

FILE

RECEIVED-SOCKETING H.

This letter is directed to the
members of the PUCO.

2015 NOV 25 AM 11:59

PUCO

I am writing in opposition to
AEPs case 14-1693

I don't understand why AEP
customers are being asked to pay
higher utility bills to finance
updates on old coal plants. Why
weren't there public hearings about
this? I want to know why, at this
point in the evolution of energy
production, the Public Utilities
Commission would even consider
allowing AEP, or anyone else, to force
consumers to pay for aging plants
and further reliance on coal-based
energy. Isn't one function of the
PUCO the protection of the consumer?

This is to certify that the images appearing are an
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file
document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician Am Date Processed 11/25/15

We're not only paying for this out-dated system in cash but also with our health and damage to our eco-system. Really, enough is enough. I want this, and all future requests for coal-based energy subsidies, bailouts, considerations, dividends - whatever you want to call them - denied to energy companies. Bad behavior should not be rewarded. I'm tired of paying for Ohio's coal legacy when there are cleaner, safer, and healthier methods of producing energy.

Sincerely,
Sherry Strives
5041 Greensboro Ct.
Columbus, OH 43220
614-457-1261