THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF OHIO POWER COMPANY FOR ADMINISTRATION OF THE SIGNIFICANTLY EXCESSIVE EARNINGS TEST PURSUANT TO R.C. 4928.143(F) AND OHIO ADM.CODE 4901:1-35-10.

CASE NO. 15-1022-EL-UNC

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF OHIO POWER COMPANY FOR ADMINISTRATION OF THE SIGNIFICANTLY EXCESSIVE EARNINGS TEST PURSUANT TO R.C. 4928.143(F) AND OHIO ADM.CODE 4901:1-35-10.

CASE NO. 16-1105-EL-UNC

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on September 12, 2016

{¶ 1} Ohio Power Company d/b/a AEP Ohio (AEP Ohio or Company) is an electric distribution utility, as defined in R.C. 4928.01(A)(6), and a public utility, as defined in R.C. 4905.02, and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

{¶ 2} On June 1, 2015, in Case No. 15-1022-EL-UNC (*2014 SEET Case*), AEP Ohio filed its application for the administration of the significantly excessive earnings test (SEET) for 2014 revenues, as required by R.C. 4928.143(F) and Ohio Adm.Code 4901:1-35-10. In support of the *2014 SEET Case* application, the Company also filed the testimony of William A. Allen and Thomas E. Mitchell.

{¶ 3} On May 16, 2016, in Case No. 16-1105-EL-UNC (2015 SEET Case), AEP Ohio filed its application for the administration of the SEET for 2015 revenues, as required by R.C. 4928.143(F) and Ohio Adm.Code 4901:1-35-10. In support of the 2015 SEET Case application, the Company also filed the testimony of William A. Allen and Tyler H. Ross.

{¶ **4}** By Entry issued June 22, 2016, a procedural schedule was established in these matters which, among other things, scheduled a prehearing conference for September 7, 2016, if requested, and scheduled the hearing to commence on September 13, 2016.

{¶ **5}** On September 1, 2016, AEP Ohio and Staff filed a joint stipulation and recommendation (stipulation) in the 2014 SEET Case and a stipulation in the 2015 SEET Case, purporting to resolve the issues raised in each case.

{¶ **6}** On September 2, 2016, Ohio Energy Group filed a request for a prehearing conference. At the prehearing conference, Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC), Ohio Energy Group (OEG) and Ohio Manufacturers' Association Energy Group (OMAEG) requested an extension of the schedule in these matters to allow for discovery on the stipulation, that written testimony be filed on the stipulation and the hearing be rescheduled. Accordingly, OCC, OEG and OMAEG request the procedural schedule be revised as follows:

Testimony in support of the stipulation	September 13, 2016
Testimony in opposition to the stipulation	September 19, 2016
Evidentiary hearing	October 7, 2016

{¶ 7**}** AEP Ohio and Staff agreed to the revised procedural schedule.

{¶ 8} The attorney examiner finds the request to extend and amend the procedural schedule is reasonable and should be granted. Accordingly, testimony in support of the stipulation shall be filed with the Commission by September 13, 2016, testimony in opposition to the stipulation shall be filed by September 19, 2016, and the evidentiary hearing should be reschedule to commence on October 7, 2016, at 9:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, Hearing Room 11-D, 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio.

{¶ 9} It is, therefore,

{**¶ 10**} ORDERED, That the procedural schedule for these cases be extended and amended as set forth in paragraph 8. It is, further,

{¶ 11} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

/s/ Greta See

By:

Greta See Attorney Examiner

JRJ/dah

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/12/2016 2:08:05 PM

in

Case No(s). 15-1022-EL-UNC, 16-1105-EL-UNC

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry ordering that the procedural schedule for these cases be extended and amended as set forth in paragraph 8. Entry electronically filed by Debra Hight on behalf of Greta See, Attorney Examiner.