VEDO EXHIBIT 1.0

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)

)

))

In the Matter of the Application of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio for Approval to Change Accounting Methods

Case No. 15-1741-GA-AAM

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAMES M. FRANCIS ON BEHALF OF VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | INTRODUCTION |
|------|-----------------|
| II. | THE STIPULATION |
| III. | CONCLUSION |

1 I. INTRODUCTION

| 2 | Q1. | Please state your name, business address and occupation. |
|----|-----|---|
| 3 | A. | My name is James M. Francis. My address is One Vectren Square, Evansville, Indiana, |
| 4 | | and I am Vice President of Safety and System Integrity for Vectren Utility Holdings, Inc. |
| 5 | | (VUHI), the immediate parent company of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. |
| 6 | | (VEDO or the Company). |
| 7 | Q2. | What are your duties in your present position? |
| 8 | A. | I have responsibility to oversee the Company's continued focus on safety and |
| 9 | | compliance. In this role I will manage employee and contractor safety for utility |
| 10 | | operations for the Company and its parent company, VUHI. Specifically, I have |
| 11 | | responsibility for gas and electric compliance for utility operations, codes and standards, |
| 12 | | quality assurance, damage prevention, corporate safety, technical training, security, and |
| 13 | | the integrity management programs. |
| 14 | Q3. | Please describe your work experience. |
| 15 | A. | I have been employed by VUHI since April 8, 2004. My current role is the Vice President |
| 16 | | of Safety and System Integrity. Prior to this position, I was VUHI's Director of |
| 17 | | Engineering & Asset Management for eleven years. Other prior work experience includes |
| 18 | | various positions in engineering and operations. |
| 19 | Q4. | What is your educational background? |
| 20 | A. | I received a Bachelor of Science in mechanical engineering from the University of |
| 21 | | Dayton in 1993. I received a Master's in Business Administration from The Ohio State |
| 22 | | University in 2000. |

1

| 1 | Q5. | What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding? |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | A. | My testimony supports the Stipulation filed on September 9, 2016, and addresses facts |
| 3 | | relevant to its compliance with the Commission's standards for stipulations. |
| 4 | II. | THE STIPULATION |
| 5 | Q6. | Please briefly summarize the Stipulation. |
| 6 | A. | The Stipulation recommends that the Commission approve the implementation of |
| 7 | | VEDO's Distribution Accelerated Risk Reduction (DARR) Program and the deferral of |
| 8 | | DARR-related costs as described in the Company's Application, subject to certain |
| 9 | | provisions outlined in the Stipulation. |
| 10 | Q7. | Is the Stipulation a product of serious bargaining among knowledgeable parties? |
| 11 | A. | Yes. The Stipulation is the result of a serious and open review process, in which the |
| 12 | | parties were represented by able, experienced counsel and had access to technical experts. |
| 13 | | The Stipulation is the outcome of a lengthy process of investigation, discovery, |
| 14 | | discussion, and negotiation. As a result of these negotiations, VEDO accepted several |
| 15 | | modifications and additional provisions to its original application. In short, the |
| 16 | | Stipulation represents a comprehensive, reasonable resolution of the issues in this case by |
| 17 | | informed parties with diverse interests. |
| 18 | Q8. | Does the Stipulation benefit ratepayers and is it in the public interest? |
| 19 | A. | Yes. The Stipulation will enable funding and continued implementation of the important |
| 20 | | safety, public-education, and system-awareness initiatives described in the Application. |
| 21 | | The DARR Program is an important component of the Company's plans to continue to |
| 22 | | provide safe and reliable service to its customers. The structure of the program ensures |
| 23 | | that Staff will have ample, continuing opportunities to review and recommend |
| | | |

| 1 | | modifications to the program as needed. Finally, no funding will be recovered from |
|----|------|---|
| 2 | | customers until the expenses have been reviewed and approved by the Commission. |
| 3 | Q9. | Does the Stipulation violate any important regulatory principle or practice? |
| 4 | A. | No. In light of the foregoing, I do not believe that the Stipulation violates any important |
| 5 | | regulatory principle or practice. |
| 6 | Q10. | What is your recommendation to the Commission? |
| 7 | A. | I recommend that the Commission approve the Stipulation. The Stipulation represents a |
| 8 | | fair, balanced, and reasonable compromise of diverse interests and provides a fair result |
| 9 | | for customers, thereby meeting the Commission's criteria for adopting settlements. |
| 10 | III. | CONCLUSION |
| 11 | Q11. | Does this conclude your testimony? |
| 12 | A. | Yes. |

3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served by electronic mail this 19th day

of September, 2016, to the following:

Thomas Lindgren Attorney General's Office Public Utilities Section 30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 thomas.lindgren@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

> /s/ Rebekah J. Glover One of the Attorneys for Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/19/2016 4:29:11 PM

in

Case No(s). 15-1741-GA-AAM

Summary: Text Direct Testimony of James M. Francis electronically filed by Ms. Rebekah J. Glover on behalf of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc.