

FILE

16-253-GA-BTX

To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 107135
Received: 5/26/2016 4:33:32 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 107135 AT:05-26-2016 at 04:33 PM

Related Case Number: 16-0253

TYPE: Question

NAME: Dr. Hillel Gray

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- hgray1@gmail.com
- Amberley , Ohio 4537
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: *(no e-mail address provided)*

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

QUESTION DESCRIPTION:

Re: 16-0253-GA-BTX, proposed pipeline Why should a suburban or urban neighborhood be subjected to the risks of a high pressure gas transmission pipeline? Do the executives and board members of Duke Energy live with their families close to such a pipeline? Why not shift to lower pressure pipelines near residential areas? What kind of fire, home, and life insurance will be provided by Duke to the people with increased risks due to this pipeline? How many accidental releases have been reported for such pipelines in North America and what is the accuracy of such

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician DM Date Processed MAY 31 2016

2016 MAY 31 PM 1:35
PUCO
RECEIVED - PUCO FILE

reporting? Is it true that installation of the pipeline would adversely effect the trees, foliage, and other natural features along the path of the pipeline? Where may we read a detailed environmental impact statement that includes, for instance, the number of trees to be (severely pruned or) removed? How will construction alter traffic patterns and consumer visits to businesses in the surrounding area(s)? Will it matter to police and fire protection, as has been alleged? How would the proposed pipeline influence housing values in the short and intermediate terms? Who has been hired to report to the public on economic impacts and what steps have been taken to ensure their neutrality? What alternative means may be used to provide gas to communities? Are any of the serviced communities already obtaining gas from Duke (or otherwise) without such a high risk or high pressure pipeline? So far, since it's crucial to err on the side of caution (with regard to human safety, environmental protection, and economic viability), I'd be inclined to oppose this pipeline.