

**BEFORE**  
**THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison )	
Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating )	
Company and The Toledo Edison Company for )	Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO
Authority to Provide for a Standard Service )	
Offer Pursuant to R.C. §4928.143 in the Form of )	
an Electric Security Plan. )	


---

**JOINT MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER**

---

Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24(D), Ohio Administrative Code, Constellation NewEnergy Inc. and Exelon Generation Company LLC (collectively "Exelon") jointly file this motion for a protective order seeking confidential treatment of certain limited information referenced in the Initial Brief of Exelon which was filed this same day. This joint motion seeks protection of references to certain information deemed confidential by Exelon and protection of references to certain information deemed confidential by Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company. The underlying reasons are detailed in the attached memorandum in support. Consistent with the above-cited rule, two unredacted copies of the Initial Brief of Exelon are being submitted under seal.

Respectfully submitted,



M. Howard Petricoff (0008287), Counsel of Record  
Michael J. Settineri (0073369)  
Gretchen L. Petrucci (0046608)  
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP  
52 E. Gay Street  
Columbus, OH 43215  
614-464-5414  
[mhpetricoff@vorys.com](mailto:mhpetricoff@vorys.com)  
[mjsettineri@vorys.com](mailto:mjsettineri@vorys.com)  
[glpetrucci@vorys.com](mailto:glpetrucci@vorys.com)

*Attorneys for Constellation NewEnergy Inc. and Exelon  
Generation Company LLC*

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF JOINT MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER**

Constellation NewEnergy Inc. and Exelon Generation Company LLC (collectively “Exelon”) respectfully request that certain information referenced in their Initial Brief, namely, certain testimony of Lael Campbell be protected from public disclosure. Exelon also requests that other referenced information, namely, certain testimony of Dr. Joseph P. Kalt, information from confidential exhibits and other information treated as confidential in this proceeding be protected from public disclosure. The limited information for which protection is sought consists of (a) references to information contained in Lael Campbell’s supplemental testimony that Exelon deems to be confidential and has been given confidential treatment already in this proceeding; (b) references to information that Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company (collectively “FirstEnergy”) assert is confidential and proprietary and has been given confidential treatment already in this proceeding, and (c) references to information that could be used to derive FirstEnergy’s confidential and proprietary information. Disclosure of this information would harm Exelon. FirstEnergy has also asserted that harm will result if the information it has deemed confidential is disclosed.

Rule 4901-1-24(D), Ohio Administrative Code, provides that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (“Commission”) or certain designated employees may issue an order which is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed with the Commission’s Docketing Division to the extent that state or federal law prohibits the release of the information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. State law recognizes the need to protect certain types of information that are the subject of this motion. The non-disclosure of the information will not impair the purposes of Title 49. The Commission and its Staff have full access to the information in order to fulfill its statutory obligations. No purpose of Title 49 would be served by the public disclosure of the information.

While the Commission has often expressed its preference for open proceedings, the Commission also long ago recognized its statutory obligations with regard to trade secrets:

The Commission is of the opinion that the “public records” statute must also be read in pari materia with Section 1333.31, Revised Code (“trade secrets” statute). The latter statute must be interpreted as evincing the recognition, on the part of the General Assembly, of the value of trade secret information.

*In re: General Telephone Co.*, Case No. 81-383-TP-AIR, Entry (February 17, 1982). Likewise, the Commission has facilitated the protection of trade secrets in its rules. *See*, Rule 4901-1-24(A)(7), Ohio Administrative Code.

The definition of a “trade secret” is set forth in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act:

“Trade secret” means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

- (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

Section 1333.61(D), Revised Code. This definition clearly reflects the state policy favoring the protection of trade secrets such as the financial information which is the subject of this motion.

In *State ex rel. The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept. of Ins.* (1997), 80 Ohio St.3d 513, the Ohio Supreme Court adopted a six-factor test to analyze whether information is a trade secret under the statute:

- (1) The extent to which the information is known outside the business,
- (2) The extent to which it is known to those inside the business, i.e., by the employees,
- (3) The precautions taken by the holder of the trade secret to guard the secrecy of the information,


- (4) The savings effected and the value to the holder in having the information as against competitors,
- (5) The amount of effort or money expended in obtaining and developing the information, and
- (6) The amount of time and expense it would take for others to acquire and duplicate the information.

*Id.* at 524-525, quoting *Pyromatics, Inc. v. Petruziello*, 7 Ohio App. 3d 131, 134-135 (Cuyahoga County 1983).

Accepting Exelon's claims of confidentiality and FirstEnergy's claims of confidentiality, the Attorney Examiners' prior confidential treatment of this type of information, and applying these factors to the redacted portions of the Exelon Initial Brief warrants the granting of a protective order. Moreover, this information already has been afforded confidential treatment by the Attorney Examiners in this proceeding.

WHEREFORE, for the above reasons, Exelon respectfully requests that the Commission grant this joint motion for protective order and maintain the limited portions of its Initial Brief under seal.

Respectfully submitted,



M. Howard Petricoff (0008287), Counsel of Record

Michael J. Settineri (0073369)

Gretchen L. Petrucci (0046608)

Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP

52 E. Gay Street

Columbus, OH 43215

614-464-5414

[mhpetricoff@vorys.com](mailto:mhpetricoff@vorys.com)


[mjsettineri@vorys.com](mailto:mjsettineri@vorys.com)

[glpetrucci@vorys.com](mailto:glpetrucci@vorys.com)

*Attorneys for Constellation NewEnergy Inc. and Exelon  
Generation Company LLC*

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on the parties referenced in the service list of the docket card who have electronically subscribed to this case. In addition, the undersigned certifies that a courtesy copy of the foregoing document is also being served upon the persons below via electronic mail this 16<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2016.

  
Michael J. Settineri

[burkj@firstenergycorp.com](mailto:burkj@firstenergycorp.com)  
[cdunn@firstenergycorp.com](mailto:cdunn@firstenergycorp.com)  
[ilang@calfee.com](mailto:ilang@calfee.com)  
[talexander@calfee.com](mailto:talexander@calfee.com)  
[dakutik@jonesday.com](mailto:dakutik@jonesday.com)  
[cmooney@ohiopartners.org](mailto:cmooney@ohiopartners.org)  
[drinebolt@ohiopartners.org](mailto:drinebolt@ohiopartners.org)  
[tdoughtery@theoec.org](mailto:tdoughtery@theoec.org)  
[ghull@eckertseamans.com](mailto:ghull@eckertseamans.com)  
[sam@mwncmh.com](mailto:sam@mwncmh.com)  
[fdarr@mwncmh.com](mailto:fdarr@mwncmh.com)  
[mpritchard@mwncmh.com](mailto:mpritchard@mwncmh.com)  
[mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com](mailto:mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com)  
[kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com](mailto:kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com)  
[jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com](mailto:jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com)  
[larry.sauer@occ.ohio.gov](mailto:larry.sauer@occ.ohio.gov)  
[Maureen.grady@occ.ohio.gov](mailto:Maureen.grady@occ.ohio.gov)  
[joliker@igsenergy.com](mailto:joliker@igsenergy.com)  
[schmidt@sppgrp.com](mailto:schmidt@sppgrp.com)  
[ricks@ohanet.org](mailto:ricks@ohanet.org)  
[tobrien@bricker.com](mailto:tobrien@bricker.com)  
[stnourse@aep.com](mailto:stnourse@aep.com)  
[mjsatterwhite@aep.com](mailto:mjsatterwhite@aep.com)  
[yalami@aep.com](mailto:yalami@aep.com)  
[jfinnigan@edf.org](mailto:jfinnigan@edf.org)  
[wtpmlc@aol.com](mailto:wtpmlc@aol.com)  
[mkl@smxblaw.com](mailto:mkl@smxblaw.com)  
[gas@smxblaw.com](mailto:gas@smxblaw.com)

[lhawrot@spilmanlaw.com](mailto:lhawrot@spilmanlaw.com)  
[dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com](mailto:dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com)  
[meissnerjoseph@yahoo.com](mailto:meissnerjoseph@yahoo.com)  
[trhayslaw@gmail.com](mailto:trhayslaw@gmail.com)  
[lesliekovacic@toledo.oh.gov](mailto:lesliekovacic@toledo.oh.gov)  
[cynthia.brady@exeloncorp.com](mailto:cynthia.brady@exeloncorp.com)  
[david.fein@exeloncorp.com](mailto:david.fein@exeloncorp.com)  
[lael.campbell@exeloncorp.com](mailto:lael.campbell@exeloncorp.com)  
[christopher.miller@icemiller.com](mailto:christopher.miller@icemiller.com)  
[gregory.dunn@icemiller.com](mailto:gregory.dunn@icemiller.com)  
[jeremy.grayem@icemiller.com](mailto:jeremy.grayem@icemiller.com)  
[BarthRoyer@aol.com](mailto:BarthRoyer@aol.com)  
[athompson@taftlaw.com](mailto:athompson@taftlaw.com)  
[Marilyn@wflawfirm.com](mailto:Marilyn@wflawfirm.com)  
[blanghenry@city.cleveland.oh.us](mailto:blanghenry@city.cleveland.oh.us)  
[hmadorsky@city.cleveland.oh.us](mailto:hmadorsky@city.cleveland.oh.us)  
[kryan@city.cleveland.oh.us](mailto:kryan@city.cleveland.oh.us)  
[bojko@carpenterlipps.com](mailto:bojko@carpenterlipps.com)  
[gkrassen@bricker.com](mailto:gkrassen@bricker.com)  
[dstinson@bricker.com](mailto:dstinson@bricker.com)  
[dborchers@bricker.com](mailto:dborchers@bricker.com)  
[mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com](mailto:mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com)  
[mfleisher@elpc.org](mailto:mfleisher@elpc.org)  
[matt@matthewcoxlaw.com](mailto:matt@matthewcoxlaw.com)  
[todonnell@dickinsonwright.com](mailto:todonnell@dickinsonwright.com)  
[jeffrey.mayes@monitoringanalytics.com](mailto:jeffrey.mayes@monitoringanalytics.com)  
[twilliams@snhsllaw.com](mailto:twilliams@snhsllaw.com)  
[sechler@carpenterlipps.com](mailto:sechler@carpenterlipps.com)  
[gpoulos@enernoc.com](mailto:gpoulos@enernoc.com)

[mhpetricoff@vorys.com](mailto:mhpetricoff@vorys.com)  
[mjsettineri@vorys.com](mailto:mjsettineri@vorys.com)  
[glpetrucci@vorys.com](mailto:glpetrucci@vorys.com)  
[thomas.mcnamee@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:thomas.mcnamee@puc.state.oh.us)  
[thomas.lindgren@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:thomas.lindgren@puc.state.oh.us)  
[sfisk@earthjustice.org](mailto:sfisk@earthjustice.org)  
[msoules@earthjustice.org](mailto:msoules@earthjustice.org)  
[tony.mendoza@sierraclub.org](mailto:tony.mendoza@sierraclub.org)  
[laurac@chappelleconsulting.net](mailto:laurac@chappelleconsulting.net)  
[gthomas@gtpowergroup.com](mailto:gthomas@gtpowergroup.com)  
[stheodore@epsa.org](mailto:stheodore@epsa.org)  
[mdortch@kravitzllc.com](mailto:mdortch@kravitzllc.com)  
[rparsons@kravitzllc.com](mailto:rparsons@kravitzllc.com)  
[dparram@taftlaw.com](mailto:dparram@taftlaw.com)  
[charris@spilmanlaw.com](mailto:charris@spilmanlaw.com)  
[dwoff@crowell.com](mailto:dwoff@crowell.com)  
[rlehfeldt@crowell.com](mailto:rlehfeldt@crowell.com)  
[dfolk@akronohio.gov](mailto:dfolk@akronohio.gov)  
[Kevin.moore@occ.ohio.gov](mailto:Kevin.moore@occ.ohio.gov)  
[William.michael@oc.ohio.gov](mailto:William.michael@oc.ohio.gov)  
[rsahli@columbus.rr.com](mailto:rsahli@columbus.rr.com)  
[ajay.kumar@occ.ohio.gov](mailto:ajay.kumar@occ.ohio.gov)  
[callwein@keglerbrown.com](mailto:callwein@keglerbrown.com)  
[mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com](mailto:mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com)  
[ghiloni@carpenterlipps.com](mailto:ghiloni@carpenterlipps.com)  
[jennifer.spinosi@directenergy.com](mailto:jennifer.spinosi@directenergy.com)  
[kristin.henry@sierraclub.org](mailto:kristin.henry@sierraclub.org)  
[rkelter@elpc.org](mailto:rkelter@elpc.org)

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**2/16/2016 3:33:13 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 14-1297-EL-SSO**

Summary: Motion Joint Motion for Protective Order electronically filed by Mr. Michael J. Settineri on behalf of Constellation NewEnergy Inc. and Exelon Generation Company LLC