

From: Dawn Kosec  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/30/2016 5:43:32 PM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dawn Kosec

From: Cary Gardner  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/30/2016 5:49:32 PM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cary Gardner

From: DARLENE LEASURE  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/30/2016 6:06:56 PM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

DARLENE LEASURE

From: THOMAS LEASURE  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/30/2016 6:07:30 PM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

THOMAS LEASURE

From: Jeff McCollim  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/30/2016 6:31:29 PM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jeff McCollim

From: Sandra Cobb  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/30/2016 7:49:27 PM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sandra Cobb

From: Jeannie Finlay-Kochanowski  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/30/2016 8:55:29 PM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jeannie Finlay-Kochanowski

From: Chris Mendel  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/30/2016 9:31:38 PM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

I WANT to know more about this so-called bailout that US taxpayers might be paying for!!!! I want to know when and where a hearing will be held. You all owe us that.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Chris Mendel



From: Lisa Witham  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/30/2016 9:33:53 PM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lisa Witham

From: Donald Moeser  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/30/2016 9:37:29 PM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Donald Moeser

From: Patricia Wiley  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/30/2016 9:51:58 PM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patricia Wiley

From: Jeffrey Boggs  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/30/2016 9:55:33 PM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Boggs

From: Larry Merkle  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/31/2016 12:21:57 AM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Larry Merkle

From: Catherine Froehlich Burkhart  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/31/2016 12:27:55 AM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Catherine Froehlich Burkhart

From: Leo Tussing  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/31/2016 6:55:47 AM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Leo Tussing

From: Andrea Lindsay  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/31/2016 9:01:26 AM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Andrea Lindsay



From: Patricia Wiley  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/30/2016 9:51:58 PM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patricia Wiley

From: Jim Theis  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/31/2016 10:32:11 AM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,  
JT

Sincerely,

Jim Theis

From: Darryl Jackson  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO  
Received: 3/31/2016 1:01:26 PM  
Message:  
Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Darryl Jackson

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 106133  
Received: 3/31/2016 8:12:55 AM  
Message:  
WEB ID: 106133 AT:03-31-2016 at 08:12 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mr. Nathaniel Kay

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 6401 Timbermill Way
- Reynoldsburg , Ohio 43068
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 6143670987
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: nkay@juno.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP
- Name on account: Nathaniel Kay
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Please do not bail out AEP by allowing them to raise consumer rates. I am a licensed professional engineer. AEP hires many engineers whom are among some of the highest paid there are. It's about time that a AEP makes cutbacks and choices like the rest of us in industry have had to. Please do not allow AEP to dig further into the pockets of already strapped consumers while they continue to operate in a bubble.

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:50 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

---

From: Mark Mulholland  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:47:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Porter, Andre  
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Chairman Porter,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Mark Mulholland  
434 Pamlico St  
Columbus, OH 43228

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:50 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

---

From: Ray Stanley  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:47:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Porter, Andre  
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Chairman Porter,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Ray Stanley  
852 Hampton Ave  
Toledo, OH 43609  
StanleyHarley@aol.com

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:50 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

---

From: Ray Stanley  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:47:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Ray Stanley  
852 Hampton Ave  
Toledo, OH 43609  
StanleyHarley@aol.com

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:50 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

---

From: Mark Mulholland  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:47:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Mark Mulholland  
434 Pamlico St  
Columbus, OH 43228



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:30 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

---

From: david sheets  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:27:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

david sheets  
12708 Kadel Ave  
Cleveland, OH 44135  
dclays48@yahoo.com

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:30 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

---

From: david sheets  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:27:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Porter, Andre  
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Chairman Porter,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

david sheets  
12708 Kadel Ave  
Cleveland, OH 44135  
dclays48@yahoo.com

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:10 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

---

From: Richard Suminski  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:08:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Richard Suminski  
152 Warden Ave  
Elyria, OH 44035

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:10 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

---

From: Richard Suminski  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:08:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Porter, Andre  
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Chairman Porter,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Richard Suminski  
152 Warden Ave  
Elyria, OH 44035

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:10 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

---

From: Pat Colombo  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:03:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Porter, Andre  
Subject: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

Dear Chairman Porter,

AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Pat Colombo  
1617 Hanley Rd W  
Mansfield, OH 44904

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:10 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

---

From: Pat Colombo  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:03:02 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Pat Colombo  
1617 Hanley Rd W  
Mansfield, OH 44904

**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 11:40 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

---

From: R.W. Castro  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 11:32:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

R.W. Castro  
365 Kenyon Ave  
Bedford, OH 44146

**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 11:40 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

---

From: R.W. Castro  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 11:32:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Porter, Andre  
Subject: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

Dear Chairman Porter,

AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

R.W. Castro  
365 Kenyon Ave  
Bedford, OH 44146



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 11:20 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

---

From: marie mauerman  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 11:17:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Marie Mauerman  
7712 Tod Ave  
Youngstown, OH 44512

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 11:20 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

---

From: marie mauerman  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 11:17:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Porter, Andre  
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Chairman Porter,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Marie Mauerman  
7712 Tod Ave  
Youngstown, OH 44512

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 11:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

---

From: Kevin Geiser  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 11:06:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Kevin Geiser  
3315 Longview Pl NW  
North Canton, OH 44720

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 11:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

---

From: Kevin Geiser  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 11:06:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Porter, Andre  
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Chairman Porter,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Kevin Geiser  
3315 Longview Pl NW  
North Canton, OH 44720

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 11:00 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

---

From: Jerrica Fryman  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 10:51:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

My electric bill has already skyrocketed from last year and it is an astronomical monthly amount; when I became a customer in June 2015, the bill was affordable- it has since become uncontrollable and, for a one-story, one-bedroom apartment, I am paying almost 3x more than my mother does for her 2-story, 3-bedroom (6 room) house! The hike started back in December of 2015. My original bill was in the \$20 range- it has since hit the \$300 range, which is outrageous.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Jerrica L. Fryman  
2196 Bruce Rd Apt 133  
Delaware, OH 43015

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 11:00 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

---

From: Jerrica Fryman  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 10:51:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Porter, Andre  
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Chairman Porter,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

My electric bill has already skyrocketed from last year and it is an astronomical monthly amount; when I became a customer in June 2015, the bill was affordable- it has since become uncontrollable and, for a one-story, one-bedroom apartment, I am paying almost 3x more than my mother does for her 2-story, 3-bedroom (6 room) house! The hike started back in December of 2015. My original bill was in the \$20 range- it has since hit the \$300 range, which is outrageous.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Jerrica L. Fryman  
2196 Bruce Rd Apt 133  
Delaware, OH 43015

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Rebecca Slisher <bslisher@marlattaautomation.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 10:54 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rebecca Slisher

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 10:51 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

---

From: Helen Trantum  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 10:44:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Helen Trantum  
1121 Tarkiln Rd SE Lot 235  
Lancaster, OH 43130  
hellie\_oh@yahoo.com



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 10:50 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

---

From: Helen Trantum  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 10:44:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Porter, Andre  
Subject: Ignore utility companies' scare tactics

Dear Chairman Porter,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Helen Trantum  
1121 Tarkiln Rd SE Lot 235  
Lancaster, OH 43130  
hellie\_oh@yahoo.com

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Jim Theis <Jtheis@zoominternet.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 10:32 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,  
JT

Sincerely,

Jim Theis

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 10:30 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

---

From: Mr. & Mrs. Douglas Clarke  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 10:29:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Douglas Clarke  
9325 Reed Rd  
North Ridgeville, OH 44039

**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 10:30 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

---

From: Mr. & Mrs. Douglas Clarke  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 10:29:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Porter, Andre  
Subject: Protect Ohioans from Utility Power Plays

Dear Chairman Porter,

AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Douglas Clarke  
9325 Reed Rd  
North Ridgeville, OH 44039

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 9:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

---

From: Randy Lombardi  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 9:06:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Porter, Andre  
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Chairman Porter,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Randy L Lombardi  
3001 Center Ave  
Alliance, OH 44601

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 9:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

---

From: Randy Lombardi  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 9:06:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Randy L Lombardi  
3001 Center Ave  
Alliance, OH 44601

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Andrea Lindsay <andreamlindsay90@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 9:01 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** Testimony for Docket of Case No: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear Chair Public Utilities Commission Ohio Andre Porter,

Over a year ago the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio held a series of public hearings regarding FirstEnergy's proposed rate increase in Akron, Cleveland, and Toledo. At each of those hearings, and particularly in Cleveland, dozens of ratepayers testified against giving more of our money to FirstEnergy than we already do, for power plants that our regional grid operator says we do not need. Now, more than a year and many iterations later, the original plan has morphed not for the better, but continues to be considered by the PUCO.

The Plain Dealer reported in November that PUCO staff met privately with lawyers from FirstEnergy and negotiated a new version of the bailout plan. Through newspaper reporting we know that the term of the bailout has shortened, but no effort has been made by the PUCO to explain this new version of the plan to the public. We the people of northeast Ohio We will be forced to pay to bailout old power plants and guarantee profit for an investor owned utility for the next 8 years if you accept the plan as is. Our communities have not had an opportunity to learn about the revised plan and comment on it. We need to be informed about what this plan is and we need a chance to meaningfully comment on it.

Recent polling by the AARP shows that voters do not want to guarantee profit for FirstEnergy, an investor owned utility for the next eight years. We ask that the PUCO hold another set of hearings on the FirstEnergy rate case in FirstEnergy territory. At these hearings please include a summary of what the plan is at this point and be ready to take testimony from us, the ratepayers, and the public, who you serve as a commissioner on the Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Andrea Lindsay

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 8:30 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

---

From: Chad Johnson  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 8:28:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Porter, Andre  
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Chairman Porter,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Chad Johnson  
418 E Pleasant St  
Mount Vernon, OH 43050



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 8:30 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

---

From: Chad Johnson  
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 8:28:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Pull the Plug on Utility Handouts

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I don't want my electric bill to go up to pay for AEP's and FirstEnergy's old power plants. The utilities claim they're losing money on their aging plants, and now they're demanding that consumers cover the cost of their bad decisions not to invest in cheaper, cleaner power, like natural gas.

It's outrageous that my electric bill will go up while these giant utilities are earning billions of dollars and paying hefty dividends to their shareholders. Please stand up for Ohio consumers and tell the PUCO to reject AEP's and FirstEnergy's money grab.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Chad Johnson  
418 E Pleasant St  
Mount Vernon, OH 43050

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**3/31/2016 1:16:57 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 14-1297-EL-SSO, 14-1693-EL-RDR, 14-1694-EL-AAM**

Summary: Public Comment in opposition filed on behalf of various consumers electronically filed by Ms. Donielle M Hunter on behalf of PUCO Docketing