BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the
Application of Ohio Edison:
Company, The Cleveland
Electric Illuminating
Company, and The Toledo:

Edison Company for : Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO

Authority to Provide for: a Standard Service Offer: Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143: in the Form of an Electric: Security Plan.

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Gregory Price and Ms. Megan Addison,
Attorney Examiners, at the Public Utilities
Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-A,
Columbus, Ohio, called at 9:15 a.m. on Friday, July
22, 2016.

_ _ _

REHEARING VOLUME VII

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

_ _ _

```
1327
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2.
            FirstEnergy Corp.
            By Ms. Carrie M. Dunn
 3
            76 South Main Street
            Akron, Ohio 44308
 4
            Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
 5
            By Mr. James Lang
            and Mr. N. Trevor Alexander
 6
            The Calfee Building
            1405 East Sixth Street
 7
            Cleveland, Ohio 44114
 8
            Jones Day
            By Mr. David A. Kutik
 9
            901 Lakeside Avenue
            Cleveland, Ohio 44114
10
                 On behalf of the Applicants.
11
            Bruce J. Weston, Consumers' Counsel
12
            By Mr. Larry Sauer
            Ms. Maureen R. Willis
            Mr. Kevin F. Moore
13
            Mr. Ajay K. Kumar
            and Mr. William Michael
14
            Assistant Consumers' Counsel
15
            10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
            Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
16
                 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of
17
                 Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland
                 Electric Illuminating Company, and The
18
                 Toledo Edison Company.
19
            McNees, Wallace & Nurick LLC
            By Mr. Frank P. Darr
20
            Mr. Samuel C. Randazzo
            and Mr. Matthew Pritchard
21
            21 East State Street, 17th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
22
                 On behalf of the Industrial Energy Users
23
                 of Ohio.
24
25
```

		1328
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)	
2 3 4	Bricker & Eckler, LLP By Mr. Dane Stinson and Mr. Dylan Borchers 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291	
5	Bricker & Eckler, LLP	
6	By Mr. Glenn S. Krassen 1001 Lakeside Avenue East, Suite 1350 Cleveland, Ohio 44114	
7	On behalf of the Northeast Ohio Public	
8	Energy Council, Ohio Schools Council, Buckeye Association of School	
9	Administrators, Ohio Association of School Business Officials, Ohio School	
10	Boards Association, and Power4Schools.	
11 12	Earthjustice By Mr. Shannon Fisk Northeast Office	
13	1617 John F. Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 1675 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103	
14 15	Earthjustice By Mr. Michael Soules 1625 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 702	
16	Washington, D.C. 20036	
17	Sierra Club Environmental Law Program Mr. Tony Mendoza	
18	and Ms. Kristin Henry 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor	
19	San Francisco, California 94105	
20	Richard Sahli Law Office, LLC By Mr. Richard C. Sahli 981 Pinewood Lane	
21	Columbus, Ohio 43230-3662	
22	On behalf of the Sierra Club.	
23		
24		
25		

		1329
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)	
2	IGS Energy	
	By Mr. Joseph Oliker	
3	6100 Emerald Parkway	
	Dublin, Ohio 43016	
4		
_	On behalf of IGS Energy.	
5	Manage Catan Commons C Doors IID	
6	Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease, LLP By Ms. Gretchen Petrucci	
O	Mr. Stephen M. Howard	
7	Mr. Michael J. Settineri	
,	and Mr. Ilya Batikov	
8	52 East Gay Street	
	Columbus, Ohio 43215	
9		
	On behalf of Retail Energy Supply	
10	Association, PJM Power Providers Group,	•
1 1	Electric Power Supply Association,	
11	Constellation NewEnergy, Exelon Generation, LLC, and Dynegy, Inc.	
12	Generation, LLC, and Dynegy, inc.	
	Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP	
13	By Ms. Kimberly W. Bojko	
	and Ms. Danielle Ghiloni Walter	
14	280 North High Street, Suite 1300	
	Columbus, Ohio 43215	
15		
1 (On behalf of the Ohio Manufacturers'	
16	Association Energy Group.	
17	Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General	
18	By Mr. William L. Wright, Section Chief	
10	Mr. Thomas G. Lindgren	
19	Mr. Thomas W. McNamee	
	and Mr. Steven L. Beeler,	
20	Assistant Attorneys General	
	Public Utilities Section	
21	30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor	
	Columbus, Ohio 43215	
22	On bobalf of the Chaff of the DUCO	
23	On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.	
د ے		
24		
25		

		1330
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)	
2	Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry By Mr. Michael L. Kurtz	
3	Mr. Kurt J. Boehm and Ms. Jody Kyler Cohn	
4	36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	
5	On behalf of the Ohio Energy Group.	
6	Environmental Law & Policy Center	
7	By Ms. Madeline Fleisher 21 West Broad Street, Suite 500 Columbus, Ohio 43215	
9	Mr. Robert Kelter	
10	35 East Wacker Drive, Suite 1600 Chicago, Illinois 60601	
11	On behalf of the Environmental Law &	
12	Policy Center.	
13	Barth E. Royer, LLC By Mr. Barth E. Royer 2740 East Main Street	
14	Bexley, Ohio 43209	
15	and	
16 17	Taft, Stettinius & Hollister LLP By Mr. Adrian D. Thompson 200 Public Square, Suite 3500	
18	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2300	
	On behalf of the Cleveland Municipal	
19	School District.	
20	Spilman, Thomas & Battle, PLLC By Mr. Derrick Price Williamson	
21	Ms. Carrie Harris 310 First Street, Suite 1100	
22	Roanoke, Virginia 24011	
23	On behalf of Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, and Sam's East, Inc.	,
24		
25		

	1331
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	Mr. Richard L. Sites 155 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215
4	Bricker & Eckler, LLP
5	By Mr. Matthew W. Warnock 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291
6	On bobalf of the Objections to
7	On behalf of the Ohio Hospital Association.
8	Ohio Environmental Council By Mr. Trent A. Dougherty
9	and Ms. Miranda Leppla 1145 Chesapeake Avenue, Suite I
10	Columbus, Ohio 43212
11	On behalf of the Ohio Environmental Council and the Environmental Defense
12	Fund.
13	Mr. Thomas R. Hays 8355 Island Lane Maineville Obie 45030
14	Maineville, Ohio 45039
15 16	On behalf of the Northwest Ohio Aggregation Coalition and the Individual Communities.
17	Ice Miller, LLP
18	By Mr. Christopher Miller and Mr. Jeremy Grayem
19	250 West Street, Suite 700 Columbus, Ohio 43215-7509
20	On behalf of the Association of
21	Independent Colleges and Universities of Ohio.
22	Mr. Craig I. Smith
23	15700 Van Aken Boulevard #26 Shaker Heights, Ohio 44120
24	On behalf of Material Sciences
25	Corporation.

	1332
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	Whitt Sturtevant LLP By Mr. Mark A. Whitt
3	Mr. Andrew J. Campbell and Ms. Rebekah J. Glover
4	88 East Broad Street, Suite 1590 Columbus, Ohio 43215
5	On behalf of Direct Energy Business, LLC,
6	and Direct Energy Services, LLC.
7	Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC By Mr. Michael Lavanga
8	1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. Eighth Floor West Tower
9	Washington, D.C. 20007-5201
10	On behalf of Nucor Steel Marion, Inc.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

					1333
1			INDEX		
2					
3	WITNES	SSES			PAGE
4		s N. Lause			1005
5	Cross-Examination by Ms. Dunn 13			1335 1337	
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. McNamee 1359 Redirect Examination by Ms. Ghiloni 1361			1359 1361	
7	Recross-Examination by Ms. Dunn 1362		1362 1363		
8					1000
9	OMAEG	EXHIBITS		IDENTIFIED	ADMTTTED
10		Rebuttal Testimon	ηV	1335	1366
	3 3	on Rehearing of	· · · · · ·	1000	1300
11		Thomas N. Lause			
12	0011771				
13	COMPAN	NIES EXHIBITS		IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
14	201 -	"FY2014 Mississip Incentives Report	-	1354	
15		inconcived Repor			
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

	1334
1	Friday Morning Session,
2	July 22, 2016.
3	
4	EXAMINER ADDISON: Let's go ahead and go
5	on the record.
6	The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
7	has set for hearing at this time and place, Case
8	No. 14-1297-EL-SSO, being In the Matter of the
9	Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland
10	Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison
11	Company for Authority to Provide a Standard Service
12	Offer Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143 in the Form of an
13	Electric Security Plan.
14	My name is Megan Addison and with me is
15	Gregory Price, and we are the Attorney Examiners
16	assigned to preside over this hearing.
17	We will dispense taking appearances this
18	morning.
19	Ms. Ghiloni.
20	MS. GHILONI: Good morning, your Honors.
21	OMAEG calls Witness Thomas Lause.
22	(Witness sworn.)
23	EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you. You may be
24	seated.
25	

1335 THOMAS N. LAUSE 1 2 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 3 examined and testified as follows: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 By Ms. Ghiloni: 6 Please state your name and business 7 address. 8 My name is Thomas -- is it on? Now is it 9 on? 10 My name is Thomas Lause. Business 11 address is 701 Lima Avenue, Findlay, Ohio 45840. 12 Q. Did you file or cause to be filed 13 testimony regarding staff's proposal? 14 Α. Yes, I did. 15 MS. GHILONI: Your Honors, I would like 16 to mark as OMAEG, I believe it's Exhibit 39, titled 17 "Rebuttal Testimony on Rehearing of Thomas Lause," 18 filed on July 15, 2016, in this proceeding. May I 19 approach? 20 EXAMINER ADDISON: You may and it will be 21 so marked. 22 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 23 Q. (By Ms. Ghiloni) Mr. Lause, do you have 24 in front of you what's been marked as OMAEG Exhibit 25 39?

A. Yes, I do.

1

4

5

6

9

10

11

- Q. Do you recognize this document as your testimony?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Was this testimony prepared by you or under your direction?
- A. Yes, it was prepared by me with input and guidance from counsel.
 - Q. On whose behalf are you testifying today?
 - A. I'm testifying on behalf of the Ohio
 Manufacturers' Association Energy Group, the OMAEG.
- Q. Since the filing of your testimony do you have any changes?
 - A. No, I do not.
- MS. GHILONI: At this time, your Honor, I
 would like to move Exhibit OMAEG Exhibit 39, subject
 to cross, and I tender the witness for
 cross-examination.
- 19 EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you,
- 20 | Ms. Ghiloni.
- 21 Mr. Mendoza?
- MR. MENDOZA: No questions, your Honor.
- 23 EXAMINER ADDISON: Mr. Settineri?
- MR. SETTINERI: No questions, your Honor.
- 25 EXAMINER ADDISON: Ms. Willis?

1337 1 MS. WILLIS: No questions, your Honor. 2. EXAMINER ADDISON: Mr. Kurtz? 3 MR. KURTZ: No questions. 4 EXAMINER ADDISON: Ms. Dunn? 5 MS. DUNN: Thank you, your Honor. 6 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 By Ms. Dunn: 9 Q. Good morning, Mr. Lause. 10 Α. Good morning, Ms. Dunn. 11 Mr. Lause, drafting your July 15 rebuttal Ο. 12 testimony on rehearing, you did not review last 13 week's transcript from the cross-examination of Staff 14 witness Turkenton, Choueiki, or Buckley; is that 15 correct? 16 Excuse me. Did you say I did not? Α. 17 Q. Yes. 18 Since I provided testimony? I want to Α. 19 make sure I understand the question. 20 Q. Sure. I'll repeat it. In drafting your 21 rebuttal testimony, you did not review last week's 22 hearing transcripts from the cross-examinations of 23 Staff witnesses Turkenton, Choueiki, and/or Buckley, 24 correct?

I reviewed their original testimony and

25

Α.

that's all I used.

2.

- Q. So the answer to my question is you did not review the hearing transcripts from last week?
- A. By -- yes, by saying that I only reviewed their original testimony, I think I mean yes, I did not review their rebuttal testimony.
- Q. And for over a decade, your title at Cooper Tire was Vice President of Finance, Global Operations, Controller, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And in that position, one of your job responsibilities would have been for the operational financial results of Cooper Tire, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And you also were responsible for financial forecasting and the development of business plans on a global basis, correct?
- A. Among other things, that would be one of the responsibilities, yes.
- Q. And you were also responsible for tax strategies, correct?
- A. No, not necessarily responsible. I was heavily involved with tax strategies. If you're involved in financial and operational issues, you have to understand and take into consideration the

tax ramifications, so I was involved with tax strategy, but I was not responsible for tax strategy in that particular role.

- Q. Turning to your testimony on page 7, lines 16 to 17, you reference a "healthy capacity reserve margin in the PJM market," correct?
- A. Yes, that's what's stated in my testimony, yes.

2.

- Q. And you do not know what PJM planning year you are referring to in this statement, correct?
- A. You asked me that during deposition, and I did some brief follow-up with my colleagues at Cooper, and I believe -- and I'm paraphrasing what they described, I believe the planning years were out through 2020.

MS. DUNN: Your Honor, at this time, I would like to move to strike the terms from lines 16 and 17, "and a healthy capacity reserve margin in the PJM market." The witness has no personal knowledge of this information and any information he would have gained regarding the PJM market would have been hearsay.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.

Ms. Ghiloni, response?

MS. GHILONI: Well, your Honor, he is --

```
he says in the statement "...it is my understanding
 1
 2.
     that there are significant new generation
     resources.... He's responding to staff's proposal
 3
 4
     regarding diversity of supply and suppliers and he is
 5
     able to gather some of that information from his
 6
     discussions with his colleagues, so he's putting it
7
     in the context of saying this is my understanding of
8
     what's being put forward -- or what's coming on as
9
     new generation resources. He is not asserting it for
10
     the truth of the matter asserted, but merely to show
11
     that this is what his understanding of what the
12
    markets look like.
13
                 EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.
14
                 At this time, I am going to be granting
15
     the motion to strike. Did anyone need the reference
16
     for the actual language?
17
                 MR. McNAMEE: Yes.
18
                 MS. GHILONI: Yes.
19
                 EXAMINER ADDISON: So now the sentence
20
    beginning on line 15 reads "Currently, it is my
21
     understanding that there are significant new
22
     generation resources scheduled to come online."
23
                 MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, if I may
```

24

25

briefly?

```
MR. SETTINERI: For clarity on the
 1
 2.
     record, I would just ask whether you would consider
 3
     leaving in the "PJM market" because I believe that
 4
     phrase also relates to the "significant new
     generation resources."
 5
 6
                 MS. DUNN: And, your Honor, I have
 7
     requested "and a healthy capacity reserve margin in
     the PJM market."
 8
 9
                 MR. McNAMEE: Now, I'm confused.
10
                 EXAMINER ADDISON: Correct. I was
11
     reading the sentence as it stays in.
12
                 MS. DUNN: As it stays in. I apologize.
13
     I'm sorry.
14
                 EXAMINER ADDISON: That's my fault.
15
                 MS. GHILONI: Your Honor, can you repeat
16
     then what is being stricken?
17
                 MR. McNAMEE: I'm confused as well.
18
                 EXAMINER ADDISON: Absolutely.
19
                 Ms. Dunn, did you have any objection to
20
     leaving the phrase "in the PJM market"?
21
                 MS. DUNN: I would because he uses the
22
     term "new generation resources scheduled to come
23
     online" and I don't believe the "PJM market" was
24
     referencing the generation resources, so I don't
25
     think those two go together, so I would have an
```

```
objection to keeping that in.
```

2 MR. McNAMEE: Your Honors, so what comes out?

EXAMINER ADDISON: One moment.

Mr. Lause, when you used the phrase "in the PJM market" on line 17, does that also refer to the new generation resources you referred to on line 16 of that same page?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it does, because when I was having discussions with our, what we call our "energy analysts" at Cooper, who is responsible for managing Cooper's energy buys, and he was explaining to me how there was an excess capacity, that it was above the minimum required 15 percent, he was speaking of the PJM market specifically.

So not only in relation to the "new generation resources," but also in my remarks the "healthy capacity reserve margin," he was specifically talking to me about Cooper's exact situation, so.

MS. DUNN: And, your Honor, based on Mr. Lause's statement, I actually would like to amend my motion to strike for the whole sentence because it's clear the whole sentence was based on his discussions with folks at Cooper Tire.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Ms. Ghiloni, would you like to respond to that?

MS. GHILONI: Same response, your Honor. EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, if I may?

EXAMINER ADDISON: Yes, Mr. Settineri.

MR. SETTINERI: In regard to these motions to strike after cross has already started, again, someone in his position is going to rely on staff as he prepares testimony, so I think it -- it's very fair for him, and someone in his position to be able to rely on his staff for information as he prepares his testimony; that goes towards his understanding. So I think it's fair to leave that in.

aren't staff that are at his supervisory direction, it is somebody within a different seemingly wing of the company, so it's not -- it's not like a individual who is relying on one of their subordinates to prepare a table here. You understand what I'm saying?

MR. SETTINERI: I just don't know the background here, but I would say given his position and level at the company, certainly it's like a CEO

going to someone, whether it's a different group or not, he is pulling together information for his testimony. It's within the same corporate structure; same company.

2.

MS. GHILONI: Your Honor, if I may. I do think, in his position at Cooper Tire, he does have regular interaction with these individuals and some of them do report to him because of his role as the CFO. He is regularly talking to energy consultants about these types of issues. So I do think it's fair that he's gathering information from them, subordinates, colleagues, whatever you want to call them.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you, Ms. Ghiloni.

Ms. Dunn, last word?

MS. DUNN: He's making a representation, as an expert, that there are significant resources based on knowledge that he gained from someone else that he really can't be cross-examined on because he doesn't have personal knowledge.

Regarding the capacity market, he's characterizing something as an expert as "healthy" and he has no personal knowledge or expertise in characterizing the PJM market as "healthy." For

those reasons, that sentence should be stricken.

2.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.

At this time I am going to go ahead and grant the modified motion to strike, so now the entire sentence from -- starting on line 15 beginning with the word "Currently" and then Ms. Dunn were you also striking -- was your motion also pertaining to the phrase beginning with the word "therefore" on line 17?

MS. DUNN: Yes, your Honor, because it relates to the rest of the sentence.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Okay. Thank you for that clarification. I will be granting the motion to strike from lines 15 starting with the word "Currently" to line 18 on page 7.

MS. DUNN: Ready to proceed, your Honor? EXAMINER ADDISON: Of course.

MS. DUNN: Thank you.

- Q. (By Ms. Dunn) On page 9 of your testimony, Mr. Lause, lines 3 to 4, you start "FirstEnergy Corp. has failed to take the necessary actions required to manage its business," correct?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And other than the first quarter transcript notes that we had discussed previously,

you are not aware of any major initiatives taken by FirstEnergy Corp. in the past three years to reduce spending, correct?

2.

- A. I have not read any other major announcement by FirstEnergy that would currently have had an influence on the rating agencies which were indicating they are not necessarily reducing spending but improving their cash flows such that would cause Moody's and first -- and Standard & Poor's to change their outlooks.
- Q. In the past three years you're also not aware of FirstEnergy Corp. making any dividend cuts, correct?
 - A. I have not read of any, no.
- Q. And in the past three years, you are also not aware of any plant closures that FirstEnergy Corp. or its subsidiaries have done, correct?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of, that's correct.
- Q. Could you turn to page 8 of your testimony, please. And lines 1 to 2, the Question: "Does Staff's Proposal and stated conditions promote economic development in the state of Ohio," do you see that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. And as one of the stated conditions as

articulated in Mr. Buckley's testimony was to keep FirstEnergy's corporate headquarters and nexus of operations in Akron, Ohio, correct?

2.

- A. I believe I did -- do remember reading that in Buckley's testimony, yes.
- Q. And you do not know the economic impact that would arise from FirstEnergy keeping its corporate headquarters and nexus of operations in Akron, Ohio, correct?
 - A. Could you state again, please?
- Q. Sure. You do not know the economic impact that would arise from FirstEnergy Corp. keeping its corporate headquarters and nexus of operations in Akron, Ohio, correct?
- A. On that end of the equation, which you are speaking purely of just the benefit side, no, I do not know the details of the economic benefit of keeping the headquarters in Akron.
- Q. On page 8, lines 14 to 19, you reference witness Choueiki's testimony where he discusses investing the companies' investment -- excuse me, where he discusses the companies' investment in distribution grid modernization, correct?
- A. Yes. I'm referring to witness Choueiki's testimony, yes.

Q. And you have or are aware of at least a reference to the companies' grid modernization business plan, correct?

- A. If that is the document you presented to me at deposition the other day, that's the only familiarity that I have.
- Q. And is it fair to say then you do not know the estimated costs of the companies' grid modernization business plan?
- A. In doing a little bit of research after you presented that document to me, it seems like that is early in the process and I guess in reading a couple of references to it, I don't think there is any estimate of costs in that, but there may be, but I'm not aware of any. But it seemed to be very early in the process.
- Q. And the "early in the process" that you reference, that was a fact you were not -- or that was a fact you were not aware of in drafting your testimony, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Could you turn to page 4 of your testimony, on lines 19 to 22, you state "Both proposals are a corporate bailout of FirstEnergy Corp. in the form of a subsidy by Ohio consumers,

which adds costs to consumers and all other Ohio businesses, making those businesses less competitive in the global economy." Do you see that?

A. Yes, I do.

2.

- Q. You define a bailout as when support is given to a person or entity at the cost to someone else, correct?
- A. I believe that sounds how I described it in deposition, yes.
- Q. Isn't it true that in 2008, Cooper Tire announced that it would close one of its four U.S. manufacturing facilities?
 - A. I believe they did, yes.
- Q. And one of the four plants at risk at that time was the Findlay, Ohio plant, correct?
- A. That would have been one of the four in the U.S., that's correct.
 - Q. And isn't it true that in 2009, the City of Findlay approved tax incentives for Cooper Tire?
 - A. There were some incentives provided to Cooper Tire. I can't speak for sure whether they were strictly around the tax.
- Q. One of those incentives was a payroll tax credit, correct?
- MS. GHILONI: Objection.

A. I --

EXAMINER ADDISON: Mr. Lause, we have an objection.

MS. GHILONI: Beyond the scope of his testimony. We are talking about incentives being provided to Cooper Tire. His testimony relates to staff's proposal and what's going on specifically with FirstEnergy.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Ms. Dunn --

MS. GHILONI: It's beyond the scope.

EXAMINER ADDISON: I apologize,

12 Ms. Ghiloni.

2.

Ms. Dunn.

MS. DUNN: The testimony I just quoted from Mr. Lause, characterizes staff's proposal as a corporate bailout that he states is a subsidy bad for business, bad for Ohio. I am inquiring into Cooper Tire's receipt of certain government or tax incentives and benefits which goes directly to the credibility, the bias, and inconsistent conduct of this witness's company. Therefore, it is relevant and it would be helpful for the Commission to weigh the credibility of Mr. Lause's testimony.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Overruled.

MS. DUNN: May I have the question

repeated, please.

2.

EXAMINER ADDISON: You may.

Thank you, Karen.

(Record read.)

A. Cooper Tire did receive some incentives from the City of Findlay. One of the big differences there is that the City of Findlay has also offered incentives to multiple companies in the -- within our municipality which makes this much different because we are only talking about one company now, be it FirstEnergy.

And another major difference between the two is that is from general funds of a municipality; whereas, I think the subsidy that I am talking about in my testimony is going to be paid for by customers which are captive, and I think that's a very significant difference between the two.

MS. DUNN: Your Honor, I would move to strike everything after him agreeing that a tax credit was given. If that's an area they wish to explore on redirect, they may.

EXAMINER ADDISON: The motion will be granted starting with "One of the big differences."

Q. (By Ms. Dunn) And in 2009, the City of Findlay approved a job-retention credit valued at

\$1.9 million over three years to Cooper Tire, correct?

2.

- A. In general that sounds about -- I can't speak as to the exact numbers, but I know there was a job training credit, yes, there was.
- Q. The City of Findlay also approved a tax credit of 35 percent on the payroll tax paid for any new manufacturing job created, correct?
- A. Again, I don't have the details of that, so I can't speak to that. I'm sorry.
- Q. The City of Findlay also offered a one-time incentive in the form of reduced water and sewer charges to Cooper Tire as well in the 2008 to 2009 time period, correct?
- A. I am aware of a water and sewer benefit given to the company, yes.
- Q. And the Findlay, Ohio, plant has remained open in -- correct?
 - A. Yes, it has.
- Q. And wouldn't you agree that maintaining the Findlay, Ohio, plant in Ohio promotes economic development?
 - A. Among other things, yes, it does, yes.
 - Q. And also we discussed earlier and you agreed that Cooper Tire had announced that it would

close one of its four manufacturing facilities,
 correct?

A. That is correct.

2.

- Q. And another one of those facilities was the Tupelo, Mississippi, plant, correct?
- A. That was one of our four existing facilities, yes.
- Q. And isn't it true that the State of
 Mississippi passed legislation to provide a specific
 incentive to Cooper Tire?
- A. Again, just to make sure it's not taken out of context, these are programs that are available to multiple firms within the State of Mississippi.

 So to say the way you asked the question, I think it mischaracterizes the benefits given to the Tupelo plant.
- Q. So the answer is yes, the State of Mississippi did provide benefits to the Tupelo plant.
- A. Among other companies in the State of Mississippi who also received benefits, yes, the Tupelo plant did receive benefits.
- Q. And the investment commitment from the State of Mississippi to Cooper Tire as a result of that legislation was \$25 million, correct?
- A. Again, I'm not -- I don't have the

details of the programs in front of me, so I beg to not answer that categorically.

MS. DUNN: Your Honor, I would like to have marked as Company Exhibit 201, a report titled "Fiscal Year 2014 Mississippi Incentives Report" from the Mississippi Development Authority.

EXAMINER ADDISON: It will be so marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. LANG: May I approach?

EXAMINER ADDISON: You may.

MR. SETTINERI: What was that number

12 | again?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DUNN: Sure. 201.

- Q. (By Ms. Dunn) Mr. Lause, I've handed you what's been marked as Company Exhibit 201. If you could turn, please, to page 9.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And I believe your testimony was that you could not recall the amount of the investment commitment from the State of Mississippi to the Tupelo Mississippi plant, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And if you see on page 9, in the chart it says "Cooper Tire Company," it has a chart, it says "Bonding Authority," "Spend to Date," "Jobs

Committed," "Investment Commitment," \$25 million. Do you see that?

MS. GHILONI: Objection.

2.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Grounds?

MS. GHILONI: She hasn't even asked if he has ever seen this document before. I feel like there is a lack of foundation here with respect to just reading from a document. We have no idea that he has any knowledge of this document, and she is asking him questions about it.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Ms. Dunn.

MS. DUNN: I am refreshing his recollection, your Honor.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.

You may answer.

- A. If you are talking about the one that's listed above the other four companies that have a total \$260 million of bonding authority, yes, I see it.
- Q. And does that refresh your recollection that the amount was \$25 million in the investment commitment for the Tupelo, Mississippi, plant?
 - A. That sounds familiar, yes.
- Q. And also I believe your testimony was that the investment commitments were also given to

other companies in the State of Mississippi, correct?

2.

- A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
- Q. And under "Project Summaries" -
 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, if I could have -
 could just have a minute, I may be done.

EXAMINER ADDISON: You may.

Q. (By Ms. Dunn) Mr. Lause, isn't it true Mississippi was forced to compete with three other states to keep their Cooper Tire plant open?

MR. SETTINERI: Just object, calls for speculation. This witness can't speak for the State of Mississippi.

MS. DUNN: It's a Cooper Tire plant. He has knowledge of whether or not they were competing in other -- in states for the plant closure or not.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Overruled. I will allow him to have a little clarification if he thinks it's necessary, so.

A. I'll take it back to I think my original testimony. The way business actually operates -- and that's the whole purpose of my testimony, I -- I am going to go off on a tangent, if you would allow me.

MS. DUNN: Your Honor, I would object and request a responsive answer to my question.

THE WITNESS: Then why am I here?

1357 MS. DUNN: He has the opportunity to 1 2. address anything that's within the scope. 3 THE WITNESS: I will keep it -- I will 4 bring it back. 5 EXAMINER ADDISON: Well, Mr. Lause, if 6 you feel that that's necessary to bring out in 7 redirect, you will have the opportunity to do that. Right now let's just limit it to Ms. Dunn's question. 8 9 MR. SETTINERI: Could we have that 10 question reread, please? 11 EXAMINER ADDISON: Absolutely. 12 you. 13 (Record read.) 14 We can't force anybody to do anything. Α. 15 They had -- we provided the states an opportunity to 16 offer to us the programs that they offer other 17 businesses, and we know states offer incentives out 18 of their general funds. Knowing that these states 19 have programs, we wanted to make sure that we were 20 aware of all programs that states make available to 21 multiple companies. That's what we did. 22 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, I would move to 23 strike everything after "we know." 24 MR. MENDOZA: Your Honor, if I may. The 25 question is vague. What does it mean to force the

company to do something? He gave the answer to the question to -- if the question had been appropriate, he gave an answer that was, you know, in the wheelhouse of responding to it.

MS. GHILONI: Can I add, your Honor? EXAMINER ADDISON: Absolutely.

MS. GHILONI: I agree there was an objection made on speculation, and it was allowed to see if he could answer, and this is the way he can answer it to the best of his knowledge, so the companies asked the question and he is just responding to that in the best way he can.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.

I think I did promise him a little leeway in his answer, so the motion to strike will be denied.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MS. DUNN: One more moment, your Honor.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Of course.

Q. (By Ms. Dunn) So, Mr. Lause, if I understand your testimony correctly, Cooper Tire had four states compete with one another to keep certain of Cooper Tire's plants open, correct?

MS. GHILONI: Objection, speculation.

25 Calls for speculation.

2.

1359 1 EXAMINER PRICE: Okay. Then I am just 2 going to ask the question. Did you announce that one of four plants was going to close and invite states 3 4 to make proposals to keep it open? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you. 6 EXAMINER PRICE: Thank you. 7 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, I have no further 8 questions. 9 EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you, Ms. Dunn. 10 Mr. McNamee? 11 MR. McNAMEE: I only have two. 12 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 By Mr. McNamee: 15 Q. Good morning, Mr. Lause. 16 Good morning. Α. 17 You are not familiar with mechanism --Q. 18 the mechanics of the umbrella or family way that 19 Standard & Poor's uses to assess creditworthiness of 20 companies, are you? 21 I'm generally familiar. Again, to add Α. 22 context, I tend to always look at the parent company 23 ratings. The subratings of the subsidiaries are not 24 as meaningful to me because of the parental 25 quarantees, so I always look at the parental.

- understand it conceptually, but my focus is always on looking at the parent rating.
 - Q. That's your focus. But my question is about Standard & Poor's.
 - A. My focus of looking at Standard & Poor's ratings, I'm sorry.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. So when I look at a Standard & Poor's rating, I tend to always look at the parental rating. I don't look at the subratings of the subsidiaries.
- Q. Okay. Does Cooper make passenger car tires in Findlay?
- A. Yes, we do.
- MR. McNAMEE: Okay. That's all I have.
- 15 | Thank you.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 16 EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.
- Ms. Ghiloni, redirect?
- MS. GHILONI: A few moments, your Honor.
- 19 EXAMINER ADDISON: Of course. Let's go
- 20 off the record.
- 21 (Discussion off the record.)
- 22 EXAMINER ADDISON: Let's go back on the
- 23 record.
- Ms. Ghiloni.
- MS. GHILONI: Thank you, your Honor.

1 | - - -

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Ms. Ghiloni:

- Q. Mr. Lause, do you recall Ms. Dunn asking you about tax credits that Cooper Tire received from the City of Findlay?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Were these types of tax credits available to other businesses?
- A. To the best of my understanding, these come from the general funds and, as such, they are available to other businesses within the City of Findlay, Ohio, which differentiates it from the situation that I was providing testimony on which is only providing benefit to one singular company.

The other significant difference is that my testimony is pertaining to a -- to a subsidy which is being funded by customers, captive customers, which is significantly different than programs that are being offered to companies that are coming out of the general funds of a government, and it's even -- even in the document that was just handed to me, it even states that, in the Mississippi one, that the legislature finds that there is specific incentives for large companies. So they've determined they are

FirstEnergy Rehearing Volume VII 1362 offering it to large companies. I see plural, not 1 2. one company, so it is a significant difference. 3 MS. GHILONI: No further questions, your 4 Honor. 5 EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you. 6 Mr. Mendoza? 7 MR. MENDOZA: No questions, your Honor. EXAMINER ADDISON: Mr. Settineri? 8 9 MR. SETTINERI: No questions, your Honor. 10 EXAMINER ADDISON: Ms. Willis? 11 MS. WILLIS: No questions, your Honor. EXAMINER ADDISON: Mr. Kurtz? 12 13 MR. KURTZ: No questions, your Honor. 14 EXAMINER ADDISON: Ms. Dunn? 15 MS. DUNN: A few questions. 16 17 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 18 By Ms. Dunn: 19 Mr. Lause, in 2008 to 2009, what other 20 companies received a tax credit of 35 percent on the 21 payroll tax from the City of Findlay? 22 Are you speaking strictly 2008 and 2009? 23 Q. Yes.

I don't know that any company did, but I also don't know that any company didn't.

24

25

Α.

	136	
1	Q. And in 2008 to 2009, what other companies	
2	received reduced water and sewage from the City of	
3	Findlay?	
4	A. Again, I can't speak that any company	
5	did, but I also very clearly can't state any other	
6	companies that did not.	
7	Q. And also in 2008 is one of the	
8	conditions of receiving the tax credit from the City	
9	of Findlay, if the Findlay plant were to have closed,	
10	Cooper Tire would have had to pay the credits back to	
11	the City of Findlay, correct?	
12	A. I don't have those details.	
13	MS. DUNN: No further questions, your	
14	Honor.	
15	EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you, Ms. Dunn.	
16	Mr. McNamee?	
17	MR. McNAMEE: No questions. Thank you.	
18	EXAMINER ADDISON: Examiner Price.	
19		
20	EXAMINATION	
21	By Examiner Price:	
22	Q. Just one question. Looking at ESP IV as	

approved by the Commission as a whole, are you aware of whether there are any other provisions for economic development which will provide funds to

23

24

companies in the service territories, let's say in the form of interruptible credits for economic development purposes?

2.

- A. If it's within that ESP IV, I believe there are potential incentives for energy-efficiency programs. I believe. I am almost looking to experts here to confirm that.
 - Q. We want your understanding.
- A. Okay. My understanding there are -there are energy-efficiency incentives within the
 markets, which, quite honestly, Cooper Tire has
 looked at to take advantage of because the
 cost/benefit of an incentive like that is -- is
 better for the State of Ohio because it's reducing
 energy consumption. And given the amount of
 investment it takes to put in, it's good for the
 greater good of the State of Ohio, good for the
 energy companies, and good for the consumers.
- Q. Now you have caused me to have a follow-up, but if you have got two --
 - A. I have got all morning.
- Q. So do we apparently. If you have two similarly-situated companies, one that takes advantage of the program and one that does not, the one that does not take advantage of the program will

be paying incrementally-higher rates to subsidize the company that does take advantage of the programs; is that correct?

A. I am going out on a limb here with my knowledge.

2.

- Q. I don't want you to speculate.
- A. No. It's my understanding the companies had a choice whether they could choose a rider or not; and if they chose the rider to pay the higher costs, then they would be eligible to go after or pursue energy-efficiency projects. So if you chose not to take on the rider, then you didn't have to pay the higher rate, but then you were then also not eligible to pursue those energy-efficiency projects, so it really wasn't layered on the back of those companies that chose not to pursue the energy-efficiency projects to the best of my understanding.
- Q. And you have no knowledge of -- when I use the term "interruptible credits," do you understand what I am talking about?
- A. Not enough to answer a question.

 EXAMINER PRICE: That's fine. Thank you.

 EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you. I have no
 additional questions, Mr. Lause. You are excused.

```
1
                 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
 2.
                 EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you very much.
 3
                 Ms. Ghiloni, I believe you previously
 4
     moved for the admission of OMAEG Exhibit No. 39.
 5
                 MS. GHILONI: Yes, your Honor.
 6
                 EXAMINER ADDISON: Are there any
 7
     objections to the OMAEG Exhibit 39, subject to the
     motions to strike?
 8
 9
                 MS. DUNN: No, your Honor.
10
                 EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.
11
                 Hearing none, it will be admitted.
12
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
13
                 EXAMINER ADDISON: At this time we will
14
     take a brief break and return around 10:30.
15
                 We are off the record.
16
                 (Recess taken.)
17
                 EXAMINER PRICE: Let's go back on the
18
     record.
19
                 Okay. In light of the unavailability of
20
     OCC Witness Kahal, FirstEnergy will file Ms. Murley's
21
     testimony this afternoon as scheduled, and we will
     take Ms. Murley on Thursday at 9 o'clock.
22
23
                 Ms. Mikkelsen's testimony will not be due
24
     until Monday at 4:00. The companies will arrange a
25
     rescheduled -- the parties will arrange a rescheduled
```

```
1367
     deposition time for Ms. Mikkelsen, and we will take
 1
 2
    Ms. Mikkelsen the following Monday at 10 o'clock.
 3
                 MR. KUTIK: Mr. Kahal will begin Monday
 4
     at 9:00?
 5
                 EXAMINER PRICE: Normally we start Monday
 6
     hearings at 9:00 or 10:00, but I am happy to do
     9 o'clock.
 7
                 MR. KUTIK: 10:00 is fine.
 8
9
                 EXAMINER PRICE: Let's do it at 10:00.
10
                 MS. WILLIS: Thank you.
11
                 EXAMINER PRICE: Okay? With that, we are
12
     adjourned until Monday at 10 o'clock. Thank you,
13
     all.
14
                 (Thereupon, at 10:37 a.m., the hearing
15
     was adjourned.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Friday, July 22, 2016, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. Carolyn M. Burke, Registered Professional Reporter. (KSG-6225) 2.4

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/2/2016 3:53:05 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-1297-EL-SSO

Summary: Transcript in the matter of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company hearing held on 07/22/16 - Volume VII electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.