
- Q. Froedge Exhibit 1, what -- tell me again what this registration is for.
 - A. For the dealer plates that were in the windshield of that truck.
 - Q. Oh, it was the plate that was in the windshield of the truck. And this registration is dated August --
- 19 A. That's a new one. I just upped it the
 20 other day. It never ran out. I have never let them
 21 lapse.
 - Q. You don't have the registration --
- A. The old one? The old registration? I

 don't know if we have got it in that bag down there

 or not. It never ran out. If you ever let them run

out, you would be forever to get one back. They just -- I renew them all the time.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Off the record just for a minute.

(Discussion off the record.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: We are back on the record.

THE WITNESS: But you can see this truck was -- even though the DOT was out this truck was still fully registered, fully insured. I had between them plates -- the dealer plate right there I carry \$750,000. I carry 750, two different policies. It was over 7,000 some dollars worth of insurance, but I didn't have enough. How much more do you want to pay, you know? Now, I run strictly on my dealer tags. I don't run on nothing else but that dealer tag. I pay only 35 something, you know, without this truck. Why keep a truck legal to do the same thing I don't even need it for, the same business without that? That's why I was picking it up on my dealer tag. The State of Indiana there is a law I can go anywhere on that tag.

- Q. And you weren't in Indiana at the time of the inspection, were you?
 - A. I go all the way to Pennsylvania. I am

over here two or three times a week. I come through the scales on dealer tags. I go to Illinois. I go everywhere. Stop at your scales. As long as you got your titles with you and that dealer tag, you roll and I had it displayed. I am going to tell you he did get me on my logbook because I am sloppy on that. I'm the worst.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: So really the only violation you are dis -- disagreeing with is this matter of the safety audit?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, DOT, yeah, but, you know, I could have been more about straightening the truck up but it was mud. There was everything on that truck. It was -- I bought it online, sight unseen. When I picked it up, my truck was right down the road, so I picked up another one to take with me, pay for the trip.

MR. MARGARD: I don't have anything further. Thank you.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Okay.

Mr. Froedge, you are indicating that your dealer plates -- you have this plate which was in your windshield.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, one on the back and one on the front. Two of them, one on the front and

	60
1	one on the back.
2	ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you. You
3	can take your seat for a minute. Before you go,
4	Mr. Margard, is there any objection to admitting
5	Froedge Exhibit 1 into evidence?
6	MR. MARGARD: I'll only note I don't
7	think it's relevant and certainly isn't relevant to
8	the time of the inspection since it postdates it.
9	ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. Well, we
10	will admit that into evidence then.
11	(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
12	ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Froedge, you
13	can take your seat. Thank you.
14	MR. MARGARD: Your Honor?
15	ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Yes.
16	MR. MARGARD: I would like to recall
17	Mr. Persinger on rebuttal.
18	ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Oh, please, go
19	ahead. Thank you. Mr. Persinger, you were already
20	sworn in but thank you.
21	
22	
23	
24	

TOM PERSINGER

- being previously duly sworn, as prescribed by law,
 was examined and testified further as follows:
- 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 5 By Mr. Margard:

- Q. Mr. Persinger, you were present during
 Mr. Froedge's testimony, correct?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And you heard his explanation and his justification for his conduct on the date of the inspection.
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Let me ask you a few questions and see if you can help clarify some things for us.
- 15 A. Absolutely.
- Q. First of all, you understand that
- 17 Mr. Froedge was operating this vehicle as part of his
- 18 business.
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. That he was doing so in interstate
- 21 commerce.
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Do you understand that he had an apportioned plate on the vehicle at the time it was
- 25 stopped and inspected?

A. I believe so.

2.1

- Q. He indicates that he also had a dealer plate that he had in the window. Can you observe that in the photographs? Have you had a chance to observe that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And of what relevance is that dealer plate in your opinion?
- A. The plates have absolutely no -- no bearing in regards to the out-of-service violation.
 - Q. And why is that?
- A. Because it's based upon the nature of the business that's going on here where it appears that he's hauling materials for his own business or hauling this other -- this other truck or the truck needing repaired and that's part -- it's part of his business which it appears to be he is operating as a private motor carrier since he is hauling -- hauling product strictly for his own business interstate, across state lines.
- Q. Let's talk about the cargo, if you will, that he is hauling. He was pulling a truck, a vehicle.
- A. Uh-huh.
 - O. And there's been some discussion here

today about hazardous material insurance and so forth.

A. Uh-huh.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Of what relevance is it that he was hauling a truck?
- A. Automobiles on the hazardous materials table, they are considered a -- what's called a class 9 Hazmat where an easy way of describing it is class 9 is not required -- required to follow everything that's required for hazardous materials. But some of the requirements are still applicable. Like, for example, you don't need a Hazmat endorsement to move a class 9 material.
 - Q. When you say Hazmat endorsement, are you talking about on the CDL?
- A. On the commercial driver's license, yes, sir.
 - Q. Would that have nonetheless been relevant for the safety audit?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. That hazardous insurance because they are dealing with hazardous materials would, in fact, have been required.
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And so is it your opinion that this

inspection could have been conducted as a hazardous materials inspection?

A. Yes, sir.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Mr. Froedge indicated he failed his safety audit because the inspector was requiring him to have additional insurance for hazardous materials purposes.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Does that sound like an accurate description to you?
 - A. It does.
- Q. And he characterized or demanded that he carry a million dollars' worth of insurance. Does that sound accurate, or do you know how much insurance he would have been required to carry?
- A. Yes, sir. If you look in Section 387 of the Federal Motor Carrier Requirements that cover insurance, generally if you cover nonhazardous material cargo, generally you are required \$750,000 worth of insurance. However, for lower level Hazmat \$1 million of insurance is required there.
- Q. Okay. Now, you also heard Mr. Froedge talk about how routinely dealers in vehicles such as he was suggesting the case today routinely operate across the state and between states simply on dealer

tags.

1

2

7

8

9

14

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Is that your experience? Do you understand that to be the case?
- 5 A. I'm not entirely familiar with his 6 industry.
 - Q. Is the fact that he, in fact, registered with the DOT and had a DOT number the determinative factor here?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Because he was DOT registered, because he was operating his business with DOT-registered vehicles, that's the crucial consideration.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. You also heard Mr. Froedge discuss the UCR fee.
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Explain to us again what the UCR fee is.
- A. Unified carrier -- UCR is called unified carrier registration which is a required registration for all commercial motor vehicle companies, carriers regardless, if they are for hire or private, they are required to register for the UCR.
 - O. This is all commercial vehicles?
- 25 A. Interstate, yes, sir.

66 O. All interstate commercial vehicles must 1 2 pay a UCR fee. 3 Yes, sir. Α. ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: And, 4 5 Mr. Persinger, that would be true whether they are for hire or private. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Correct. ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: For hire or not 8 for hire. 9 10 THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. MARGARD: I think that's all the 11 12 questions I have for Mr. Persinger. 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you, 14 Mr. Persinger. 15 Mr. Froedge. 16 MR. FROEDGE: I have got a question. 17 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION By Mr. Froedge: 19 20 Q. You talk about the MC number, right? You 2.1 have to have your own authority to get that; am I 2.2 correct? 23 A. Are you referring to the motor carrier 24 number? Q. Yeah. 25

67 The motor carrier number --1 Α. 2 That's the DOT number, the MC number. Q. 3 A. The MC number, that --You have to have your own authority to 4 Ο. 5 acquire that. For MC number it is my understanding that 6 Α. 7 is only required if you are considered a for-hire carrier. 8 9 Ο. Right. That's why we don't have it. 10 Α. And from what I've read in the exhibits 11 and everything, you are considered a private carrier. 12 You are simply hauling product to your own business. 13 MR. FROEDGE: Right. Thank you. 14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Okay. No more 15 questions, Mr. Froedge? 16 MR. FROEDGE: No more questions. 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Margard. 18 MR. MARGARD: Very briefly. 19 20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2.1 By Mr. Margard: 22 Were there any MC violations, MC Q. number-related violations in this case? 23 24 Α. There was not.

MR. MARGARD: Okay. That's all. Thank

1 you.

2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Mr. Persinger, 3 thank you.

Officer or Trooper Hann, hopefully one additional question.

6 TROOPER HANN: Sure.

7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Thank you. Have 8 a seat, please.

9

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- - -

10 TROOPER BRIAN K. HANN

being previously duly sworn, as prescribed by law,
was examined and testified further as follows:

FURTHER EXAMINATION

14 By Attorney Examiner Lynn:

Q. Trooper Hann, am I understanding correctly that you were discussing earlier in your testimony the need for a US Department of Transportation number. That then would apply regardless of whether someone is operating for hire or not for hire that is private; am I right about that? That is someone who is operating as a for-hire carrier or as a private carrier depending, I assume, on the gross weight of the vehicle would need a US DOT number.

A. That or to me if he is hauling

commerce --

2.1

- Q. I see, okay.
- A. -- interstate.
- Q. Okay. And on the inspection report on Staff Exhibit 1, under the section that says "Vehicle Identification."
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Gross vehicle weight rate 52,000, is that, I assume, for the combination? Is that the tractor, the towing unit, as well as what was being towed?
- A. That number there, the GVWR, the gross vehicle weight rating, is found usually on the sticker inside the door. They vary. I opened the door, and if they are readable, that's where you get them from.
- Q. So that actually was the gross rate of the tractor that was --
- A. No. That tractor weighed probably about -- just the tractor weighed around 17,000 pounds. That's the gross vehicle weight rating on what the axles -- from my understanding what the amount of weight that they can -- they can haul.
 - O. I see.
- 25 A. That's not the actual weight of the

vehicle.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

- O. I see.
 - A. It's the rating of --
- Q. Well, okay. And is the need for a US DOT number, you mentioned you take into account somebody is operating in commerce. Is gross weight rating another factor as well?
- A. Not for -- not for DOT number, no, because you can have a smaller straight truck that's -- that has a DOT number also.
- 11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: All right.
- 12 Okay. Thank you.
- Mr. Margard, any other questions at all?
- MR. MARGARD: No, no further questions.
- 15 Thank you.
- ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Well, we will -
 I believe I have already mentioned this, we will

admit Froedge Exhibit 1 into evidence.

- Mr. Froedge, I have no further questions.
- Mr. Margard, apparently you have no more.
- 21 Mr. Margard, are you interested in doing a brief on this case?
- MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I don't believe
 the briefing is necessary in this instance, but if
 you wish further clarification, we would be happy to.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: Not really, no. Okay. Mr. Froedge, a brief is a written summary of the arguments. Mr. Margard has declined on that and I'm -- your thoughts? MR. FROEDGE: I would too. ATTORNEY EXAMINER LYNN: You would decline as well. Thanks very much and thank you, everyone, for attending. I believe that's all that we have to take care of for today. Have a good afternoon. (Thereupon, at 2:16 p.m., the hearing was concluded.)

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, September 15, 2016, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. (KSG-6245)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/29/2016 11:57:37 AM

in

Case No(s). 16-0869-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript In the matter of Rex Froedge, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture, hearing held on September 15, 2016. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.