

FILE To the Public Utilities Commission, 14-1693-EL-RDR

I am opposed to this utility bailout for a number of reasons. First, consumers should not be paying for upgrades to outdated plants which do not produce electricity efficiently. The possible costs can't even be ^{exactly} determined because of the changing nature of the Federal clean air standards, ~~and other factors.~~ ^{and other factors.}

^{Second,} the nature of coal-supplied power plants is a big problem. These plants produce an objectionable amount of pollution, ^{including CO₂ which affects climate change,} to our air and water, as the Federal E.P.A. noted in its new standards. Third, the current ~~costs~~ projected costs of this bailout ~~are~~ are objectionable. There is no good reason to pay more for electricity at this point in time when less expensive alternatives exist. Many consumers will struggle with this cost for no good reason, as far as I can tell. Fourth, this bailout would undercut the clean energy market which already exists and which is working well. Thanks very much for your time,

Bob Maher

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician AW Date Processed 11/23/15

RECEIVED-BOOKETINS DIV
2015 NOV 23 PM 2:18
PUCO

To PUCO:

I would like to voice my opposition to Rider 14-1693 which allows AEP to charge it's customers 2.4 billion dollars in increased fees to invest in old, outdated coal burning power plants. Allowing AEP to do this is completely unfair to it's customers, many of whom DO NOT want their money spent on old technology that speeds up global warming


Ohio should be turning in the direction of cleaner, more efficient energy solutions that benefit consumers, the environment and our children, not making Ohio more dependant on coal.

Please Do your job And protect Ohioans from this bailout!

Sincerely,

Jennifer L. Wiley
371 Olentangy forest Dr
Cals. OH 43214

AEP should have to focus their investments into sustainable/clean energy in order to receive any bailout money from any source. To go backwards from an environmental stand point on energy sources is a disgrace to our future generations.



Ethan W. Emergy

Nov. 9, 2015

PUCO,

In the face of climate change, electric generation should be from sustainable sources. Any support to help further the use of out-dated and inefficient coal plants is a misuse of money. To ask the people of Ohio to pay for this bail-out is very unjust and not in their best interests - now or in the ongoing future.

It's time to move to 21st technology and pour all resources into renewable energy technology. Ohio could be a leader in the nation, instead of in the lowest tier as it so often is.

Sincerely,

Theodore Shep