

FILE

14-1297-EL-SSU
 14-1693-EL-RDR
 14-1694-EL-AAM

5

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
 To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
 Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 105221
 Received: 2/16/2016 12:32:21 PM
 Message:
 WEB ID: 105221 AT:02-16-2016 at 12:32 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mr. Golden F. Watts, Sr.

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 4550 S. Section Line Rd.
- Delaware , Ohio 43015
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 740-881-5527
- Alternative: 0
- Fax: 0

E-MAIL: Watts4550@aol.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: AEP Ohio
- Name on account: Golden F. Watts
- Service address: 4550 S. Section Line Rd.
- Service phone: 740-881-5527
- Account Number: 102-660-032-2-6

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I have NO complaint about their service. I DO HAVE A COMPLAINT about their charges for delivering 1601 KW of electricity purchased from Constellation Energy Services (\$.0615/KW) = \$98.46 generation and transferred price. AEP is socking a whopping \$109.21 for various charges: \$20.61 or transmission which seems reasonable. \$68.80 for DISTRIBUTION SERVICE? What does that mean; is it because I'm 4 miles out in a residential neighborhood with several hundred homes? It's not like the have to string wire for miles and miles for servicing just my home!!!. Another one is Customer Charge of \$8.40; WHAT IN THE WORLD IS THAT? Now comes the Stability Rider; OK I'm stable and have been 43 years (LOL) and I still have the same wiring; but WHAT IS THAT? Now, a grabber-Deferred Asset Phase In Rider \$2.89. IF I were on the PUCO, (and you may have already done it), I would take a long, audit type look at the taxes they file for the US Government and State of Ohio. It is generally permissible to RAPIDLY

RECEIVED-CORRELATION
 2016 FEB 18 PM 1:36
 PUCO

002-8-1-83-1-8-708
 Date Processed FEB 18 2016
 Technician

DEPRECIATE ANY EQUIPMENT PURCHASED FOR USE IN BUSINESS. AS an investor in a few low cost stocks, I accept the fact that companies must purchase, replace and repair their equipment; that's a part of doing business! That's just part of any business. IF I were on a companies board of directors, I would squeeze out of my customers just what the market would bare; that would be true in a competitive world, but not in a utility supplier where the customer is forced to accept any charges the PUCO allows. Aren't they monopolies of a sort? We have a new, voted in state law against monopolies don't we? PLEASE, look at their stock prices vs the general business world; they are exceeding the DOW percentages by a long shot! Anyone wonder why? It's because we, the customer has no choice in the matter. We know they are making exceedingly high profits just for delivering a simple product that we all need. It makes us wonder, who are "they" (the PUCO) and 1. Do any of them own stock in any utility company? 2. Are they invited to various occassions by the utilities? 3. Are they political appointees? I raise a lot of questions that all retires on fixed incomes, as myself (I'm 79+ with cancer and live in a modest 1700 sq. foot ranch house), wonder how much longer we can exist at this rate. Please look at things deeper than just letting them argue their needs before you by a sharp lawyer. Is it any wonder that AEP plans on becoming just a "Transfer" company with no generation service? IF I COULD MORE THAN DOUBLE MY INCOME OVER MY EXPENSES, I WOULD TOO! Thanks for allowing me this opportunity Fred Watts

Dear Chairman Porter,

Vote against AEPs rate hike. Coal is declining. Gas is gaining. Don't put in artificial profit guarantees on bad ideas.

Sincerely,

Robert E Lipetz
2915 Berwick Blvd
Columbus, OH 43209

Dear Chairman Porter,

We are strongly opposed to the supply subsidies/contracts that our electric utility, American Electric Power, and FirstEnergy are attempting to have approved by the PUCO in the referenced cases. These provisions will prove to be anti-competitive in our competitive electric rate scheme in Ohio, and will encourage the continuation of dirty and inefficient energy production by AEP and FirstEnergy. This will all be to the substantial financial detriment of Ohio's electrical consumers. Given the new realities of shale gas production in Ohio, any outdated coal-fired plant shut-downs are a consequence of Ohio's transition to cleaner, more economical and efficient energy supplies. Further, Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts agree that Ohio has sufficient power generation capacity for the foreseeable future.

The PUCO should not encourage continued dirty and inefficient energy production in our state, and we should not be required to pay the price for it.

Sincerely,

Robert P. and Kelly M. Harbert
1863 Belfort Ave
Louisville, OH 44641

Dear Chairman Porter,

Consumers should not have to pay for CEO and Board of Directors short sighted business plans. This is a result of not planning for the future production and consumption.

Sincerely,

ALLEN KLEPSER
6475 Willow Dr
Independence, OH 44131