

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT OF

**CENTRAL OHIO TECHNICAL COLLEGE,
CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY,
KENT STATE UNIVERSITY,
NORTHWEST STATE COMMUNITY COLLEGE,
OHIO UNIVERSITY,
UNIVERSITY OF AKRON, AND
UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO,**

CASE NO. 15-455-EL-CSS

COMPLAINANTS,

v.

FIRSTENERGY SOLUTIONS CORP.,

RESPONDENT.

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on August 12, 2016

{¶ 1} FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. (FES) is an electric services company as defined in R.C. 4928.01(A)(9), and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

{¶ 2} Pursuant to R.C. 4905.26, the Commission has authority to consider written complaints filed against a public utility by any person or corporation regarding any rate, service, regulation, or practice furnished by the public utility that is in any respect unjust, unreasonable, insufficient, or unjustly discriminatory. Pursuant to R.C. 4928.16, the Commission has jurisdiction under R.C. 4905.26, upon complaint of any person, regarding the provision by an electric services company subject to certification under R.C. 4928.08 of any service for which it is subject to certification.

{¶ 3} On March 2, 2015, pursuant to R.C. 4905.26, a complaint was filed by Central Ohio Technical College, Cleveland State University, Kent State University, Northwest State Community College, Ohio University, University of Akron, and University of Toledo (collectively, Complainants) against FES.

{¶ 4} On March 23, 2015, FES filed its answer to the complaint, denying the allegations made by the Complainants.

{¶ 5} By Entry issued on March 1, 2016, the attorney examiner scheduled this matter for hearing to take place on July 18, 2016.

{¶ 6} On July 6, 2016, the parties filed a joint motion for a 30-day continuance of the procedural schedule. By Entry issued on July 7, 2016, the attorney examiner granted the joint motion for a 30-day continuance.

{¶ 7} Thereafter, on August 10, 2016, the parties filed another joint motion for continuance requesting the hearing be continued to September 1, 2016, and the deadline for testimony be moved from August 11, 2016, to August 26, 2016. The parties note that the continuance is requested because they have been actively engaged in discussions that should narrow the disputed issues in this proceeding.

{¶ 8} The attorney examiner finds that the joint motion to amend the procedural schedule and continue the hearing in this case is reasonable and should be granted. Accordingly, the hearing in this matter is rescheduled for September 1, 2016, at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, 180 East Broad Street, 11th Floor, Hearing Room 11-A, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793.

{¶ 9} It is, therefore,

{¶ 10} ORDERED, That the joint motion for a continuance of the procedural schedule be granted. It is, further,

{¶ 11} ORDERED, That the hearing in this case be scheduled for September 1, 2016, at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, 180 East Broad Street, 11th Floor, Hearing Room 11-A, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793. It is, further,

{¶ 12} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon each party of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Bryce McKenney

By: Bryce A. McKenney
Attorney Examiner

SEF/sc

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/12/2016 1:09:03 PM

in

Case No(s). 15-0455-EL-CSS

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry scheduling hearing for 09/01/16 in accordance with Paragraph 8. - electronically filed by Sandra Coffey on behalf of Bryce McKenney, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio