

FILE

2

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

16-0253-GA-BTX

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 107606
Received: 6/22/2016 7:41:09 PM
Message:

2016 JUN 24 PM 3:43

PUCO

WEB ID: 107606 AT:06-22-2016 at 07:40 PM

Related Case Number: 16-0253

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Ms. Donita Parrish

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 5918 Beacraft Avenue
- Cincinnati, Ohio 45213
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 5132527459
- Alternative: 5132527459
- Fax: 5132527459

E-MAIL: donparr@cinci.rr.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Duke Energy
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Dear PUCO, As a resident of Hamilton County in Cincinnati, Ohio and a resident of the neighborhood of Pleasant Ridge, I am writing to voice my concerns regarding the Duke Energy

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician Am Date Processed 6/24/16

proposal for a high pressure natural gas pipeline that would run through my and nearby communities, the Central Corridor Pipeline Case 16-0253-GA-BTX). I am opposed to the pipeline for several reasons: 1. The pipeline would run through densely populated areas comprised of neighborhoods, schools, businesses, daycare centers, churches and businesses. 2. The pipeline would be dangerous, as shown by other similar pipelines in the past. 3. The presence of the danger would decrease my property value and quality of life. 4. The pipeline would damage the environmental integrity of the land and an accident would potentially cause extensive damage. While I am concerned for my family and my children, it is not just pipeline development in my neighborhood that I oppose - it is the routing of the pipeline through ANY residential area that is very inappropriate. Each of the routes proposed by Duke Energy for the Central Corridor Extension Pipeline poses a danger to residential areas. Customer safety should be taken more into account. An accident in such densely populated areas as the proposed sites could inflict life altering injuries or death on many, many people. Duke Energy should chose a pipeline site that is not densely populated and is non-residential. Please do not approve the proposed sites. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Donita Parrish 5918 Beacraft Avenue Cincinnati, Ohio 45213