BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

:

In the Matter of the Application of the
Ohio Development Services Agency for
an Order Approving Adjustments to the
Universal Service Fund Riders of
Jurisdictional Ohio Electric Distribution
Utilities.

Case No. 15-1046-EL-USF

TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF JOINT STIPULATION

BY

SUSAN M. MOSER

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES AGENCY

December 1, 2015

TESTIMONY OF SUSAN MOSER On Behalf of The Ohio Development Services Agency

.

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Susan M. Moser. My business address is Ohio Development Services
3	:	Agency ("ODSA"), 77 South High Street, 26th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43216-1001.
4	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
5	А.	I am employed by ODSA in its Office of Community Assistance ("OCA") as Section
6		Supervisor of the EPP/PIPP Plus section.
7	Q.	Are you the same Susan M. Moser who filed direct testimony in support of the
8		Universal Service Fund ("USF") rider adjustment application in this proceeding on
9		October 30, 2015?
10	A.	Yes, I am. That testimony sets forth my educational background and employment
11		experience, as well as my duties and responsibilities with respect to the percentage of
12		income payment plan ("PIPP") program and the Electric Partnership Program ("EPP").
13	Q.	Have you previously testified before this Commission?
14	A.	Yes, I testified in prior USF rider adjustment proceedings, Case Nos. 12-1719-EL-USF,
15		13-1296-EL-USF, and 14-1002-EL-USF. I also filed direct and supplemental testimony
16		in this proceeding on October 30, and November 27, 2015, respectively.
17	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
18	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to support the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation
19		("Joint Stipulation") filed contemporaneously with this testimony in support. The Joint
20		Stipulation seeks approval of the ODSA's amended application ("Amended

9699657v1

2

1		Application") filed November 27, 2015, and was entered into by ODSA, The Dayton
2		Power & Light Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, The Toledo
3		Edison Company, Ohio Edison Company, and Industrial Energy Users - Ohio
4		(hereinafter, the "Signatory Parties"). The Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of
5		Ohio ("Staff") and the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel have not joined the
6		stipulation, but do not oppose it. The Signatory Parties recommend that the Commission
7		issue an Opinion and Order approving the Amended Application filed November 27,
8		2015. This testimony demonstrates that: (1) the Joint Stipulation is a product of serious
9		bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties; (2) the Joint Stipulation does not
10		violate any important regulatory principle or practice; and (3) the Joint Stipulation, as a
11		whole, will benefit customers and the public interest.
12	Q.	Please summarize the major provisions of the Joint Stipulation.
12 13	Q. A.	Please summarize the major provisions of the Joint Stipulation. The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider
13		The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider
13 14		The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider rate to collect the revenue requirement for each of the EDUs in 2016. The Joint
13 14 15		The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider rate to collect the revenue requirement for each of the EDUs in 2016. The Joint Stipulation further agrees to follow the Notice of Intent ("NOI") process first adopted in
13 14 15 16		The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider rate to collect the revenue requirement for each of the EDUs in 2016. The Joint Stipulation further agrees to follow the Notice of Intent ("NOI") process first adopted in Case No. 04-1616-EL-UNC and requires ODSA to file its NOI by May 31, 2016, and its
13 14 15 16 17	Α.	The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider rate to collect the revenue requirement for each of the EDUs in 2016. The Joint Stipulation further agrees to follow the Notice of Intent ("NOI") process first adopted in Case No. 04-1616-EL-UNC and requires ODSA to file its NOI by May 31, 2016, and its application no later than October 31, 2016
13 14 15 16 17 18	Α.	The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider rate to collect the revenue requirement for each of the EDUs in 2016. The Joint Stipulation further agrees to follow the Notice of Intent ("NOI") process first adopted in Case No. 04-1616-EL-UNC and requires ODSA to file its NOI by May 31, 2016, and its application no later than October 31, 2016 Does the Joint Stipulation represent a product of serious bargaining among capable,
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	А. Q .	The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider rate to collect the revenue requirement for each of the EDUs in 2016. The Joint Stipulation further agrees to follow the Notice of Intent ("NOI") process first adopted in Case No. 04-1616-EL-UNC and requires ODSA to file its NOI by May 31, 2016, and its application no later than October 31, 2016 Does the Joint Stipulation represent a product of serious bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties?
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	А. Q .	The Joint Stipulation adopts the annual USF rider revenue requirement and the USF rider rate to collect the revenue requirement for each of the EDUs in 2016. The Joint Stipulation further agrees to follow the Notice of Intent ("NOI") process first adopted in Case No. 04-1616-EL-UNC and requires ODSA to file its NOI by May 31, 2016, and its application no later than October 31, 2016 Does the Joint Stipulation represent a product of serious bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties? Yes, it does. The parties to this case have been actively participating in the USF

.

9699657v1

2

opportunity to enter into settlement discussions on the Application, the Amended
Application, and the proposed Stipulation. No party requested a prehearing conference or
a settlement conference. Most of the parties to this USF proceeding are signatories to
prior stipulations. Therefore, the Joint Stipulation represents a product of serious
bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties.

6 Q. Does the Joint Stipulation benefit consumers and the public interest?

7 A. Yes, it does. The Joint Stipulation ensures adequate funding for the low-income
8 customer assistance programs and the consumer education programs administered by

9 ODSA. Moreover, the Joint Stipulation benefits consumers and the public interest
 10 because the USF rider rates represent the minimal rates necessary to collect the EDUs'

11 USF rider revenue requirements.

Q. Does the Joint Stipulation violate any important regulatory principles and practices?

14 A. No. The USF rider revenue requirement and rider rate were determined in accordance

15 with the NOI methodology approved by Opinion and Order issued October 28, 2015.

16 Q. Should the Commission approve the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

19 A. Yes.

3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing *Testimony of Susan M. Moser* has been served upon the following parties by first class mail, postage prepaid, and/or electronic mail this 1st day of December 2015.

Dane Stinson

Steven T. Nourse Matthew J. Satterwhite AEP Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza Columbus, Ohio 43215 stnouse@aep.com mjsatterwhite@aep.com

Randall V. Griffin Judi L. Sobecki The Dayton Power & Light Company MacGregor Park 1065 Woodman Avenue Dayton, Ohio 45432 Randall.Griffin@dplinc.com Judi.Sobecki@dplinc.com

Elizabeth H. Watts Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. 155 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 Elizabeth.Watts@duke-energy.com

Joseph P. Serio Ajay Kumar Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 serio@occ.state.oh.us ajay.kuma@occ.state.oh.us William L. Wright Section Chief, Public Utilities Section Thomas W. McNamee Assistant Attorney General Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 William.Wright@puc.state.oh.us Thomas.McNamee@puc.state.oh.us

Sam Randazzo Frank P. Darr Matthew Pritchard McNees, Wallace & Nurick Fifth Third Center Suite 910 21 East State Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 sam@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com mpritchard@mwncmh.com

Carrie M. Dunn FirstEnergy Corp. 76 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308 cdunn@firstenergycorp.com

Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy PO Box 1793 231 West Lima Street Findlay, Ohio 45839-1793 cmooney@ohiopartners.org This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

12/1/2015 9:01:39 AM

in

Case No(s). 15-1046-EL-USF

Summary: Testimony in Support of Stipulation electronically filed by Dane Stinson on behalf of Ohio Development Services Agency