

FILE

2

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 107550
Received: 6/18/2016 6:33:58 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 107550 AT:06-18-2016 at 06:33 PM

Related Case Number: 16-0253

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mr. Todd Lewis

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 11370 Brittany Woods Lane
- Cincinnati
- Cincinnati , Ohio 45249
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: teamme67@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- Name on account: Todd Lewis
- Service address: 11370 Brittany Woods Lane
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I am taking the time to contact you to voice my opposition to the Duke Energy natural gas pipeline project that is proposed to cut through the Sycamore Township residential areas (Cincinnati, OH). I am concerned that this project will detract from my property value and other property values within the Sycamore Township area. My residence is in close proximity to the

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician Am Date Processed 6/20/16

2016 JUN 20 PM 1:31
PUCO

northern end of the of all three proposed routes, but my most significant concern is with the Orange route as it is closest to my residence. I am concerned with the effect on trees and also potential environmental damage due to the construction activities. Additionally, the long term safety due to a leak in this pipeline would also be of significant concern to my family. We have a beautiful neighborhood, full of lush trees and wildlife....this is a significant attraction for me to live in the Sycamore Township area. I do not want to see this compromised by this project. In fact, it is NOT EQUITABLE to allow this to happen to a residential neighborhood. I understand that there is a price for progress-- namely an expansion of our ability to utilize natural gas. However, when this price includes running possibly dangerous substances that can impact the environment, disrupt families, and reduce residential property values, I would like to think there is a better way. Please take my comment into account to ensure we rethink this approach to meet energy needs. It is not equitable to property owners to have property values negatively impacted to enable an energy company to increase profits, and also risk environmental damage. Thank you for your consideration.