

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Ohio Power Company to Adjust The)	Case No. 14-1329-EL-RDR
Economic Development Cost Recovery)	
Rider Rate)	

**MOTION OF GLOBE METALLURGICAL, INC. TO EXTEND THE
PROTECTIVE ORDER AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT**

Samuel C. Randazzo (Reg. No. 0016386)
Frank P. Darr (Reg. No. 0025469)
(Counsel of Record)
Matthew R. Pritchard (Reg. No. 0088070)
MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC
21 East State Street, 17TH Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
Telephone: (614) 469-8000
Telecopier: (614) 469-4653
sam@mwncmh.com
(willing to accept service by e-mail)
fdarr@mwncmh.com
(willing to accept service by e-mail)
mpritchard@mwncmh.com
(willing to accept service by e-mail)

July 22, 2016

Attorneys for Globe Metallurgical, Inc.

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Ohio Power Company to Adjust The)	Case No. 14-1329-EL-RDR
Economic Development Cost Recovery)	
Rider Rate)	

**MOTION OF GLOBE METALLURGICAL, INC.
TO EXTEND THE PROTECTIVE ORDER**

Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24, Ohio Administrative Code ("O.A.C."), and the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio's ("Commission") September 17, 2014 Finding and Order in this matter, Globe Metallurgical, Inc. ("Globe") respectfully moves the Commission to issue an order extending the Protective Order the Commission granted in the September 17, 2014 Finding and Order. Extension of the Protective Order is necessary to protect the confidentiality and prohibit the disclosure of the confidential information contained in the application of Ohio Power Company ("AEP-Ohio") to adjust its Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider ("EDR") rate filed by AEP-Ohio under seal on August 1, 2014 in this proceeding. The confidential information is not subject to disclosure and includes competitively sensitive and highly proprietary business information comprising trade secrets. The grounds for this motion are set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew R. Pritchard

Samuel C. Randazzo (Reg. No. 0016386)

Frank P. Darr (Reg. No. 0025469)

(Counsel of Record)

Matthew R. Pritchard (Reg. No. 0088070)

MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC

21 East State Street, 17TH Floor

Columbus, OH 43215

Telephone: (614) 469-8000

Telecopier: (614) 469-4653

sam@mwncmh.com

fdarr@mwncmh.com

mpritchard@mwncmh.com

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Ohio Power Company to Adjust The)	Case No. 14-1329-EL-RDR
Economic Development Cost Recovery)	
Rider Rate)	

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

On July 16, 2008, AEP-Ohio filed an application for approval of a special arrangement with Globe.¹ On November 12, 2010, the parties filed a stipulation for the Commission's approval, which was supplemented and modified on March 21, 2011. On April 5, 2011, the Commission approved the November 2010 stipulation as modified by the March 2011 filing. On July 31, 2013, the Commission approved an amendment of the special arrangement.²

In AEP-Ohio's initial electric security plan ("ESP") proceeding (Case Nos. 08-917-EL-SSO, *et al.*), the Commission authorized AEP-Ohio's EDR to recover economic development amounts authorized by the Commission in reasonable arrangement cases. In the ESP proceeding, the Commission also set the initial level of the rider at zero, to be updated quarterly.³ The EDR was reauthorized in AEP-Ohio's

¹ *In the Matter of the Application for Approval of a Contract for Electric Service Between Ohio Power Company and Globe Metallurgical, Inc.*, Case No. 08-884-EL-AEC, Application (July 16, 2008).

² *In the Matter of the Application for Approval of an Amendment to a Contract for Electric Service Between Ohio Power Company and Globe Metallurgical, Inc.*, Case No. 13-1170-EL-AEC, Opinion and Order (July 31, 2013).

³ *In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of an Electric Security Plan; an Amendment to its Corporate Separation Plan; and the Sale or Transfer of Certain Generating Assets*, Case Nos. 08-917-EL-SSO, *et al.*, Opinion and Order at 47-48 (Mar. 18, 2009).

second ESP proceeding.⁴ The rider is calculated as a percentage of a customer's distribution charges. On August 1, 2014, AEP-Ohio initiated this semiannual update case and filed an application requesting that the Commission adjust AEP-Ohio's EDR. AEP-Ohio's August 1, 2014 application contains Globe's customer-specific information that was clearly marked as confidential and was filed under seal, separate from the redacted public version of the Globe-specific schedule. To protect its confidential information, Globe sought to intervene in this proceeding and filed a Motion for Protective Order.

The Commission granted Globe's Motion to Intervene and Motion for Protective Order, finding that the customer-specific information constituted a trade secret in an Order dated September 17, 2014.⁵ In its Order, the Commission specified that its Protective Order would extend for a period of 24 months, and specified that should Globe wish to extend the Protective Order it should file a motion requesting an extension at least 45 days before the expiration of the Protective Order.⁶

For the reasons stated below, Globe respectfully requests that the Commission extend the protective treatment of Globe's customer-specific information included to support AEP-Ohio's revised EDR adjustment filed under seal.

II. ARGUMENT

The billing information of the Globe reasonable arrangement schedule filed by AEP-Ohio contains competitively sensitive and highly proprietary business information

⁴ *In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan*, Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, *et al.*, Opinion and Order at 66-67 (Aug. 8, 2012).

⁵ Finding and Order at 4 (Sept. 17, 2014).

⁶ *Id.*

that constitutes trade secrets under Ohio law and the Commission's rules. State law recognizes the need to protect information that is confidential in nature. Accordingly, the General Assembly granted the Commission statutory authority to exempt certain documents from disclosure.⁷ Pursuant to this statutory grant of authority, the Commission promulgated Rule 4901-1-24, O.A.C. Rule 4901-1-24(D), O.A.C., provides for the issuance of an order that is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed at the Commission to the extent that state and federal law prohibit the release of such information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code.

Trade secrets protected by state law are not considered public records and are therefore exempt from public disclosure.⁸ A trade secret is defined by Section 1333.61(D), Revised Code, as follows:

"Trade secret" means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any **business information or plans, financial information**, or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

- (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

Section 1333.61(D), Revised Code (emphasis added).

⁷ See Sections 4901.12 and 4905.07, Revised Code.

⁸ Section 149.43(A)(1)(v), Revised Code; *State ex rel. The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept. of Insurance*, 80 Ohio St.3d 513, 530 (1997).

The Globe-related information contained within the Globe schedule is competitively sensitive and highly proprietary business and financial information falling within the statutory characterization of a trade secret.⁹ The information for which protective treatment is sought includes Globe's billings paid for electricity based upon its actual and estimated usage. Public disclosure of the pricing information would jeopardize Globe's business position and its ability to compete. The actual and projected billing information Globe seeks to protect derives independent economic value from not being generally known and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by Globe's competitors.

Additionally, the efforts to protect the confidential pricing information are reasonable under the circumstances. Further, actual customer usage and pricing terms are routinely accorded protected status by the Commission and the Commission has previously accorded such treatment to Globe's information in other AEP-Ohio EDR cases.¹⁰ Finally, the Commission has already found in this proceeding that Globe's

⁹ Section 1333.61(D), Revised Code.

¹⁰ *In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company to Adjust Their Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Pursuant to Rule 4901:1-38-08(A)(5)*, Ohio Administrative Code, Case No. 11-4570-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (Oct. 12, 2011); *In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Pursuant to Rule 4901:1-38-08(A)(5)*, Ohio Administrative Code, Case No. 12-688-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4-5 (Mar. 28, 2012); *In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Pursuant to Rule 4901:1-38-08(A)(5)*, Ohio Administrative Code, Case No. 12-2210-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4-5 (Sept. 26, 2012); *In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Pursuant to Rule 4901:1-38-08(A)(5)*, Ohio Administrative Code, Case No. 13-325-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (Mar. 27, 2013); *In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Rate*, Case No. 13-1739-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (Sept. 18, 2013); *In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate*, Case No. 14-193-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4-5 (Mar. 26, 2014); *In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate*, Case No. 15-279-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 3-4 (Mar. 18, 2015); *In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate*, Case No. 15-1400-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 3-4 (Nov. 18, 2015); *In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate*, Case No. 16-260-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 3-4 (Mar. 31, 2016).

customer-specific information filed under seal in the confidential version of AEP-Ohio's application was a trade secret and should be afforded protected status.

The non-disclosure of the actual usage and pricing information will not impair the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code, as the Commission and its Staff will have full access to the confidential information in order to complete its review process. Because Globe's information constitutes a trade secret, it should be accorded protected status.

III. CONCLUSION

Globe respectfully requests that this Motion to Extend the Protective Order be granted and the Protective Order be extended for a period of 24 months for the reasons set forth herein.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew R. Pritchard

Samuel C. Randazzo (Reg. No. 0016386)

Frank P. Darr (Reg. No. 0025469)

(Counsel of Record)

Matthew R. Pritchard (Reg. No. 0088070)

MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC

21 East State Street, 17TH Floor

Columbus, OH 43215

Telephone: (614) 469-8000

Telecopier: (614) 469-4653

sam@mwncmh.com

fdarr@mwncmh.com

mpritchard@mwncmh.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In accordance with Rule 4901-1-05, Ohio Administrative Code, the Commission's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document upon the following parties. In addition, I hereby certify that a service copy of the foregoing *Motion of Globe Metallurgical, Inc. to Extend the Protective Order and Memorandum in Support* was sent by, or on behalf of, the undersigned counsel for Globe Metallurgical, Inc. to the following parties of record this 22nd day of July 2016, via electronic transmission.

/s/ Matthew R. Pritchard

MATTHEW R. PRITCHARD

Steven T. Nourse (Reg. No. 0046705)

Matthew J. Satterwhite (Reg. No. 0071972)

American Electric Power Service Corporation

1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor

Columbus, OH 43215

stnourse@aep.com

mjsatterwhite@aep.com

ON BEHALF OF OHIO POWER COMPANY

Michael J. Settineri (Reg. No. 0073369)

(Counsel of Record)

Gretchen L. Petrucci (Reg. No. 0046608)

52 East Gay Street

P.O. Box 1008

Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008

mhpeticoff@vorys.com

mjsettineri@vorys.com

glpetrucci@vorys.com

ON BEHALF OF THE TIMKEN COMPANY AND TIMKENSTEEL CORPORATION

William L. Wright (Reg. No. 0018010)

Chief, Public Utilities Section

Assistant Attorney General

Office of the Attorney General

30 E. Broad St., 16th Floor

Columbus, OH 43215

william.wright@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Sarah Parrot (Reg. No. 0082197)

Greta See

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Legal Department

180 East Broad Street, 12th Floor

Columbus, OH 43215

sarah.parrot@puc.state.oh.us

greta.see@puc.state.oh.us

ATTORNEY EXAMINERS

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

7/22/2016 1:23:42 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-1329-EL-RDR

Summary: Motion OF GLOBE METALLURGICAL, INC. TO EXTEND THE PROTECTIVE ORDER AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT electronically filed by Mr. Matthew R. Pritchard on behalf of Globe Metallurgical, Inc.