

16-253-GA-BTX

Butler, Matthew

From: kenenginger@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 1:13 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Re: Case 16-253-GA-BTX.

9

Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable .

REC'D AT 3:00 PM
2016 SEP 26 PM 2:49
PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician fe Date Processed SEP 26 2016

Butler, Matthew

From: Tony Severyn <ajseveryn@earthlink.net>
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 12:37 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Duke Energy Central Corridor Pipeline, Case 16-253-GA-BTX

Dear Ohio Power Siting Board Members:

PLEASE DENY Duke Energy's request to the OPSB to waive the requirement to hold another public meeting on the route proposed in its September 13, 2016 filing. We citizens who are going to be affected by the proposed pipeline need the opportunity to ask questions and get answers from Duke Energy. The proposal in Case 16-253-GA-BTX is for a large high pressure pipeline in the urban Cincinnati area. Why is this pipeline necessary? Why must it be located near homes and businesses?

PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW DUKE ENERGY TO WAIVE THE REQUIREMENT for another PUBLIC MEETING. PLEASE make Duke Energy send letters of information to all affected homes, businesses, and places of worship. The public deserves to hear directly from Duke Energy and Duke Energy should also have to listen, and take into account, the views of the public. Please require Duke Energy to conduct public meetings.

Thank you kindly,
Grace and Anthony Severyn

Butler, Matthew

From: Lauren Enginger <lauren.enginger@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 12:30 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Case 16-253-GA-BTX

OPSB,

Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable .

Sincerely,
Lauren Enginger

Butler, Matthew

From: Erin McCloy <erinmccloy@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 12:28 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Re: Case 16-253-GA-BTX

To Whom it May Concern:

Please deny Duke Energy's request that OPSB waive the requirement to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes.

The public requires transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements is unacceptable.

As a homeowner in the impacted zone, I want my family safe. Unless I understand the potential impact of Duke's potential plan, I cannot support this initiative. Please require the public meeting.

Kind regards,
Erin McCloy Kutcher
5806 Bomark Ct.
Blue Ash, Oh 45242

Butler, Matthew

From: Michael Katz <mikekatz@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 12:00 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Re: Case 16-253-GA-BTX.

Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable .

Michael Katz
5496 Kenridge Drive
Blue Ash, OH
45242

Butler, Matthew

From: Andrew Schwarzer <schwelder@cinci.rr.com>
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 11:54 AM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX. Duke Energy

Dear PUC -Ohio,

Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable .

As representatives of the people of Ohio, it is important to take into account the concerns of safety and siting that affect the people along any proposed route.

Thank you,
Andrew Schwarzer
Blue Ash, Ohio

Butler, Matthew

From: Jim Lee <leejskijrl@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 11:05 AM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Case 16-253-GA-BTX

My wife and I are part of the silent majority. This is the first time we've become so involved in any issue like this. Duke does not appear to be acting in good faith.

Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX. Please deny Duke Energy's request that the OPSB waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable .

Kind Regards,

Blue Ash Resident

Butler, Matthew

From: Melissa English <menglish@ohiocitizen.org>
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 10:33 AM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Please reject Duke's request

To Whom It May Concern at the Ohio Power Siting Board:

Re. Case 16-253-GA-BTX. Please deny Duke Energy's request to waive the requirements to hold another public meeting on the routes proposed in their filing on Sept. 13, 2016 and to send letters of information to all affected homeowners along the routes. The public is due transparency and information on this amended project. Duke's request to avoid these requirements, and thus transparency and information sharing, is inexcusable, especially considering the breadth and depth of public engagement on this issue .

Furthermore, I urge you to take public input into consideration when deciding the pipeline's fate. Factors include not only risk to the public and natural resources, should a spill occur, but also the economics of further investment in fossil fuel infrastructure. Ohio should be ramping up investment in renewable energy and energy efficiency, not investing more in 19th century technology in a 21st century world.

Sincerely,



Melissa K. English

Ohio Citizen Action
2330 Victory Pkwy. Ste. 401

Cincinnati, OH 45206

513-221-2100

Your contribution holds polluters accountable to people