

FILE

July 19, 2016

16-253-GA-BTX

(2)

OPSB-PUCCO

108 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio
43215

Subject: Fwd: Case #16-0253-GA-BTX

I am writing to record my opposition to the Central Corridor Gas Pipeline extension Project. After reviewing the information from Duke's public meetings, I am even more convinced this project would pose a serious threat to the safety of the citizens of Cincinnati and Hamilton County. Duke can give us no justification of why it needs a pipeline that can serve 2,000,000 households when it presently serves less than 500,000. Where is this extra supply going? What is the hidden agenda? And even if there is justification for the pipeline, why did the computer model used to pick these routes not value proximity to homes, schools and hospitals as a route to be avoided? Why are no routes suggested that lie in rural areas? It is my belief these questions need to be posed and answered. Considering the catastrophic outcomes which have occurred in gas pipeline explosions, for the safety of the citizens of Ohio, this project as presently proposed needs to be rejected.

Thank you,



Sally Dannemiller

3525 Biddle St.
Cincinnati, OH 45220

RECEIVED-POCKETING IN
2016 JUL 22 AM 11:08

PUCCO

P.S. There was a pipeline explosion just yesterday in North College Hill. This is not a safe plan being proposed by Duke.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician PC Date Processed JUL 22 2016

16-253-GA-BTX

OPSB-PUCO
108 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio
43215

Subject: Fwd: Case #16-0253-GA-BTX

I am writing to record my opposition to the Central Corridor Gas Pipeline extension Project. After reviewing the information from Duke's public meetings, I am even more convinced this project would pose a serious threat to the safety of the citizens of Cincinnati and Hamilton County. Duke can give us no justification of why it needs a pipeline that can serve 2,000,000 households when it presently serves less than 500,000. Where is this extra supply going? What is the hidden agenda? And even if there is justification for the pipeline, why did the computer model used to pick these routes not value proximity to homes, schools and hospitals as a route to be avoided? Why are no routes suggested that lie in rural areas? It is my belief these questions need to be posed and answered. Considering the catastrophic outcomes which have occurred in gas pipeline explosions, for the safety of the citizens of Ohio, this project as presently proposed needs to be rejected.

Thank you,

Kelly Buschur



6242 Robison Rd

Cinti OH

45213