

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 104953  
Received: 2/8/2016 9:41:57 AM  
Message:  
WEB ID: 104953 AT:02-08-2016 at 09:41 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mr. Bob Case

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 402 East Sixth St
- Port Clinton
- Port Clinton , Ohio 43452
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 419-734-4860
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: pcpd8@cros.net

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- Name on account: Robert Case
- Service address: 402 East Sixth St
- Service phone: 419-734-4860
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I oppose a rate increase for First Energy. They do not need their rate is already higher than others.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an  
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file  
document delivered in the regular course of business  
Technician AN Date Processed **FEB 10 2016**

RECEIVED-COURTNEY IV  
2016 FEB 10 AM 8:56  
PUCO

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 104935  
Received: 2/6/2016 12:24:10 PM  
Message:  
WEB ID: 104935 AT:02-06-2016 at 12:24 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mr. Roger Pivonka

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 17115 ABBEY RD
- CHAGRIN FALLS , Ohio 44023
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 4405438704
- Alternative: 4405438704
- Fax: 4405438704

E-MAIL: rjpivonka@gmail.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: First Energy
- Name on account: Roger j pivonka
- Service address: 17115 ABBEY RD
- Service phone: 4405438704
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

PLEASE DO NOT APPROVE the request of First Energy and AEP for a rate hike to subsidize their aging coal fired and nuclear electric generating plants. We the electric cosumer get NOTHING for this except higher electric bills and the utility companies benefit by getting undeserved higher profits. Allow the Free Market to prevail for the consumer.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 104964  
Received: 2/8/2016 1:39:59 PM  
Message:  
WEB ID: 104964 AT:02-08-2016 at 01:39 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Complaint

NAME: Mr. Robert / Raymond Bogantz/ Sunderman

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 2104 parkwood ave
- toledo
- toledo , Ohio 43620
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 4192553524
- Alternative: 4192553524
- Fax: 41925535245

E-MAIL: bbogantz@aol.com

INDUSTRY:Gas

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Columbia Gas
- Name on account: Raymond C. Sunderman
- Service address: 2104 Parkwood Ave
- Service phone: 4192552524
- Account Number: 11317053 001 000 3

COMPLAINT DESCRIPTION:

Columbia Gas was able to maneuver the PUCO to approve an 'Infrastructure Replacement Program Rider' surcharge with a no-end date. The surcharge is for infrastructure upgrades within the entire Columbia Gas serving area, that is across state lines and irrespective of whether or not the improvements are in an individual customers serving area. Their surcharge for residential users is either \$5.00 or \$50.37 per month. An individual customers charge is determined whether

or not one is above or below a threshold Ccf amount. I happen to live in the Old West End historic district in Toledo where lot sizes are not expansive and where many people, including myself, have restored and preserved century old homes now and for the next generation. Doing so comes at a cost, and one that many of us undertake even though we are not rich. The cost of heating large, 100+ year old homes is a challenge even after all insulation possibilities have been implemented. Given this, I'm not asking why there is not special consideration for historic districts, but I do want to know why this surcharge amount was not implemented with usage tiers which might have offered a fairer options to all homeowners. Tiers at \$5, \$6, \$7, \$8, \$10, \$15, \$20, etc. per month would have been more equitable. Instead, a homeowner either gets by with an insignificant surcharge amount or \$5 or is unfairly hammered with an exorbitant surcharge of \$50. It's also clear that those of us that provide significantly higher revenue to Columbia Gas are penalized the most with this surcharge. A reward system in reverse where those that would otherwise be the "best customers" (i.e. biggest payers) are those most penalized. Columbia Gas, when contacted, simply told me that there are programs that might be available to help me with my gas bill. I'm a middle class senior citizen and don't qualify or expect to be helped by any special government heating assistance programs. I did, however, expect the PUCO to fairly defend the consumer as well as the provider. In summary, all residential users use the same gas infrastructure equitably. This surcharge, however, is inequitable and an unfairly calculated to either be of little consequence to the overall majority of residential user or a huge added expense (\$604.44 per year) to the those of us who are not wealthy, but have and preserve older homes with higher gas bills.

**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Glenn King, Sr <kngfrhr@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 09, 2016 6:27 PM  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** No handouts for AEP or FirstEnergy

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

AEP and FirstEnergy are trying to hijack Ohioans' electric bills and demand billions of dollars in ransom from Ohio consumers to prop up their old power plants that they claim are losing money. I shouldn't have to pay extra charges to subsidize utility companies when I get no benefit in return.

Please protect hard-working Ohioans, seniors on fixed incomes, and small business owners from ridiculous and totally unnecessary rate hikes. Please tell the PUCO to put a stop to this corporate welfare.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

The King's  
3407 US Route 422  
Southington, OH 44470

**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** John Geffken <fox\_xlokew@TrashMail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 09, 2016 7:23 PM  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Do not approve Power Purchase Agreements

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

John Geffken  
8750 N Spring Valley Park Dr  
Chagrin Falls, OH 44023

**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Rick Briggs <user@votervoice.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 09, 2016 7:32 PM  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Ignore utility companies' scare tactic

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

New, clean, natural gas plants are providing alternatives to old, inefficient plants that AEP and FirstEnergy want consumers to subsidize with rate increases. The utility companies have threatened plant shut-downs and warned that consumers and businesses will no longer have a reliable power supply, if they don't get handouts paid for by consumers. But Ohio's power grid operator and multiple experts have said that Ohio has plenty of power generation for the future.

Don't fall for utility scare tactics! Please Tell the PUCO to say "no" to the utility handouts and "yes" to cheaper natural gas to fuel Ohio's future.

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

Rick Briggs  
19051 Claridon Troy Rd  
Hiram, OH 44234  
[briggs1@ymail.com](mailto:briggs1@ymail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** David Klapp <rcmodel1@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 09, 2016 11:51 PM  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Deregulation or not?

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I have a decade of employment with AEP and I feel compelled to write this letter. I am very familiar with the issues at hand and the requests being made by AEP and others for financial support. Unfortunately I can not support this effort. AEP fought deregulating within the state of Ohio for a long time and only recently completed the process. To offer AEP Energy and other deregulated businesses special treatment goes against the purpose of effort and prevents the necessary competition to control energy prices. Further AEP as a regulated entity already receives a premium compensation as the "Provider of Last Resort". This mechanism is intended to properly compensate a utility provider to perform the necessary functions to serve customer load as a last resort, this includes purchasing the necessary generation capacity from the market and curtailing its customer loads to maintain the system during times of heavy stress. An additional method, as requested by AEP and First Energy, for such compensation is being paid twice for the same function.

I respectfully request a thorough examination of this proposal and a decision which best protects Ohio consumers and not competitive businesses.

Thank you  
David Klapp

PUCO Case #s: 14-1297-EL-SSO; 14-1693; 14-1694

Sincerely,

David Klapp  
5521 Lynx Dr  
Westerville, OH 43081