, T	Page 3
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO	1 INDEX
BEFORE THE FOBLIC OTTENTIES COMMISSION OF OTHE	2
In the Matter of the :	3 WITNESS PAGE
Application Seeking :	1,122.22.2
Approval of Ohio Power :	4 Matthew White Cross-Examination by Ms. Bair 5
Company's Proposal to : Case No. 14-1693-EL-RDR	0.000
Enter into an Affiliate : Power Purchase Agreement :	5
for Inclusion in the Power:	
Purchase Agreement Rider.:	6
	7 EXHIBITS
In the Matter of the :	8
Application of Ohio Power:	9 DEPOSITION IDENTIFIED
Company for Approval of : Case No. 14-1694-EL-AAM Certain Accounting :	10 1 - Joint Stipulation & Recommendation 6
Authority. :	11
*.= *	12
DEPOSITION	13
of Matthew White, taken before me, Rosemary F.	14
Anderson, a Notary Public in and for the State of	15
Ohio, at the Office of Ohio Consumers' Counsel, 10 West Broad Street, 18th Floor, on Tuesday, January 5,	
2016 at 2:08 p.m.	16
	17
	18
	19
The second secon	20
ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.	21
222 East Town Street, Second Floor	22
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201	23
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481	24
FAX - (614) 224-5724	25
Page 2	Page 4
APPEARANCES:	1 MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy	1 MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of 2 Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway	1 MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of 2 Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker	1 MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of 2 Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's 3 PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016	1 MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of 2 Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's 3 PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM 4 Do you want to go around and make
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy.	1 MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of 2 Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's 3 PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM 4 Do you want to go around and make 5 appearances? Let the people here speak first and
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel	1 MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of 2 Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's 3 PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM 4 Do you want to go around and make 5 appearances? Let the people here speak first and 6 then go to the phone.
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair,	1 MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of 2 Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's 3 PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM 4 Do you want to go around and make 5 appearances? Let the people here speak first and 6 then go to the phone. 7 MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy,
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel	1 MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of 2 Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's 3 PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM 4 Do you want to go around and make 5 appearances? Let the people here speak first and 6 then go to the phone.
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair,	1 MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of 2 Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's 3 PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM 4 Do you want to go around and make 5 appearances? Let the people here speak first and 6 then go to the phone. 7 MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, 8 Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as 9 well.
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company.	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power Ohio, Daniel R. Conway.
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP By Mr. Daniel R. Conway	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power Ohio, Daniel R. Conway. MS. BAIR: Could you please announce your
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP By Mr. Daniel R. Conway 41 South High Street	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power Ohio, Daniel R. Conway. MS. BAIR: Could you please announce your name on the phone and tell what party you're with.
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP By Mr. Daniel R. Conway 41 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Ohio Power Company.	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power Ohio, Daniel R. Conway. MS. BAIR: Could you please announce your name on the phone and tell what party you're with. MR. PRITCHARD: Matt Pritchard with the
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP By Mr. Daniel R. Conway 41 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Ohio Power Company. McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power Ohio, Daniel R. Conway. MS. BAIR: Could you please announce your name on the phone and tell what party you're with. MR. PRITCHARD: Matt Pritchard with the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio.
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP By Mr. Daniel R. Conway 41 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Ohio Power Company.	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power Ohio, Daniel R. Conway. MS. BAIR: Could you please announce your name on the phone and tell what party you're with. MR. PRITCHARD: Matt Pritchard with the
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP By Mr. Daniel R. Conway 41 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Ohio Power Company. McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC Mr. Matthew R. Pritchard (via telephone) 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power Ohio, Daniel R. Conway. MS. BAIR: Could you please announce your name on the phone and tell what party you're with. MR. PRITCHARD: Matt Pritchard with the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio.
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP By Mr. Daniel R. Conway 41 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Ohio Power Company. McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC Mr. Matthew R. Pritchard (via telephone) 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power Ohio, Daniel R. Conway. MS. BAIR: Could you please announce your name on the phone and tell what party you're with. MR. PRITCHARD: Matt Pritchard with the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. MR. MENDOZA: This is Tony Mendoza with Sierra Club.
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP By Mr. Daniel R. Conway 41 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Ohio Power Company. McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC Mr. Matthew R. Pritchard (via telephone) 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power Ohio, Daniel R. Conway. MS. BAIR: Could you please announce your name on the phone and tell what party you're with. MR. PRITCHARD: Matt Pritchard with the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. MR. MENDOZA: This is Tony Mendoza with Sierra Club. MR. SMITH: Craig Smith, PUCO staff.
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP By Mr. Daniel R. Conway 41 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Ohio Power Company. McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC Mr. Matthew R. Pritchard (via telephone) 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. Sierra Club Environmental Law Program	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power Ohio, Daniel R. Conway. MS. BAIR: Could you please announce your name on the phone and tell what party you're with. MR. PRITCHARD: Matt Pritchard with the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. MR. MENDOZA: This is Tony Mendoza with Sierra Club. MR. SMITH: Craig Smith, PUCO staff. MS. BAIR: Okay. Anyone else?
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP By Mr. Daniel R. Conway 41 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Ohio Power Company. McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC Mr. Matthew R. Pritchard (via telephone) 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. Sierra Club Environmental Law Program By Mr. Tony Mendoza (via telephone)	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power Ohio, Daniel R. Conway. MS. BAIR: Could you please announce your name on the phone and tell what party you're with. MR. PRITCHARD: Matt Pritchard with the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. MR. MENDOZA: This is Tony Mendoza with Sierra Club. MR. SMITH: Craig Smith, PUCO staff. MS. BAIR: Okay. Anyone else? (No response.)
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP By Mr. Daniel R. Conway 41 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Ohio Power Company. McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC Mr. Matthew R. Pritchard (via telephone) 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. Sierra Club Environmental Law Program	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power Ohio, Daniel R. Conway. MS. BAIR: Could you please announce your name on the phone and tell what party you're with. MR. PRITCHARD: Matt Pritchard with the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. MR. MENDOZA: This is Tony Mendoza with Sierra Club. MR. SMITH: Craig Smith, PUCO staff. MS. BAIR: Okay. Anyone else? (No response.)
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP By Mr. Daniel R. Conway 41 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Ohio Power Company. McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC Mr. Matthew R. Pritchard (via telephone) 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. Sierra Club Environmental Law Program By Mr. Tony Mendoza (via telephone) 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, California 94105	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power Ohio, Daniel R. Conway. MS. BAIR: Could you please announce your name on the phone and tell what party you're with. MR. PRITCHARD: Matt Pritchard with the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. MR. MENDOZA: This is Tony Mendoza with Sierra Club. MR. SMITH: Craig Smith, PUCO staff. MS. BAIR: Okay. Anyone else? (No response.)
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP By Mr. Daniel R. Conway 41 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Ohio Power Company. McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC Mr. Matthew R. Pritchard (via telephone) 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. Sierra Club Environmental Law Program By Mr. Tony Mendoza (via telephone) 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, California 94105 On behalf of the Sierra Club.	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power Ohio, Daniel R. Conway. MS. BAIR: Could you please announce your name on the phone and tell what party you're with. MR. PRITCHARD: Matt Pritchard with the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. MR. MENDOZA: This is Tony Mendoza with Sierra Club. MR. SMITH: Craig Smith, PUCO staff. MS. BAIR: Okay. Anyone else? (No response.)
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP By Mr. Daniel R. Conway 41 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Ohio Power Company. McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC Mr. Matthew R. Pritchard (via telephone) 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. Sierra Club Environmental Law Program By Mr. Tony Mendoza (via telephone) 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, California 94105	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power Ohio, Daniel R. Conway. MS. BAIR: Could you please announce your name on the phone and tell what party you're with. MR. PRITCHARD: Matt Pritchard with the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. MR. MENDOZA: This is Tony Mendoza with Sierra Club. MR. SMITH: Craig Smith, PUCO staff. MS. BAIR: Okay. Anyone else? (No response.)
APPEARANCES: IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 On behalf of IGS Energy. Bruce J. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Kevin F. Moore Ms. Jodi Bair, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Ohio Power Company. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP By Mr. Daniel R. Conway 41 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Ohio Power Company. McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC Mr. Matthew R. Pritchard (via telephone) 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. Sierra Club Environmental Law Program By Mr. Tony Mendoza (via telephone) 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, California 94105 On behalf of the Sierra Club. ALSO PRESENT:	MS. BAIR: This is Jodi Bair, Office of Consumers' Counsel. This is a deposition in AEP's PPA case, No. 14-1693-EL-RDR and 14-1694-EL-AAM Do you want to go around and make appearances? Let the people here speak first and then go to the phone. MR. OLIKER: On behalf of IGS Energy, Joseph Oliker, and Corben Sherlock is with me as well. MR. CONWAY: On behalf of Ohio Power Ohio, Daniel R. Conway. MS. BAIR: Could you please announce your name on the phone and tell what party you're with. MR. PRITCHARD: Matt Pritchard with the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. MR. MENDOZA: This is Tony Mendoza with Sierra Club. MR. SMITH: Craig Smith, PUCO staff. MS. BAIR: Okay. Anyone else? (No response.)

Page 7 Page 5 1 the Stipulation. 1 MATTHEW WHITE 2 Q. Okay. So IGS would not be filing any 2 being by me first duly sworn, as hereinafter 3 brief in this part of the hearing? 3 certified, deposes and says as follows: 4 MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent it **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 4 5 calls for our litigation strategy and what we may or 5 By Ms. Bair: 6 may not do in the proceeding. He's not going to 6 Q. Could you please tell me what your 7 answer those questions about whether he will --7 position is at IGS and how long have you been there? 8 A. My position at IGS is general counsel, 8 MS. BAIR: He just defined that as part 9 of the footnote that he said you're not obligated 9 legislative regulatory affairs. I've been at IGS for 10 10 approximately five years. 11 MR. OLIKER: He said we're not obligated. 11 O. And before that what was your occupation? 12 A. I was an attorney at the law firm Chester 12 He didn't say what we would do. MS. BAIR: That's what I'm following up 13 13 Willcox & Saxbe. Q. For how long? 14 14 on. 15 MR. OLIKER: And I'm not going to let him 15 A. Approximately four years. O. I'm going to go right to the questions 16 answer that question because that's attorney-client 16 17 privilege and discussion regarding litigation 17 regarding the Stipulation and IGS's participation or 18 18 nonparticipation, however you want to characterize Q. (By Ms. Bair) During the hearing that was 19 19 20 held from September 28 through November 3, 2015 in 20 A. I have documents if you want me to get this proceeding, was IGS opposed to the 21 21 them. implementation of a PPA rider at that time? 22 22 (Discussion off the record.) A. Can you repeat the question? 23 23 MS. BAIR: I can mark as Exhibit 1 the 24 Q. During the hearing that was held in this 24 Joint Stipulation & Recommendation. If you want to 25 case in September and November 2015, was IGS opposed 25 use yours, I'm fine with that. Page 8 Page 6 1 to the implementation of a PPA rider? (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 1 A. IGS filed testimony opposing the 2 2 O. In addition to the Stipulation, what 3 implementation of a PPA rider. 3 other documents have you brought with you to rely 4 Q. Okay. Now that IGS is a signatory party, 4 5 you would agree that the IGS is no longer opposed to 5 A. I brought the Stipulation, the Notice of the implementation of a PPA rider, correct? Deposition that OCC served on IGS, and I brought the 6 6 discovery responses, IGS's discovery responses to 7 7 A. Now that IGS is a signatory party in the 8 Stipulation, IGS supports the Stipulation as a 8 9 9 Q. Okay. Thank you. Isn't it true that IGS package. Q. Okay. Let's say -- well, the hearing did 10 10 is not obligated to support the Stipulation? end on November 3. What date or approximate date did 11 MR. OLIKER: Jodi, are you referring to 11 IGS change its position? 12 12 the Stipulation itself? 13 A. I would not characterize IGS as changing 13 MS. BAIR: The Stipulation that we have 14 marked as Deposition Exhibit 1. 14 its position. 15 Q. Okay. During the hearing held in 15 A. We're not obligated to actively spend resources to participate in a proceeding to support 16 September through November in this case, you just 16 17 said that IGS was opposed to the proposal of a PPA 17 the Stipulation. Q. So you do not support the Stipulation? 18 rider, correct? 18 19 A. That's not what -- that's not correct. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Now IGS is supporting the Stipulation. 20 That's not my answer. You just stated that, correct? 21 Q. I believe your answer was you're not 21 22 A. Correct. 22 obligated, which means that you're not obligated to 23 Q. When did IGS change its position from 23 expend resources. Is that what you said? 24 opposing the PPA rider to now being on board with the 24 A. We're not obligated to engage in litigation, file briefs, file testimony to support 25 Stipulation that implements a PPA rider? 25

	Page 9		Page 11
1	A. IGS's position is as a package with the	1	going through specific provisions in White Deposition
2	additional provisions in the Stipulation. The PPA	2	Exhibit 1, which is the Stipulation & Recommendation
3	rider as part of the package is a reasonable	3	in the case. I believe you have that out.
4	resolution to the outcome of this proceeding, but we	4	A. Yes.
	· -	5	Q. Okay. Beginning on page 1, the bottom
5	didn't change our position. Q. Okay. So time-wise when would you say	6	line there, it reads, "This Stipulation is supported
6		7	by adequate data and information; as a package, the
7	that the package became something you wanted to sign	8	Stipulation benefits customers and the public
8	on?	9	interest; provides director benefits to residential
9	A. I don't know the exact date, but, I mean,		and low income customers; and represents a just and
10	we decided to sign on the date very close to the	10 11	reasonable resolution of all issues in the
11	deadline when the Stipulation was filed.	1	
12	Q. Okay. So the Stipulation was filed on	12	proceeding; violates no regulatory principle or
13	December 15, 2015. You'll accept that, right?	13	practice; and complies with and promotes the policies
14	A. Yes.	14	and requirements of Title 49 of the Ohio Revised
15	Q. Were you involved in negotiating the	15	Code." Do you see that?
16	settlement on behalf of IGS?	16	A. Yes.
17	A. Yes.	17	Q. And there is a footnote at the end of
18	Q. What was your role?	18	that sentence that says that Sierra Club, Direct
19	A. I negotiated the settlement on behalf of	19	Energy, and IGS agree not to oppose.
20	IGS.	20	A. Yes.
21	Q. How many times did you meet with AEP not	21	Q. Why is that footnote there?
22	as a part of the whole group meeting?	22	MR. OLIKER: Objection. Are you asking
23	A. I don't remember.	23	for the rationale or the meaning?
24	Q. Two times, more than three?	24	Q. (By Ms. Bair) What's the rationale for
25	A. I don't know the exact number.	25	that footnote being there?
	Page 10		Page 12
	Page 10		
1	Q. So you can't tell me whether you met	1	MR. OLIKER: He's not going to give you
2	approximately two times or ten times? There's no	2	that answer because that calls for attorney-client
3	ball-park figure that you can give me?	3	privilege.
4	A. Maybe two or three times.	4	Q. (By Ms. Bair) What is the meaning of
5	Q. Thank you. In addition to yourself, who	5	that?
6	else attended those meetings on behalf of IGS?	6	A. The meaning is we agree not to oppose
7	A. Myself, Joe Oliker was in some of those	7	that provision in the Stipulation.
8	meetings, Corben Sherlock was in some of those	8	Q. Okay. Can you define oppose?
9	meetings. I think those were the only other members	9	A. I don't have a dictionary in front of me,
10	of IGS that attended those meetings.	10	but oppose is not to fight against.
11	Q. And where were those meetings held?	11	Q. Okay. So IGS does not support this
T T	O' I HIGH WHOLE WELL WELL WELL WAS AND THE PARTY.	1 ++	
12	A. At the PUCO.	12	provision in the Stipulation, right?
	A. At the PUCO.		
12	A. At the PUCO.Q. And it was just you and AEP?	12	provision in the Stipulation, right? A. We agree not to oppose that provision in the Stipulation.
12 13 14	A. At the PUCO.Q. And it was just you and AEP?A. I believe there were meetings with just	12 13	provision in the Stipulation, right? A. We agree not to oppose that provision in the Stipulation. Q. Is it that sentence in its entirety, or
12 13 14 15	 A. At the PUCO. Q. And it was just you and AEP? A. I believe there were meetings with just IGS and AEP, yes. 	12 13 14	provision in the Stipulation, right? A. We agree not to oppose that provision in the Stipulation.
12 13 14 15 16	 A. At the PUCO. Q. And it was just you and AEP? A. I believe there were meetings with just IGS and AEP, yes. Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that the 	12 13 14 15	provision in the Stipulation, right? A. We agree not to oppose that provision in the Stipulation. Q. Is it that sentence in its entirety, or
12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. At the PUCO. Q. And it was just you and AEP? A. I believe there were meetings with just IGS and AEP, yes. Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that the Commission evaluates stipulations based upon these 	12 13 14 15 16	provision in the Stipulation, right? A. We agree not to oppose that provision in the Stipulation. Q. Is it that sentence in its entirety, or is it there are things in there like just particularly the public interest that you agree not to oppose? Or is it that you don't believe that it
12 13 14 15 16 17	A. At the PUCO. Q. And it was just you and AEP? A. I believe there were meetings with just IGS and AEP, yes. Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that the Commission evaluates stipulations based upon these three criteria: Is the stipulation a result of	12 13 14 15 16 17	provision in the Stipulation, right? A. We agree not to oppose that provision in the Stipulation. Q. Is it that sentence in its entirety, or is it there are things in there like just particularly the public interest that you agree not to oppose? Or is it that you don't believe that it provides you want to be not opposed to it provides
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. At the PUCO. Q. And it was just you and AEP? A. I believe there were meetings with just IGS and AEP, yes. Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that the Commission evaluates stipulations based upon these three criteria: Is the stipulation a result of serious bargaining by knowledgable parties? Is the	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	provision in the Stipulation, right? A. We agree not to oppose that provision in the Stipulation. Q. Is it that sentence in its entirety, or is it there are things in there like just particularly the public interest that you agree not to oppose? Or is it that you don't believe that it
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. At the PUCO. Q. And it was just you and AEP? A. I believe there were meetings with just IGS and AEP, yes. Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that the Commission evaluates stipulations based upon these three criteria: Is the stipulation a result of serious bargaining by knowledgable parties? Is the stipulation in the public interest? And does the	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	provision in the Stipulation, right? A. We agree not to oppose that provision in the Stipulation. Q. Is it that sentence in its entirety, or is it there are things in there like just particularly the public interest that you agree not to oppose? Or is it that you don't believe that it provides — you want to be not opposed to it provides direct benefits to residential and low income?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. At the PUCO. Q. And it was just you and AEP? A. I believe there were meetings with just IGS and AEP, yes. Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that the Commission evaluates stipulations based upon these three criteria: Is the stipulation a result of serious bargaining by knowledgable parties? Is the stipulation in the public interest? And does the stipulation violate any regulatory policies or	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	provision in the Stipulation, right? A. We agree not to oppose that provision in the Stipulation. Q. Is it that sentence in its entirety, or is it there are things in there like just particularly the public interest that you agree not to oppose? Or is it that you don't believe that it provides — you want to be not opposed to it provides direct benefits to residential and low income? MR. OLIKER: Object. You're asking
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. At the PUCO. Q. And it was just you and AEP? A. I believe there were meetings with just IGS and AEP, yes. Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that the Commission evaluates stipulations based upon these three criteria: Is the stipulation a result of serious bargaining by knowledgable parties? Is the stipulation in the public interest? And does the stipulation violate any regulatory policies or procedures? Does that sound accurate?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	provision in the Stipulation, right? A. We agree not to oppose that provision in the Stipulation. Q. Is it that sentence in its entirety, or is it there are things in there like just particularly the public interest that you agree not to oppose? Or is it that you don't believe that it provides you want to be not opposed to it provides direct benefits to residential and low income? MR. OLIKER: Object. You're asking whether the footnote applies to the entire sentence
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. At the PUCO. Q. And it was just you and AEP? A. I believe there were meetings with just IGS and AEP, yes. Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that the Commission evaluates stipulations based upon these three criteria: Is the stipulation a result of serious bargaining by knowledgable parties? Is the stipulation in the public interest? And does the stipulation violate any regulatory policies or procedures? Does that sound accurate? A. Subject to check, verification, that	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	provision in the Stipulation, right? A. We agree not to oppose that provision in the Stipulation. Q. Is it that sentence in its entirety, or is it there are things in there like just particularly the public interest that you agree not to oppose? Or is it that you don't believe that it provides you want to be not opposed to it provides direct benefits to residential and low income? MR. OLIKER: Object. You're asking whether the footnote applies to the entire sentence you read or just the portion you read?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. At the PUCO. Q. And it was just you and AEP? A. I believe there were meetings with just IGS and AEP, yes. Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that the Commission evaluates stipulations based upon these three criteria: Is the stipulation a result of serious bargaining by knowledgable parties? Is the stipulation in the public interest? And does the stipulation violate any regulatory policies or procedures? Does that sound accurate?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	provision in the Stipulation, right? A. We agree not to oppose that provision in the Stipulation. Q. Is it that sentence in its entirety, or is it there are things in there like just particularly the public interest that you agree not to oppose? Or is it that you don't believe that it provides you want to be not opposed to it provides direct benefits to residential and low income? MR. OLIKER: Object. You're asking whether the footnote applies to the entire sentence

	Page 13		Page 15
1	sentence.	1	Stipulation, then we would support that sentence
2	Q. Okay. And if we have three parties that	2	Q. Okay. I'm just pointing this one out
3	have footnoted basically out of that very important	3	A as part of the package
4	part that the Commission uses to evaluate	4	Q. I'm sorry.
5	stipulations, how can the Commission evaluate that	5	A without supporting every single
6	this was the result of serious bargaining among	6	provision necessarily.
7	knowledgeable parties?	7	Q. What I'm trying to find out, you did not
8	A. Probably the same way it's evaluated that	8	provide a footnote for this sentence. Sierra Club
9	when OCC has put footnotes in stipulations,	9	did, but that's not you.
10	similar similar footnotes in stipulations.	10	A. Yes.
11	They're able to read the document as a package and	11	Q. You did provide a footnote for this one
12	read within the lines of the document what the words	12	up here. What's the difference?
13	mean and make an independent evaluation based on the	13	A. That was a negotiation between AEP's
14	document as a whole.	14	attorneys and my counsel. I don't know that.
15	Q. Okay. So the standards that are set	15	Q. So, in other words, if you agree not to
16	forth in this particular sentence that IGS is neutral	16	oppose, you really are supporting it. There's no
17	on	17	difference in interpretation there?
18	A. Uh-huh.	18	A. That was the I don't know. That was a
19	Q does that mean you do not support that	19	negotiation between my attorney and AEP's attorney.
20	sentence?	20	Q. So you don't know if you support
21	A. I'm sorry, can you rephrase the question?	21	sentences that don't have footnotes, or you would
22	Q. It's the bottom of page 1, top of page 2.	22	support sentences that don't have your footnote in
23	I understand that you're neutral on it.	23	them?
24	A. I don't know. I did not use the word	24	A. We support the Stipulation as a package.
25	"neutral."	25	That doesn't necessarily mean if any particular
23	neuu ai.	2.5	That doesn't necessarily mean if any particular
	Page 14		Page 16
1	Q. What was your definition of oppose, go	1	sentence or provision in the Stipulation was standing
2	against?	2	alone we would support that provision standing alone.
3	A. Not fight against.	3	But to the extent that that sentence is part of the
4	Q. Do you support it?		package, we support it if it remains part of the
5		4	package, we support it it telliallis part of the
0		1	
6	A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose	5 6	package and not a standalone provision.
6 7	A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision.	5	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4.
7	A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision.Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose,	5 6 7	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and
7 8	 A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? 	5 6 7 8	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider"
7 8 9	 A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? A. We're not going to fight against it. 	5 6 7 8 9	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider" A. Yes.
7 8 9 10	 A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? A. We're not going to fight against it. We're not going to oppose it. That's what that 	5 6 7 8 9	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider" A. Yes. Q the first sentence reads, "The
7 8 9 10 11	 A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? A. We're not going to fight against it. We're not going to oppose it. That's what that provision means. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider" A. Yes. Q the first sentence reads, "The Signatory Parties agree that it would be prudent for
7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? A. We're not going to fight against it. We're not going to oppose it. That's what that provision means. Q. But you're not supporting it either? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider" A. Yes. Q the first sentence reads, "The Signatory Parties agree that it would be prudent for AEP Ohio to sign a Revised Affiliate PPA, which has
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? A. We're not going to fight against it. We're not going to oppose it. That's what that provision means. Q. But you're not supporting it either? A. IGS supports the Stipulation as a 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider" A. Yes. Q the first sentence reads, "The Signatory Parties agree that it would be prudent for AEP Ohio to sign a Revised Affiliate PPA, which has been updated as summarized in Attachment A."
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? A. We're not going to fight against it. We're not going to oppose it. That's what that provision means. Q. But you're not supporting it either? A. IGS supports the Stipulation as a package. To the extent that provision is part of the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider" A. Yes. Q the first sentence reads, "The Signatory Parties agree that it would be prudent for AEP Ohio to sign a Revised Affiliate PPA, which has been updated as summarized in Attachment A." A. Yes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? A. We're not going to fight against it. We're not going to oppose it. That's what that provision means. Q. But you're not supporting it either? A. IGS supports the Stipulation as a package. To the extent that provision is part of the Stipulation as a package, then we support it.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider" A. Yes. Q the first sentence reads, "The Signatory Parties agree that it would be prudent for AEP Ohio to sign a Revised Affiliate PPA, which has been updated as summarized in Attachment A." A. Yes. Q. And IGS says that it is not participating
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? A. We're not going to fight against it. We're not going to oppose it. That's what that provision means. Q. But you're not supporting it either? A. IGS supports the Stipulation as a package. To the extent that provision is part of the Stipulation as a package, then we support it. Q. Okay. Moving on to page 2, looking at	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider" A. Yes. Q the first sentence reads, "The Signatory Parties agree that it would be prudent for AEP Ohio to sign a Revised Affiliate PPA, which has been updated as summarized in Attachment A." A. Yes. Q. And IGS says that it is not participating in this provision, right? We're using different
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? A. We're not going to fight against it. We're not going to oppose it. That's what that provision means. Q. But you're not supporting it either? A. IGS supports the Stipulation as a package. To the extent that provision is part of the Stipulation as a package, then we support it. Q. Okay. Moving on to page 2, looking at the still up in that paragraph above Recitals,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider" A. Yes. Q the first sentence reads, "The Signatory Parties agree that it would be prudent for AEP Ohio to sign a Revised Affiliate PPA, which has been updated as summarized in Attachment A." A. Yes. Q. And IGS says that it is not participating in this provision, right? We're using different terminology. We are moving beyond not opposed. Now
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? A. We're not going to fight against it. We're not going to oppose it. That's what that provision means. Q. But you're not supporting it either? A. IGS supports the Stipulation as a package. To the extent that provision is part of the Stipulation as a package, then we support it. Q. Okay. Moving on to page 2, looking at the still up in that paragraph above Recitals, that last sentence there, it says, "For purposes of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider" A. Yes. Q the first sentence reads, "The Signatory Parties agree that it would be prudent for AEP Ohio to sign a Revised Affiliate PPA, which has been updated as summarized in Attachment A." A. Yes. Q. And IGS says that it is not participating in this provision, right? We're using different terminology. We are moving beyond not opposed. Now you're saying you don't participate in this.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? A. We're not going to fight against it. We're not going to oppose it. That's what that provision means. Q. But you're not supporting it either? A. IGS supports the Stipulation as a package. To the extent that provision is part of the Stipulation as a package, then we support it. Q. Okay. Moving on to page 2, looking at the still up in that paragraph above Recitals, that last sentence there, it says, "For purposes of resolving the issues raised by these proceedings, the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider" A. Yes. Q the first sentence reads, "The Signatory Parties agree that it would be prudent for AEP Ohio to sign a Revised Affiliate PPA, which has been updated as summarized in Attachment A." A. Yes. Q. And IGS says that it is not participating in this provision, right? We're using different terminology. We are moving beyond not opposed. Now you're saying you don't participate in this. A. Yes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? A. We're not going to fight against it. We're not going to oppose it. That's what that provision means. Q. But you're not supporting it either? A. IGS supports the Stipulation as a package. To the extent that provision is part of the Stipulation as a package, then we support it. Q. Okay. Moving on to page 2, looking at the still up in that paragraph above Recitals, that last sentence there, it says, "For purposes of resolving the issues raised by these proceedings, the Signatory Parties agree to fully support adoption of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider" A. Yes. Q the first sentence reads, "The Signatory Parties agree that it would be prudent for AEP Ohio to sign a Revised Affiliate PPA, which has been updated as summarized in Attachment A." A. Yes. Q. And IGS says that it is not participating in this provision, right? We're using different terminology. We are moving beyond not opposed. Now you're saying you don't participate in this. A. Yes. Q. What does that mean?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? A. We're not going to fight against it. We're not going to oppose it. That's what that provision means. Q. But you're not supporting it either? A. IGS supports the Stipulation as a package. To the extent that provision is part of the Stipulation as a package, then we support it. Q. Okay. Moving on to page 2, looking at the still up in that paragraph above Recitals, that last sentence there, it says, "For purposes of resolving the issues raised by these proceedings, the Signatory Parties agree to fully support adoption of the Stipulation without modification in this	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider" A. Yes. Q the first sentence reads, "The Signatory Parties agree that it would be prudent for AEP Ohio to sign a Revised Affiliate PPA, which has been updated as summarized in Attachment A." A. Yes. Q. And IGS says that it is not participating in this provision, right? We're using different terminology. We are moving beyond not opposed. Now you're saying you don't participate in this. A. Yes. Q. What does that mean? A. That was a provision that was negotiated
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? A. We're not going to fight against it. We're not going to oppose it. That's what that provision means. Q. But you're not supporting it either? A. IGS supports the Stipulation as a package. To the extent that provision is part of the Stipulation as a package, then we support it. Q. Okay. Moving on to page 2, looking at the still up in that paragraph above Recitals, that last sentence there, it says, "For purposes of resolving the issues raised by these proceedings, the Signatory Parties agree to fully support adoption of the Stipulation without modification in this proceeding." So IGS does fully support that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider" A. Yes. Q the first sentence reads, "The Signatory Parties agree that it would be prudent for AEP Ohio to sign a Revised Affiliate PPA, which has been updated as summarized in Attachment A." A. Yes. Q. And IGS says that it is not participating in this provision, right? We're using different terminology. We are moving beyond not opposed. Now you're saying you don't participate in this. A. Yes. Q. What does that mean? A. That was a provision that was negotiated between AEP and my counsel, and I don't know.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? A. We're not going to fight against it. We're not going to oppose it. That's what that provision means. Q. But you're not supporting it either? A. IGS supports the Stipulation as a package. To the extent that provision is part of the Stipulation as a package, then we support it. Q. Okay. Moving on to page 2, looking at the still up in that paragraph above Recitals, that last sentence there, it says, "For purposes of resolving the issues raised by these proceedings, the Signatory Parties agree to fully support adoption of the Stipulation without modification in this proceeding." So IGS does fully support that sentence?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider" A. Yes. Q the first sentence reads, "The Signatory Parties agree that it would be prudent for AEP Ohio to sign a Revised Affiliate PPA, which has been updated as summarized in Attachment A." A. Yes. Q. And IGS says that it is not participating in this provision, right? We're using different terminology. We are moving beyond not opposed. Now you're saying you don't participate in this. A. Yes. Q. What does that mean? A. That was a provision that was negotiated between AEP and my counsel, and I don't know. Q. Do you know the difference between
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. We do not IGS agrees not to oppose that provision. Q. So that means if you agree not to oppose, you're certainly not supporting it? A. We're not going to fight against it. We're not going to oppose it. That's what that provision means. Q. But you're not supporting it either? A. IGS supports the Stipulation as a package. To the extent that provision is part of the Stipulation as a package, then we support it. Q. Okay. Moving on to page 2, looking at the still up in that paragraph above Recitals, that last sentence there, it says, "For purposes of resolving the issues raised by these proceedings, the Signatory Parties agree to fully support adoption of the Stipulation without modification in this proceeding." So IGS does fully support that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	package and not a standalone provision. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 4. Looking at Section A entitled "Additional Terms and Conditions of the PPA Rider" A. Yes. Q the first sentence reads, "The Signatory Parties agree that it would be prudent for AEP Ohio to sign a Revised Affiliate PPA, which has been updated as summarized in Attachment A." A. Yes. Q. And IGS says that it is not participating in this provision, right? We're using different terminology. We are moving beyond not opposed. Now you're saying you don't participate in this. A. Yes. Q. What does that mean? A. That was a provision that was negotiated between AEP and my counsel, and I don't know.

Page 19 Page 17 Mr. Oliker. I'm trying to understand footnotes. meaning in the Stipulation? 1 1 2 2 MR. CONWAY: You got your way. You got O. Yes, absolutely, just this sentence with 3 a witness. To the extent he knows something, 3 the footnote. Mr. Oliker can defend. To the extent he has 4 4 A. I don't know what that distinction is. something to say that's relevant and is not 5 Q. So as you sit here today, it doesn't 5 6 really have any meaningful distinction? 6 privileged, we don't have objection to that, but if 7 you go beyond that, we do. 7 A. That was part of the negotiation between 8 my counsel and AEP's counsel. 8 MS. BAIR: You have an objection to me 9 asking about participating and not participating? 9 Q. Yes. But this is a footnote that has MR. CONWAY: I have an objection to 10 10 meaning. It affects the Stipulation. You've been converting this exercise into a deposition of 11 11 offered as a witness, and I'm trying to understand 12 what it means, which is the specific purpose of this 12 Mr. Oliker. deposition. But you're saying that it doesn't mean 1.3 MS. BAIR: I'm not trying to do that. 13 MR. CONWAY: That's what it sounded like 14 any difference, participating or not participating? 14 15 A. I'm not saying it doesn't mean anything. 15 to me. That's my objection. MS. BAIR: No. I'm just trying to get my I'm just saying I don't know. That was a negotiation 16 16 between my counsel and AEP's counsel. 17 17 answer. O. (By Ms. Bair) If we could move on to 18 18 O. This isn't legal terminology. 19 page 5. Section 2, the title of it is "Inclusion of 19 Participation is not a legal terminology that I'm OVEC Entitlement in PPA Rider." aware of. I'm trying to find out the factual meaning 20 20 of what participating means. It's something that IGS 21 A. Yes. 21 22 Q. Okay. Well, I think we are going to be 22 is going to do or not going to do, like, factually, in the same boat. We will see. The first sentence and I'm trying to understand what that is. 23 23 reads, "The Signatory Parties agree that the net 24 A. I negotiated the material provisions in 24 credits or cost of AEP Ohio's contractual entitlement 25 25 the Stipulation. My counsel negotiated the footnotes Page 20 Page 18 1 to a share of the electrical output of generating 1 so I don't know. 2 O. So the footnotes aren't material to IGS's 2 units owned by the Ohio Valley Electric Corporation, 3 ('OVEC PPA') should be reflected in AEP Ohio's rates 3 position in this case? by including OVEC PPA in the PPA Rider, as proposed 4 A. No. What my counsel negotiates and talks 4 5 in AEP Ohio's Amended Application." 5 about in briefs is material to IGS's position. I'm 6 The footnote, Sierra Club, Direct Energy, 6 just saying he's the one that understands why that's 7 and IGS are not participating in this provision but 7 in there. 8 agree not to support. 8 MS. BAIR: Is counsel willing to answer 9 the difference between participating and not 9 MR. CONWAY: Objection. 10 MS. BAIR: Yes? 10 participating? 11 MR. CONWAY: Misquoted the footnote. MR, OLIKER: I don't believe that -- I'm 11 12 Q. (By Ms. Bair) "Sierra Club, Direct not the one being deposed here, and I don't want to 12 Energy, and IGS are not participating in this 13 13 talk about the internal conferences and privileges provision but agree not to oppose it." Do you see 14 that we have. I also don't have counsel to represent 14 15 that? 15 16 A. Yes. 16 MR. CONWAY: Just for the record, I would object to trying to enlist Mr. Oliker as a witness in Q. And I'm guessing from earlier that -- can 17 17 you describe what not participating as it relates to 18 the deposition for a variety of reasons. It's beyond 18 this particular footnote means? 19 19 the scope of the deposition, so I object to your 20 A. I don't know. 20 efforts to try to get Mr. Oliker to either testify or to make statements on the record about the 21 O. Okav. 21 MR. OLIKER: Jodi, we did answer these 22 22 Stipulation. 23 questions in discovery. 23 MS. BAIR: And just for the record, I'd 24 MS. BAIR: Not participating? like to note we had the deposition for the purpose of 24 25 MR. OLIKER: It speaks for itself. better understanding it, and I'm not trying to get 25

Page 24

O. What are the terms and conditions of this

new DIR that's going to be filed?

1 and Critical Energy Infrastructure Information." 1 MS. BAIR: Okay. I think you're changing 2 what's going on here, and the witness admitted he A. Yes. 2 3 O. I see Sierra Club footnoted out, but IGS brought the discovery with him, which he's absolutely 3 did not footnote out of that. So IGS then supports 4 4 free to use. 5 the treatment ahead of time, treating this Q. (By Ms. Bair) Moving to page 6, Section 5 6 information as confidential information; is that 6 4. the PPA Rider Mechanism --7 correct? 7 A. Yes. 8 A. To the extent it's part of the package, 8 O. -- "The Signatory Parties agree that the 9 IGS supports the package. IGS does not necessarily 9 PPA Rider will be set based on annual forecasted support an individual provision or sentence in the 10 values subject to quarterly true-ups to reflect 10 Stipulation on a standalone basis. 11 actual values, with the initial rider rate being 11 Q. Do you know what Critical Energy 12 12 based on a \$4 million credit for 2016 (annualized) Infrastructure Information is? Does it have a 13 13 subject to reconciliation." So IGS supports this different level of protection than others, and that's provision in the Stipulation, correct? 14 14 why it's in the Stipulation? 15 A. IGS supports the Stipulation as a 15 A. Since it's capitalized, I assume it has a 16 16 package. specific meaning, but I don't know if it's put in the 17 O. Was IGS presented with any forecasts or 17 Stipulation -- the defined term is put in the other information that explains the basis of the 18 18 19 Stipulation or not. \$4 million credit we are discussing as part of 19 20 Q. Okay. Could you please move on to 20 Section 4? page 9, Section B, the Federal Advocacy piece of the 21 21 A. Not that I'm aware of. 22 Stipulation. It's on page 9. Q. Okay. Moving on to page 7, I'm looking 2.2 A. Yes. 23 at B at the bottom of that page regarding Full 23 24 O. Okay. No. 1, that says that "Through Information Sharing. 24 May 31, 2024, AEP will advocate" -- they're trying to 25 A. Yes. 25 Page 22 get -- wait a minute. Okay. I'm sorry, paragraph 1, O. "AEPGR fleet information on any cost 1 1 advocate for a longer-term capacity product. It's in component will be provided pursuant to a reasonable 2 2 No. 1 under Federal Advocacy. Staff request (as determined by the Commission) as it 3 3 A. Yes. conducts a reasonableness review of a specific cost 4 4 Q. Does IGS believe a longer-term capacity component for generation units included in the 5 5 6 product is needed? Affiliated PPA." Do you know what in this sentence 6 A. At this time I don't think IGS has a 7 "as determined by the Commission" means? 7 position on that particular topic. 8 8 A. I assume that it means that the O. But IGS did not footnote out of that Commission will determine the reasonableness of 9 9 provision? staff's request. To the extent that staff makes a 10 10 11 A. There's no footnote in that provision request, the Commission has the ability to, you know, 11 12 that relates to IGS. 12 determine whether it's a reasonable request or not. Q. Okay. Could you please go to page 10, Q. Do you think IGS as a signatory party 13 13 the top. We're talking about the extension of the 14 will be able to ask for cost component information 14 ESP, and this is the ESP that will be filed in April 15 15 from AEPGR? of 2016, has not yet been filed. MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent it 16 16 A. Yes. 17 calls for a legal conclusion. 17 Go ahead, Mr. White. 18 O. Do you know what the terms and 18 19 conditions -- let's look particularly at paragraph 1. A. It seems as if that's available to staff 19 As part of the ESP, there's going to be a proposal to 20 and not necessarily others signatory parties, the 20 extend the distribution investment rider. It's 21 ability to request information. 21 called the DIR. Q. Okay. I'm still on that page 7, the last 22 22 A. Uh-huh. sentence, "Staff shall treat any and all such 23 23

Page 21

information, regardless of its content, as if it is

highly sensitive propriety, trade secret information,

24

25

24

2.5

	Page 25		Page 27
1	A. It allows it's my understanding it	1	Commission will have an opportunity to determine if
2	allows AEP to recover costs of distribution	2	each of the specific provisions are in the public
3	investment through a nonbypassable rider.	3	interest when AEP files more detail about the
4	Q. Okay. So the new ESP that AEP is going	4	provisions in their ESP application extension.
5	to file, what impact will that have on customers'	5	Q. So as I understand what you're saying,
6	rates?	6	we're looking at the commitment for AEP to file as
7	A. We would have to wait and see the impact	7	satisfying the public interest?
8	when AEP files its ESP.	8	A. No, I don't think I said that.
9	Q. You're not aware if there's a dollar cap	9	Q. Okay. Did IGS seriously bargain for any
10	on the revenue?	10	of this DIR provision in the new ESP?
11	A. In the DIR specifically?	11	A. IGS is aware of these provisions. IGS
12	Q. The DIR on page 10 under C, No. 1, are	12	was aware that this provision as a package was in the
13	there any cost estimates on forecasted numbers	13	Stipulation that they were signing onto.
14	related though this DIR that you're aware of as part	14	Q. Thank you. The next section down on
15	of the Stipulation?	15	page 10, No. 2, part of the new ESP, "Any additional
16	A. Not that I'm aware of as part of the	16	funding commitments relating to the expanded ESP
17	Stipulation.	17	term." What are the additional funding commitments
18	Q. Okay. So there's really no details of	18	related to the expanded ESP? Do you agree there are
19	the yet-to-be-filed DIR that's going to be contained	19	no details regarding what these additional funding
20	in the ESP?	20	commitments are?
21	A. There's nothing in the Stipulation about	21	A. I would say that the funding commitment
22	the DIR that I'm aware of beyond what is in that	22	is any funding required to support the additional
23	sentence.	23	provisions that would be filed as part of the ESP
24	Q. Do you think that the DIR part of the ESP	24	application.
25	will be subject to regulatory approval or	25	Q. Okay. I guess what concerns me, why I'm
20	will be subject to regulatory approval of		Q. Olay. I Bases what somethis me, why I had
	Page 26		Page 28
1	Page 26	1	Page 28
1	disapproval?	1	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just
2	disapproval? MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate	2	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider
2	disapproval? MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that?	2	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes.
2 3 4	disapproval? MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is	2 3 4	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart
2 3 4 5	disapproval? MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of	2 3 4 5	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a
2 3 4 5 6	disapproval? MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to	2 3 4 5 6	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have
2 3 4 5 6 7	disapproval? MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval?	2 3 4 5 6 7	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	disapproval? MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	disapproval? MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	disapproval? MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application would have to be approved by the PUCO.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding commitments, or are we talking about things that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	disapproval? MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application would have to be approved by the PUCO. Q. Okay. Now as a package and as part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding commitments, or are we talking about things that aren't specifically enumerated throughout these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application would have to be approved by the PUCO. Q. Okay. Now as a package and as part of this Stipulation, the DIR contained in this, but we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding commitments, or are we talking about things that aren't specifically enumerated throughout these provisions of the new ESP?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application would have to be approved by the PUCO. Q. Okay. Now as a package and as part of this Stipulation, the DIR contained in this, but we don't know a cost estimate. How does the Commission	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding commitments, or are we talking about things that aren't specifically enumerated throughout these provisions of the new ESP? A. I will leave it up to the Commission to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application would have to be approved by the PUCO. Q. Okay. Now as a package and as part of this Stipulation, the DIR contained in this, but we don't know a cost estimate. How does the Commission determine that this is in the public interest?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding commitments, or are we talking about things that aren't specifically enumerated throughout these provisions of the new ESP? A. I will leave it up to the Commission to interpret that provision, but I would say that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application would have to be approved by the PUCO. Q. Okay. Now as a package and as part of this Stipulation, the DIR contained in this, but we don't know a cost estimate. How does the Commission determine that this is in the public interest?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding commitments, or are we talking about things that aren't specifically enumerated throughout these provisions of the new ESP? A. I will leave it up to the Commission to interpret that provision, but I would say that's separate additional funding commitments beyond the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application would have to be approved by the PUCO. Q. Okay. Now as a package and as part of this Stipulation, the DIR contained in this, but we don't know a cost estimate. How does the Commission determine that this is in the public interest? A. Are you speaking about the Stipulation as a whole, or are you talking about the DIR piece?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding commitments, or are we talking about things that aren't specifically enumerated throughout these provisions of the new ESP? A. I will leave it up to the Commission to interpret that provision, but I would say that's separate additional funding commitments beyond the distribution investment rider.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application would have to be approved by the PUCO. Q. Okay. Now as a package and as part of this Stipulation, the DIR contained in this, but we don't know a cost estimate. How does the Commission determine that this is in the public interest? A. Are you speaking about the Stipulation as a whole, or are you talking about the DIR piece? Q. Well, the DIR and all these other things	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding commitments, or are we talking about things that aren't specifically enumerated throughout these provisions of the new ESP? A. I will leave it up to the Commission to interpret that provision, but I would say that's separate additional funding commitments beyond the distribution investment rider. Q. Okay. So it's not defined within this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application would have to be approved by the PUCO. Q. Okay. Now as a package and as part of this Stipulation, the DIR contained in this, but we don't know a cost estimate. How does the Commission determine that this is in the public interest? A. Are you speaking about the Stipulation as a whole, or are you talking about the DIR piece? Q. Well, the DIR and all these other things that we're going to talk about that are going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding commitments, or are we talking about things that aren't specifically enumerated throughout these provisions of the new ESP? A. I will leave it up to the Commission to interpret that provision, but I would say that's separate additional funding commitments beyond the distribution investment rider. Q. Okay. So it's not defined within this document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application would have to be approved by the PUCO. Q. Okay. Now as a package and as part of this Stipulation, the DIR contained in this, but we don't know a cost estimate. How does the Commission determine that this is in the public interest? A. Are you speaking about the Stipulation as a whole, or are you talking about the DIR piece? Q. Well, the DIR and all these other things that we're going to talk about that are going to be in this new ESP because it's part of the Stipulation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding commitments, or are we talking about things that aren't specifically enumerated throughout these provisions of the new ESP? A. I will leave it up to the Commission to interpret that provision, but I would say that's separate additional funding commitments beyond the distribution investment rider. Q. Okay. So it's not defined within this document? A. I would say any other provisions in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application would have to be approved by the PUCO. Q. Okay. Now as a package and as part of this Stipulation, the DIR contained in this, but we don't know a cost estimate. How does the Commission determine that this is in the public interest? A. Are you speaking about the Stipulation as a whole, or are you talking about the DIR piece? Q. Well, the DIR and all these other things that we're going to talk about that are going to be in this new ESP because it's part of the Stipulation, it's a large part of it, and the Commission is going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding commitments, or are we talking about things that aren't specifically enumerated throughout these provisions of the new ESP? A. I will leave it up to the Commission to interpret that provision, but I would say that's separate additional funding commitments beyond the distribution investment rider. Q. Okay. So it's not defined within this document? A. I would say any other provisions in the ESP that's filed in the application
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application would have to be approved by the PUCO. Q. Okay. Now as a package and as part of this Stipulation, the DIR contained in this, but we don't know a cost estimate. How does the Commission determine that this is in the public interest? A. Are you speaking about the Stipulation as a whole, or are you talking about the DIR piece? Q. Well, the DIR and all these other things that we're going to talk about that are going to be in this new ESP because it's part of the Stipulation, it's a large part of it, and the Commission is going to have to determine if it is in the public interest,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding commitments, or are we talking about things that aren't specifically enumerated throughout these provisions of the new ESP? A. I will leave it up to the Commission to interpret that provision, but I would say that's separate additional funding commitments beyond the distribution investment rider. Q. Okay. So it's not defined within this document? A. I would say any other provisions in the ESP that's filed in the application Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application would have to be approved by the PUCO. Q. Okay. Now as a package and as part of this Stipulation, the DIR contained in this, but we don't know a cost estimate. How does the Commission determine that this is in the public interest? A. Are you speaking about the Stipulation as a whole, or are you talking about the DIR piece? Q. Well, the DIR and all these other things that we're going to talk about that are going to be in this new ESP because it's part of the Stipulation, it's a large part of it, and the Commission is going to have to determine if it is in the public interest, serious bargaining, you know, regulatory policy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding commitments, or are we talking about things that aren't specifically enumerated throughout these provisions of the new ESP? A. I will leave it up to the Commission to interpret that provision, but I would say that's separate additional funding commitments beyond the distribution investment rider. Q. Okay. So it's not defined within this document? A. I would say any other provisions in the ESP that's filed in the application Q. Okay. A that aren't defined in this document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application would have to be approved by the PUCO. Q. Okay. Now as a package and as part of this Stipulation, the DIR contained in this, but we don't know a cost estimate. How does the Commission determine that this is in the public interest? A. Are you speaking about the Stipulation as a whole, or are you talking about the DIR piece? Q. Well, the DIR and all these other things that we're going to talk about that are going to be in this new ESP because it's part of the Stipulation, it's a large part of it, and the Commission is going to have to determine if it is in the public interest, serious bargaining, you know, regulatory policy. A. The Commission will have an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding commitments, or are we talking about things that aren't specifically enumerated throughout these provisions of the new ESP? A. I will leave it up to the Commission to interpret that provision, but I would say that's separate additional funding commitments beyond the distribution investment rider. Q. Okay. So it's not defined within this document? A. I would say any other provisions in the ESP that's filed in the application Q. Okay. A that aren't defined in this document. Q. So yet to be filed, not in this document,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application would have to be approved by the PUCO. Q. Okay. Now as a package and as part of this Stipulation, the DIR contained in this, but we don't know a cost estimate. How does the Commission determine that this is in the public interest? A. Are you speaking about the Stipulation as a whole, or are you talking about the DIR piece? Q. Well, the DIR and all these other things that we're going to talk about that are going to be in this new ESP because it's part of the Stipulation, it's a large part of it, and the Commission is going to have to determine if it is in the public interest, serious bargaining, you know, regulatory policy. A. The Commission will have an opportunity these are just provisions that require	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding commitments, or are we talking about things that aren't specifically enumerated throughout these provisions of the new ESP? A. I will leave it up to the Commission to interpret that provision, but I would say that's separate additional funding commitments beyond the distribution investment rider. Q. Okay. So it's not defined within this document? A. I would say any other provisions in the ESP that's filed in the application Q. Okay. A that aren't defined in this document. Q. So yet to be filed, not in this document, future additional funding commitments that aren't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. OLIKER: Objection. Can you restate that? Q. The DIR that we're talking about that is going to be filed on April 30, 2016, as a part of AEP's newest ESP, will that DIR piece be subject to PUCO approval? A. I would say likely since it has to be filed in a separate application. That application would have to be approved by the PUCO. Q. Okay. Now as a package and as part of this Stipulation, the DIR contained in this, but we don't know a cost estimate. How does the Commission determine that this is in the public interest? A. Are you speaking about the Stipulation as a whole, or are you talking about the DIR piece? Q. Well, the DIR and all these other things that we're going to talk about that are going to be in this new ESP because it's part of the Stipulation, it's a large part of it, and the Commission is going to have to determine if it is in the public interest, serious bargaining, you know, regulatory policy. A. The Commission will have an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	asking that, is if we go up to No. 1, what we just discussed, the distribution investment rider A. Yes. Q I would call that separate and apart from additional funding commitments because it has a separate number. So I'm wondering what we have the DIR specified. Now we're on No. 2, any additional funding commitments. So are you saying the DIR is part of those additional funding commitments, or are we talking about things that aren't specifically enumerated throughout these provisions of the new ESP? A. I will leave it up to the Commission to interpret that provision, but I would say that's separate additional funding commitments beyond the distribution investment rider. Q. Okay. So it's not defined within this document? A. I would say any other provisions in the ESP that's filed in the application Q. Okay. A that aren't defined in this document. Q. So yet to be filed, not in this document,

Page 31 Page 29 Q. The MRO versus ESP? 1 1 A. What this language is saying is that AEP 2 will include in the ESP application these provisions A. Yes. 2 Q. Have any terms been put around that at 3 3 and any additional funding commitments relating to this point that's going to be in that filing, or we that extension for the expanded ESP term and the 4 4 future ESP application, which must be approved by the 5 don't know? 5 A. Not that I'm aware of. 6 6 Commission. 7 Q. Will the PPA be counted, the revenue, 7 O. Okay. Do we have any cost estimates for additional funding commitments that you know of right 8 either way, as part of that test? 8 9 MR. OLIKER: Objection. It calls for a 9 now? 10 legal opinion. 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, but I would But if you have an opinion, Mr. White. 11 imagine that that would be included in the ESP 11 A. I believe it would be up to the application, which the Commission must approve in the 12 12 Commission to make that determination. 13 13 future. O. So IGS doesn't have an opinion whether Q. Okay. No. 4 on page 10, part of the 14 14 you count this PPA as part of the ESP when you're 15 filing of the ESP is "an analysis and proposal 15 doing the MRO versus ESP test? relating to the significantly excessive earnings test 16 16 17 MR. OLIKER: Objection. for the extended ESP term." 17 MS. BAIR: I'm just asking about math. 18 18 A. Yes. A. I would imagine that would be part of the Q. You're familiar with the excessive 19 19 20 significantly excessive earnings test, but ultimately 20 earnings test? that would be up to the Commission to make that 21 21 A. Yes. 22 determination. Q. SEET, we call it SEET. What is the 22 MR. OLIKER: I think Mr. White just 23 proposal regarding this for the future ESP? 23 misspoke there. You were referring to the MRO test? 24 A. I believe the law requires in an ESP that 24 25 MS. BAIR: Yes. 25 the applicant provide -- I might be misquoting the Page 32 Page 30 MR. OLIKER: You referred to the 1 law, but I believe they have to talk about whether or 1 excessive earnings test. 2 not there's significantly excessive earnings in the 2 A. I'm sorry. The MRO test, I don't know. ESP case. So I would say if they're filing an 3 3 O. Okay. Let's see. we are moving into a 4 extension of the ESP, then they would have to discuss 4 different -- page 10, we're still talking about the 5 whether the ESP would result in significantly 5 ESP. It obligates IGS to support provision 13 on the 6 excessive earnings for AEP. 6 following pages. You want to take a minute to read 7 Q. And in the past in AEP's ESP 7 paragraph 6 and I won't have to read it out loud to 8 stipulations, they've given a percentage. Is that 8 what you are trying to describe, like 13 percent everyone. 9 9 return on equity, 15 percent. If we don't see that, 10 A. Yes. 10 O. Okay. So given that introductory then we're okay. We don't give any money back? 11 11 paragraph, I would like to go through the terms of 12 A. I don't know exactly what that means. 12 this and see where IGS falls on it because I think Q. Can IGS disagree with that SEET test 13 13 it's very confusing. You're a signatory party. that's going to be filed as part of the next ESP, or, 14 14 You're agreeing to support it, but then there's a 15 15 no, you can't? parenthetical not opposing or things like that. A. I think IGS would reserve the right or 16 16 So paragraph 7 on page 10 discusses the 17 17 has the right to take positions in the next ESP IRP credit, and it goes over to page 11. And this is application case on any of these provisions. 18 18 for the GS-3 and GS-4 customers that get a discount Q. And when we talk about the next ESP 19 19 paid for by other consumers. Are you familiar with 20 application, we are talking about April 30, 2016, the 20 one referenced in paragraph C above, right? 21 that? 21 22 22 A. Yes. Q. Does IGS support that? 23 O. Okay. No. 5 talks about "an analysis of 23 A. As a package, IGS supports the package. 24 24 the statutory market rate offer comparison test." IGS does not necessarily support any particular 25 25 A. Yes.

	Page 22		Page 35
	Page 33		
1	provision in the Stipulation if it's standing on its	1	Q. Okay. On provision 9, the next one down,
2	own.	2	GS-3 and GS-4 customers with interval metering
3	Q. Are you aware that the IRP discount	3	capability will have the opportunity to opt into the
4	received by GS-3 and GS-4 customers will be made up	4	program called the Basic Transmission Cost Recovery
5	and paid for by other customer classes?	5	rider. Are you aware of that? Do you know about the
6	A. Yes. I'm generally aware that the cost	6	BTCR?
7	will be allocated to other customers.	7	A. Yes, I'm familiar with that.
8	Q. And do you know the impact of that cost,	8	Q. And IGS supports that as part of this
9	how great is it?	9	Stipulation?
10	A. I know it's likely a revenue neutral	10	A. As part of the package, yes.
11	provision to the extent some customers will pay more	11	Q. No. 10 on page 11, "Reserved."
12	and some customers will pay less.	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. What about residential customers in	13	Q. What is this paragraph reserved for?
14	general?	14	MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent it
15	A. I haven't done the specific analysis on	15	calls for settlement discussions. But if Mr. White
16	the impact on residential customers.	16	knows the purpose outside of that, then he can answer
17	Q. Okay. On provision 8 we're talking about	17	that.
18	the discount for the automaker credit provision.	18	A. I don't know.
19	A. Yes.	19	Q. Okay. If you could, please move on to
20	Q. Does IGS support that?	20	page 12.
21	A. As a package, as part of the package, IGS	21	A. Yes.
22	supports the provision. IGS does not necessarily	22	Q. Okay. We're looking at Section 12
23	support any particular provision standing alone.	23	dealing with the CIR, the competition incentive
24	Q. Well, the recovery of these credits will	24	rider?
25	be through rider EDR. Is there any cap on that, or	25	A. Yes.
	Page 34		Page 36
1	do you just increase the EDR to give the automaker	1	Q. It says AEP will establish the
2	credit discounts, give them money?	2	competition incentive rider, CIR, as an addition to
3	A. The provision says the "credits under	3	SSO nonshopping rate.
4	this provision shall not exceed \$500,000 annually."	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. How is that funded?	5	Q. How much are they going to add to the
6	A. I believe rider EDR is a nonbypassable	6	nonshopping rate? What will that rate be?
7	rider, so this would be another revenue neutral	7	A. I don't know at this time.
8	position so the net cost to customers would be zero.	8	Q. So there's no methodology known to
9	Some customers would pay more. Some customers would	9	calculate that rate either?
10	pay less.	10	A. Yes, there's a methodology.
11	Q. So a residential customer has the ability	11	Q. What is it?
12	to not pay more on the EDR because of this cost?	12	A. If you look at provision 12-a, it says
13	When you say "revenue neutral"	13	"AEP Ohio and Signatory Parties will meet to
14	A. I mean by revenue neutral, all customers.	14	determine the charge to include based on the mills
15	The net cost to all customers is zero.	15	per kWh. This will be included in the 2016 ESP
16	Q. Who is going to pay for it in the EDR?	16	amendment case. If the Signatory Parties cannot
17	A. It's a nonbypassable rider. All	17	agree on an appropriate charge the Commission Staff
18	customers pay.	18	will choose the final level for inclusion in AEP
19	Q. That's what you mean by revenue neutral.	19	Ohio's ESP extension filing."
	It's an increase but everybody pays it so it's	20	Q. Isn't this the way it's going to be added
20	revenue neutral.	21	to the nonshopping bills? That's not actually the
20 21			number that they're going to pick to add to the rate,
21	A. No. Some customers will get a credit.	22	mumber that they ie going to pick to add to the rate,
21 22	A. No. Some customers will get a credit. Some customers will pay additional charges. The net	23	is it?
21	A. No. Some customers will get a credit. Some customers will pay additional charges. The net cost to customers is zero across all customer		, <u> </u>

	Page 37		Page 39
1	Q. Okay. Will IGS meet and be a part of	1	not pay the CIR.
2	this?	2	Q. But they'll receive a credit?
3	A. As a signatory party, IGS certainly has	3	A. Yes, through the distribution rates.
4	the right to participate in that conversation.	4	Q. So we don't have any cost information now
5	Q. Okay. And do you think the CIR will have	5	that we can look at to see the impact of what the CIR
6	to be approved by the PUCO?	6	will be on the nonshopping customer on a
7	A. I think, yes.	7	customer-impact basis?
8	Q. But we don't know that amount yet. We	8	A. The cost information will be filed in the
9	don't know what that charge is.	9	application in the ESP extension application.
10	A. No.	10	Q. Okay. Page 13 I'm going to move on to
11	Q. No impact analysis, no studies done about	11	14, but I point out page 13 because this is under the
12	what that amount is going to be at all?	12	category Additional AEP Ohio Commitments.
13	A. The amount will be known when it is filed	13	A. Yes.
14	with the Commission.	14	Q. We're moving into a different section,
15	Q. But we have no idea if it's a dollar,	15	and if you could, please, we can move on to page 14.
16	\$20, or 20 cents. We don't know that right now.	16	A. Okay.
17	A. Not at this time.	17	Q. And, in particular, I'm looking at b,
18	Q. Was this an important issue for IGS in	18	"AEP Ohio and OHA will work together to develop and
19	signing the Stipulation?	19	automate Energy Star benchmarking for OHA members in
20	MR. CONWAY: Objection.	20	AEP Ohio's certified territory, which will support a
21	Q. Do you believe that adding a cost to the	21	broader offering to other customer segments."
22	nonshopping SSO rate violates a regulatory principle?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. No.	23	Q. How is that paid for, do you know?
24	Q. Okay. 12-b, it's below "a" that you were	24	A. I don't know whether it's identified
25	just reading. It says that the total collected from	25	whether there are additional costs associated with
2.5	just reading. It says that the total conceded from		
	Page 38		Page 40
1	Page 38 the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution	1	that provision, and to the extent there are
1 2	•	1 2	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered.
	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution	1	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. © Q. Thank you.
2	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016	2	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation.
2	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are	2 3	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. © Q. Thank you.
2 3 4	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better	2 3 4	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE.
2 3 4 5	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and	2 3 4 5	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it?	2 3 4 5 6	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE.
2 3 4 5 6 7	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all nonshopping customers, and the credit will go to all	2 3 4 5 6 7	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes. Q. OPAE will receive \$200,000 from the EE/PDR. Does that mean EE/PDR is simply increased to give OPAE that money?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all nonshopping customers, and the credit will go to all distribution customers, including shopping and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes. Q. OPAE will receive \$200,000 from the EE/PDR. Does that mean EE/PDR is simply increased to give OPAE that money? MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all nonshopping customers, and the credit will go to all distribution customers, including shopping and nonshopping customers.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes. Q. OPAE will receive \$200,000 from the EE/PDR. Does that mean EE/PDR is simply increased to give OPAE that money? MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent can you just read the sentence, Jodi?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all nonshopping customers, and the credit will go to all distribution customers, including shopping and nonshopping customers. Q. Okay. So those that didn't pay into it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes. Q. OPAE will receive \$200,000 from the EE/PDR. Does that mean EE/PDR is simply increased to give OPAE that money? MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent can you just read the sentence, Jodi? Q. (By Ms. Bair) "In a manner that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all nonshopping customers, and the credit will go to all distribution customers, including shopping and nonshopping customers. Q. Okay. So those that didn't pay into it benefit from it eventually?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes. Q. OPAE will receive \$200,000 from the EE/PDR. Does that mean EE/PDR is simply increased to give OPAE that money? MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent can you just read the sentence, Jodi? Q. (By Ms. Bair) "In a manner that is consistent with the Company's existing EE/PDR plan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all nonshopping customers, and the credit will go to all distribution customers, including shopping and nonshopping customers. Q. Okay. So those that didn't pay into it benefit from it eventually? A. Currently distribution customers are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes. Q. OPAE will receive \$200,000 from the EE/PDR. Does that mean EE/PDR is simply increased to give OPAE that money? MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent can you just read the sentence, Jodi? Q. (By Ms. Bair) "In a manner that is consistent with the Company's existing EE/PDR plan and while staying within the currently approved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all nonshopping customers, and the credit will go to all distribution customers, including shopping and nonshopping customers. Q. Okay. So those that didn't pay into it benefit from it eventually? A. Currently distribution customers are paying costs that are embedded in distribution rates to support the SSO, so distribution customers are paying to support the SSO.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes. Q. OPAE will receive \$200,000 from the EE/PDR. Does that mean EE/PDR is simply increased to give OPAE that money? MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent can you just read the sentence, Jodi? Q. (By Ms. Bair) "In a manner that is consistent with the Company's existing EE/PDR plan and while staying within the currently approved funding levels, OPAE will receive \$200,000 in 2016 to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all nonshopping customers, and the credit will go to all distribution customers, including shopping and nonshopping customers. Q. Okay. So those that didn't pay into it benefit from it eventually? A. Currently distribution customers are paying costs that are embedded in distribution rates to support the SSO, so distribution customers are paying to support the SSO. Q. But on a very specific basis I'm talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes. Q. OPAE will receive \$200,000 from the EE/PDR. Does that mean EE/PDR is simply increased to give OPAE that money? MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent can you just read the sentence, Jodi? Q. (By Ms. Bair) "In a manner that is consistent with the Company's existing EE/PDR plan and while staying within the currently approved funding levels, OPAE will receive \$200,000 in 2016 to provide direct assistance with the approved Community
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all nonshopping customers, and the credit will go to all distribution customers, including shopping and nonshopping customers. Q. Okay. So those that didn't pay into it benefit from it eventually? A. Currently distribution customers are paying costs that are embedded in distribution rates to support the SSO, so distribution customers are paying to support the SSO. Q. But on a very specific basis I'm talking about the CIR rider only as in the Stipulation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes. Q. OPAE will receive \$200,000 from the EE/PDR. Does that mean EE/PDR is simply increased to give OPAE that money? MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent can you just read the sentence, Jodi? Q. (By Ms. Bair) "In a manner that is consistent with the Company's existing EE/PDR plan and while staying within the currently approved funding levels, OPAE will receive \$200,000 in 2016 to provide direct assistance with the approved Community Assistance Program ('CAP') program within the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all nonshopping customers, and the credit will go to all distribution customers, including shopping and nonshopping customers. Q. Okay. So those that didn't pay into it benefit from it eventually? A. Currently distribution customers are paying costs that are embedded in distribution rates to support the SSO, so distribution customers are paying to support the SSO. Q. But on a very specific basis I'm talking about the CIR rider only as in the Stipulation. Shopping customers won't pay it, but they will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes. Q. OPAE will receive \$200,000 from the EE/PDR. Does that mean EE/PDR is simply increased to give OPAE that money? MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent can you just read the sentence, Jodi? Q. (By Ms. Bair) "In a manner that is consistent with the Company's existing EE/PDR plan and while staying within the currently approved funding levels, OPAE will receive \$200,000 in 2016 to provide direct assistance with the approved Community Assistance Program ('CAP') program within the Company's EE/PDR Plan as follows."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all nonshopping customers, and the credit will go to all distribution customers, including shopping and nonshopping customers. Q. Okay. So those that didn't pay into it benefit from it eventually? A. Currently distribution customers are paying costs that are embedded in distribution rates to support the SSO, so distribution customers are paying to support the SSO. Q. But on a very specific basis I'm talking about the CIR rider only as in the Stipulation. Shopping customers won't pay it, but they will receive a credit from it, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes. Q. OPAE will receive \$200,000 from the EE/PDR. Does that mean EE/PDR is simply increased to give OPAE that money? MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent can you just read the sentence, Jodi? Q. (By Ms. Bair) "In a manner that is consistent with the Company's existing EE/PDR plan and while staying within the currently approved funding levels, OPAE will receive \$200,000 in 2016 to provide direct assistance with the approved Community Assistance Program ('CAP') program within the Company's EE/PDR Plan as follows." A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all nonshopping customers, and the credit will go to all distribution customers, including shopping and nonshopping customers. Q. Okay. So those that didn't pay into it benefit from it eventually? A. Currently distribution customers are paying costs that are embedded in distribution rates to support the SSO, so distribution customers are paying to support the SSO. Q. But on a very specific basis I'm talking about the CIR rider only as in the Stipulation. Shopping customers won't pay it, but they will receive a credit from it, correct? A. Shopping customers will pay the charge,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes. Q. OPAE will receive \$200,000 from the EE/PDR. Does that mean EE/PDR is simply increased to give OPAE that money? MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent can you just read the sentence, Jodi? Q. (By Ms. Bair) "In a manner that is consistent with the Company's existing EE/PDR plan and while staying within the currently approved funding levels, OPAE will receive \$200,000 in 2016 to provide direct assistance with the approved Community Assistance Program ('CAP') program within the Company's EE/PDR Plan as follows." A. Okay. Q. So that the budget for the EE/PDR will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all nonshopping customers, and the credit will go to all distribution customers, including shopping and nonshopping customers. Q. Okay. So those that didn't pay into it benefit from it eventually? A. Currently distribution customers are paying costs that are embedded in distribution rates to support the SSO, so distribution customers are paying to support the SSO. Q. But on a very specific basis I'm talking about the CIR rider only as in the Stipulation. Shopping customers won't pay it, but they will receive a credit from it, correct? A. Shopping customers will pay the charge, and they will also receive a credit through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes. Q. OPAE will receive \$200,000 from the EE/PDR. Does that mean EE/PDR is simply increased to give OPAE that money? MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent can you just read the sentence, Jodi? Q. (By Ms. Bair) "In a manner that is consistent with the Company's existing EE/PDR plan and while staying within the currently approved funding levels, OPAE will receive \$200,000 in 2016 to provide direct assistance with the approved Community Assistance Program ('CAP') program within the Company's EE/PDR Plan as follows." A. Okay. Q. So that the budget for the EE/PDR will not be increased to provide \$200,000 to OPAE?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all nonshopping customers, and the credit will go to all distribution customers, including shopping and nonshopping customers. Q. Okay. So those that didn't pay into it benefit from it eventually? A. Currently distribution customers are paying costs that are embedded in distribution rates to support the SSO, so distribution customers are paying to support the SSO. Q. But on a very specific basis I'm talking about the CIR rider only as in the Stipulation. Shopping customers won't pay it, but they will receive a credit from it, correct? A. Shopping customers will pay the charge, and they will also receive a credit through the nonbypassable rate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes. Q. OPAE will receive \$200,000 from the EE/PDR. Does that mean EE/PDR is simply increased to give OPAE that money? MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent can you just read the sentence, Jodi? Q. (By Ms. Bair) "In a manner that is consistent with the Company's existing EE/PDR plan and while staying within the currently approved funding levels, OPAE will receive \$200,000 in 2016 to provide direct assistance with the approved Community Assistance Program ('CAP') program within the Company's EE/PDR Plan as follows." A. Okay. Q. So that the budget for the EE/PDR will not be increased to provide \$200,000 to OPAE? A. I believe that will those costs will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all nonshopping customers, and the credit will go to all distribution customers, including shopping and nonshopping customers. Q. Okay. So those that didn't pay into it benefit from it eventually? A. Currently distribution customers are paying costs that are embedded in distribution rates to support the SSO, so distribution customers are paying to support the SSO. Q. But on a very specific basis I'm talking about the CIR rider only as in the Stipulation. Shopping customers won't pay it, but they will receive a credit from it, correct? A. Shopping customers will pay the charge, and they will also receive a credit through the nonbypassable rate. Q. They will pay the CIR?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes. Q. OPAE will receive \$200,000 from the EE/PDR. Does that mean EE/PDR is simply increased to give OPAE that money? MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent can you just read the sentence, Jodi? Q. (By Ms. Bair) "In a manner that is consistent with the Company's existing EE/PDR plan and while staying within the currently approved funding levels, OPAE will receive \$200,000 in 2016 to provide direct assistance with the approved Community Assistance Program ('CAP') program within the Company's EE/PDR Plan as follows." A. Okay. Q. So that the budget for the EE/PDR will not be increased to provide \$200,000 to OPAE? A. I believe that will those costs will be recovered through the energy efficiency rider.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the CIR will then be refunded to all distribution customers through a new rider established in the 2016 ESP amendment case. So I'm trying to better understand this. A limited number of customers are going to pay this, the nonshopping customers, and then all distribution customers get it? A. Yes. The charge will be to all nonshopping customers, and the credit will go to all distribution customers, including shopping and nonshopping customers. Q. Okay. So those that didn't pay into it benefit from it eventually? A. Currently distribution customers are paying costs that are embedded in distribution rates to support the SSO, so distribution customers are paying to support the SSO. Q. But on a very specific basis I'm talking about the CIR rider only as in the Stipulation. Shopping customers won't pay it, but they will receive a credit from it, correct? A. Shopping customers will pay the charge, and they will also receive a credit through the nonbypassable rate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that provision, and to the extent there are additional costs, how that will be recovered. Q. Thank you. A. That's not identified in the Stipulation. Q. Page 15, I'm looking at No. 3 regarding OPAE. A. Yes. Q. OPAE will receive \$200,000 from the EE/PDR. Does that mean EE/PDR is simply increased to give OPAE that money? MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent can you just read the sentence, Jodi? Q. (By Ms. Bair) "In a manner that is consistent with the Company's existing EE/PDR plan and while staying within the currently approved funding levels, OPAE will receive \$200,000 in 2016 to provide direct assistance with the approved Community Assistance Program ('CAP') program within the Company's EE/PDR Plan as follows." A. Okay. Q. So that the budget for the EE/PDR will not be increased to provide \$200,000 to OPAE? A. I believe that will those costs will

		Γ	
	Page 41		Page 43
1	A. That's the rider that recovers those	1	Q. And as you sit here today representing
2	charges, yes.	2	IGS, what do you consider the items that are of
3	Q. And I would like to move on to page 16,	3	public interest that you would highlight to the
4	going to the bottom of the page beginning discussion	4	Commission since you want it approved?
5	of the Pilot Supplier Consolidated Billing Program.	5	A. I believe that the Supplier Consolidated
6	A. Yes.	6	Billing Pilot is in the public interest. I believe
7	Q. "AEP Ohio agrees to work with Staff and	7	that the choice incentive rider is in the public
8	Signatory Parties to determine parameters of a 2-year	8	interest.
9	Pilot Supplier Consolidated Billing Program for any	9	Q. The CIR?
10	Competitive Retail Electric Service provider that is	10	A. Yes.
11	a Signatory Party. The purpose of the pilot will be	11	Q. Competition incentive rider?
12	to provide the industry with data and information on	12	A. Yes.
13	the practicality of a supplier consolidated billing	13	Q. I just want to make sure we're talking
14	implementation in the Ohio Electric Choice Market."	14	about what's in the Stipulation.
15	Will IGS participate in this consolidated	15	A. The competition incentive rider, and I
16	billing?	16	believe the proposal for the referral program by AEP
17	A. We have a right to. We haven't made a	17	is in the public interest.
18	determination at this time.	18	Q. Okay. Thank you. So we clearly don't
19	Q. So if IGS did not sign on to this	19	know the cost of converting Conesville 5 and 6 to
20	Stipulation, they could not participate?	20	co-firing, unknown at this time?
21	A. I believe that is the case, that	21	MR. OLIKER: Objection, asked and
22	signatory parties you would be required to be a	22	answered.
23	signatory party to participate in this program.	23	A. I don't know personally.
24	Q. What is the projected cost of the pilot	24	Q. But as a signatory party, IGS is not
25	program?	25	footnoted out of this provision. You are then okay
	Page 42		Page 44
1	A. I don't know.	1	with AEP's commitment to make this cost recovery
2	Q. Okay. Moving on to page 19 with the	2	filing?
3	discussion about the conversion of the Conesville	3	A. As a package, as long as it's part of the
4	units, I'm looking at paragraph 9-a on page 19. It	4	package, IGS is okay with it.
5	starts with "By July 1, 2016."	5	Q. Page 20, 9-c.
6	A. Yes.	6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. "By July 1, 2016, AEP Ohio will	7	Q. Okay. Here we're discussing the
8	make a cost recovery filing supporting the conversion	8	retirement of these plants way out in the future.
9	of Conesville Units 5 and 6 to natural gas	9	They're going to retire, refuel, or repower. Will
10	co-firing." What are the costs associated with	10	those costs be recovered and do you know what they
11	converting these units to co-firing by December 31,	11	åre?
12			
T Z	2017?	12	MR. OLIKER: Are you referring to c and
13		12 13	MR, OLIKER: Are you referring to c and d?
	2017? A. I don't know.		· -
13 14	A. I don't know. Q. But you believe the Commission can find	13	d?
13 14 15	A. I don't know. Q. But you believe the Commission can find this Stipulation is in the public interest with	13 14	d? MS. BAIR: Yes. Q. (By Ms. Bair) "Conesville Unit 6 will
13 14 15 16	A. I don't know. Q. But you believe the Commission can find this Stipulation is in the public interest with unknown costs of converting to co-firing?	13 14 15	d? MS. BAIR: Yes.
13 14 15	A. I don't know. Q. But you believe the Commission can find this Stipulation is in the public interest with unknown costs of converting to co-firing? A. Yes.	13 14 15 16	d? MS. BAIR: Yes. Q. (By Ms. Bair) "Conesville Unit 6 will retire, refuel, or repower to 100% natural gas by
13 14 15 16 17	A. I don't know. Q. But you believe the Commission can find this Stipulation is in the public interest with unknown costs of converting to co-firing? A. Yes. Q. What is the public interest you think the	13 14 15 16 17	d? MS. BAIR: Yes. Q. (By Ms. Bair) "Conesville Unit 6 will retire, refuel, or repower to 100% natural gas by December 31, 2029."
13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't know. Q. But you believe the Commission can find this Stipulation is in the public interest with unknown costs of converting to co-firing? A. Yes. Q. What is the public interest you think the Commission should rely upon in this Stipulation?	13 14 15 16 17 18	d? MS. BAIR: Yes. Q. (By Ms. Bair) "Conesville Unit 6 will retire, refuel, or repower to 100% natural gas by December 31, 2029." A. I do not believe that's been determined. Q. On the next page, on page 21,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I don't know. Q. But you believe the Commission can find this Stipulation is in the public interest with unknown costs of converting to co-firing? A. Yes. Q. What is the public interest you think the Commission should rely upon in this Stipulation? MR. OLIKER: Objection. That question is	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	d? MS. BAIR: Yes. Q. (By Ms. Bair) "Conesville Unit 6 will retire, refuel, or repower to 100% natural gas by December 31, 2029." A. I do not believe that's been determined.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't know. Q. But you believe the Commission can find this Stipulation is in the public interest with unknown costs of converting to co-firing? A. Yes. Q. What is the public interest you think the Commission should rely upon in this Stipulation? MR. OLIKER: Objection. That question is vague.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	d? MS. BAIR: Yes. Q. (By Ms. Bair) "Conesville Unit 6 will retire, refuel, or repower to 100% natural gas by December 31, 2029." A. I do not believe that's been determined. Q. On the next page, on page 21, specifically paragraph 11 A. Yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't know. Q. But you believe the Commission can find this Stipulation is in the public interest with unknown costs of converting to co-firing? A. Yes. Q. What is the public interest you think the Commission should rely upon in this Stipulation? MR. OLIKER: Objection. That question is vague. A. There are a lot of benefits and costs to	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	d? MS. BAIR: Yes. Q. (By Ms. Bair) "Conesville Unit 6 will retire, refuel, or repower to 100% natural gas by December 31, 2029." A. I do not believe that's been determined. Q. On the next page, on page 21, specifically paragraph 11 A. Yes. Q with respect to Conesville Units 5 and
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't know. Q. But you believe the Commission can find this Stipulation is in the public interest with unknown costs of converting to co-firing? A. Yes. Q. What is the public interest you think the Commission should rely upon in this Stipulation? MR. OLIKER: Objection. That question is vague. A. There are a lot of benefits and costs to a number of provisions that the Commission approves	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	d? MS. BAIR: Yes. Q. (By Ms. Bair) "Conesville Unit 6 will retire, refuel, or repower to 100% natural gas by December 31, 2029." A. I do not believe that's been determined. Q. On the next page, on page 21, specifically paragraph 11 A. Yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't know. Q. But you believe the Commission can find this Stipulation is in the public interest with unknown costs of converting to co-firing? A. Yes. Q. What is the public interest you think the Commission should rely upon in this Stipulation? MR. OLIKER: Objection. That question is vague. A. There are a lot of benefits and costs to	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. BAIR: Yes. Q. (By Ms. Bair) "Conesville Unit 6 will retire, refuel, or repower to 100% natural gas by December 31, 2029." A. I do not believe that's been determined. Q. On the next page, on page 21, specifically paragraph 11 A. Yes. Q with respect to Conesville Units 5 and 6 and Cardinal Unit 1, AEP will open a docket at the

Page 47 Page 45 taken to consolidate ownership interest so that the load-flow analysis to identify any transmission 1 1 2 co-owned units are exclusively owned by a single 2 upgrades and/or transmission alternatives." entity." 3 MR. CONWAY: Objection. 3 4 A. Okay. MS. BAIR: Did I read it wrong? 4 Q. So there is a chance because these units 5 MR. OLIKER: You have missed a "non" at 5 are co-owned, AEP will not be able to have them shut the very end. It's nontransmission alternatives. 6 6 7 down; is that correct? Q. (By Ms. Bair) Okay, nontransmission 7 A. I believe this provision contemplates the alternatives. They're going to hire a third party to 8 8 possibility that AEP won't have the ability to get perform an analysis to see what needs to be done with 9 9 the units to shut down. 10 the retirement of those plants. Are those costs 10 Q. Okay. On page 25, paragraph H -going to be passed on and if so, what are the 11 11 A. Yes. Paragraph? I'm sorry. 12 12 projected costs? 13 Q. Page 25, h. 13 A. I don't know if it's determined whether A. Okay. 14 or not those costs will be passed on to customers. 14 Q. This is where AEP and its affiliates will It would probably be up to AEP to decide whether they 15 15 periodically file a status report with the PUCO on 16 want to apply for recovery of those costs at the 16 the transfer of the OVEC units. Are you aware that 17 17 Commission. AEP currently files a status report regarding its 18 O. But as a part of this Stipulation, 18 transfer of the OVEC units? they're agreeing to take them out of service or 19 19 A. I was not aware of that. 20 change or get them away from coal by 2029, correct? 20 Q. Okay. Page 27, c, this is about 21 21 A. AEP is agreeing to do that, and there's Volt/VAR. "When AEP files the cost/benefit study" -no commitment in here to recover the costs of that to 22 22 regarding Volt/VAR -- "it will include a proposal for 23 23 ratepayers. seeking cost recovery of deployment of all 24 24 MR. OLIKER: Jodi, is your question about cost-effective Volt/VAR AEP technology. AEP Ohio 25 25 retirements, or the transmission load-flow analysis? Page 48 Page 46 agrees not to seek any additional incentive for MS. BAIR: The transmission upgrades, if 1 1 installing the equipment or shared savings for any there are transmission upgrades. 2 2 resulting energy savings. If the filing is approved, MR. OLIKER: Upgrades. 3 3 the Company agrees to deploy the equipment in a MS. BAIR: Uh-huh. 4 4 5 timely manner." MR. OLIKER: I'll object to the question 5 Are you aware of any cost estimates right 6 as being vague. 6 7 now regarding the cost of Volt/VAR? Mr. White, if you understood the 7 A. I am not. But I imagine we will see 8 question. I apologize. 8 those cost estimates in a future filing seeking 9 9 A. There's no commitment in this provision proposal of the Volt/VAR technology. 10 that the costs will be recovered through AEP 10 O. As matter of clarification, the last 11 ratepayers that I'm aware of. 11 12 sentence in paragraph C on page 27 says, "If the 12 O. Thank you. On page 23, paragraph 12, filing is approved, the Company agrees to deploy the switching gears here and talking about the co-owned 13 13 equipment in a timely manner." So in better 14 14 units. understanding this Stipulation, to me AEP will only 15 15 deploy the Volt/VAR after it has received money. 16 O. And with respect to the co-owned PPA 16 A. I would believe that would be up to the 17 units, I'm just trying to summarize, we are talking 17 Commission to interpret. But my analysis would be

A. Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about the co-owned units here.

Q. And if you go down to b, there's a

paragraph that says, "If AEP Ohio is not able to get

all the remaining co-owners to commit to retirement,

refueling, or repowering the co-owned PPA units in

plan to be submitted by January 1, 2024, AEP will

report and document in this docket the steps it has

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

approved.

they would get approval of cost recovery. Then they

Q. Okay. The company could get Volt/VAR

A. That would have to be determined by the

equipment paid for before it is actually deployed?

would deploy Volt/VAR, but they couldn't start

getting cost recovery until after the filing is

	Page 49		Page 51
1	Commission in a future filing. I think all this	1	than the cost of the program, for instance, if you
2	provision does is commit AEP to make a future filing.	2	bid the revenues into the PJM actions for energy
3	Q. Would you agree that recovering money	3	efficiency or demand response, it may not cost money.
4	before installation of utility equipment that is used	4	It would depend on what's in the filing.
	and useful violates a regulatory policy or law?	5	Q. Okay. This is the EE/PDR plan. Do you
5 6		6	know if that has a cap?
	MR. OLIKER: Objection to the extent it	7	A. Does it have a cost cap?
7	calls for a legal conclusion.	8	Q. Yes.
8	But if you have	9	A. The amount of revenue are you
9	A. I don't have an opinion on that	10	
10	particular assertion.	11	asking Q. Either the amount of revenue that the
11	Q. Further down in paragraph f, "AEP Ohio	12	`
12	will use its best efforts to seek approval for energy		company can get or a percentage cap on a customer
13	and peak demand reductions to be used as a compliance	13	basis. Is there any cap?
14	tool under the Clean Power Plan." Do you know what	14	A. I believe there is a statutory cap on the
15	AEP will use to use its best efforts to make this	15	amount of revenue, but I would have to check the law.
16	nappen?	16	Q. When you say statutory cap, is that law
17	A. Do I know what that means?	17	still in place you're referring to?
18	Q. Yes.	18	A. I don't know. I'm not sure.
19	A. I believe that it means that AEP will use	19	Q. I thought it was not in place anymore.
20	its best efforts to seek approval for the energy and	20	A. I think there was a change in the energy
21	peak demand reductions to be used for compliance	21	efficiency law recently in Ohio, and I would have to
22	under the Clean Power Plan.	22	review the law to answer 100 percent.
23	Q. Do you know in order to make things fit	23	Q. Okay. Further down we are talking about
24	with the Clean Power Plan, would AEP file comments	24	the Carbon Emission Reduction Plan.
25	with the EPA, OEPA, PUCO? What would that entail?	25	A. Yes.
	Page 50		Page 52
1	_	1	Page 52 Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP
1 2	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for	1 2	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes
2	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation.	l .	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes
2	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White.	2	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP
2 3 4	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer.	2 3	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things,
2 3 4 5	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do to	2 3 4	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy
2 3 4 5 6	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do to specifically what they will do. That would be	2 3 4 5	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do to specifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do tospecifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15,	2 3 4 5 6	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do tospecifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15, I won't read that aloud. This is when AEP is going to achieve greater energy sayings	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to you or did you do any? A. I think the cost analysis will be filed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do to specifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15, I won't read that aloud. This is when AEP is going to achieve greater energy savings.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to you or did you do any? A. I think the cost analysis will be filed in the plan that eventually must be approved by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do to specifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15, I won't read that aloud. This is when AEP is going to achieve greater energy savings. MR. OLIKER: Is it 15?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to you or did you do any? A. I think the cost analysis will be filed in the plan that eventually must be approved by the Commission.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do to specifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15, I won't read that aloud. This is when AEP is going to achieve greater energy savings. MR. OLIKER: Is it 15? MS. BAIR: Paragraph 15, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to you or did you do any? A. I think the cost analysis will be filed in the plan that eventually must be approved by the Commission. Q. Okay. Also Grid Modernization, that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do tospecifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15, I won't read that aloud. This is when AEP is going to achieve greater energy savings. MR. OLIKER: Is it 15? MS. BAIR: Paragraph 15, yes. Dan, do you want me to read it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to you or did you do any? A. I think the cost analysis will be filed in the plan that eventually must be approved by the Commission. Q. Okay. Also Grid Modernization, that is paragraph G on page 29, AEP initiatives will include
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do tospecifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15, I won't read that aloud. This is when AEP is going to achieve greater energy savings. MR. OLIKER: Is it 15? MS. BAIR: Paragraph 15, yes. Dan, do you want me to read it? Q. (By Ms. Bair) Paragraph 15, we're talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to you or did you do any? A. I think the cost analysis will be filed in the plan that eventually must be approved by the Commission. Q. Okay. Also Grid Modernization, that is paragraph G on page 29, AEP initiatives will include installing advanced metering infrastructures,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do tospecifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15, I won't read that aloud. This is when AEP is going to achieve greater energy savings. MR. OLIKER: Is it 15? MS. BAIR: Paragraph 15, yes. Dan, do you want me to read it? Q. (By Ms. Bair) Paragraph 15, we're talking about demand reduction goals and energy savings that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to you or did you do any? A. I think the cost analysis will be filed in the plan that eventually must be approved by the Commission. Q. Okay. Also Grid Modernization, that is paragraph G on page 29, AEP initiatives will include installing advanced metering infrastructures, investing in distribution automation reconfiguration,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do to specifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15, I won't read that aloud. This is when AEP is going to achieve greater energy savings. MR. OLIKER: Is it 15? MS. BAIR: Paragraph 15, yes. Dan, do you want me to read it? Q. (By Ms. Bair) Paragraph 15, we're talking about demand reduction goals and energy savings that AEP is going to do. That will cost money to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to you or did you do any? A. I think the cost analysis will be filed in the plan that eventually must be approved by the Commission. Q. Okay. Also Grid Modernization, that is paragraph G on page 29, AEP initiatives will include installing advanced metering infrastructures, investing in distribution automation reconfiguration, pursuing Volt/VAR optimization, removing obstacles
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do to specifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15, I won't read that aloud. This is when AEP is going to achieve greater energy savings. MR. OLIKER: Is it 15? MS. BAIR: Paragraph 15, yes. Dan, do you want me to read it? Q. (By Ms. Bair) Paragraph 15, we're talking about demand reduction goals and energy savings that AEP is going to do. That will cost money to implement that program, don't you agree?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to you or did you do any? A. I think the cost analysis will be filed in the plan that eventually must be approved by the Commission. Q. Okay. Also Grid Modernization, that is paragraph G on page 29, AEP initiatives will include installing advanced metering infrastructures, investing in distribution automation reconfiguration, pursuing Volt/VAR optimization, removing obstacles for distributed generation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do to specifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15, I won't read that aloud. This is when AEP is going to achieve greater energy savings. MR. OLIKER: Is it 15? MS. BAIR: Paragraph 15, yes. Dan, do you want me to read it? Q. (By Ms. Bair) Paragraph 15, we're talking about demand reduction goals and energy savings that AEP is going to do. That will cost money to implement that program, don't you agree? MR. OLIKER: Give Matt a moment to read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to you or did you do any? A. I think the cost analysis will be filed in the plan that eventually must be approved by the Commission. Q. Okay. Also Grid Modernization, that is paragraph G on page 29, AEP initiatives will include installing advanced metering infrastructures, investing in distribution automation reconfiguration, pursuing Volt/VAR optimization, removing obstacles for distributed generation. With the installation of AMI, advanced
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do tospecifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15, I won't read that aloud. This is when AEP is going to achieve greater energy savings. MR. OLIKER: Is it 15? MS. BAIR: Paragraph 15, yes. Dan, do you want me to read it? Q. (By Ms. Bair) Paragraph 15, we're talking about demand reduction goals and energy savings that AEP is going to do. That will cost money to implement that program, don't you agree? MR. OLIKER: Give Matt a moment to read it all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to you or did you do any? A. I think the cost analysis will be filed in the plan that eventually must be approved by the Commission. Q. Okay. Also Grid Modernization, that is paragraph G on page 29, AEP initiatives will include installing advanced metering infrastructures, investing in distribution automation reconfiguration, pursuing Volt/VAR optimization, removing obstacles for distributed generation. With the installation of AMI, advanced metering structure and IGS is agreeing to this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do tospecifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15, I won't read that aloud. This is when AEP is going to achieve greater energy savings. MR. OLIKER: Is it 15? MS. BAIR: Paragraph 15, yes. Dan, do you want me to read it? Q. (By Ms. Bair) Paragraph 15, we're talking about demand reduction goals and energy savings that AEP is going to do. That will cost money to implement that program, don't you agree? MR. OLIKER: Give Matt a moment to read it all. MS. BAIR: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to you or did you do any? A. I think the cost analysis will be filed in the plan that eventually must be approved by the Commission. Q. Okay. Also Grid Modernization, that is paragraph G on page 29, AEP initiatives will include installing advanced metering infrastructures, investing in distribution automation reconfiguration, pursuing Volt/VAR optimization, removing obstacles for distributed generation. With the installation of AMI, advanced metering structure and IGS is agreeing to this position will IGS obtain more customer energy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do tospecifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15, I won't read that aloud. This is when AEP is going to achieve greater energy savings. MR. OLIKER: Is it 15? MS. BAIR: Paragraph 15, yes. Dan, do you want me to read it? Q. (By Ms. Bair) Paragraph 15, we're talking about demand reduction goals and energy savings that AEP is going to do. That will cost money to implement that program, don't you agree? MR. OLIKER: Give Matt a moment to read it all. MS. BAIR: Yes. A. It would depend on what is in the plan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to you or did you do any? A. I think the cost analysis will be filed in the plan that eventually must be approved by the Commission. Q. Okay. Also Grid Modernization, that is paragraph G on page 29, AEP initiatives will include installing advanced metering infrastructures, investing in distribution automation reconfiguration, pursuing Volt/VAR optimization, removing obstacles for distributed generation. With the installation of AMI, advanced metering structure and IGS is agreeing to this position will IGS obtain more customer energy usage data through the AMI?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do tospecifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15, I won't read that aloud. This is when AEP is going to achieve greater energy savings. MR. OLIKER: Is it 15? MS. BAIR: Paragraph 15, yes. Dan, do you want me to read it? Q. (By Ms. Bair) Paragraph 15, we're talking about demand reduction goals and energy savings that AEP is going to do. That will cost money to implement that program, don't you agree? MR. OLIKER: Give Matt a moment to read it all. MS. BAIR: Yes. A. It would depend on what is in the plan. Q. So you think they could achieve energy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to you or did you do any? A. I think the cost analysis will be filed in the plan that eventually must be approved by the Commission. Q. Okay. Also Grid Modernization, that is paragraph G on page 29, AEP initiatives will include installing advanced metering infrastructures, investing in distribution automation reconfiguration, pursuing Volt/VAR optimization, removing obstacles for distributed generation. With the installation of AMI, advanced metering structure and IGS is agreeing to this position will IGS obtain more customer energy usage data through the AMI? A. I would hope that they would, but there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do to specifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15, I won't read that aloud. This is when AEP is going to achieve greater energy savings. MR. OLIKER: Is it 15? MS. BAIR: Paragraph 15, yes. Dan, do you want me to read it? Q. (By Ms. Bair) Paragraph 15, we're talking about demand reduction goals and energy savings that AEP is going to do. That will cost money to implement that program, don't you agree? MR. OLIKER: Give Matt a moment to read it all. MS. BAIR: Yes. A. It would depend on what is in the plan. Q. So you think they could achieve energy savings, increase the energy savings, and it wouldn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to you or did you do any? A. I think the cost analysis will be filed in the plan that eventually must be approved by the Commission. Q. Okay. Also Grid Modernization, that is paragraph G on page 29, AEP initiatives will include installing advanced metering infrastructures, investing in distribution automation reconfiguration, pursuing Volt/VAR optimization, removing obstacles for distributed generation. With the installation of AMI, advanced metering structure and IGS is agreeing to this position will IGS obtain more customer energy usage data through the AMI? A. I would hope that they would, but there are no guarantees.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. OLIKER: Objection, calls for speculation. Go ahead, Mr. White. Q. (By Ms. Bair) You can still answer. A. I don't know what AEP will do tospecifically what they will do. That would be determined in the future. Q. On the next page, page 28, paragraph 15, I won't read that aloud. This is when AEP is going to achieve greater energy savings. MR. OLIKER: Is it 15? MS. BAIR: Paragraph 15, yes. Dan, do you want me to read it? Q. (By Ms. Bair) Paragraph 15, we're talking about demand reduction goals and energy savings that AEP is going to do. That will cost money to implement that program, don't you agree? MR. OLIKER: Give Matt a moment to read it all. MS. BAIR: Yes. A. It would depend on what is in the plan. Q. So you think they could achieve energy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. In there are some specific goals for AEP to transform its generation fleet, and that includes reducing reliance on coal and a variety of things, increasing natural gas generation, increasing hydro, wind, solar, and pump storage, increasing energy efficiency. Did IGS rely on any cost analysis for this type of a conversion? Was there any provided to you or did you do any? A. I think the cost analysis will be filed in the plan that eventually must be approved by the Commission. Q. Okay. Also Grid Modernization, that is paragraph G on page 29, AEP initiatives will include installing advanced metering infrastructures, investing in distribution automation reconfiguration, pursuing Volt/VAR optimization, removing obstacles for distributed generation. With the installation of AMI, advanced metering structure and IGS is agreeing to this position will IGS obtain more customer energy usage data through the AMI? A. I would hope that they would, but there

Page 55 Page 53 resources in future filings." Does IGS have any 1 of the wind plants? 1 2 knowledge about the battery technology or the cost 2 A. I don't know. That would depend on the application, but AEP would have to get approval from 3 that might be involved with that? the Commission for recovering any -- any project 4 A. Since there's no actual commitment in 4 5 here for AEP to include specific battery technology 5 costs under this provision. 6 Q. Page 31, the bottom, Section 2, we're 6 projects, there's no cost estimates that I'm aware 7 switching over to solar. 7 8 8 O. So AEP's commitment in paragraph H that A. Yes. says, "AEP Ohio will include such battery resources 9 MR. CONWAY: Page 31, Item 2? 9 MS. BAIR: Item 2, starts talking about before future filings before the Commission." That, 10 10 to me, is a specific commitment to include battery 11 11 solar. Q. (By Ms. Bair) Any cost estimates on the 12 resources in future filings. Would you agree? 12 13 solar that we know now? A. No. I meant there was no commitment for 13 A. I think you would have to wait and see 14 a specific project or specific battery project. So 14 you would not know what the costs would be until you 15 each specific project to determine the cost 15 estimates. identified the specific project, and those costs 16 16 would be included in the future filings that would 17 Q. Do you know if it's cheaper to build 17 solar than it is to build wind? have ultimately been approved by the Commission in 18 18 A. I think that would depend on the project. 19 19 the future. 20 Q. Does recovery for the solar units here 20 Q. Thank you. Again, down on I, we are 21 also include the 10.38 percent return? talking about environmental and renewable. The first 21 A. I think that any return that AEP receives 22 specific project is wind. 22 23 on these projects would have to be approved by the 23 A. Yes. Commission so I don't know. When those projects --Q. 500-megawatt of nameplate capacity on 24 24 application for those projects are filed, so I don't 25 wind. Any cost estimate on that? 25 Page 56 Page 54 1 think that that return is the necessarily locked into 1 A. I think those cost estimates will be 2 these projects that AEP applies for. included in any future filing that would be required 2 O. Okay. The way I see it on page 31, to receive approval for any of those projects. 3 3 paragraph E, the commitment to build wind is premised O. Do you know from being involved with the 4 4 upon AEP Ohio receiving full cost recovery based on a Stipulation, will these be competitively bid? 5 5 A. I believe that the projects owned by any 6 PPA structure, and I believe this PPA structure 6 7 includes a 10.38 percent return. Are you aware of 7 affiliates will be competitively bid. Q. So an affiliate of AEP could get this 8 that? 8 MR. CONWAY: I object. Now you are 9 project and build this wind project? 9 speculating what the terms and conditions will be for 10 10 A. I believe that that is contemplated under the PPAs that relate to these other projects, and 11 11 the Stipulation, ves. you're conflating them with the PPA that is actually 12 Q. Okay. Now on page 31, e, "The 12 being proposed in this case while the arrangement is commitment" -- this is wind -- "is premised upon AEP 13 13 with AEPGR and AEP Ohio. 14 Ohio receiving full cost recovery (based on the PPA 14 15 structure) through the PPA Rider." We don't have any 15 I'm going to just object here to your question because it assumes facts that aren't in cost estimates yet, do we? 16 16 evidence and it assumes facts for which there's been A. No. I think each project will have to be 17 17 separately filed, and there will have to be an 18 no support. 18 19 Q. (By Ms. Bair) Okay. Could we go back to 19 approval process which will include cost estimates, page 31, paragraph e, please. It says, "The 20 and the Commission would have to approve each project 20 21 commitment is premised upon AEP Ohio receiving full 21 on a case-by-case basis.

Q. Do you believe that because of the way

this is worded that the company will only implement

recovery to customers before completing construction

this based on full recovery? Will AEP Ohio begin

22

23

24

25

22

23

24

25

cost recovery (based on a PPA structure) through the

PPA rider." Is it your understanding that the PPA

rider includes a 10.38 percent return?

MR. CONWAY: Objection.

Page 59 Page 57 O. So could you say that IGS is footnoting 1 MR. OLIKER: Objection. 1 2 out -- by footnoting out I mean agreeing not to 2 MR. CONWAY: On what basis do you 3 oppose the provisions a, b, and c. 3 conclude --A. I don't know the specific meaning of 4 4 MS. BAIR: The witness can answer. 5 5 A. So I will say that the current PPA that. 6 O. So we don't know if IGS opposes the 6 structure, I believe that's the return, subject to 7 7 check, but there's no way that that is required under entire provision K? 8 MR. OLIKER: Objection, mischaracterizes 8 any PPA structure that is utilized by the Commission. 9 9 the footnote. I think it should be agrees, not If any of these projects are approved, there's 10 10 nothing in this Stipulation that says the Commission oppose. Q. (By Ms. Bair) Does IGS not oppose the has to approve any project or what the terms of the 11 11 12 entire provision K? PPAs are for the projects, assuming any of the 12 A. IGS does not oppose the Stipulation. 13 13 projects are approved. Q. Okay. Then let's go --O. Okay. We're still looking at 31, e, what 14 14 15 A. IGS does not oppose provision K. 15 is your understanding of the terminology used in the 16 Q. The entire provision K? Stipulation when it says "based on a PPA structure"? 16 A. IGS does not oppose the entire provision 17 17 What does that mean to you? 18 K. A. It means that there will be -- AEP will 18 Q. Do you believe the Stipulation is a 19 19 file an application for cost recovery based on a product of serious bargaining among capable, 20 20 power purchase agreement where customers, all knowledgeable parties representing diverse interests? distribution customers, would pay for the cost of the 21 21 22 output -- or pay for the cost of the project, and the 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. On page 34, L, it says, "The Signatory 23 project output would then be bid into the PJM 24 Parties agree that the Stipulation preserves and 24 markets, and the customers would receive a credit for 25 advances the positive results of the MRO versus ESP 25 any revenues earned by bidding that output into the Page 60 Page 58 1 test under R.C. 4928.143(C) as found in the ESP 1 PJM markets. III order." What are those positive results? 2 O. So as a signatory party because they put 2 3 PPA structure under the wind building, that does not 3 A. The results that -- provisions in the 4 Stipulation that advance competitive markets, 4 necessarily mandate a 10.38 percent return? 5 including the competition incentive rider, the 5 A. Yes. 6 supplier consolidated billing, the referral program 6 O. It does? 7 as proposed by AEP. 7 A. No, it does not mandate a 10.38 percent Q. So you're not relying on any quantitative 8 8 return. None of these projects are mandated to have 9 9 a 10.38 percent return. analysis? Q. Thank you. Okay. Going to page 33, 10 A. What you asked me was what are the 10 11 positive results of the Stipulation, and I told you Section K, there's a discussion of the Three-Part 11 12 some of the provisions that I thought were positive 12 Test for Commission Approval. results of the Stipulation. 13 13 MR. OLIKER: Where are you, Jodi? O. Do you have any dollar amounts that would 14 MS. BAIR: Page 33, Section K, the very 14 15 be used to quantify those? 15 first sentence. 16 MR. OLIKER: Thank you. 16 A. No. I do not. Q. It says, "The Signatory Parties agree" 17 Q. Okay. Are any of the costs associated 17 and then the footnote is right there. Usually the 18 with the Stipulation that you and I have gone through 18 that are yet to be filed, are those going to be part 19 footnote throughout has been at the end of the 19 20 of an MRO versus ESP test? sentence. Do you know why the footnote is there? 20 MR. OLIKER: Objection, that calls for a 21 21 A. Again --Q. I don't understand that. 22 legal conclusion. 22 23 A. I believe that's up to the Commission. 23 A. These provisions were being negotiated Q. So you don't have an opinion on whether while my wife was in labor by my counsel, and I don't 24 24 know why that specific footnote was put there. 25 the Commission should consider the cost of converting 25

Page 61 provide the answer for you --1 1 the Conesville units to co-fired units by 2017, you 2 MR. CONWAY: -- paragraph E on the other. don't have an opinion that that should be part of the 2 O. -- one is contested and one is not? 3 MRO versus ESP test? 3 4 A. Can you repeat the question? A. That would be a determination that the 4 5 O. I am contrasting page 36, paragraph E, 5 Commission would need to make, whether it's that paragraph with your footnote --6 6 appropriate to be in that analysis. 7 7 O. Okay. Thank you. Page 36, top A. Yes. 8 O. -- with page 2, the last full sentence 8 paragraph. 9 above Recitals. They seem to say opposite things, 9 MR. MENDOZA: I apologize, but I just and I'm asking you what the difference between the wanted to tell you I need to drop off the deposition. 10 10 Q. (By Ms. Bair) 36, E on page 36, top 11 meaning is? 11 paragraph E, "The Signatory Parties will support the 12 MR. CONWAY: I hope you can appreciate 12 the irony of your questions here as Mr. White is here 13 Stipulation if the Stipulation is contested." 13 against his -- involuntarily and exactly what he was 14 14 Footnote 16, "Sierra Club, Direct Energy, and IGS are 15 not obligated to support the Stipulation," which when allowed out of. 15 16 MS. BAIR: Is that an objection? we started talking about it, it means you won't file 16 MR. CONWAY: No. I'm just pointing out a brief against it and you won't be forced to spend 17 17 18 the error in your question. 18 money on supporting it; is that correct? MS. BAIR: I think that he can answer 19 A. That's my understanding. 19 20 that without being told how to answer. He's been O. Looking at this sentence on page 36, E, 20 told by the Attorney Examiner to be here and answer where it says, "The Signatory Parties will support 21 21 22 questions. the Stipulation if the Stipulation is contested," and 22 23 MR. CONWAY: Well, keep a sense of humor when you go back to page 2, the last up above 23 24 about this, Ms. Bair. The irony is very rich. Recitals, that last sentence, though, says, "For 24 MS. BAIR: Let's hear it. 25 25 purposes of resolving the issues raised by these Page 64 Page 62 MR. CONWAY: We already did in your proceedings, the Signatory Parties agree to fully 1 1 2 question. support adoption of the Stipulation without 2 MS. BAIR: But not from the deponent. modification..." 3 3 A. I mean, I think in paragraph E we're not MR. OLIKER: Where are you, Jodi? I'm 4 4 obligated -- the distinction is if it's contested, if 5 5 having trouble following you. people file testimony against the Stipulation, we're MS. BAIR: I'm on page 2 up above 6 6 7 not obligated to file a brief. We're not obligated 7 Recitals. to file a memo contra application for rehearing or 8 O. (By Ms. Bair) "For purposes of resolving 8 expend resources to participate in those proceedings. the issues raised by these proceedings, the Signatory 9 9 MR. CONWAY: Or provide prefiled 10 Parties agree to fully support adoption of this 10 testimony or deposition testimony, which is being Stipulation without modification..." 11 11 12 required here. That's the irony that I just pointed Then when we go back to page 36, "Sierra 12 Club, Direct Energy, and IGS are not obligated to 13 out. 13 support this Stipulation." Why do we have two 14 MS. BAIR: I appreciate your answer for 14 different types of support for the Stipulation? 15 the witness. 15 Q. (By Ms. Bair) Okay. Will the charges to MR. CONWAY: I'm going to object to the 16 16 residential utility customers authorized under this way the question has been framed because I think 17 17 Stipulation increase more from the Stipulation than you're just eliminating the context of paragraph E in 18 18 they would have under the original filed Stipulation 19 the course of raising your question, which that 19 or the Amended Application? 20 context being a contested Stipulation. 20 A. Can you repeat the question, please? Q. (By Ms. Bair) Do you have an answer? 21 21 O. Will charges to residential utility MR. CONWAY: I think they're two 22 22 customers authorized under this Stipulation increase 23 different contexts that are addressed on page 2 and 23 more from the Stipulation and implementation of

Q. (By Ms. Bair) As Mr. Conway is trying to

24

25

24

25

everything in it than they would have under AEP

		D (7	-
	Page 65	Page 67	
1	Ohio's original Application and the Amended	1 CERTIFICATE 2 State of Ohio :	
2	Application?	: SS:	
3	A. I don't know.	3 County of Franklin :	1
4	Q. IGS has performed no analysis to know	4 I, Rosemary F. Anderson, Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and	1
5	what the impact would be from the Stipulation as	5 qualified, certify that the within named Matthew	١
6	compared to the Application or the Amended	White was by me duly sworn to testify to the whole	1
7	Application?	6 truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony was taken down by me in stenotypy in the presence of said	1
8	MR. OLIKER: Objection to relevancy.	7 witness, afterwards transcribed upon a computer; that	1
9	A. IGS did not perform a residential bill	the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by said witness taken at the time and	1
10	impact based on the provisions of the Stipulation.	place in the foregoing caption specified and	1
11	MS. BAIR: That's all I have.	9 completed without adjournment.	1
	TOURS TOWN THE TOWN THE TAXABLE TO THE TOWN THE TAXABLE TO THE TOWN THE TAXABLE TO THE TAXABLE T	10 I certify that I am not a relative, employee, or attorney of any of the parties hereto, or of any	1
12	Does anyone on the phone have a question?	attorney or counsel employed by the parties, or	1
13	Do we have any attorneys on the phone?	financially interested in the action.	1
14	MR. PRITCHARD: This is Matt Pritchard.	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	١
15	I'm on the phone, but I do not have any questions.	hand and affixed my seal of office at Columbus, Ohio,	1
16	MS. BAIR: Thanks, Matt.	on this 6th day of January, 2016.	
17	(The deposition concluded at 3:40 p.m.)	15	
18	ALTERNATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	Rosemary F. Anderson, 16 Registered Professional Reporter,	
19		and Notary Public in and for the	
20		17 State of Ohio.	1
21		18 My commission expires April 5, 2019.	1
22		20 (RFA-79971-2)	1
23		21 22	1
24		23	1
25		24 25	ı
		25	ı
	Page 66		
1	State of Ohio		
	: SS:		1
2	County of		
	I Matthew White do hereby certify that I		
3	I, Matthew White, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition		
4	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016, that together with	j.	
4	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in		
4 5	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any it is true and correct		
4	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in		
4 5 6	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any it is true and correct		
4 5 6 7 8	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White		
4 5 6 7	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White I do hereby certify that the foregoing		
4 5 6 7 8 9	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Matthew White was		
4 5 6 7 8	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Matthew White was submitted to the witness for reading and signing; that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary		
4 5 6 7 8 9	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Matthew White was submitted to the witness for reading and signing; that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary Public that he had read and examined his deposition,		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Matthew White was submitted to the witness for reading and signing, that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary Public that he had read and examined his deposition, he signed the same in my presence on the day		
4 5 6 7 8 9	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Matthew White was submitted to the witness for reading and signing; that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary Public that he had read and examined his deposition,		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Matthew White was submitted to the witness for reading and signing; that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary Public that he had read and examined his deposition, he signed the same in my presence on the day of, 2016.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Matthew White was submitted to the witness for reading and signing; that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary Public that he had read and examined his deposition, he signed the same in my presence on the day of day		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Matthew White was submitted to the witness for reading and signing; that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary Public that he had read and examined his deposition, he signed the same in my presence on the day of, 2016.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Matthew White was submitted to the witness for reading and signing; that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary Public that he had read and examined his deposition, he signed the same in my presence on the day of, 2016.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Matthew White was submitted to the witness for reading and signing; that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary Public that he had read and examined his deposition, he signed the same in my presence on the day of Notary Public My commission expires		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Matthew White was submitted to the witness for reading and signing; that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary Public that he had read and examined his deposition, he signed the same in my presence on the day of Notary Public My commission expires		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Matthew White was submitted to the witness for reading and signing; that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary Public that he had read and examined his deposition, he signed the same in my presence on the day of Notary Public My commission expires		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Matthew White was submitted to the witness for reading and signing; that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary Public that he had read and examined his deposition, he signed the same in my presence on the day of Notary Public My commission expires		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Matthew White was submitted to the witness for reading and signing; that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary Public that he had read and examined his deposition, he signed the same in my presence on the day of Notary Public My commission expires		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Tuesday, January 5, 2016; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct. Matthew White I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Matthew White was submitted to the witness for reading and signing; that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary Public that he had read and examined his deposition, he signed the same in my presence on the day of Notary Public My commission expires		

A	20:3,5 23:25 25:2	allocated 33:7	appl
\$20 37:16	25:4,8 26:25 27:3	allowed 63:15	56:
\$200,000 40:8,16	27:6 29:1 30:6	allows 25:1,2	appl
40:22	36:1,13,18 39:12	aloud 50:9	appr
\$4 21:12,19	39:18,20 41:7	alternatives 45:2,6	64:
\$ 500,000 34:4	42:7 43:16 44:23	45:8	appr
ability 22:11,21	44:24 45:15,21	Amended 20:5	61:
34:11 47:9	46:10,21,24 47:6	64:20 65:1,6	appr
able 13:11 22:14	47:9,15,18,22,25	amendment 36:16	25:
46:21 47:6	47:25 48:15 49:2	38:3	49:
absolutely 17:2	49:11,15,19,24	AMI 52:18,21	55:
21:3	50:5,9,16,24 52:1	amount 37:8,12,13	appr
accept 9:13	52:13 53:5,9 54:8	51:9,11,15	54:
Accounting 1:9	54:13,24 55:3,22	amounts 60:14	appr
accurate 10:22	56:2,5,14,21	analysis 29:15	29:
achieve 50:10,22	57:18 60:7 64:25	30:23 33:15 37:11	40:
action 67:11	AEP's 4:2 15:13,19	45:1,9,25 48:18	48:
actions 51:2	17:8,17 26:6 30:7	52:6,9 60:9 61:6	53:
ectively 6:15	44:1 53:8	65:4	57:
actual 21:11 53:4	AEPGR 22:1,15	and/or 45:2	appr
add 36:5,22	56:14	Anderson 1:13	appr
idded 36:20	affairs 5:9	67:4,15	appr
adding 37:21	affiliate 1:5 16:12	announce 4:12	5:1
ddition 6:2 10:5	54:8	annual 21:9	Apri
36:2	Affiliated 22:6	annualized 21:12	30:
dditional 9:2 16:7	affiliates 47:15	annually 34:4	ARM
27:15,17,19,22	54:7	answer 6:20,21 7:7	1:2
28:5,8,9,15,24	affixed 67:13	7:16 12:2 18:8	arra
29:3,8 34:23	aforesaid 67:6	19:17 20:22 35:16	Arth
39:12,25 40:2	agree 8:5 10:16	50:4 51:22 57:4	aske
48:1	11:19 12:6,13,17	62:21 63:1,19,20	askii
ddressed 62:23	14:7,20 15:15	63:21 64:14	19:
idequate 11:7	16:11 19:24 20:8	answered 43:22	51:
idequate 11.7 idjournment 67:9	20:14 21:8 27:18	anymore 51:19	assei
idjournment 67.5	36:17 49:3 50:17	apart 28:4	assis
idinitied 21.2 idoption 14:20	53:12 58:17 59:24	apologize 46:8 61:9	Assis
62:2,10	62:1,10	appearances 2:1	asso
dvance 60:4	agreeing 32:15	4:5	42:
advanced 52:14,18	45:19,21 52:19	applicant 29:25	assu
idvances 59:25	59:2	application 1:3,8	assu
Advocacy 23:21	agreement 1:5,6	20:5 26:9,9,25	assu
24:3	57:20	27:4,24 28:20	attac
24.3 idvocate 23:25	agrees 14:5 41:7	29:2,5,12 30:18	Atta
24:2	48:1,4,13 59:9	30:20 39:9,9 55:3	atter
AEP 9:21 10:13,15	ahead 22:18 23:5	55:25 57:19 64:8	attor
16:12,22 19:25	50:3	64:20 65:1,2,6,7	15:
10.12,22 17.23		M	

lies 12:22,25 :2 lv 45:16 reciate 63:12 :14 ropriate 36:17 :6 roval 1:4,8 :25 26:7 48:19 :12,20 54:3,19 :3 58:12 rove 29:12 :20 57:11 roved 26:10 :5 37:6 40:15 :17 43:4 48:3 3:13,22 52:10 :18 55:23 57:9 1:13 roves 42:23 roximate 8:11 roximately 10,15 10:2 il 24:15 26:5 :20 67:18 MSTRONG 22 ingement 56:13 hur 2:10 ed 43:21 60:10 ing 11:22 12:21 :9 28:1 31:18 :10 63:10 rtion 49:10 stance 40:17,18 istant 2:7 ciated 39:25 :10 60:17 me 22:8 23:16 mes 56:16,17 ming 57:12 **ched** 66:4 chment 16:13 nded 10:6,10 rney 5:12 15:19 :19 63:21 67:10

67:11 attorney-client 7:16 12:2 attorneys 15:14 65:13 Authority 1:9 authorized 64:17 64:23 automaker 33:18 34:1 automate 39:19 automation 52:15 available 22:19 aware 17:20 21:21 25:9,14,16,22 27:11,12 29:10 31:6 33:3,6 35:5 46:11 47:17,20 48:6 53:6 56:7 B **b** 21:23 23:21 39:17 46:20 59:3 back 30:11 56:19 61:23 62:12 **Bair** 2:6 3:4 4:1,1 4:12,19 5:5,23 6:13 7:8,13,19 11:24 12:4,24 18:8,23 19:8,13

concluded 65:17 **certified** 5:3 39:20 22:7,9,11 26:13 21:9,12 36:14 brief 7:3 61:17 64:7 26:20,23 27:1 conclusion 22:17 certify 66:3,9 67:5 briefs 6:25 18:5 54:14,24 56:5,22 49:7 60:22 67:10 28:13 29:6,12 **Broad** 1:15 2:7 57:16.19 65:10 conditions 16:8 31:13,21 36:17 chance 47:5 Basic 35:4 broader 39:21 37:14 42:14,19,23 24:19.24 56:10 change 8:12,23 9:5 brought 6:3,5,6 basically 13:3 42:24 43:4 45:17 conducts 22:4 basis 21:18 23:11 21:3 45:20 51:20 48:18 49:1 52:11 Conesville 42:3,9 38:17 39:7 51:13 Bruce 2:5 changes 66:4 **changing** 8:13 21:1 53:10,18 54:20 43:19 44:15,22 54:21 57:2 BTCR 35:6 characterize 5:18 55:4,24 57:8,10 61:1 **budget** 40:21 battery 52:24,25 conferences 18:13 build 54:9 55:17,18 8:13 58:12 60:23,25 53:2,5,9,11,14 confidential 23:6 charge 36:14,17,25 61:5 66:16 67:18 56:4 beginning 11:5 conflating 56:12 37:9 38:7,21 commissioned 67:4 41:4 building 58:3 commit 46:22 49:2 confusing 32:14 charges 34:23 41:2 behalf 2:4,9,13,16 \mathbf{C} consider 43:2 60:25 64:16,22 commitment 27:6 2:21 4:7,10 9:16 c 25:12 30:21 44:12 27:21 44:1 45:22 consistent 40:14 cheaper 55:17 9:19 10:6 consolidate 47:1 47:21 48:12 59:3 check 10:23 51:15 46:9 53:4,8,11,13 believe 6:21 10:14 consolidated 41:5,9 calculate 36:9 54:13 56:4,21 57:7 11:3 12:18,25 California 2:19 41:13,15 43:5 commitments Chester 5:12 18:11 24:5 29:24 call 28:4 29:22 60:6 choice 41:14 43:7 27:16,17,20 28:5 30:1 31:12 34:6 called 24:22 35:4 construction 54:25 28:8,10,15,24 **choose** 36:18 37:21 40:23 41:21 calls 7:5 12:2 22:17 **consumers** 1:14 2:5 29:3,8 39:12 CIR 35:23 36:2 42:14 43:5,6,16 31:9 35:15 49:7 2:7,9 4:2 32:20 Community 40:17 37:5 38:1,18,24 44:18 47:8 48:17 50:1 60:21 contained 25:19 39:1.5 43:9 company 1:8 2:9 49:19 51:14 54:6 cap 25:9 33:25 26:12 2:13 48:4,13,23 clarification 48:11 54:10,22 56:6 40:18 51:6,7,12 51:12 54:23 contemplated classes 33:5 34:25 57:6 59:19 60:23 51:13,14,16 54:10 Clean 49:14,22,24 Company's 1:4 benchmarking capability 35:3 40:14,19 contemplates 47:8 clearly 43:18 39:19 capable 59:20 content 22:24 **close** 9:10 compared 65:6 benefit 38:12 capacity 24:2,5 comparison 30:24 **contested** 61:13,22 Club 2:18,21 4:17 benefits 11:8,9 53:24 competition 35:23 62:20 63:3 64:5 11:18 15:8 20:6 12:20 42:22,25 capitalized 23:16 20:12 23:3 61:14 36:2 43:11,15 context 62:18,20 best 49:12,15,20 caption 67:8 60:5 contexts 62:23 62:13 better 18:25 38:3 **Carbon** 51:24 co-fired 61:1 competitive 41:10 contra 64:8 48:14 Cardinal 44:23 contractual 19:25 60:4 beyond 16:17 18:18 **co-firing** 42:10,11 case 1:4,8 4:3 7:25 42:16 43:20 competitively 54:5 contrasting 63:5 19:7 25:22 28:15 8:16 11:3 18:3 conversation 37:4 **co-owned** 46:13,16 54:7 bid 51:2 54:5,7 30:3,18 36:16 conversion 42:3,8 46:18,23 47:2,6 completed 67:9 57:23 38:3 41:21 56:13 completing 54:25 52:7 **co-owners** 46:22 bidding 57:25 case-by-case 54:21 converting 19:11 coal 45:20 52:3 compliance 49:13 bill 65:9 category 39:12 42:11,16 43:19 49:21 Code 11:15 billing 41:5,9,13,16 cause 50:24 67:6 60:25 collected 37:25 complies 11:13 43:6 60:6 cents 37:16 component 22:2,5 Conway 2:11 4:10 **Columbus** 1:23 2:8 bills 36:21 Certain 1:9 22:14 4:11 18:16 19:2 2:12,15 67:13 board 8:24 certainly 14:8 37:3 19:10,14 20:9,11 comments 49:24 computer 67:7 boat 19:23 CERTIFICATE 37:20 45:3 55:9 bottom 11:5 13:22 commission 1:1 concerns 27:25 67:1 conclude 57:3 56:9,25 57:2 10:17 13:4,5 22:3 21:23 41:4 55:6

11 10

	1	1	1	
62:16,22,25 63:2	34:22 38:8,20,22	50:15 51:3	disapproves 42:24	EE/PDR 40:9,9,14
63:12,17,23 64:1	39:2 57:24	depend 50:21 51:4	discount 32:19 33:3	40:19,21,25 51:5
64:10	credits 19:25 33:24	55:2,19	33:18	efficiency 40:24
Corben 2:24 4:8	34:3	deploy 48:4,13,16	discounts 34:2	51:3,21 52:6
10:8	criteria 10:18	48:20	discovery 6:7,7	efforts 18:20 49:12
Corporation 20:2	Critical 23:1,12	deployed 48:24	20:23 21:3	49:15,20
correct 6:19 8:6,18	Cross-Examinati	deployment 47:24	discuss 30:4	either 14:12 18:20
8:21,22 21:14	3:4 5:4	deponent 64:3	discussed 28:2	31:8 36:9 51:11
23:7 38:20 45:20	current 57:5	deposed 18:12	discusses 32:17	Electric 20:2 41:10
47:7 61:18 66:5	currently 38:13	deposes 5:3	discussing 21:19	41:14
67:7	40:15 47:18	deposition 1:11 3:9	44:7	electrical 20:1
correction 66:4	customer 33:5	4:2 6:6,14 11:1	discussion 5:22	eliminating 62:18
cost 19:25 22:1,4	34:11,24 39:6,21	17:13 18:18,19,24	7:17 41:4 42:3	embedded 38:14
22:14 25:13 26:13	51:12 52:20	19:11 61:10 64:11	58:11	Emerald 2:3
29:7 33:6,8 34:8	customer-impact	65:17 66:3,9,11	discussions 35:15	Emission 51:24
34:12,15,24 35:4	39:7	describe 20:18 30:9	distinction 17:4,6	employed 67:11
37:21 39:4,8	customers 11:8,10	detail 27:3	64:5	employee 67:10
41:24 42:8 43:19	25:5 32:19 33:4,7	details 25:18 27:19	distributed 52:17	energy 2:2,4,16 4:7
44:1 47:24 48:6,7	33:11,12,13,16	determination	distribution 24:21	4:15 11:19 20:6
48:9,19,21 50:16	34:8,9,9,14,15,18	31:13,22 41:18	25:2 28:2,16 38:1	20:13 23:1,12
51:1,3,7 52:6,9	34:22,23,24 35:2	61:4	38:6,9,13,14,15	39:19 40:24 48:3
53:2,6,25 54:1,14	38:2,4,5,6,8,9,10	determine 22:9,12	39:3 52:15 57:21	49:12,20 50:10,15
54:16,19 55:12,15	38:13,15,19,21,25	26:14,21 27:1	diverse 59:21	50:22,23,25 51:2
56:5,22 57:19,21	45:14 54:25 57:20	36:14,24 41:8	docket 44:23 46:25	51:20 52:5,20
57:22 60:25	57:21,24 64:17,23	55:15	document 13:11,12	61:14 62:13
cost-effective 47:25	D	determined 22:3,7	13:14 28:18,22,23	engage 6:24
cost/benefit 47:22	d 44:13	44:18 45:13 48:25	46:25	enlist 18:17
costs 25:2 38:14	Dan 50:13	50:7	documents 5:20 6:3	entail 49:25
39:25 40:2,23	Daniel 2:11 4:11	develop 39:18	doing 31:16	Enter 1:5
42:10,16,22,25	data 11:7 41:12	dictionary 12:9	dollar 25:9 37:15	entire 12:22,25
44:10 45:10,12,14	52:21	difference 15:12,17	60:14	59:7,12,16,17
45:16,22 46:10	date 8:11,11 9:9,10	16:23 17:14 18:9	drop 61:10	entirety 12:15
53:15,16 55:5	day 66:11 67:13	63:10	Dublin 2:3	entitled 16:7 44:24
60:17	day 00.11 07.13 deadline 9:11	different 16:16	duly 5:2 67:4,5	entitlement 19:20
counsel 1:14 2:5,7	dealing 35:23	23:14 32:5 39:14	E	19:25
4:2 5:8 15:14	December 9:13	52:25 62:15,23	e 54:12 56:4,20	entity 47:3
16:22 17:8,8,17	42:11 44:17	DIR 24:22,25 25:11	57:14 61:11,12,20	enumerated 28:11
17:17,25 18:4,8	decide 45:15	25:12,14,19,22,24	62:18 63:2,5 64:4	environmental
18:14 58:24 67:11	decided 9:10	26:4,6,12,16,17	earlier 20:17	2:18 53:21
count 31:15	defend 19:4	27:10 28:7,9	earned 57:25	EPA 49:25
counted 31:7	define 12:8	direct 11:18 12:20	earnings 29:16,20	equipment 48:2,4
County 66:2 67:3	defined 7:8 23:18	20:6,12 40:17	30:2,6 31:20 32:2	48:14,24 49:4
course 62:19	28:17,22	61:14 62:13	East 1:22 2:15	equity 30:10
Craig 2:23 4:18	definition 14:1	director 11:9	EDR 33:25 34:1,6	error 63:18
credit 21:12,19	demand 49:13,21	disagree 30:13	34:12,16	ESP 24:15,15,20
32:18 33:18 34:2	uemanu 47.13,21	disapproval 26:1	34.12,10	25:4,8,20,24 26:6
			l	

26:19 27:4,10,15	07 4 00 4 20 4			
	27:4 29:4 30:4	first 4:5 5:2 16:10	29:8 40:16	Grid 52:12
27:16,18,23 28:12	36:19 39:9	19:23 53:21 58:15	Further 49:11	group 9:22
	extent 7:4 14:14,24	fit 49:23	51:23	GS-3 32:19 33:4
29:15,17,23,24	16:3 19:3,4 22:10	five 5:10	future 28:24 29:5	35:2
30:3,4,5,7,14,17	22:16 23:8 33:11	fleet 22:1 52:2	29:13,23 44:8	GS-4 32:19 33:4
30:19 31:1,15,16	35:14 40:1,11	Floor 1:15,22 2:15	48:9 49:1,2 50:7	35:2
32:6 36:15,19	49:6	2:19	53:1,10,12,17,19	guarantees 52:23
38:3 39:9 59:25		following 7:13 32:7	54:2	guess 27:25
60:1,20 61:3	F	62:5		guessing 20:17
establish 36:1	f 1:12 2:6 49:11	follows 5:3 40:19	G	
established 38:2	67:4,15	footnote 7:9 11:17	G 52:13	H
CStilliate 20.15	facts 56:16,17	11:21,25 12:22	gas 42:9 44:16 52:4	h 47:11,13 53:8
53:25	factual 17:20	15:8,11,22 17:3,9	gears 46:13	hand 67:13
	factually 17:22	20:6,11,19 23:4	general 5:8 33:14	happen 49:16
29:7 48:6.9 53:6	falls 32:13	24:9,11 58:18,19	generally 33:6	hear 63:25
54:1,16,19 55:12	familiar 29:19	58:20,25 59:9	generating 20:1	hearing 7:3,19,24
55:16	32:20 35:7	61:14 63:6	generation 22:5	8:10,15
evaluate 15.7.5	FAX 1:24	footnoted 13:3 23:3	52:2,4,17	held 7:20,24 8:15
evaluated 13:8	Federal 23:21 24:3	43:25	getting 48:21	10:11
	fight 12:10 14:3,9	footnotes 13:9,10	give 10:3 12:1	hereinafter 5:2
CYMIMMUOM ID:ID	figure 10:3	15:21 17:25 18:2	30:11 34:1,2	hereto 66:4 67:10
eventually 38:12	file 6:25,25 25:5	19:1	40:10 50:18	hereunto 67:12
52:10	26:25 27:6 47:16	footnoting 59:1,2	given 30:8 32:11	High 2:11
everybody 34:20	49:24 57:19 61:16	forced 61:17	66:4 67:8	highlight 43:3
evidence 56:17	64:6,7,8	forecasted 21:9	go 4:4,6 5:16 14:1	highly 22:25
exact 9:9,25	filed 8:2 9:11,12	25:13	16:6 19:7 22:18	hire 44:25 45:8
exactly 30:12 63:14	24:15,16,25 26:5	forecasts 21:17	24:13 28:1 32:12	hope 52:22 63:12
examined 66:11	26:9 27:23 28:20	foregoing 66:3,9	38:8 46:20 50:3	humor 63:23
Examiner 63:21	28:23 30:14 37:13	67:7,8	56:19 59:14 61:23	hydro 52:4
exceed 34:4	39:8 52:9 54:18	form 66:5	62:12	T
excessive 29:16,19	55:25 60:19 64:19	forth 13:16	goals 50:15 52:1	idea 37:15
30:2,6 31:20 32:2	files 25:8 27:3	found 60:1	goes 32:18	IDENTIFICATI
exclusively 47:2	47:18,22	four 5:15	going 5:16 7:6,15	6:1
exercise 19:11	filing 7:2 29:15	framed 62:17	11:1 12:1 14:9,10	identified 3:9 39:24
Exhibit 5:23 6:1,14	30:3 31:4 36:19	Francisco 2:19	17:22,22 19:22	40:4 53:16
11:2	42:8 44:2 48:3,9	Franklin 67:3	21:2 24:20,25	identify 45:1
EXHIBITS 3:7	48:13,21 49:1,2	free 21:4	25:4,19 26:5,18	IGS 2:2,4,24 4:7
existing 40:14	51:4 54:2	front 12:9	26:18,20 30:14	5:7,8,9 6:6,9 7:2
CAPURACU Z7.110,10	filings 53:1,10,12	full 21:23 54:14,24	31:4 34:16 36:5	7:21,25 8:2,4,5,7
29:4	53:17	56:5,21 63:8	36:20,22,24 37:12 38:5 39:10 41:4	8:8,12,13,17,20
Expend 0.25 04.5	final 36:18	fully 14:20,22 62:1		8:23 9:16,20 10:6
CAPITOS COLTO CALLO	financially 67:11	62:10	44:9 45:8,11 50:9 50:16 56:15 58:10	10:10,15 11:19
explains 21:18	find 15:7 17:20	funded 34:5	60:19 62:16	12:11 13:16 14:5
extend 24:21	42:14	funding 27:16,17		14:13,22,24 16:15
extended 29:17	fine 5:25	27:19,21,22 28:5	great 33:9 greater 50:10,25	17:21 20:7,13
extension 24:14	firm 5:12	28:8,9,15,24 29:3	greater 50.10,25	
1				

21:13,15,17 22:13	increase 34:1,20	64:12	13:7 59:21 mandated 58:8		
23:3,4,9,9 24:5,7	50:23 64:18,23	IRP 32:18 33:3	known 36:8 37:13	manner 40:13 48:5	
24:9,12 27:9,11	increased 40:9,22	issue 37:18	knows 19:3 35:16	48:14	
27:11 30:13,16	increasing 52:4,4,5	issues 11:11 14:19	kWh 36:15	mark 5:23	
31:14 32:6,13,23	independent 13:13	61:25 62:9		marked 6:1,14	
32:24,25 33:20,21	INDEX 3:1	Item 55:9,10	L	market 30:24 41:14	
33:22 35:8 37:1,3	individual 23:10	items 43:2	L 59:23	markets 57:24 58:1	
37:18 41:15,19	Industrial 2:16	1tems +5.2	labor 58:24	60:4	
43:2,24 44:4 52:6	4:15	J	language 29:1	material 17:24 18:2	
52:19,20 53:1	industry 41:12	J2:5	large 26:20	18:5	
59:1,6,11,13,15	information 11:7	January 1:15 46:24	law 2:18 5:12 29:24	math 31:18	
59:17 61:14 62:13	21:18,24 22:1,14	66:4 67:13	30:1 49:5 51:15 Matt 4:14 50:18		
65:4,9	22:21,24,25 23:1	Jodi 2:6 4:1 6:11	51:16,21,22	65:14,16	
IGS's 5:17 6:7 9:1	23:6,6,13 39:4,8	20:22 40:12 45:24	leave 28:13	matter 1:3,7 48:11	
18:2,5	41:12	58:13 62:4	legal 17:18,19	Matthew 1:12 2:14	
	Infrastructure	Joe 10:7	22:17 31:10 49:7	3:4 5:1 66:3,7,9	
III 60:2		Joint 3:10 5:24	60:22	67:5	
imagine 29:11	23:1,13	Joseph 2:2 4:8	legislative 5:9	McNees 2:14	
31:19 48:8	infrastructures	July 42:5,7	let's 8:10 24:19	McNees 2:14 mean 9:9 13:13,19	
impact 25:5,7 33:8	52:14	July 12.5,7	32:4 59:14 63:25	15:25 16:20 17:13	
33:16 37:11 39:5	initial 21:11	K	level 23:14 36:18	17:15 34:14,19	
65:5,10	initiatives 52:13	K 58:11,14 59:7,12	levels 40:16	40:9 57:17 59:2	
implement 50:17	installation 49:4	59:15,16,18	limited 38:4	64:4	
54:23	52:18	keep 63:23	line 11:6	meaning 11:23	
implementation	installing 48:2	Kevin 2:6	lines 13:12	12:4,6 17:1,10,20	
7:22 8:1,3,6 41:14	52:14	know 9:9,25 13:24	litigation 6:25 7:5	23:17 59:4 63:11	
64:24	instance 51:1	15:14,18,20 16:22	7:17		
implements 8:25	interest 10:20 11:9	16:23 17:4,16	LLC 2:14	meaningful 17:6 means 6:22 14:7,11	
important 13:3	12:17 26:14,21	18:1 20:20 22:6	LLP 2:10	17:12,21 20:19	
37:18	27:3,7 42:15,18	22:11 23:12,17	load-flow 45:1,25	· '	
incentive 35:23	43:3,6,8,17 47:1	24:18 26:13,22	locked 56:1	22:7,8 30:12	
36:2 43:7,11,15	interested 67:11	29:8 30:12 31:5	1		
48:1 60:5	interests 59:21	32:3 33:8,10 35:5	longer 8:5	61:16	
include 29:2 36:14	internal 18:13	35:18 36:7 37:8,9	longer-term 24:2,5	meant 53:13	
47:23 52:13,25	interpret 28:14	37:16 39:23,24	look 24:19 36:12	Mechanism 21:6	
53:5,9,11 54:19	48:18	42:1,13 43:19,23	39:5	meet 9:21 36:13	
55:21	interpretation	44:10 45:13 49:14	looking 14:16 16:7	37:1	
included 22:5	15:17	49:17,23 50:5	21:22 27:6 35:22	meeting 9:22	
29:11 36:15 53:17	interval 35:2	51:6,18 53:15	39:17 40:5 42:4	meetings 10:6,8,9	
54:2	introductory 32:11	54:4 55:2,13,17	57:14 61:20	10:10,11,14	
includes 52:2 56:7	investing 52:15	55:24 58:20,25	lot 42:22	members 10:9	
56:24	investment 24:21	59:4,6 65:3,4	loud 32:8	39:19	
including 20:4 38:9	25:3 28:2,16	knowledgable	low 11:10 12:20	memo 64:8	
60:5	involuntarily 63:14	10:19	10 12.20	Mendoza 2:18 4:16	
inclusion 1:6 19:19	involved 9:15 53:3	knowledge 53:2	M	4:16 61:9	
36:18	54:4	knowledgeable	mandate 58:4,7	met 10:1	
income 11:10 12:20	irony 63:13,24	THE STATE OF THE S	mandate 38:4,7 metering 35:2		
			l .	I	

original 64:19 65:1 40:11 42:20 43:21 37:24 38:11 39:10 16:21 17:24,25 52:14,19 outcome 9:4 39:16 40:20 42:2 methodology 36:8 45:3 49:6 50:1 58:23 output 20:1 57:22 56:25 57:1 59:8 42:7 43:18,25 negotiates 18:4 36:10 57:23,25 44:4,7 45:7 47:4 60:21 63:16 65:8 million 21:12.19 negotiating 9:15 47:11,14,21 48:23 outside 35:16 negotiation 15:13 **obligated** 6:10,15 mills 36:14 **OVEC** 19:20 20:3 6:22,22,24 7:9,11 51:5,23 52:12 minute 24:1 32:7 15:19 17:7,16 54:12 56:3,19 20:4 47:17,19 mischaracterizes **net** 19:24 34:8,15 61:15 62:13 64:5 57:14 58:10 59:14 owned 20:2 47:2 64:7,7 59:8 34:23 54:6 obligates 32:6 60:17 61:7 64:16 neutral 13:16,23,25 Misquoted 20:11 **OKEY** 1:22 ownership 47:1 33:10 34:7,13,14 obstacles 52:16 misquoting 29:25 Oliker 2:2 4:7,8 **obtain** 52:20 missed 45:5 34:19,21 6:11 7:4,11,15 new 24:25 25:4 OCC 6:6,8 13:9 misspoke 31:24 **p.m** 1:16 65:17 10:7 11:22 12:1 occupation 5:11 Modernization 26:19 27:10,15 package 8:9 9:1,3,7 12:21 18:11,17,20 **OEPA** 49:25 28:12 38:2 52:12 11:7 13:11 14:14 newest 26:6 offer 30:24 19:1,4,12 20:22 modification 14:21 14:15,25 15:3,24 offered 17:11 20:25 22:16 26:2 non 45:5 62:3,11 16:4,5 21:16 23:8 31:9,17,23 32:1 offering 39:21 nonbypassable **moment** 50:18 23:9 26:11 27:12 office 1:14 4:1 35:14 40:11 42:20 25:3 34:6,17 money 30:11 34:2 32:24,24 33:21,21 43:21 44:12 45:5 67:13 38:23 40:10 48:16 49:3 35:10 44:3,4 OHA 39:18,19 45:24 46:3,5 49:6 nonparticipation 50:16,24 51:3 page 3:3 11:5 13:22 50:1,11,18 57:1 5:18 Ohio 1:1,4,8,14,14 61:18 13:22 14:16 16:6 58:13,16 59:8 1:23 2:3,5,8,9,12 nonshopping 36:3 Moore 2:6 19:19 21:5,22,23 60:21 62:4 65:8 2:13,15,17 4:10 36:6,21 37:22 Morris 2:10 22:22 23:21,22 **OPAE** 40:6,8,10,16 38:5,8,10 39:6 4:11,15 11:14 move 19:18 23:20 24:13 25:12 27:15 16:12 20:2 36:13 40:22 nontransmission 35:19 39:10,15 29:14 32:5,17,18 45:6,7 39:12,18 41:7,14 open 44:23 41:3 35:11,20 39:10,11 opinion 31:10,11 42:7 46:21 47:25 **Notary** 1:13 66:10 **moving** 14:16 39:15 40:5 41:3,4 31:14-49:9 60:24 49:11 51:21 53:9 66:14 67:4,16 16:17 21:5,22 42:2,4 44:5,19,19 54:14.24 56:5,14 61:2 note 18:24 32:4 39:14 42:2 46:12 47:11,13,21 opportunity 26:24 56:21 66:1 67:2,4 **MRO** 31:1,16,24 Notice 6:5 48:12 50:8,8 67:13,17 27:1 35:3 noting 66:4 32:3 59:25 60:20 52:13,24 54:12 Ohio's 19:25 20:3,5 oppose 11:19 12:6 61:3 **November** 7:20,25 55:6,9 56:3,20 36:19 39:20 65:1 12:8,10,13,18 8:11,16 58:10,14 59:23 N okay 4:19 6:9 7:2 14:1,5,7,10 15:16 number 9:25 28:6 61:7,11,20,23 name 4:13 20:14 59:3,10,11 8:4,10,15 9:6,12 36:22 38:4 42:23 62:6,12,23 63:5,8 **named** 67:5 59:13,15,17 10:16,25 11:5 numbers 25:13 66:4 nameplate 53:24 opposed 7:21,25 Nurick 2:14 12:8,11 13:2,15 pages 32:7 natural 42:9 44:16 8:5,17 12:19 14:16 15:2 16:6 paid 32:20 33:5 \mathbf{O} 52:4 16:17 19:22 20:21 21:1 39:23 48:24 object 12:21 18:17 necessarily 15:6,25 21:22 22:22 23:20 opposes 59:6 paragraph 14:17 18:19 46:5 56:9 22:20 23:9 32:25 **opposing** 8:2,24 23:24 24:1,13 24:1,19 30:21 56:15 62:16 33:22 56:1 58:4 32:16 25:4,18 26:11 32:8,12,17 35:13 need 61:5,10 **objection** 7:4 11:22 opposite 63:9 27:9,25 28:17,21 42:4 44:20 46:12 19:6,8,10,15 20:9 needed 24:6 29:7,14 30:11,23 opt 35:3 46:21 47:11,12 needs 45:9 22:16 26:2 31:9 32:4,11 33:17 optimization 52:16 31:17 35:14 37:20 48:12 49:11 50:8 negotiated 9:19 order 49:23 60:2 35:1,19,22 37:1,5

			411	•0	
50:12,14 52:13	pay 33:11,12 34:9	position 5:7,8 8:12	process 54:19	17:24 26:24,25	
53:8 56:4,20 61:8	34:10,12,16,18,23	8:14,23 9:1,5 18:3	product 24:2,6	27:2,4,11,23	
61:12 62:18 63:2	38:5,11,19,21,24	18:5 24:8 34:8	59:20	28:12,19 29:2	
63:5,6 64:4	39:1 57:21,22	52:20	Professional 67:16	30:18 42:23 58:23	
parameters 41:8	paying 38:14,16	positions 30:17	program 2:18 35:4	59:3 60:3,12	
parenthetical	pays 34:20	positive 59:25 60:2	40:18,18 41:5,9	65:10	
32:16	peak 49:13,21	60:11,12	41:23,25 43:16	prudent 16:11	
Parkway 2:3	people 4:5 64:6	possibility 47:9	50:17 51:1 60:6	public 1:1,13 10:20	
part 7:3,8 9:3,22	perceived 42:25	power 1:4,5,6,8 2:9	project 53:14,14,16	11:8 12:17 26:14	
13:4 14:14 15:3	percent 30:9,10	2:13 4:10 49:14	53:22 54:9,9,17	26:21 27:2,7	
16:3,4 17:7 21:19	51:22 55:21 56:7	49:22,24 57:20	54:20 55:4,15,19	42:15,18 43:3,6,7	
23:8 24:20 25:14	56:24 58:4,7,9	PPA 4:3 7:22 8:1,3	57:11,22,23	43:17 66:11,14	
25:16,24 26:5,11	percentage 30:8	8:6,17,24,25 9:2	projected 41:24	67:4,16	
26:19,20 27:15,23	51:12	16:8,12 19:20	45:12	PUCO 2:23 4:18	
28:9 29:14 30:14	perform 44:25 45:9	20:3,4,4 21:6,9	projects 53:6 54:3	10:12 26:7,10	
•		22:6 31:7,15	54:6 55:23,24,25	37:6 44:24 47:16	
31:8,15,19 33:21	65:9	46:16,23 54:14,15	56:2,11 57:9,12	49:25	
35:8,10 37:1 44:3	performed 65:4	56:6,6,12,22,23	57:13 58:8	pump 52:5	
45:18 60:19 61:2	periodically 47:16		promotes 11:13	pump 32.3 purchase 1:5,6	
participate 6:16	personally 43:23	56:23 57:5,8,16 58:3	promotes 11.13 proposal 1:4 8:17	57:20	
16:18 37:4 41:15	phone 4:6,13 65:12	PPAs 56:11 57:12	24:20 29:15,23	purpose 17:12	
41:20,23 64:9	65:13,15		43:16 47:23 48:10	18:24 35:16 41:11	
participating 16:15	pick 36:22	practicality 41:13			
16:24,24 17:14,14	piece 23:21 26:6,16	practice 11:13	proposed 20:4	purposes 14:18	
17:21 18:9,10	pilot 41:5,9,11,24	prefiled 64:10	56:13 60:7	61:25 62:8	
19:9,9 20:7,13,18	43:6	premised 54:13	propriety 22:25	pursuant 22:2	
20:24	PJM 51:2 57:23	56:4,21	protection 23:14	pursuing 52:16	
participation 5:17	58:1	presence 66:11	provide 15:8,11	put 13:9 23:17,18	
17:19	place 51:17,19 67:8	67:6	29:25 40:17,22	31:3 58:2,25	
particular 13:16	plan 40:14,19	PRESENT 2:22	41:12 63:1 64:10	0	
15:25 20:19 24:8	46:24 49:14,22,24	presented 21:17	provided 22:2 52:7	qualified 67:5	
32:25 33:23 39:17	50:21 51:5,24	preserves 59:24	provider 41:10	quantify 60:15	
49:10	52:10	principle 11:12	provides 11:9	quantity 60.15	
particularly 12:17	plants 44:8 45:10	37:22	12:19,19	quantitative 60.8 quarterly 21:10	
24:19	55:1	Pritchard 2:14	provision 12:7,12	quarterly 21.10 question 7:16,23	
parties 10:19 13:2	please 4:12 5:6	4:14,14 65:14,14	12:13 14:6,11,14	13:21 42:20 45:24	
13:7 14:20 16:11	16:6 23:20 24:13	privilege 7:17 12:3	15:6 16:1,2,5,16		
19:24 21:8 22:20	35:19 39:15 56:20	privileged 19:6	16:21 20:7,14	46:5,8 56:16	
36:13,16 41:8,22	64:21	privileges 18:13	21:14 23:10 24:10		
58:17 59:21,24	point 31:4 39:11	probably 13:8	24:11 27:10,12	64:2,21 65:12	
61:12,21 62:1,10	pointed 64:12	45:15	28:14 32:6 33:1	questions 5:16 7:7	
67:10,11	pointing 15:2 63:17	procedures 10:22	33:11,17,18,22,23	65.15	
party 4:13 8:4,7	policies 10:21	proceeding 6:16	34:3,4 35:1 36:12	65:15	
22:13 32:14 37:3	11:13	7:6,21 9:4 11:12	40:1 43:25 46:9	R	
41:11,23 43:24	policy 26:22 49:5	14:22	47:8 49:2 55:5	R 2:11,14 4:11	
44:25 45:8 58:2	Porter 2:10	proceedings 14:19	59:7,12,15,16,17	R.C 60:1	
passed 45:11,14	portion 12:23	62:1,9 64:9	provisions 9:2 11:1 R.C 60:1		
f.					
			PRODUCE TAXABLE PRODUCE TO SELECT	CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON OF TH	

raised 14:19 61:25	44:10 46:10	remaining 46:22	results 59:25 60:2,3	50:10,15,23,23,25
62:9	recovering 49:3	remains 16:4	60:11,13	Saxbe 5:13
raising 62:19	55:4	remember 9:23	Retail 41:10	saying 16:18 17:13
rate 21:11 30:24	recovers 41:1	removing 52:16	retire 44:9,16	17:15,16 18:6
36:3,6,6,9,22	recovery 33:24	renewable 53:21	retirement 44:8,24	27:5 28:8 29:1
37:22 38:23	35:4 42:8 44:1	repeat 7:23 63:4	45:10 46:22	says 5:3 11:18
ratepayers 45:23	45:16 47:24 48:19	64:21	retirements 45:25	14:18 16:15 23:24
46:11	48:21 54:14,24,25	rephrase 13:21	return 30:10 55:21	34:3 36:1,12
rates 20:3 25:6	55:20 56:5,22	report 46:25 47:16	55:22 56:1,7,24	37:25 46:21 48:12
38:14 39:3	57:19	47:18	57:6 58:4,8,9	53:9 56:20 57:10
rationale 11:23,24	reducing 52:3	Reporter 67:16	revenue 25:10 31:7	57:16 58:17 59:23
read 12:23,23	reduction 50:15	repower 44:9,16	33:10 34:7,13,14	61:21,24
13:11,12 32:7,8	51:24	repowering 46:23	34:19,21 51:9,11	scope 18:19
40:12 45:4 50:9	reductions 49:13	represent 18:14	51:15	seal 67:13
50:13,18 66:3,11	49:21	representing 43:1	revenues 51:2	Second 1:22 2:19
Readiness 44:24	referenced 30:21	59:21	57:25	secret 22:25
reading 37:25	referral 43:16 60:6	represents 11:10	review 22:4 51:22	section 16:7 19:19
66:10	referred 32:1	request 22:3,10,11	Revised 11:14	21:5,20 23:21
reads 11:6 16:10	referring 6:11	22:12,21	16:12	27:14 35:22 39:14
19:24	31:24 44:12 51:17	require 26:24	RFA-79971-2	55:6 58:11,14
really 15:16 17:6	reflect 21:10	required 27:22	67:20	see 11:15 19:23
25:18	reflected 20:3	41:22 54:2 57:7	rich 63:24	20:14 23:3 25:7
reasonable 9:3	refuel 44:9,16	64:12	rider 1:6 7:22 8:1,3	30:10 32:4,13
11:11 22:2,12	refueling 46:23	requirements	8:6,18,24,25 9:3	39:5 45:9 48:8
reasonableness	refunded 38:1	11:14	16:8 19:20 20:4	55:14 56:3
22:4,9	regarding 5:17	requires 29:24	21:6,9,11 24:21	seek 48:1 49:12,20
reasons 18:18	7:17 21:23 27:19	reserve 30:16	25:3 28:2,16	seeking 1:3 47:24
receive 38:20,22	29:23 40:5 47:18	reserved 35:11,13	33:25 34:6,7,17	48:9
39:2 40:8,16 54:3	47:23 48:7	residential 2:9 11:9	35:5,24 36:2 38:2	SEET 29:22,22
57:24	regardless 22:24	12:20 33:13,16	38:18 40:24,25	30:13
received 33:4 48:16	Registered 67:16	34:11 64:17,22	41:1 43:7,11,15	segments 39:21
receives 55:22	regulatory 5:9	65:9	54:15 56:23,24	sense 63:23
receiving 54:14	10:21 11:12 25:25	resolution 9:4	60:5	sensitive 22:25
56:5,21	26:22 37:22 49:5	11:11	right 5:16 9:13	sentence 11:18
Recitals 14:17	rehearing 64:8	resolving 14:19	10:24 12:12,24	12:15,22 13:1,16
61:24 62:7 63:9	relate 56:11	61:25 62:8	16:16 29:8 30:16	13:20 14:18,23,25
Recommendation	related 25:14 27:18	resources 6:16,23	30:17,21 37:4,16	15:1,8 16:1,3,10
3:10 5:24 11:2	relates 20:18 24:12	53:1,9,12 64:9	41:17 48:6 58:18	17:2 19:23 22:6
reconciliation	relating 27:16 29:3	respect 16:25 44:22	role 9:18	22:23 23:10 25:23
21:13	29:16	46:16	Rosemary 1:12	40:12 48:12 58:15
reconfiguration	relative 67:10	response 4:20 51:3	67:4,15	58:20 61:20,24
52:15	relevancy 65:8	responses 6:7,7	S	63:8
record 5:22 18:16	relevant 19:5	restate 26:2	San 2:19	sentences 15:21,22
18:21,23	reliance 52:3	result 10:18 13:6	satisfying 27:7	separate 26:9 28:4
recover 25:2 45:22	rely 6:3 42:19 52:6	30:5	savings 48:2,3	28:6,15
recovered 40:2,24	relying 60:8	resulting 48:3	Savings TU.2,3	separately 54:18
		l		J

September 7:20,25	24:1 32:3 38:25	staying 40:15	summarized 16:13	61:10	
8:16	47:12	stenotypy 67:6	supplier 41:5,9,13	ten 10:2	
serious 10:19 13:6	sound 10:22	steps 46:25	43:5 60:6	term 23:18 27:17	
26:22 59:20	sounded 19:14	stipulation 3:10	support 6:10,16,18	29:4,17	
seriously 27:9	sounds 10:24	5:17,24 6:2,5,10	6:25 12:11 13:19	terminology 16:17	
served 6:6	South 2:11	6:12,13,17,18 7:1	14:4,15,20,22	17:18,19 57:15	
service 41:10 45:19	speak 4:5	8:8,8,20,25 9:2,11	15:1,20,22,24	terms 16:7 24:18	
set 13:15 21:9	speaking 26:15	9:12 10:18,20,21	16:2,4 20:8 23:10	24:24 28:25 31:3	
67:12	speaks 20:25	11:2,6,8 12:7,12	27:22 32:6,15,23		
settlement 9:16,19	specific 11:1 17:12	12:14 14:13,15,21	32:25 33:20,23	territory 39:20	
35:15	22:4 23:17 27:2	14:25 15:1,24	38:15,16 39:20	test 29:16,20 30:13	
share 20:1	33:15 38:17 52:1	16:1 17:1,10,25	56:18 61:12,15,21	30:24 31:8,16,20	
shared 48:2	53:5,11,14,14,16	18:22 21:14,15	62:2,10,14,15	31:24 32:2,3	
Sharing 21:24	53:22 55:15 58:25	23:11,15,18,19,22	supported 11:6	58:12 60:1,20	
Sherlock 2:24 4:8	59:4	25:15,17,21 26:12	supporting 8:20	61:3	
10:8	specifically 25:11	26:15,19 27:13	14:8,12 15:5,16	testify 18:20 67:5	
shopping 38:9,19	28:11 44:20 50:6	28:25 33:1 35:9	42:8 61:18	testimony 6:25 8:2	
38:21,25	specified 28:7,25	37:19 38:18 40:4	supports 8:8 14:13	64:6,11,11 67:6,8	
shut 47:6,10	67:8	41:20 42:15,19	14:24 21:13,15	Thank 6:9 10:5	
Sierra 2:18,21 4:17	speculating 56:10	43:14 45:18 48:15	23:4,9 32:24	27:14 40:3 43:18	
11:18 15:8 20:6	speculation 50:2	54:5,11 57:10,16	33:22 35:8	46:12 53:20 58:10	
20:12 23:3 61:14	spend 6:15 50:24	59:13,19,24 60:4	sure 43:13 51:18	58:16 61:7	
62:12	61:17	60:11,13,18 61:13	switching 46:13	Thanks 65:16	
sign 9:7,10 16:12	SS 66:1 67:2	61:13,15,22,22	55:7	things 12:16 26:17	
41:19	SSO 36:3 37:22	62:2,11,14,15,20	sworn 5:2 67:5	28:10 32:16 49:23	
signatory 8:4,7	38:15,16	64:6,18,18,19,23	T	52:3 63:9	
14:20 16:11 19:24	staff 2:23 4:18 22:3	64:24 65:5,10		think 10:9 19:22	
21:8 22:13,20	22:10,19,23 36:17	stipulations 10:17	take 30:17 32:7	21:1 22:13 24:7	
32:14 36:13,16	41:7	13:5,9,10 30:8	45:19	25:24 27:8 30:16	
37:3 41:8,11,22	staff's 22:10	storage 52:5	taken 1:12 47:1	31:23 32:13 37:5	
41:23 43:24 58:2	standalone 16:5	strategy 7:5,18	67:6,8	37:7 42:18 49:1	
58:17 59:23 61:12	23:11	Street 1:15,22 2:7	talk 18:13 26:18	50:22 51:20 52:9	
61:21 62:1,9	standards 13:15	2:11,15,19	30:1,19 52:24	54:1,17 55:14,19	
signed 66:11	standing 16:1,2	structure 52:19	talking 16:25 24:14	55:22 56:1 59:9	
significantly 29:16	33:1,23	54:15 56:6,6,22	26:4,16 28:10	62:17,22 63:19	
30:2,5 31:20	Star 39:19	57:6,8,16 58:3	30:20 32:5 33:17	64:4	
signing 27:13 37:19	start 10:25 48:20	studies 37:11	38:17 43:13 46:13 third 44:25 4		
66:10	started 61:16	study 47:22	46:17 50:14 51:23	thought 51:19	
similar 13:10,10	starts 42:5 55:10	subject 10:23 21:10	53:21 55:10 61:16	60:12	
simply 40:9	State 1:13 2:15	21:13 25:25 26:6	talks 18:4 30:23	three 9:24 10:4,18	
single 15:5 47:2	66:1 67:2,4,17	57:6	technology 47:25	13:2	
sit 17:5 43:1	stated 8:21 66:10	submitted 46:24	48:10 52:24 53:2	Three-Part 58:11	
Smith 2:23 4:18,18	statements 18:21	66:10	53:5	time 7:22 23:5 24:7	
solar 52:5 55:7,11	status 47:16,18	substance 66:5	telephone 2:14,18	36:7 37:17 41:18	
55:13,18,20	statutory 30:24	Suite 2:7	2:23	43:20 67:8	
sorry 13:21 15:4	51:14,16	summarize 46:17	tell 4:13 5:6 10:1 time-wise 9:6		

timely 48:5,14	understand 13:23	47:25 48:7,10,16	work 39:18 41:7	18th 1:15
times 9:21,24 10:2	17:11,23 19:1	48:20,23 52:16	wouldn't 50:23	19 42:2,4
10:2,4	27:5 38:4 58:22		Wright 2:10	
title 11:14 19:19	understanding	W	wrong 45:4	2
today 17:5 43:1	18:25 25:1 48:15	wait 24:1 25:7		2 13:22 14:16 19:19
told 60:11 63:20,21	56:23 57:15 61:19	55:14	X	27:15 28:7 55:6,9
Tony 2:18 4:16	understands 18:6	Wallace 2:14		55:10 61:23 62:6
tool 49:14	understood 46:7	want 4:4 5:18,20,24	Y	62:23 63:8
top 13:22 24:14	Unit 44:15,23	12:19 18:12 32:7	years 5:10,15	2-year 41:8
61:7,11	unit-by-unit 44:25	43:4,13 45:16	yet-to-be-filed	2:08 1:16
,	units 20:2 22:5	50:13	25:19	20 37:16 44:5
topic 24:8		wanted 9:7 61:10		2015 7:20,25 9:13
total 37:25	42:4,9,11 44:22	way 13:8 19:2 31:8	Z	2016 1:16 21:12
Town 1:22	46:14,17,18,23	36:20 44:8 54:22	zero 34:8,15,24	24:16 26:5 30:20
trade 22:25	47:2,5,10,17,19	56:3 57:7 62:17		36:15 38:2 40:16
transcribed 67:7	55:20 61:1,1	we're 6:15,24 7:11	0	42:5,7 66:4,12
transcript 66:3,9	unknown 42:16	,		67:13
67:7	43:20	14:9,10 16:16	<u> </u>	2017 42:12 61:1
transfer 47:17,19	updated 16:13	24:14 26:4,18 27:6 28:7 30:11	1 3:10 5:23 6:14	201 7 42:12 61:1 2019 67:18
transform 52:2	upgrades 45:2 46:1		11:2,5 13:22	
transmission 35:4	46:2,3	32:5 33:17 35:22	23:24.24:1,3,19	2024 23:25 46:24
45:1,2,25 46:1,2	usage 52:21	39:14 43:13 44:7	25:12 28:1 42:5,7	2029 44:17 45:20
treat 22:23	use 5:25 13:24 21:4	50:14 55:6 57:14	44:23 46:24	21 2:15 44:19
treating 23:5	49:12,15,15,19	64:4,6,7	10 1:14 2:7 24:13	222 1:22
treatment 23:5	useful 49:5	weigh 42:24	25:12 27:15 29:14	223-9481 1:23
trouble 62:5	Users 2:16 4:15	West 1:15 2:7	32:5,17 35:11	224-5724 1:24
true 6:9 66:5 67:7	uses 13:4	Weston 2:5	10.38 55:21 56:7,24	224-9481 1:23
true-ups 21:10	Usually 58:18	WHEREOF 67:12	58:4,7,9	23 46:12
truth 67:6	UTILITIES 1:1	White 1:12 3:4 5:1	100 51:22	25 47:11,13
try 18:20	utility 49:4 64:17	11:1 22:18 31:11	100% 44:16	27 47:21 48:12
trying 15:7.17:11	64:22	31:23 35:15 46:7	11 32:18 35:11	28 7:20 50:8
17:20,23 18:17,25	utilized 57:8	50:3 63:13 66:3,7	44:20	29 52:13
19:1,13,16 23:25		66:9 67:5	12 35:20,22 46:12	2nd 2:19
30:9 38:3 46:17	V	wife 58:24	12-a 36:12	
62:25	vague 42:21 46:6	Willcox 5:13	12-b 37:24	3
Tuesday 1:15 66:4	Valley 20:2	willing 18:8	13 30:9 32:6 39:10	3 7:20 8:11 40:5
two 9:24 10:2,4	value 50:25	wind 52:5 53:22,25	39:11	3:40 65:17
· ·	values 21:10,11	54:9,13 55:1,18		30 26:5 30:20 52:24
62:14,22	variety 18:18 52:3	56:4 58:3	14 39:11,15	31 23:25 42:11
type 52:7	verification 10:23	witness 3:3 17:11	14-1693-EL-RDR	44:17 54:12 55:6
types 62:15	versus 31:1,16	18:17 19:3 21:2	1:4 4:3	55:9 56:3,20
U	42:25 59:25 60:20	57:4 64:15 66:10	14-1694-EL-AAM	57:14
Uh-huh 13:18	61:3	67:7,8,12	1:8 4:3	33 58:10,14
			15 9:13 30:10 40:5	34 59:23
24:23 46:4	violate 10:21	wondering 28:6	50:8,11,12,14	36 61:7,11,11,20
ultimately 31:20	violates 11:12	word 13:24	16 41:3 61:14	62:12 63:5
53:18	37:22 49:5	worded 54:23	17th 2:15	
undersigned 66:10	Volt/VAR 47:22,23	words 13:12 15:15	1800 2:7	4
	1			

	al .	1 1		rage II
4 16:6 21:6,20 29:14 41 2:11 43016 2:3 43215 2:12,15 43215-3485 2:8 43215-5201 1:23 49 11:14 4928.143(C) 60:1				
5 5 1:15 3:4 19:19 30:23 42:9 43:19 44:22 66:4 67:18 500-megawatt 53:24 6 6 3:10 21:5 32:8 42:9 43:19 44:15 44:23	O.			
6100 2:3 614 1:23,24 6th 67:13 7 7 21:22 22:22 32:17 8 8 33:17 800 1:23		8 5		
9 9 23:21,22 35:1 9-a 42:4 9-c 44:5 94105 2:19	*			
-	х	н (т (7)		

- -2778

Bingham, Debra

From: Setup <Schedule@aando.com>
Sent: Friday, January 08, 2016 12:24 PM

To: Bingham, Debra

Subject: FW: Matthew White - Signature Letter

Please see Mr. Oliker's email below electing to waive signature on Matthew White taken on 01/05/16.

Thanks

Ken Spencer Armstrong & Okey, Inc. 614-224-9481

From: Joe Oliker [mailto:joliker@igsenergy.com]

Sent: Friday, January 08, 2016 11:20 AM

To: Setup

Subject: RE: Matthew White - Signature Letter

Ken,

We are going to waive signature. Thanks.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

1/8/2016 4:41:44 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-1693-EL-RDR, 14-1694-EL-AAM

Summary: Transcript Deposition Transcript of IGS filed by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Ms. Deb J. Bingham on behalf of Michael, William J. Mr.