

FILE

16-253-CA-BTX

2

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 107086
Received: 5/24/2016 1:41:52 PM
Message:
WEB ID: 107086 AT:05-24-2016 at 01:41 PM

Related Case Number: 16-0253

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mr. Anonymous for concern of retribution from Duke Energy

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- (NO CITY?) , Ohio (NO ZIP??)
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (no home phone provided?)
- Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)
- Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: tbd@gmail.com

INDUSTRY:Gas

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Duke Energy
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I have read comments on this site and it is wrong that it does not include the letter to the Power Sitting Board from Sycamore Community Schools Superintendent. The Letter can be found here: <http://www.sycamoreschools.org/site/default.aspx?PageType=3&DomainID=4&ModuleInstanceID=11&ViewID=047E6BE3-6D87-4130-8424-D8E4E9ED6C2A&RenderLoc=0&FlexDataID=1576&PageID=1> The letter points out the proximity of the proposed high volume gas lines to our schools. One route is proposed to be

This is to certify that the information provided is an accurate and complete copy of the case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician SM Date Processed MAY 24 2016

RECEIVED - DOCKETING DIV
2016 MAY 24 PM 2:53
PUCO

WITHIN 200 FEET OF AN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL with greater than 500 students plus staff... Being this close to a school is a foolish design and should be rejected immediately if proposed. Having one of a few routes so close to an elementary school makes me question Duke Energy's criteria for route selection and judgement and the Power Sitting Board should scrutinize it in detail also. This is no time for a "rubber stamp" that I was told by the Duke Project Manager happens on Duke's Primary Route selection. Duke has not presented to the public the number of historic pipeline ruptures nor an estimate of the probability of a rupture. Duke has not presented to the public their safety record and their lack of experience operating a 30 inch gas line. Duke has not presented to the public the width of destruction when a 30 inch line ruptures. But a basic internet search shows lots of data where people were incinerated at distances well in excess of 200 feet. All they have basically done is provide marketing information... There is no hiding behind probability when it comes to building a pipeline next to an elementary school because there are options that make the risk of a pipeline rupture that vaporizes children ZERO. Duke and the Power Sitting Board have been put on notice. If the routes in close proximity to schools are selected and approved and an accident happens then those involved should be held criminally accountable because the accident can and should be avoided. Please use good engineering judgement and avoid foolish, unnecessary risks that benefit a public company but have multiples of damage to all the people in the densely populated routes. The PUCO site has many objections to Duke's proposed routes, I am willing to believe that there are many more, like the Superintendent's letter that have been suppressed from the public's view. In fact, I am willing to wager that if every single parent at Blue Ash Elementary School was asked that if they think it is wise to have a 30 inch gas pipeline within 200 feet of the school, 100% would object! This is common sense that the Power Sitting Board needs to use to dig into the details of Duke's proposal... it is insufficient to just accept some high level proposal and assume Duke Energy will "do the right thing" for anyone other than themselves.