



BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)	
)	
)	Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO
)	
)	
)	
))))

MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24(D), Ohio Administrative Code, Dynegy Inc. ("Dynegy") files this motion for a protective order seeking confidential treatment of certain information referenced in the Reply Brief of Dynegy which is being filed in this case the same day. This motion seeks confidential treatment of information deemed confidential by Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company. The underlying reasons are detailed in the attached memorandum. Consistent with the above-cited rule, two unredacted copies of the Reply Brief of Dynegy are being submitted under seal.

Respectfully submitted,

M. Howard Petricoff (0008287), Counsel of Record

Michael J. Settineri (0073369) Gretchen L. Petrucci (0046608)

Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP

52 E. Gay Street

Columbus, OH 43215

614-464-5414

mhpetricoff@vorys.com

mjsettineri@vorys.com

glpetrucci@vorys.com

Attorneys for Dynegy Inc.

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DES 2016 FEB 26 PM 4: 41

This is to certify that the images appearing are ab accurate and complete reproduction of a case fils document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Pate Processed FEB 2 6 2016

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Dynegy Inc. ("Dynegy") respectfully requests that certain information referenced its Reply Brief, namely, certain testimony of Dr. Joseph Kalt and information from confidential portions of the hearing be protected from public disclosure consistent with the prior treatment of that information in this proceeding. The information for which protection is sought describes and discusses or is derived from information that Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company (collectively "FirstEnergy") has asserted is confidential and proprietary and would harm FirstEnergy if released to the public. In addition, this information has been given confidential treatment by the Attorney Examiners already in this proceeding.

Rule 4901-1-24(D), Ohio Administrative Code, provides that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") or certain designated employees may issue an order which is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed with the Commission's Docketing Division to the extent that state or federal law prohibits the release of the information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. State law recognizes the need to protect certain types of information that are the subject of this motion. The non-disclosure of the information will not impair the purposes of Title 49. The Commission and its Staff have full access to the information in order to fulfill its statutory obligations. No purpose of Title 49 would be served by the public disclosure of the information.

While the Commission has often expressed its preference for open proceedings, the Commission also long ago recognized its statutory obligations with regard to trade secrets:

The Commission is of the opinion that the "public records" statute must also be read in pari materia with Section 1333.31, Revised Code

("trade secrets" statute). The latter statute must be interpreted as evincing the recognition, on the part of the General Assembly, of the value of trade secret information.

In re: General Telephone Co., Case No. 81-383-TP-AIR, Entry (February 17, 1982). Likewise, the Commission has facilitated the protection of trade secrets in its rules. See, Rule 4901-1-24(A)(7), Ohio Administrative Code.

The definition of a "trade secret" is set forth in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act:

"Trade secret" means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

- (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

Section 1333.61(D), Revised Code. This definition clearly reflects the state policy favoring the protection of trade secrets such as the financial information which is the subject of this motion.

In State ex rel. The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept. of Ins. (1997), 80 Ohio St.3d 513, the Ohio Supreme Court adopted a six-factor test to analyze whether information is a trade secret under the statute:

- (1) The extent to which the information is known outside the business,
- (2) The extent to which it is known to those inside the business, i.e., by the employees,
- (3) The precautions taken by the holder of the trade secret to guard the secrecy of the information,

(4) The savings effected and the value to the holder in having the information as against competitors,

(5) The amount of effort or money expended in obtaining and developing the information, and

(6) The amount of time and expense it would take for others to acquire and duplicate the information.

Id. at 524-525, quoting Pyromatics, Inc. v. Petruziello, 7 Ohio App. 3d 131, 134-135 (Cuyahoga County 1983).

Accepting FirstEnergy's claims of confidentiality, noting that the Attorney Examiners have afforded this information confidential treatment already, and applying these factors to the redacted portions of the Reply Brief of Dynegy warrant the granting of the requested protective order. The information referenced in the Dynegy Reply Brief have been found by the Attorney Examiners to warrant confidential treatment earlier in this proceeding. Thus, the information referenced in the Reply Brief of Dynegy may be maintained as confidential.

WHEREFORE, for the above reasons, Dynegy respectfully requests that the Commission grant its motion for protective order and maintain the subject portions of its Reply Brief under seal.

Respectfully submitted,

M. Howard Petricoff (0008287), Counsel of Record

Michael J. Settineri (0073369)

Gretchen L. Petrucci (0046608)

Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP

52 E. Gay Street

Columbus, OH 43215

614-464-5414

mhpetricoff@vorys.com

mjsettineri@vorys.com

glpetrucci@vorys.com

Attorneys for Dynegy Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on the parties referenced in the service list of the docket card who have electronically subscribed to this case. In addition, the undersigned certifies that a courtesy copy of the foregoing document is also being served upon the persons below via electronic mail this 26th day of February, 2016.

Michael J. Settineri

burki@firstenergycorp.com cdunn@firstenergycorp.com ilang@calfee.com talexander@calfee.com dakutik@jonesday.com cmooney@ohiopartners.org drinebolt@ohiopartners.org tdoughtery@theoec.org ghull@eckertseamans.com sam@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com mpritchard@mwncmh.com mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com larry.sauer@occ.ohio.gov Maureen.grady@occ.ohio.gov joliker@igsenergy.com schmidt@sppgrp.com ricks@ohanet.org tobrien@bricker.com stnourse@aep.com mjsatterwhite@aep.com yalami@aep.com ifinnigan@edf.org wttpmlc@aol.com mkl@smxblaw.com gas@smxblaw.com

lhawrot@spilmanlaw.com dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com meissnerjoseph@yahoo.com trhayslaw@gmail.com lesliekovacik@toledo.oh.gov cynthia.brady@exeloncorp.com david.fein@exeloncorp.com lael.campbell@exeloncorp.com christopher.miller@icemiller.com gregory.dunn@icemiller.com jeremy.grayem@icemiller.com BarthRoyer@aol.com athompson@taftlaw.com Marilyn@wflawfirm.com blanghenry@city.cleveland.oh.us hmadorsky@city.cleveland.oh.us kryan@city.cleveland.oh.us bojko@carpenterlipps.com gkrassen@bricker.com dstinson@bricker.com dborchers@bricker.com mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com mfleisher@elpc.org matt@matthewcoxlaw.com todonnell@dickinsonwright.com jeffrey.mayes@monitoringanalytics.com twilliams@snhslaw.com sechler@carpenterlipps.com gpoulos@enernoc.com

mhpetricoff@vorys.com mjsettineri@vorys.com glpetrucci@vorys.com thomas.mcnamee@puc.state.oh.us thomas.lindgren@puc.state.oh.us sfisk@earthjustice.org msoules@earthjustice.org tony.mendoza@sierraclub.org laurac@chappelleconsulting.net gthomas@gtpowergroup.com stheodore@epsa.org mdortch@kravitzllc.com rparsons@kravitzllc.com dparram@taftlaw.com charris@spilmanlaw.com dwolff@crowell.com rlehfeldt@crowell.com dfolk@akronohio.gov Kevin.moore@occ.ohio.gov William.michael@oc.ohio.gov rsahli@columbus.rr.com ajay.kumar@occ.ohio.gov callwein@keglerbrown.com mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com ghiloni@carpenterlipps.com jennifer.spinosi@directenergy.com kristin.henry@sierraclub.org rkelter@elpc.org