STATE OF OHIO

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

- - -

In the Matter of Tommy Shad :

Notice of Apparent Violation : Case No.

and Intent to Assess : 15-1521-TR-CVF

Forfeiture.

PROCEEDINGS

Before Nicholas Walstra, Attorney Examiner, held at the offices of the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street,

Hearing Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, on Tuesday,

January 26, 2016, at 10:00 A.M.

- - -

Armstrong & Okey, Inc.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mr. Steven L. Beeler
            Assistant Attorney General
 3
            180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                 On behalf of the Staff of
                 the Public Utilities
 6
                 Commission of Ohio.
 7
 8
            Mr. Tommy Shad
            4171 Marks Road
 9
            Medina, Ohio 44256
                 Appearing Pro se.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES	
2	 DIRECT CRO)SS
3	STATE'S WITNESSES	700
4	Richard David Bell 7 26	
5	Thomas Persinger 29 34	
6		
7	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES	
8	Tommy Shad 37 41	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

				4
1	INDEX TO EXHIBITS			7
2				
3	STAFF'S EXHIBITS	MARKED	ADT	
4	1 Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	10	28	
5	2 Photograph	14	28	
7	3 Photograph	16	28	
8	4 Notice of Preliminary Determination	31	36	
9	DECDONDENTIL EVILIDITE			
11	RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS 1 Verizon Bill	40	45	
12	1 Verizon Bili	40	45	
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

5 1 Tuesday Morning, 2 January 26, 2016. 3 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Public 5 Utilities Commission of Ohio has called for 6 hearing at this time and place Case No. 7 15-1521-TR-CVF being in the matter of Tommy Shad 8 Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to 9 Assess Forfeiture. 10 My name is Nick Walstra, I am the Attorney Examiner assigned by the Commission to 11 12 hear this case. I will begin by taking 13 appearances of the parties. On behalf of Staff. 14 MR. BEELER: Thank you, your Honor. 15 On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities 16 Commission of Ohio, Steven Beeler, Ohio Attorney 17 General, Mike DeWine's office, 180 East Broad 18 Street, Columbus, Ohio. 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Mr. 20 Shad, if you could just give your name and 21 address. 22 MR. SHAD: Tommy Shad, 4171 Marks 23 Road, Medina, Ohio 44256. 24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. 25 Before we get started are there any procedural

6 matters to discuss? 1 2 MR. BEELER: No, your Honor. 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Shad, since you are not an attorney you can't practice law, 4 5 so there are limits on some things that you can 6 do here. Staff is supposed to present their 7 case against you and provide enough evidence for the Commission to make their decision. And the 8 burden is on them to do that. 9 10 They can put witnesses on the stand 11 to question them. I will give you an 12 opportunity to ask those witnesses questions if 13 you like. 14 Then when they are concluded I will 15 give you a chance to, I will swear you in, and you can kind of give your side of the story. 16 And then Staff can question you on anything. 17 18 Any questions? 19 MR. SHAD: No, sir. 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. 21 Beeler, go ahead. 22 MR. BEELER: Thank you, your Honor. 23 Staff would call Inspector Bell to the stand. 24 (WITNESS SWORN)

7 RICHARD DAVID BELL 1 2 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 3 testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 5 By Mr. Beeler: 6 Q. Good morning. 7 A. Good morning. 8 Q. Please state your name for the 9 record. 10 Α. My name is Richard David Bell. 11 Where are you employed? Q. 12 Ohio State Patrol, Motor Carrier Enforcement. 13 14 And is that your full title for your Ο. position within the Patrol? 15 16 Α. Yes. Federal Motor Carrier 17 Enforcement, yes. 18 How long have you been with the Patrol? 19 20 A. Going on 22 years. Have you been, in that 22 years, 2.1 Q. 22 have you always been a Federal Motor Carrier 23 inspector? 24 A. No. I started out as a license 25 examiner. I did that for 15 years. And while a

license examiner I became a bioptic specialist.

Somebody that deals with people that's visually impaired. And also I traveled, I worked in eight counties. And also I am assigned for the hearing impaired. I sign for people that came in for a driving test, whether it's written or driving.

2.1

- Q. And how long have you been with the Motor Carrier Enforcement Division?
 - A. Going on seven years, sir.
- Q. Okay. What are your duties in your capacity as a Motor Carrier inspector?
 - A. Basically we check the compliance of vehicles 26,001 pounds, or we can check compliance of safety regulations for vehicles 10,001 pounds, the trailer.

We look for violations, obvious, that's the lights, lights on the vehicle not working. Then we have after we stop the vehicle go up under and check for safety compliance as far as the mechanics, make sure the U-bolts are tight, steering mechanism is working, the brakes work, whether manual, or excuse me, hydraulic or air brakes.

And basically overall the truck

almost like glorified mechanic to make sure everything is in compliance so the vehicles comply with the safety rules and regulations. So actually they are safe and the people that is coming through the State of Ohio is safe also.

- Q. What sort of training do you have in the area of motor carrier enforcement?
- A. We train for up to six weeks down in Columbus at the Academy going through North

 American training where they teach us all about the trucks and mechanics and how the truck operates.

And also we train for hazmat vehicles and tractor-trailer with doubles and triples. And then school buses and tankers, stuff like that.

- Q. Do you do continuing yearly training as well?
- A. Yes. We have what you call in-service. Twice a year we go back down to Columbus and do like refresher doing in-service, any new laws that the PUCO or any regulations that we need to learn about, we do that.

Then once a month they send videos
and anything that is new within the commercial

```
travel, commercial vehicles, we have to train, they send us some videos or read, sign that you read, sign that we completed that training.
```

- Q. How many inspections on average do you perform in a year?
- A. I would say between 1,200 to 1,400 inspections per year.
 - Q. And those motor carrier inspections, they are conducted to protect the safety of the Ohio traveling public and the traveling public through Ohio; correct?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

- MR. BEELER: May I approach the witness, your Honor?
- 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You may.
- MR. BEELER: I have here what's
- 17 | marked as Staff Exhibit 1, the Driver/Vehicle
- 18 Examination Report with an inspection date of
- 19 July 8th, 2015.
- 20 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF
- 21 IDENTIFICATION)
- 22 Q. Take a moment to look that over.
- 23 Do you recognize this document?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Is this document a patrol record?

- A. Yes. The only difference is the top header is just a little different. Where ours has the Highway State Patrol this one has the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. But all the information is exactly the same.
- Q. Are these reports kept in your ordinary course of business?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. It's the practice of the Patrol to make these reports; correct?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

- Q. Do you report on matters observed pursuant to a duty imposed by law which there was a duty to report?
- 15 A. Yes.
 - Q. And again you said that this document is in the same condition, similar condition, as it was when you prepared it?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. And the reason for you producing
 this report was an inspection of a motor carrier
 vehicle operated by Bridge Terminal Transport
 Services, Inc?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Do you remember the inspection?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. Who is the driver listed on
- 3 | the report?

- 4 A. Tommy L. Shad.
- 5 Q. Is Mr. Shad here today?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Does the driver get a copy of the
- 8 report at the stop?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Are there any violations noted on
- 11 | this report?
- 12 A. Yes, there are.
- Q. What are they?
- 14 A. First one under Section 338.23
- 15 (a)(2) is operating a CMV with a CDL restriction
- 16 as driving an international move with an
- 17 | intrastate restriction on the license or the
- 18 CDT.
- 19 O. Okav. And the other one?
- 20 A. And the other one is under 392.82
- 21 (a) (1), using a hand held mobile telephone while
- 22 operating a CMV, driving while using a hand held
- 23 | communication device.
- Q. Okay. Starting with the 49 CFR
- 25 | 338.12 (a)(2), which is operating CMV with an

- improper CDL. So to start, you did observe Mr.
 Shad operating a commercial vehicle; correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Have you inspected this type of violation before?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Please explain how Mr. Shad violated this section.
- A. Well, it was a violation because his CDL had intrastate only, meaning he can operate a motor vehicle with products that's produced in the State of Ohio, and deliver in the State of Ohio.

He is in violation of this law because he was driving not intrastate but by continuation of a international move, meaning the product came from outside the United States, came into the United States, and then he picked it up and continued the international move to Akron, Ohio actually from China.

- Q. And his CDL did have an intrastate restriction on it?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And you were able to look up on your computer in your car if Mr. Shad's CDL was

limited to only intrastate moves?

A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. And we will come back to that, but first how did you know that this was an interstate load?
- A. International load. What I did was I looked at the manifest, and by looking at the manifest I had to cut the seal on the intermodal to verify that it was an international move.

That product was not produced in the State of Ohio, and shipped within the State of Ohio. It was actually produced in China, shipped over here, and then continuation of the international move.

- Q. Was there a label on the packaging in the trailer that showed that it was an international move?
- 18 A. Yes.
- MR. BEELER: May I approach, your
- 20 Honor?
- 21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You may.
- MR. BEELER: Thank you. I have
- 23 | marked as Staff Exhibit 2 a photograph.
- 24 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR
- 25 | IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)

15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: 1 Thank you. 2 Do you recognize this picture? Q. 3 Α. Yes. Did you take this picture? 4 Ο. 5 Α. Sure. Yes. Does this picture accurately 6 Q. 7 represent the scene at the day of the 8 inspection? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. What does this picture show? 11 It shows the origin of the product Α. 12 and then where it's going, the destination, 13 where it's shipped to. 14 And the origin is Guangdong 0. 15 Province, China? 16 Α. Correct. 17 And then the destination or the to Q. 18 is Imperial Electric in Akron, Ohio? 19 Α. Correct. 20 Q. So it is your testimony that this 2.1 picture supports your statement in your report 22 that the driver had a limitation on his CDL for 23 intrastate moves only; correct? 24 Α. Correct.

MR. BEELER: Okay. May I approach,

```
1
     your Honor?
 2
                                      You may.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
 3
                 MR. BEELER: Previously marked as
     Staff Exhibit 3, another photograph.
 4
 5
                  (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR
 6
     IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)
 7
                 Do you recognize this photograph?
            Ο.
 8
            Α.
                 Yes.
 9
            Ο.
                 Did you take this photograph?
10
            Α.
                 Correct.
                 Does this photograph accurately
11
            Q.
12
     represent the scene the day of the inspection?
13
            Α.
                 Yes.
14
                 What does this photograph show?
            Ο.
15
            Α.
                 This shows the restriction on
16
     the actual license from the LEADS, where we type
17
     in the LEADS. It pulls up all the information
18
     on the particular driver. And this time it
19
     shows he had a restriction of intrastate only.
```

We normally find out when we first pull someone over, we take their license back to the patrol car, you run the information through LEADS to find out, make sure their license is valid and to see if there are any type of restrictions on it.

20

21

22

23

24

Once this comes up it lets you know how far to do your investigation to find out if he is hauling product, is it intrastate or is it interstate or international.

- Q. So this is a photograph of the actual screen in your vehicle?
- 7 A. Yes. Computer in my patrol car, 8 yes.
 - Q. And the restriction there about mid-way down the page it says restriction for intrastate only?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So it's your testimony that this
 picture supports your statement that the driver
 had a limitation on his CDL; correct?
- 16 A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

17

- Q. You are familiar with the FMCSA guidelines; correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. And according to those guidelines
 you distinguish between an intra and an
 interstate commerce by looking at the essential
 character of the movement; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And that is manifested by the

shipper's fixed and persistent intent at the time of the shipping which is ascertained from all the facts and circumstances surrounding the transportation; correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. And in Staff Exhibit 2, if you look at it, that's the label, make sure the label says China to Akron in one move; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. According to your report, Staff Exhibit 1, you state on the report that the cargo seal was removed; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Where do you state that on the report?
 - A. If you look where it says Vehicle
 Information, Vehicle Identification, excuse me,
 it has "Cargo seal removed and then seal
 replaced."
- Q. So you both removed it and then you replaced it at the end of the inspection?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Does the cargo seal, does
 that typically indicate an interstate or an
 international load?

A. Not necessarily. A lot of people seal the products for security reasons. But in this particular case you had to -- once we finally had the restriction we asked where the product come from. We had to make sure the product actually did come from China or overseas, or make sure that he wasn't in violation of his restriction of intrastate only.

So at that point that's why I had to cut the seal of the intermodal so I could go in there and check the manifest or the product to make sure that is what we had a violation of.

- Q. So based on your observations and from some of the photos that you took it's your opinion that this was an interstate or international move; correct?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. Then as a result of those observations you cited Mr. Shad for the violation; correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Now turning to the second violation, that is 49 CFR 392.82 (a)(1). You observed Mr. Shad using a hand held communication device; correct?

A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. How did you observe that?
- A. I sit where I can see truckers come down the road. I use binoculars at this particular time because a lot of times I look to see if they are in violation of seat belt violation, because unfortunately a lot of commercial drivers don't drive with their belt on.

So as I am sitting with my
binoculars watching the vehicles approach I
observed him on his cell phone, using a hand
held communication devise

- Q. Was he talking on his cell phone or just using it?
 - A. Using it. He had it in his hand.
- Q. Okay. For a violation of a hand held communication device, does it necessarily have to be a cell phone?
- A. No. It can be any communication device that you have in your hand trying to utilize it, texting or trying to dial a number, or even putting coordinates in your GPS.
- Q. Okay. Did you have a conversation about this violation with the driver at the

stop?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. Yes.
 - Q. What did you discuss?
 - A. I asked the driver, I ask all what is the company's policy on using the hand held as a communication device. And a lot respond I am trying to talk to the company, I am trying to talk to my wife, trying to text someone.

The reason I am doing that is because there is different violations you can utilize. If it's the company calling the driver there is a different violation for that. So I am establishing what violation am I going to utilize for that particular driver.

- Q. What was your exact conversation with Mr. Shad that day?
- A. I asked him was he aware that, I mean, what was your company's policy you using a hand held communication device.
 - Q. What did he respond?
- A. He said, yes, he was trying to text his boss, trying to talk to his boss.
- Q. Okay. Again you said you had binoculars; correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. And binoculars would make it easier to observe the violation; correct?
 - A. See a lot that way, yes.

- Q. Okay. Can you read just the inspection notes from your report, that's about three-quarters of the way down, Staff Exhibit 1?
- A. Okay. It says "OBVI: Driving while using a hand held communication device, driving a international load with a intrastate restriction on the driver's CDL."
- Q. And I notice you have OBVI listed there. What does that mean, why did you put it there?
 - A. Obvious violation. That is the reason code why I stopped, it was an obvious violation I observed.
 - Q. And you might have said it, but I might have missed it, the obvious violation here was your observation of the --
 - A. Hand held communication device.
- Q. And the notes that we just
 referenced, those were completed at the same
 time or contemporaneously with the vehicle stop;
 correct?
- 25 A. Yes. With the inspection, at the

time of the inspection.

1

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

- Q. So commonly as part of your job you
 look specifically for drivers using hand held
 devices; correct?
 - A. Right. Had seat belt on, other obvious violations. Light, various lights out, stuff like that.
 - Q. Sure. The stop was at 1:16 in the afternoon; correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. So nothing weather related would 12 have impeded your vision?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. So as a result of these observations you cited the driver for the violation; correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
 - Q. Again, it is your testimony here today that you observed Mr. Shad using a hand held communication device while operating a commercial motor vehicle; correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Anything else important to note that
 we have not discussed here today that you feel
 the Commission would like to know for the
 record?

2 a hand held, use of the hand held communication 3 device because that includes you calling, trying to put the GPS coordinates or you are texting. 4 5 A lot of times if you are texting you won't have 6 a -- if we come up you won't have a chance to 7 complete your text, or of you are calling, most people I pull beside them, I give them a chance 8 9 to put the phone down. I motion to them if they 10 are on the phone and normally they put the phone 11 down. 12 It's still a violation, yes, but I 13 still stop them and charge them with a violation, sure I do. And that is why, like I 14 15 said, that is why I utilize this, using hand 16 held communication device. That is not just 17 limited to cell phones, it's any electronic 18 device you use while operating a motor vehicle. 19 Anything else? Ο.

Yes. I guess I would add that using

A. No.

1

20

Α.

MR. BEELER: No further questions, your Honor.

23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.

MR. BEELER: At this time though I

25 | would move for admission of Staff Exhibits 1, 2

and 3 subject to cross-examination by Mr. Shad.

2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. I have a 3 couple questions, sir.

EXAMINATION

By the Attorney Examiner:

1

4

5

6

7

8

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. First, on the CDL restriction, is that a restriction of what he can drive, or is that about the load specifically?
- A. Yes. That is the load, and that is
 to limit the driver. So, in other words, he
 only can operate something that is
 manufactured -- manufactured in the State of
 Ohio, in that particular state, and then
 delivered within that state. That is the
 intrastate restriction.

If it comes from another state across the line it becomes interstate move.

If you have a restriction, although you may pick up in the State of Ohio and deliver it within the State of Ohio, that is still a continuation of an intrastate move.

So you can operate that, you can haul that move, that load. And it comes from out of this country that is the continuation of the international move. So you can't haul that

```
1 particular load.
```

3

17

18

19

- Q. Even if he did all his driving within Ohio it's still a violation?
- Α. It would be a violation if 4 5 the product didn't originate in Ohio. Now, add 6 this, say, for instance, steel, if they brought 7 steel here from China and it sat in a steel yard until GM ordered it and they moved it to a steel 8 9 yard, that is still a continuation of 10 the international move. They haven't touched 11 the steel.

But if they bring the steel, say

take it to where they stamp doors out of it, now

the actual product was actually made in Ohio.

Now he can deliver it, he or she, whoever the

driver is.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Shad, any questions for Mr. Bell?

MR. SHAD: Yes, I do.

20 CROSS-EXAMINATION

21 By Mr. Shad:

- Q. The pull over, you took my paperwork, went back to your vehicle?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Come back, your statement was, "Mr.

- 1 Shad, you are in violation of intrastate and 2 interstate." Is that correct?
- A. You are in violation of

 international or intrastate because you have an

 intrastate restriction.
 - O. True. Is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes.

6

8

9

- Q. And your next comment was, or accusation, or accusing, you said "And you were on your phone too." Is that correct?
- 11 A. You were on your cell phone, yes.
- 12 Q. Is that correct?
- A. That's the first thing I said to you.
- Q. That is completely different than what you just --
- MR.BEELER: Objection, your Honor.
- 18 Ask questions, but he can't testified.
- 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Shad, you
- 20 | will have a chance to testify, but if you have
- 21 questions --
- MR. SHAD: All right. No, no
- 23 further.
- 24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Nothing further?
- MR. SHAD: No, sir.

28 1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Any cross or 2 redirect? 3 MR. BEELER: Can I have 30 seconds to just check with him? 4 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sure. 6 (RECESS TAKEN) 7 MR. BEELER: No redirect. 8 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Thank 9 you. You may step down. 10 MR. BEELER: At this time, your 11 Honor, I would reserve Inspector Bell for 12 rebuttal if necessary. 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. 14 MR. BEELER: And then I would renew 15 my motion for the admission of Staff Exhibits 1, 16 2 and 3. 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And all three of those will be admitted. 18 19 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE) 20 MR. BEELER: At this time, your 2.1 Honor, the Staff would call its second witness, 22 Thomas Persinger. 23 (WITNESS SWORN) 24 25 THOMAS PERSINGER

29 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 1 2 testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION By Mr. Beeler: 4 5 Q. Good morning. 6 Α. Good morning. 7 Please state your name for the Q. 8 record. 9 Α. My name is Thomas Persinger. 10 Q. Where are you employed? 11 I am employed with the Public Α. 12 Utilities Commission of Ohio in the 13 Transportation Department, Compliance Division. 14 What is your position? Ο. 15 Α. My position is Compliance Officer. 16 How long have you been in that Q. 17 position? 18 About four and a half years. Α. 19 What are your duties? Ο. 20 Α. My duties include determining fines 21 on roadside inspections that are submitted, as well as trying to resolve those at the 22 23 conference stage. 24 Ο. Okay. 25 Α. Any disputes arising from there.

- Q. What are your expert qualifications on the subject matter of violations, your certification, your continuing education?
- A. I have taken the North American Standard Part A and Part B classes as well as general hazmat, other bulk package and bulk package or cargo tank classes.

And I do approximately 50 inspections a year to keep my certifications and all of that.

- Q. Any training on how calculations are performed for the forfeitures?
 - A. Within the Department, yes.
 - Q. Explain just generally how you determine amounts for forfeitures.
- A. For non-hazardous material
 violations, violations are determined from an
 assessment chart, depending upon what group
 the violation is, what specifically
 the violation is, a set fine amount is
 determined.
- Q. Does the Commission apply this process uniformly to everyone?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

MR. BEELER: May I approach

31 the witness, your Honor? 1 2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You may. 3 MR. BEELER: I have what has previously been marked as Staff Exhibit 4, a 4 5 Notice of Preliminary Determination sent to Mr. Tommy Shad with the date of August 28th, 2015. 6 7 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION) Take a second to look at that. Do 8 Ο. 9 you recognize this document? 10 Α. Yes, sir. 11 What is it? Ο. 12 Α. This is a Notice of Preliminary Determination letter. 13 14 Was it prepared by you or under your Ο. 15 direction? 16 Yes, sir. Α. 17 Q. Is this document a Commission 18 record? 19 Yes, sir. Α. 20 Q. Is it kept in the ordinary course of 2.1 business? 2.2 Yes, sir. Α. 23 Is it the practice of the Commission 24 to make this determination? 25 Α. Yes, sir.

- Q. Is this document in the same or similar condition as when you prepared it or it was prepared under your direction?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

22

- Q. Explain how the civil forfeiture was derived in this case.
- A. The civil forfeiture was derived from as Group 4 violations on the chart, on the civil forfeiture chart. And for these particular Group 4 violations \$250 forfeitures were assigned to both fines.
- Q. And the total for both violations was \$500; is that correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
 - Q. Is this penalty consistent with the recommended fine schedule in recommended civil penalty procedure adopted by the Commercial Motor Vehicle Alliance?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And again forfeiture amount is \$500?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And is that the correct forfeiture amount for this case?
- A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. Would you recommend this amount to

the Commission?

1

2

4

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

- Α. Yes, I do.
- 3 Ο. One other thing just to clear up for the record, I notice on the Notice of 5 Preliminary Determination, it says the vehicle 6 operated by XPO Drayage Incorporated, whereas the carrier on the Driver/Vehicle Examination 7 Report, which is Staff Exhibit 1, is Bridge 8 9 Terminal Transport Services, Inc. Do you know 10 why that is the case?
 - It's likely affiliated with the DOT Α. number on the inspection.
 - Q. So it's a possibility that the DOT number, the name assigned to the DOT number, could have changed since the time of the stop?
- 16 Α. Yes, sir.
 - So from your understanding as an expert in the field it's possible that a different name could be on the Driver/Vehicle Examination Report than can be on the Notice of Preliminary Determination?
- 2.2 Α. Correct. Company names can an do 23 change. DOT number does not.
- 24 But from what you understand in this Ο. 25 case these are both driver violations, so the

- carrier name wouldn't necessarily be relevant
 here; correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

4

5

- Q. Anything else you think would be important to note that the Commission would like to know and be in the record?
- A. Not that I can think at this time.

 MR. BEELER: Thank you. Your Honor,

 no further questions.
- 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Mr.
- 11 | Shad, do you have any questions?
- MR. SHAD: Yes, I do.
- 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go ahead.
- 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 15 By Mr. Shad:
- Q. As far as the intrastate and interstate, the driver's license Bureau, the folks that sent the letter out, they are not so educated on this interstate and intrastate; is that true? Or do they get -- do they have a full training for that?
- MR. BEELER: I am going to object at
- 23 this time, Your Honor. Mr. Persinger is
- 24 testifying as to the calculation of the
- 25 forfeiture in this case. That question would

```
1 have been better directed at Inspector Bell.
```

2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Your question is

3 as to the fines?

2.2

MR. SHAD: As to the rules of the interstate and intrastate. Are the people that are recording this, and when you declare yourself, are they well trained, completely trained, as far as directing what the driver is asking?

MR. BEELER: May I respond, your

Honor?

12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.

MR. BEELER: Again, these would have been great questions for Inspector Bell when he was on the stand, but Mr. Persinger is not here to testify as to the facts of the violation and what occurred on that day and the interpretation of the violation itself. He is mainly here to discuss how the forfeiture was derived.

MR. SHAD: But I object to his objection because he is in charge of issuing these rules. Am I wrong?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I think the violations you were charged with came from what Mr. Beeler is saying is from the Inspector, and

36 they just issue the fines based on 1 2 the inspections that come in. 3 MR. SHAD: I understand. ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So, based on --4 5 MR. SHAD: Can I call Mr. Bell up to 6 the --7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: At this point 8 you cannot. That would have been good on the first round. 9 10 MR. SHAD: I understand. 11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Any other 12 questions for Mr. Persinger? 13 MR. SHAD: No, there is no need in 14 asking him anything. Thank you. 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. 16 MR. BEELER: No redirect, your 17 Honor. 18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. You 19 may step down. 20 MR. BEELER: At this time I will 2.1 move for the admission of what's been previously marked as Staff Exhibit 4 into the record. 2.2 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And that will be 24 admitted.

(EXHIBIT HEREBY ADMITTED INTO

```
1
     EVIDENCE.
2
                 MR. BEELER: That is all I have.
                 MR. SHAD: Sir, who can I ask these
 3
     questions to? I mean, Mr. Bell obviously I
 4
 5
     can't now. But my thoughts are when I declared
 6
     myself nobody knew --
7
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Right now, now
 8
     is your time to present your case. So I can
 9
     call you to the stand, swear you in, and then
10
     you can basically give your opinion of the facts
11
     of how you understand them.
12
                 MR. SHAD:
                            Thank you.
13
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So if you want
14
     to come up here.
15
                 (WITNESS SWORN)
16
17
                        TOMMY SHAD
18
     called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
     testified as follows:
19
20
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So as I was
2.1
     saying you can basically give your testimony of
22
     how you see things and how you understand
     things. And then Mr. Beeler will have a chance
23
24
     to ask you questions after that.
```

MR. SHAD: Okay. My thoughts are

when I got the letter from the Ohio Public
Commission as to interstate and intrastate.
And I didn't know what they were talking about.

- Drove a truck for 20 years or better, and I called them on the phone and said I got this letter, I don't know what this means, interstate and intrastate. My license I thought were intact.
- What can I do? I had hazmat, I had double, triples, everything but tanker. And all I do is drive in Ohio. I don't go out of state. So what is this -- what's the difference?

And they said, well, if you just drive in Ohio all you need is intrastate, with no explanation on product be produced in Ohio or delivered in Ohio. No explanation of those boundaries at all.

And Mr. Bell looked at me, actually looked and said to me "You look confused." And I said "I sure am confused."

And at the mercy of the Court, not even the people at the motor vehicle Bureau, the next day after we had our meeting, they didn't have any idea what I was talking about. And the lady that helped me, I explained to her, she

```
said "My God, my husband is a truck driver.
1
2
```

I am going to tell him about this."

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I told other truck drivers that I know, hey, declare yourself intrastate, or if you do declare yourself intrastate you are in trouble if you are driving containers, you better get it straightened out.

And as I say, I didn't do that out of arrogance or ignorance is what happened. I had no idea of intrastate or interstate product only in Ohio, delivered only in Ohio. It was nothing but confusion.

And I thought with me talking to an official over the phone that they cleared it up for me. I only drive in Ohio, that is all I needed.

I have a medical card, I had no intentions on snobbing the system. And this, I am wondering when did this law come, when did this become -- when did this change? not -- I never got a -- never had to declare myself, I guess I might say, before this. Did this just come about?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We can't answer your questions, unfortunately.

```
1
                 MR. SHAD: Okay. I got you. Not
 2
     understanding. And, like I say, I am at the
 3
     mercy of the court on it.
                 I have my phone records. I don't
 4
     know who I present this to.
 5
 6
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you want to
 7
    present this as an exhibit?
 8
                 MR. SHAD: Sure. Who do I present
     it to?
 9
10
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Actually it goes
11
     into my record. Mr. Beeler gets a copy. Do you
12
     need to keep that copy? Do you have it?
13
                 MR. SHAD: Are you who I talked to
14
     on the phone, by the way? Okay.
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. I
     will mark this as Respondent's Exhibit 1.
16
17
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF
18
     IDENTIFICATION)
19
                 MR. SHAD: I wasn't texting on my
20
    phone driving down the road. It's an incredible
2.1
     thought. Crazy thought. But, as I said, the
22
     record speaks for itself. I wasn't talking to
23
    my company on the phone, or anybody.
                 Nor did I have a conversation with
24
25
    Mr. Bell about talking to my company on the
```

```
41
     phone, or texting on my phone to my company.
 1
 2
     That conversation between him and I was never --
 3
     I don't remember one word about talking -- you
     and I talking about talking to my company on the
 4
 5
     phone, or texting my company on the phone.
 6
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Anything else?
 7
                 MR. SHAD: I have nothing else to
 8
     say. I apologize to the State about the
 9
     intrastate and interstate. I would say that.
10
     And I learned a big lesson there.
11
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Beeler, do
12
     you have any questions?
13
                 MR. BEELER: Yes, just a few, your
14
     Honor.
15
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
16
     By Mr. Beeler:
17
                 So, you don't have a copy of your
18
     phone records up there?
19
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: He can look at
20
     mine.
2.1
            Q.
                 Who is Chadsky Inc?
22
                 That is the owner of the phone
            Α.
23
     and the truck I was driving.
24
                 So is that your work phone?
            Ο.
```

Α.

It was.

42 Do you have a personal phone too? 1 Q. 2 Α. No. 3 And you don't have a personal --Q. I have -- I used that as my personal 4 Α. 5 phone. As your personal cell phone? 6 Q. 7 Α. Yes, yes. From what you see on this report 8 Q. 9 that just shows phone calls; correct? 10 Α. I don't know to be honest. I just 11 asked for records. 12 Ο. Okay. But if you look, I quess I 13 don't know how many columns over, but it says 14 minutes; right? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And then below all those minutes are Ο. 17 just, you know, amounts of time; right? 18 Α. Right. Wouldn't texting show up in 19 that category as far as minutes go? 20 Q. You tell me. 2.1 Α. I don't --22 I don't believe that this shows Q. texts or not. 23 24 I just show usage in my thoughts.

And usage, and you do admit that

25

Q.

- there is a column there that says minutes with minutes listed there?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And those correspond with the numbers of incoming and outgoing calls in the other columns?
- A. Yes, sir. It doesn't say -- it doesn't categorize, it just says usage. And if you are on the phone in any form or fashion it's going to be recorded in my view.
- Q. Okay. And turning just to the other -- the intra and interstate, did the Inspector tell you anything that you could do to fix the restriction on your CDL?
- A. No, sir. I went -- I directly went to the, as far as I remember, I went directly the next day to the motor vehicle Bureau, Bureau of vehicles in Parma, and went back to interstate.
- Q. So the day after the inspection you went to the --
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. The Parma Bureau of Motor Vehicles?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. You went and you got the restriction

changed from intrastate to interstate?

A. Correct. Because in my view that wasn't -- intrastate or interstate wasn't doing me any good as far as making my job easier or making my job quick. I was interstate prior to proclaiming I was intrastate, and I just -- I don't drive out of the state, and I am thinking, well, that was what it accomplished. I don't drive out of state.

I already had a physical card and I presented it to Mr. Bell. And I never thought -- and I pick up the equipment in the rail yard. Product, I don't know what's in there. I am not allowed to pop the seal unless authority has me do it. Got to wait until I get to the customer.

MR. BEELER: No further questions, your Honor. Thank you.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Do you have any response to the questions you were just asked?

MR. SHAD: Who would I ask?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just anything

you want to say after being asked those

25 questions.

```
1
                 MR. SHAD: Intrastate, interstate?
 2
     Really asking for the mercy of the Court.
 3
     truly wasn't trying to be getting over on the
     State because it didn't cost me -- it cost, it's
 4
 5
     going to cost me, but it didn't help me in my
 6
     job whatsoever in my views. I wasn't trying to
 7
     be sly there or whatever you want to put in it.
     I wasn't trying to get over on the State.
 8
 9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
10
     Thank you. You may step down. Mr. Shad, would
     you like this phone record made an official part
11
12
     of this court record?
13
                 MR. SHAD: Well, if you don't need
14
     them. I mean --
15
                 MR. BEELER: No objection.
16
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. It will
17
     be admitted.
18
                 (EXHIBIT HEREBY ADMITTED INTO
19
     EVIDENCE)
20
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Anything
2.1
     further?
22
                 MR. BEELER: One moment. Nothing
23
     further, your Honor. Thank you.
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.
25
     Commission will take everything under
```

```
46
     advisement. I will get a copy of
 1
     the transcript, which the transcript will be
 2
     available on the website within a few weeks
 3
 4
     usually.
 5
                 The Commission will look over
 6
     everything and issue a ruling as soon as they
 7
     can. We are adjourned. Thank you.
 8
                 MR. SHAD: Thank you for listening
 9
     to me.
10
                 MR. BEELER: Thank you.
11
                 (At 10:50 A.M. the hearing was
12
     concluded)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on January 26, 2016, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Michael O. Spencer, Registered Professional Reporter.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/9/2016 9:12:46 AM

in

Case No(s). 15-1521-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript in the matter of the Tommy Shad hearing held on 01/26/16 electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.