BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of John :

Larrow, Notice of Apparent: Case No. 16-61-TR-CVF

Violation and Intent to : Assess Forfeiture. :

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Nicholas Walstra, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 1:08 p.m. on Monday, June 20, 2016.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

_ _ _

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General
            By Robert Eubanks
            Assistant Attorney General
 3
            30 East Broad Street, 14th Floor
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                 On behalf of the Staff of the Public
                 Utilities Commission of Ohio.
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	3
1	INDEX
2	
3	Witness Page
4	Jonathan Frye
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Eubanks 5
6	
7	Staff Exhibit Identified Admitted
8	1 Notice of Preliminary 7 7 Determination
9	Decemination
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

4

Monday Afternoon Session, June 20, 2016.

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

THE EXAMINER: The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio has called for hearing at this time and place Case No. 16-61-TR-CVF, being in the Matter of John Larrow, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture.

My name is Nick Walstra. I'm the Attorney-Examiner assigned by the Commission to hear this case. I'll begin by taking appearances of the parties. On behalf of Staff.

MR. EUBANKS: Robert Eubanks, Attorney General's Office here representing the Staff.

THE EXAMINER: Thank you. For the record, I'll note that Mr. Larrow is not present.

From Staff?

MR. EUBANKS: We'd like to move for a default, a default judgment in light of the fact that the defendant is not here. I guess I'll call to the stand Jonathan Frye to testify about the Notice of Preliminary Determination.

THE EXAMINER: Okay.

(Witness placed under oath.)

THE EXAMINER: Thank you. You may be

5 1 seated. 2 3 JONATHAN FRYE being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 4 5 examined and testified as follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 By Mr. Eubanks: I may be being extra cautious, but I just 8 Ο. 9 want to put this on the record. Could you state your 10 name for the record. 11 Jonathan Frye. That's J-O-N-A-T-H-A-N Α. 12 F-R-Y-E. 13 Q. And could you state your position with the Public Utilities Commission. 14 I'm the Chief of the Compliance Division. 15 Α. And your responsibilities in that 16 Ο. 17 position. 18 Α. To review the fines that have been 19 assessed against drivers, shippers or carriers. 20 0. In this particular case, did you do any 2.1 such review for John Larrow? 2.2 Α. Yes. 23 Q. And could you describe what you did?

made sure that the code cite and the monetary fine

I looked at the particular code cite and

24

25

6

- that was assessed for that particular code cite met all our parameters.
 - O. And did it?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

- Q. Did you send out a Notice of Preliminary
 Determination to John Larrow?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. If you could take a look at the document before you right now. Could you identify it?
- Determination letter. And we send this letter out -After there's a conference that's conducted when
 we're unable to reach a resolution, we send this
 letter to advise the Respondent of his choices in
 terms of either paying the fine or making a request
 for an administrative hearing.
 - Q. And as you said, just to be clear, this was sent out?
- A. Yes, it was sent out on December 15th, 20 2015.
- 21 MR. EUBANKS: I have no further questions 22 of the witness.
- THE EXAMINER: Should we mark this
- 24 exhibit Staff Exhibit 1?
- MR. EUBANKS: Yes.

7 THE EXAMINER: So marked. Thank you. 1 2 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 3 MR. EUBANKS: So, once again, in light of the fact that the defendant received notice of the 4 5 hearing and didn't show up and we have a Notice of Violation for seatbelt, we'd like to move for default 6 7 judgment for violation of Code 392.16 for use of 8 seatbelt while operating CMV in the forfeiture amount of \$400. 9 10 THE EXAMINER: Okay. The Commission will 11 take that under advisement. The exhibit will be 12 moved and admitted into the record. 13 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 14 THE EXAMINER: Anything else? 15 MR. EUBANKS: Nothing else. 16 THE EXAMINER: All right. We are 17 adjourned. Thank you. 18 (The hearing was concluded at 1:13 p.m.) 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Monday, June 20, 2016, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

9 Cynthia L. Cunningham

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/30/2016 11:53:26 AM

in

Case No(s). 16-0061-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of John Larrow, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture, hearing held on June 20, 2016. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Cunningham, Cindy