

FILE

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2016 MAY -5 AM 10:13

Bobbie D. Leitner

PUCO

3835 Blackwood Court  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45236  
bobbie.leitner@mac.com

May 03, 2016

Ohio Power Siting Board  
Docketing Division  
180 East Broad Street  
Columbus, Ohio 42315-3787

Re: Duke Energy Ohio Central Corridor Extension Project Case #16-253-GA-BTX

Dear Siting Board Members:

I am writing in opposition to Duke Energy Ohio Central Corridor Extension Project Case #16-253-GA-BTX.

As a Hamilton County resident who resides and works in Blue Ash, Ohio, I believe my due process has been violated as outlined on the Ohio Power Siting Board's Process Flowchart and pursuant to Ohio Administrative Code 4906-3-03(2), **Public Information Program** which states:

*At least twenty-one days before the informational meeting, the applicant shall send a letter to each property owner and affected tenant. The letter shall briefly describe the certification process, including information on how to participate in the proceeding and how to request notification of the public hearing. The letter shall include the applicant's website and the website, mailing address, email address, and telephone number of the board. The letter shall also include the date, time, and location of the informational meeting, and a brief description of the project. The letter shall be sent by first class mail. Notice of compliance with this requirement shall be filed with the board and a list of the names of each tenant and property owner shall be provided to staff. Inability or inadvertent failure to notify the persons described in this rule shall not constitute failure to give public notices, provided there is substantial compliance with these requirements. The letter shall be sent to each property owner and affected tenant.*

As an affected tenant who resides on the street adjacent to where the proposed pipeline will be installed, I DID NOT receive a letter of notification via United States Postal Service twenty-one days prior to the informational meeting(s) which were held on March 22, 2016, and March 23, 2016, respectively.

In addition, I know there are hundreds of "affected tenants" directly adjacent and touching the affected area who were not duly notified as evidenced per the document I retrieved on your website entitled, *Parties of Record*, whereas ONLY two property owners were notified by Duke's legal counsel, Ms. Jeanne W. Kingery.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician *fe* Date Processed **MAY 05 2016**

Also in violation, pursuant to Ohio Administrative Code 4906-2-02 (5) **Filings of pleadings and other documents**, which states, *"Except as discussed in paragraph (D) of this rule, no document shall be considered filed with the board until it is received and date-stamped by the docketing division."*

As evidenced by the Siting Board's stamped document retrieved on your website dated, 03/29/2016 at 2:43:43 PM, the electronic filing by *Carys Cochern on behalf of Kingery, Jeanne W Ms.* was AFTER the required informational meeting to the public!

Notwithstanding the potential serious threat to the health and safety of our citizens given this is not a rural community, but rather, a fully developed Community inclusive of Schools, Businesses, a Recreation Center, a Major University, numerous Jewish Synagogues, Temples, and a Jewish Community Center, this proposal has violated two Ohio Laws!

In closing, I appeal to your sound reason, good conscience and identifiable civic responsibility to enforce the abiding words set forth in your own mission statement:

*To support sound energy policies that provide for the installation of energy capacity and infrastructure for the benefit of Ohio citizens, promoting the state's economic interests and protecting the environment and land use.*

Respectfully,



Bobbie D. Leitner

Homeowner and Concerned Citizen