## IN THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the :
Application of Ohio Edison :
Company, The Cleveland :
Electric Illuminating :
Company, and The Toledo :

Edison Company for : Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO

Authority to Provide for a Standard Service Offer : Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143 : in the Form of an Electric : Security Plan. :

- - -

## DEPOSITION

of Steven E. Strah, taken before me, Carolyn D. Ross, Registered Professional Reporter, and a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, at the offices of FirstEnergy Corporation, 76 South Main Street, Akron, Ohio, on Friday, January 30, 2015, at 8:36 a.m.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4620
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
FAX - (614) 224-5724

\_ \_ \_

2 1 APPEARANCES: 2 FirstEnergy Corp. By Ms. Carrie M. Dunn 3 76 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308 4 Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP 5 By Mr. James Lang The Calfee Building 6 1405 East Sixth Street Cleveland, Ohio 44114 7 On behalf of the Applicants. 8 Sierra Club 9 By Mr. Tony G. Mendoza, Esq. Environmental Law Program 10 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, California 94105-3459 11 Earthjustice 12 By Mr. Michael Soules 1625 3Massechusetts Avenue NW, Suite 702 Washington, D.C. 20036 13 On behalf of the Sierra Club. 14 15 Bricker & Eckler, LLP By Mr. Dylan Borchers (via speakerphone) 100 South Third Street 16 Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291 17 On behalf of the NOPEC. 18 Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 19 By Ms. Colleen Mooney (via speakerphone) 231 West Lima Street 20 Findlay, Ohio 45846 2.1 On behalf of the Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy. 22 23 24

		3
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)	
2	McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC By Mr. Frank Darr (via speakerphone)	
3	21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215	
4 5	On behalf of the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio.	
6 7	IGS Energy By Mr. Joseph Oliker (via speakerphone) 6100 Emerald Parkway	
8	Dublin, Ohio 43016	
9	On behalf of the IGS Energy.	
10	Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease, LLP By Mr. Howard Petricoff (via speakerphone) 52 East Gay Street	
11	Columbus, Ohio 43215	
12	On behalf of RESA, EPSA, and the PJM Power Providers Group.	
13	Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP	
14	By Ms. Rebecca Hussey (via speakerphone) and Ms. Kimberly W. Bojko (via speakerphone)	
15	280 North High Street, Suite 1300 Columbus, Ohio 43215	
16	On behalf of the Ohio Manufacturers'	
17	Association Energy Group.	
18	Environmental Law & Policy Center By Ms. Madeline Fleisher (via speakerphone)	
19	1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212	
20	On behalf of the Environmental Law &	
21	Policy Center.	
22	ALSO PRESENT:	
23		
24	Mr. Kevin Murray (via speakerphone), Mr. Hisham Choueiki (via speakerphone).	

	Г	
		4
1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESS:	PAGE
4	Steven E. Strah	7
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Mendoza Cross-Examination by Mr. Darr	97
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Oliker Cross-Examination by Mr. Borchers Cross-Examination by Ms. Hussey	104 133 137
7		20,
8	CEDAN DEDOCTETON EVILIDIES	TDEMETELED
9	STRAH DEPOSITION EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED
10	1 - State of Connecticut, Dept. of Public Utility Control, Docket No. 05-07-14PH02	42
11	Draft Decision	
12	2 - Document "Where does Ohio's electricity come from?"	46
13	3 - IEU Set 1-INT-25 Attachment 1	86
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

Steven Strah

```
5
 1
                               Friday Morning Session,
 2
                               January 30, 2015.
 3
 4
                   MR. MENDOZA: Good morning, Mr. Strah.
 5
                   THE WITNESS: Good morning.
 6
                   MR. MENDOZA: I'm Tony Mendoza, and I
 7
      represent Sierra Club in this proceeding. Could you
 8
      please --
 9
                   MR. SOULES: Were we going to do
10
      appearances before?
11
                   MR. LANG: Yeah. I didn't -- was he
12
      sworn in? Why don't we swear the witness in first.
13
                   (Witness placed under oath.)
14
                   MR. LANG: And we'll take appearances.
      Jim Lang representing the companies, also here with
15
16
      Carrie Dunn.
17
                   MR. MENDOZA: And Tony Mendoza for
18
      Sierra Club.
19
                   MR. SOULES: Michael Soules representing
20
      Sierra Club.
21
                   MR. LANG: If we can get appearances
22
      from the folks on the phone, please.
2.3
                   MR. PETRICOFF: This is Howard
24
      Petricoff, and I'm here on behalf of the Retail
```

Steven Strah

6 Energy Supply Association, the Electric Power Supply 1 2. Association, and the P-3 Group. MR. OLIKER: This is Joe Oliker on 3 4 behalf of IGS Energy. MR. DARR: Frank Darr on behalf of 5 6 Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. 7 MS. FLEISHER: Madeline Fleisher for the 8 Environmental Law & Policy Center. MS. MOONEY: Colleen Mooney with Ohio 9 10 Partners for Affordable Energy. 11 MS. HUSSEY: Rebecca Hussey with Ohio 12 Manufacturers Association Energy Group. 13 MR. BORCHERS: Dylan Borchers, Northeast 14 Ohio Public Energy Council. MS. BOJKO: Kim Bojko, also with the 15 16 OMA. 17 MR. CHOUEIKI: Good morning. This is 18 Hisham Choueiki, Ohio PUC staff. MR. LANG: All right. Sounds like we 19 20 have everyone. 21 MR. MENDOZA: Thank you, Jim. 2.2 MR. LANG: Why don't you go ahead. 2.3 24

	7
1	STEVEN E. STRAH,
2	being by me first duly sworn, as hereinafter
3	certified, deposes and says as follows:
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. MENDOZA:
6	Q. Good morning again.
7	A. Good morning.
8	Q. As I said earlier, my name is Tony
9	Mendoza, and I represent the Sierra Club in this
10	proceeding.
11	Could you please state your full name
12	for the record?
13	A. Steven E. Strah.
14	Q. And what is your business address,
15	Mr. Strah?
16	A. It's 76 South Main Street, Akron, Ohio.
17	Q. Okay. And in order to speed things up
18	as we go along, I'd like to set up two definitions
19	that we can work with as we go. If I refer to the
20	Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric
21	Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company
22	collectively as the companies, will you understand
23	what I mean?

A. Yes.

- Q. And similarly, if I refer to FirstEnergy Solutions Corporation as FES, will you understand what I mean?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Strah.

And I'd just like to ask you a couple questions about your previous employment experience. You previously served as president of Jersey Central Power & Light Company; is that correct?

- A. No.
- Q. Could you tell me what position you held with the Jersey Central Power & Light Company, please?
- A. I was regional president of the northern region of Jersey Central Power & Light.
- 16 Q. Okay. Where is the northern region based?
- A. Morristown, New Jersey.
- 20 Q. Okay. And what were the years that you served as regional president of Jersey Central Power & Light?
- A. From October 2001 to March of 2006.
- Q. Okay. And during those years that you were president -- regional president, did Jersey

Steven Strah

9 1 Central Power & Light own generation assets? Α. No. 3 Ο. And so then how did Jersey Central 4 Power & Light serve its load during those years? Through a generation auction process. 5 Α. 6 Ο. And without focusing on the details, 7 would you say that is broadly similar to the SSO 8 process in Ohio? Could you rephrase the question? 9 Α. 10 Q. You know, we won't have to focus on that 11 one. 12 During those years, what percentage of 13 the mix of generation served your customers of --14 excuse me. Strike that, please. 15 During those years that you were 16 regional president, what was the mix of generation --17 what -- excuse me. Can I strike that again. 18 During those years that you were regional president, what percentage of the mix of 19 20 generation that served your customers was coal-fired? 21 Α. I don't know. 2.2 Q. Do you know if it was less than 25 2.3 percent?

24

Α.

No.

- Q. Okay. At the time you submitted your direct testimony in August 2014 in this proceeding, you were vice-president, district -- Distribution Support for FirstEnergy Service Company; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

- Q. Okay. And is that still your position through the end of this month?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And as VP for Distribution

  Support, have you provided support for the companies?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And what type of support did you provide generally speaking?
  - A. Technical and support services for the utilities.
  - O. And what are technical services?
  - A. It's a set of services and a level of expertise that helps us serve customers in the companies.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you. Have you -- in the -- as your role as VP for Distribution Support, have you provided advice on business decisions for the companies?

- A. Could you rephrase the question, please?
- Q. Sure. Have you provided advice on business decisions for the companies?
  - A. Could you rephrase the question?
- Q. Could you tell me which part you were having trouble with of the question, please?
- A. I believe "business decisions" is broadly spoken here in your question.
- Q. And I just mean that in a broad sense.

  Did you -- would you from time to time -- would

  people within any of the three companies contact you

  asking for your input or advice on decisions about

  how those companies operate their business?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And as VP for Distribution Support, have you provided support for FES?
- 17 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

2.2

2.3

- Q. Okay. And as VP for Distribution

  Support, are you a shared services employee?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Okay. And what is -- and I understand you were recently promoted; is that correct?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And what -- and what is your new

position? What is the title of your new position?

- A. The title is senior vice-president and president of FE Utilities -- FirstEnergy Utilities.
- Q. And does that mean that you are president of FirstEnergy Utilities, not just in Ohio, but also in other states?
  - A. Could you rephrase your question?
  - Q. I think we can just move on.

And when does your promotion to senior vice-president become effective?

- A. February 1st, 2015.
- Q. And this senior vice-president position is with FirstEnergy Corporation; is that right?
  - A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

- Q. And as senior vice-president, what will your responsibilities be?
- A. I'll have responsibility for all of
  FirstEnergy's utility operations, transmission,
  customer service, and energy efficiency.
  - Q. And who will you report to?
    - A. Charles Jones.
- 22 Q. And his position is chief executive officer; is that correct?
- A. He is CEO and president of FirstEnergy

Corporation.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. Okay. Thank you. And in this new position, will you continue to provide support for the companies?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And in this new position, will you provide support for FES?
  - A. No.
- 9 Q. And then let's switch gears a little 10 bit.

Are you familiar with the proposed agreement under which FES would sell its capacity, energy, and ancillary services from certain generation units to the companies?

- A. Could you repeat the question, please?
- Q. I'd be happy to.

Are you familiar with the proposed agreement under which FES would sell its capacity, energy, and ancillary services from certain generation units to the Ohio companies -- excuse me, to the companies?

- A. Could you rephrase the question?
- Q. Sure. Are you familiar with the proposed agreement under which FES would sell its

capacity, energy, and ancillary services from certain generation units to the companies?

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. And the -- and the generating assets that are the subject of that proposed agreement are the Sammis plant, the Davis-Besse plant, and FES's share of the OVEC plants; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And if I refer to the proposed agreement as the proposed transaction, will you understand what I mean today?
- A. Yes.
- Q. When did you first learn about the proposed transaction?
- MS. DUNN: Can you please go on mute?
- THE WITNESS: May of 2014.
- 17 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. And how did you hear about the proposed transaction?
- 20 A. Through a discussion with James Haney.
- Q. And who is James Haney?
- A. James Haney is vice-president of
  Compliance and Regulatory Services.
- Q. With which entity?

- A. Could you rephrase your question, please?
- Q. We've been discussing a few different FirstEnergy entities; FirstEnergy Corporation, FES, the companies. And I'm wondering which of those, if any, or -- employs Mr. Haney.
- A. Jim is an employee of the FirstEnergy Services Corporation.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. And what -- in May -- in May 2014 when Mr. Haney spoke to you about the proposed transaction -- or, scratch that.

In May 2014 when you learned of the proposed transaction from Mr. Haney, what did he say?

- A. He indicated that FES had approached the companies with an idea for a proposed transaction.
- Q. Okay. And from speaking with Mr. Haney or otherwise, do you have an understanding of why FES has offered -- offered to enter into the proposed transaction with the companies?

MR. LANG: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: No.

22 BY MR. MENDOZA:

2.3

Q. Okay. When you first heard about the proposed transaction, were you given any details

about which plants this transaction might include?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.3

- Q. And when you first heard about the proposed transaction, were you given any details about the likely term of years for the proposed transaction?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Switching gears a little bit, let's turn to Page 2 of your written testimony, Mr. Strah.
- On Lines 9 through 11, do you see where it states, "This will offset the market-based retail prices that are projected to increase through this period and thus will stabilize retail electric rates for all customers"? Do you see where it states that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And the period you're referring to here is the 15-year period starting on June 1st, 2016; is that correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And what is the basis of your opinion that such prices are projected to increase?
  - A. Could you repeat the question?
  - Q. I'd be happy to.

What is the basis -- you know, looking at your statement at Lines 9 through 11 on this page, what is the basis for your opinion that such prices are projected to increase?

- A. It was based on a forecast.
- Q. And did you produce that forecast yourself?
  - A. No.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Who provided you with that forecast?
- 10 A. Witness Rose.
  - Q. And are you offering any opinion on the reasonableness of Mr. Rose's energy price forecasts?
    - A. Could you rephrase your question?
  - Q. Do you have an opinion on whether

    Mr. Rose's energy price forecasts are or are not
    reasonable?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And what is your opinion of Mr. Rose's energy price forecasts?
  - A. His forecast is reasonable.
- Q. And what is the basis for your opinion that Mr. Rose's forecast is reasonable?
- A. He's a widely recognized expert in such matters.

Q. Did you perform any work on your own to verify the reasonableability of Mr. Rose's forecast?

MR. LANG: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, could you

5 repeat the question?

BY MR. MENDOZA:

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. Sure. I -- I believe in your last answer you stated something to the effect that his forecast is reasonable because of his credentials and experience. And I'm wondering if in addition to that you did anything -- any work on your own analyzing or verifying his forecast to confirm or verify whether his forecast is reasonable or not.
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. And aside from Mr. Rose's work, do you have other bases -- do you have any other basis -- strike that, please.

Aside from Mr. Rose's work, do you have any other basis for your opinion that market-based retail prices are projected to increase over this period?

- A. No.
- Q. Okay. And let's turn to the next page,
  Page 3, Lines 6 through 7. Do you see where your

direct testimony states that power prices have been and are expected to be significantly volatile?

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And when you say "power prices" here,
  Mr. Strah, are you referring to retail electric
  energy prices?
  - A. No.
- Q. And so then what are you referring to on Lines 6 and 7 of Page 3 when you say -- when you use the term "power prices"?
  - A. Power prices in general.
- Q. And so would that include both retail electric energy prices and wholesale electric energy prices, for example?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And when you say "have been," what period of time are you referring to?
  - A. Over the course of time in general.
  - Q. Would you agree with me that "have been" refers to the past?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. And are you able to put a term of years
  going backwards -- are you able to state a term of
  years going backwards in time that you were referring

to in this line of your testimony?

1

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. An example would include the years of 2006 through 2008.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you. What is the basis for your view that such prices have been significantly volatile?
- 7 A. I would point back to that range of 8 years I've just indicated.
  - Q. And so for 2006 and 2008, on what do you base your opinion that prices were significantly volatile during that time?
  - A. I think a review of the history of that period would indicate that.
  - Q. And if I wanted to review the history of that period, could you direct me to any documents or sources of data that I can review?
- MR. LANG: You're asking him in addition
  to the -- you know, as the sentence says "Company
  Witness Rose testimony," are you asking him in
  addition to that?
- MR. MENDOZA: I haven't -- is the -22 I'll withdraw the pending question.
- 23 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. Is your opinion regarding volatility of

power prices looking backward in time, is that based on Mr. Rose's testimony?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have -- do you have other bases of information or analysis to -- that support this statement other than Mr. Rose's testimony?

MR. LANG: Objection.

THE WITNESS: No.

BY MR. MENDOZA:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Are you offering any opinion on the reasonableness of Mr. Rose's historical observations regarding volatility?
  - A. Could you repeat the question?
- Q. Yes. Do you have an opinion on whether Mr. Rose's historical observations regarding volatility of power prices are reasonable or not reasonable?
- A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And -- and what is your opinion?
- 20 A. They're reasonable.
- Q. Okay. And what is the basis of your opinion that Mr. Rose's historical observations are reasonable?
- A. He's a widely recognized expert.

- Q. Okay. Staying on Page 3, and also in these same lines, Lines 6 through 7, when you say "expected to be," what period of time are you referring to?

  A. A 15-year period.

  Q. And is it the same 15-year period we
  - referred to earlier beginning on June 1st, 2016?
  - A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

- Q. Would you agree with me, Mr. Rose (sic), that some degree of price volatility is inherent in any competitive market?
- MR. LANG: Could I have the question read back?
- (Record read back as requested.)
- MR. MENDOZA: Can I withdraw that
- 16 question.
- 17 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. Would you agree with me, Mr. Strah, that some degree of volatility is inherent in a competitive market?
- 21 A. Could you rephrase the question?
- 22 Q. Electric energy is a commodity, is it
- 23 | not?
- 24 A. Yes.

2.3

1 Would you agree with me that in a Ο. 2 competitive -- excuse me -- a competitive commodity 3 market, there will be some change in price of that 4 commodity over time? Could you rephrase the question? 5 6 0. Can you tell me what part you're having 7 trouble with? 8 Α. I believe you used a reference to 9 some --10 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, could you read 11 the question back for me? 12 (Record read back as requested.) 13 THE WITNESS: The phrase "some change" 14 doesn't seem definitive enough for me. BY MR. MENDOZA: 15 16 In a competitive market, does the price 0. 17 of a commodity vary over time? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Okay. And looking back at Page 3, 20 Lines 6 through 7, what is the basis for your view 21 that such prices are expected to be significantly 2.2 volatile? 2.3 MR. LANG: Objection; asked and 24 answered.

BY MR. MENDOZA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. You can answer. If you would like me to rephrase it, I'd be happy to.
  - A. Sure. Could you, please?
- Q. Okay. So we were just talking about your opinion that -- or, your testimony, I'm sorry, that power prices are expected to be significantly volatile, and we talked about how the period that you're referring to is the 15-year period that we've already discussed. And I'm wondering what is the basis of your opinion that such prices are expected to be significantly volatile?

MR. LANG: Objection; asked and
answered, but still it comes from company Witness
Rose, but if you want to answer it again.

THE WITNESS: It comes from company
Witness Rose.

18 BY MR. MENDOZA:

- Q. Do you have an opinion about whether

  Mr. Rose's opinion in this regard is reasonable or

  not?
- MR. LANG: Objection; asked and
  answered. I swear we did all these questions, have
  we not?

MR. MENDOZA: Well, we've looked back in time and now we're looking forward in time.

MR. LANG: I think we also did the forward, but go ahead if you can answer the question.

5 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat it,

6 please? I just got lost there for a second.

7 BY MR. MENDOZA:

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

- Q. Well, is it fair to say that your opinions about volatility are all based on -- is it fair to say that your predictions about volatility in your testimony are all based on Mr. Rose's testimony?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And is it also fair to say that
  your belief that those that his forecasts are
  reasonable is based on his credentials and his
  experience and his reputation and things of that
  nature?
  - A. Could you rephrase the question?
  - Q. Is it the case that your belief that Mr. Rose's volatility forecast is reasonable -- is based on his reputation and experience?
- MR. LANG: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 24 BY MR. MENDOZA:

Q. Okay. We can move on.

Let's look at Page 2, Line 20. Do you see — actually starting on Line 19, do you see where it says, "As Company witness Murley shows, the plants involved in the Economic Stability Program produce over \$1 billion in benefits to Ohio's economy annually"?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And is the basis for this opinion the -entirely the work -- is your basis for this opinion
  entirely the work of Ms. Murley?
- MR. LANG: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase the
- 14 question?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 15 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. Maybe I'll just go through it step by step.
- What is the basis for your view that
  these plants produce over 1 billion in benefits to
  Ohio's economy annually?
- 21 A. I'm relying on a portion of it from 22 Witness Murley's testimony.
- Q. Okay. And then for another portion of it, what are you relying on?

- A. I'm also relying on a level of experience I have in economic development matters in the state of Ohio.
- Q. And is there anything else that you're relying on?
  - A. No.

2.2

2.3

- Q. And could you describe the experience you have with respect to economic development matters in Ohio?
- A. One example would include presently being vice chair of Economic Development for the Greater Akron Chamber.
- Q. And can you think of another example?

  Can I withdraw that question.

Can you think of another example that is not provided in your written testimony? If it's helpful, I believe you discussed this topic on Page 1 of your testimony, if you'd like to review that, beginning on Line 15 of Page 1.

- A. Could you repeat your question?
- Q. So we're talking about the basis for your opinions in your written testimony about economic impacts of these plants generally. We've established that you relied in part on Ms. Murley's

testimony and also your experience in economic
development matters. And then I asked you for an
example, and you mentioned your work, I believe, on
the Greater Akron Chamber, and then I was
wondering -- and then you described some other
specific examples here on Lines 15 through 18 of
Page 1 of your written testimony.

And I'm wondering if there are other examples of economic development experience other than these.

A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

- Q. And could you tell me about those?
- A. When I served as president of Ohio

  Edison, I interacted on various economic development

  matters.
- Q. And that interaction would involve state regulators?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. I think we can -- we can move on.

  Let's turn to Page 3 of your written

  testimony, Mr. Strah. And on lines -- starting at

  the very end of Line 15 through 18 it says, "As I

  explain below, implementing the Economic Stability

  Program, including Rider RRS, will: (1) promote

certainty and stability regarding the long term pricing of retail electric service," and then that sentence goes on.

Do you see the language that I'm referring to?

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And now going back to the proposed transaction that we had previously discussed, are the revenues for each of the plants included in the proposed transaction known with certainty for future years?
  - A. Could you rephrase your question?
- Q. Are the revenues for each of the plants included in the proposed transaction known with certainty in the future?
  - A. Could you rephrase your question?
  - Q. Maybe if I'm more specific it will help.

With respect to the Sammis coal-fired plant in particular, do you know with certainty what the revenues will be for that plant over the 15-year period of this transaction?

- A. Could you rephrase your question?
- Q. Could you tell me what part of that question is giving you trouble?

- A. Plant revenues, what is meant by that?
- Q. I'm referring to the revenues that the plant would generate from selling its electric energy, capacity, and ancillary services.
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. You don't know whether those revenues are certain?
  - A. I don't know.
  - Q. Can you -- can you tell me that you know with certainty the revenues for the Sammis plant over this 15-year period?
- A. No.
- Q. So there is uncertainty regarding the level of revenues that the companies' ratepayers would receive under the proposed Rider RRS, isn't that right?
- MR. LANG: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase the
- 19 | question?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 20 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. There is uncertainty regarding the level
  of revenue the companies' ratepayers would receive
  under the proposed Rider RRS during the 15-year term
  of this proposed transaction, isn't that right?

MR. LANG: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I just don't understand

3 your question.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

2.3

24

BY MR. MENDOZA:

Q. Does the company know the revenue that will be credited to its customers under Rider RRS for each year of the proposed transaction?

MR. LANG: Maybe to speed this up, are you asking him if the customers know what the amount of the charge of the credit will be?

MR. MENDOZA: No. I'm talking about -we're not talking about the credit or the charge.
We're talking about the revenues that will be used to
calculate the credit or the charge. Obviously the
cost would be the other factor, but for now we're
talking about the revenues. But I'm wondering if we
know, sitting here today, what the revenues will be
for these three plants in, for example, 2024.

THE WITNESS: I don't have knowledge relative to the revenues of the plants.

21 BY MR. MENDOZA:

Q. But you know, don't you, Mr. Strah, that nobody knows what those revenues for, for example, the Sammis plant will be in 2024 with exact

precision, that's correct, isn't it?

A. Could you repeat the question, please, or perhaps we could have it read?

(Record read back as requested.)

A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Okay. Thank you. And now let's talk about the cost side of the equation. Are the costs for each of these plants known with certainty for future years?
  - A. Could you rephrase the question?
- Q. Sure. Are the costs for the Sammis plant known -- do we know what the costs for the Sammis plant will be in 2024 as an example?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. So there is uncertainty regarding the costs that the companies' ratepayers would be responsible for paying under the proposed Rider RRS, isn't that right?
- MR. LANG: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase the
- 21 question?
- 22 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. So you just agreed with me -- well, do you understand how Rider RRS is -- is proposed to

operate?

2.2

2.3

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And I think you just agreed with me that nobody sitting here today in 2015 knows exactly what the costs of the -- for example, the Sammis plant would be in any of the years in the future, but let's just use 2024 as an example. I'd be happy to use another if you prefer. Some of those costs are, you know, fixed capital costs, fuel costs, labor costs, O&M costs, all of these different categories of costs that add up to the total cost of a particular generation unit. And I'm wondering if any of us know, sitting here in 2015, with precision what those costs will be in 2024 for any of these generation units?

MR. LANG: Objection to form.

BY MR. MENDOZA:

Q. Okay. Do we know what the costs for the Sammis plant will be -- excuse me. Strike that, please.

Do you know what the costs for the Sammis plant will be in 2024 with precision?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

15

16

- Q. And still -- we're still talking about this 15-year period, is the market price of energy known with certainty during that 15-year period?
  - A. No.
- Q. And would you agree with me that the future market price of energy plays a role in the level of revenues that the companies' ratepayers would be credited under the proposed Rider RRS?

  THE WITNESS: Could you read back that

THE WITNESS: Could you read back that question, please?

12 (Record read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: Yes.

14 BY MR. MENDOZA:

- Q. Okay. Are capacity prices known with certainty for future years?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. And do future capacity prices play a role in the level of revenues that the companies' ratepayers would be credited with under the proposed Rider RRS?

MR. LANG: I'm sorry, now I need to have that read back. I'm sorry.

(Record read back as requested.)

1 MR. LANG: Objection. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 BY MR. MENDOZA:

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

2.3

Q. And the costs of operation of natural -- strike that, please.

Are the costs of operation of natural gas-fired generation units known with certainty for future years?

- A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that
  natural gas-fired generation units compete with
  coal-fired units and nuclear plants in the PJM
  marketplace?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And -- okay. Are natural gas prices known with certainty for future years?
- 17 A. I don't know.
- Q. You -- do you think it's -- do you think
  it's likely that one could predict with accuracy what
  the natural -- price of natural gas will be in, for
  example, 2024?
- A. I don't know.
  - Q. You don't know if that's likely or not?
- A. I don't know.

36 1 Ο. Okay. Are coal prices known with 2 certainty over -- for future years? 3 MR. LANG: Objection. 4 THE WITNESS: I don't know. BY MR. MENDOZA: 5 6 0. Okay. So we've discussed a -- strike 7 that, please. 8 So wouldn't you agree that there is actually -- looking back at your testimony on line --9 strike that, please. I'm sorry. 10 11 Looking back in your testimony on 12 Line 17 of Page 3 where you use the phrase "promote 13 certainty, " wouldn't you agree that there's actually significant uncertainty regarding the benefits and 14 costs to ratepayers of the proposed transaction? 15 16 MR. LANG: Objection. 17 THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase the 18 question? BY MR. MENDOZA: 19 20 What part of the question are you having Ο. 21 difficulty with? 2.2 Use of the word "significantly." Α. 2.3 Q. Wouldn't you agree that there is 24 uncertainty regarding the benefits and costs to

ratepayers of the proposed transaction?

- A. No.
- Q. You wouldn't agree. What is -- what is your basis for responding to that last question in the negative?

THE WITNESS: I don't know if this is allowable. Could you back up to the question before the last one and repeat that?

(Record read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: Yes.

11 BY MR. MENDOZA:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- 12 Q. So your answer to that question is yes, 13 that there is uncertainty?
  - A. Could I have that same question reread to me?
    - Q. Actually why don't I just rephrase it.
    - A. Could you, please?
    - Q. So considering this discussion we've been having for a few minutes, wouldn't you agree that there is uncertainty regarding the benefits and costs to ratepayers of the proposed transaction?
      - A. I would say no.
- Q. And I want to know what the basis of that view is given that we've just gone through a

series of factors that are going to effect the benefits and costs to ratepayers of the proposed transaction. And I believe we agreed at least some cases that there -- it was impossible to know with precision what those figures would be in the future in a certain year.

So why don't -- for this question, too, why don't we focus on a particular year, and I'll just throw 2024 out there. Wouldn't you agree that there is actually uncertainty regarding the benefits and costs to ratepayers of the proposed transaction in 2024?

MR. LANG: Objection to form. And maybe the confusion is, and maybe it can help me understand, you're talking about the proposed transaction, and you had, I think, defined that earlier as the transaction between the companies and FES, is that right, or is — did you define proposed transaction as including the Rider RRS?

MR. MENDOZA: I -- you're correct that I did previously define it as the proposed agreement between the two companies; so maybe let me rephrase my question.

24 BY MR. MENDOZA:

2.2

2.3

1 0. Wouldn't you agree that there is 2 actually significant -- I'd like to withdraw that. 3 Wouldn't you actually agree that there 4 is uncertainty regarding the benefits and costs to 5 ratepayers of Rider RRS over the 15-year term that 6 we've been discussing? 7 Α. Yes. 8 I'm going to move on to a new section Q. now, and I want to know if this is a -- would you 9 10 like to take a break at the moment? 11 MR. LANG: Yeah. It's take-a-break 12 time. 13 THE WITNESS: That would be good. Thank 14 you. MR. MENDOZA: So should we --15 16 MR. LANG: We'll go 10 minutes, let's 17 come back at 20 of. 18 (Recess taken.) MR. LANG: We're back on in Akron. You 19 want to go back on the record. 20 21 MR. MENDOZA: Yes. 2.2 BY MR. MENDOZA:

to Page 15 of your direct testimony.

Mr. Strah, would you please turn with me

2.3

24

Q.

1 MR. LANG: Moving through this quickly 2 here.

BY MR. MENDOZA:

2.2

- Q. Do you see underneath the figure that appears on that page, do you see on line -- starting on Line 5, utility -- where your testimony states, "Utilities in several states that have restructured their retail electricity markets have entered into long-term contracts on behalf of all customers, independently from their SSO process"? Do you see that text, Mr. Strah?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do all states in this portion of your testimony -- when I say "this portion of your testimony," I'm talking about this specific question and answer, and the answer continues on to Page 16 -- do all states referenced in this portion of your testimony have restructured retail electric markets?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you know if Connecticut has a restructured retail electric market?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you know if New York has a restructured retail electric market?

- A. I don't know.
- 2 Q. Okay. What do you mean by
- "restructured" in the context of this portion of your
  testimony?
- 5 A. I don't remember.
- Q. When you use the term on Line 6
  The state of the state
- 8 "long-term"?

1

14

2.3

- 9 A. As an example, the Connecticut contract 10 was for a 15-year period.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall the term of years
  for the contract that you refer to in the sentence
  after that involving the Dunkirk generating station?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And what was that -- or, what is that term of years?
- 17 A. Ten years.
- Q. Okay. And in the next sentence you
  refer to a proposal by Constellation in New York, I
  believe, related to the R.E. Ginna nuclear plant. Do
  you know the term of years that Constellation has
  proposed?
  - A. I don't remember.
- 24 Q. Okay. Going back to Page 15 on Lines 7

- through 8, we've already mentioned, do you see where
  you refer to a Connecticut contract?
  - A. Yes.

3

6

7

8

- Q. Are you familiar with that contract,

  Mr. Strah?
  - A. Could you rephrase your question?
  - Q. Do you know if the Connecticut contract involves specifically identified generation units?
    - A. I don't remember.
- 10 Q. Okay. Do you recall if you have reviewed that contract before?
- 12 A. Could you repeat your question?
- Q. Have you reviewed this Connecticut contract before?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if the Connecticut long-term contract resulted from a process involving a request of proposal?
- 19 A. I don't know.
- 20 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- 21 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. Mr. Strah, I'm passing you a document from the Department of Public Utility Control of Connecticut. You'll note if you look on -- in

Footnote 1 on Page 15, the page we've been looking 1 at, there is a docket number. That docket number 3 that you cite in your testimony is Docket 05-07-14PH02. If you look on the document 5 I've handed you, that is also the docket number on 6 this document, and the document is titled "DPUC 7 Investigation of Measures to Reduce Federally 8 Mandated Congestion Charges (Long Term Measures)." 9 It's dated April 23rd, 2007, and it lists the name of the commissioners, and then it states, "Draft 10 11 Decision." Have you seen this document before, Mr. Strah? 12

A. No.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Q. Okay. And will you turn to Page 2 of the document with me, please? Do you see the paragraph, it's the second paragraph from the bottom that begins with, "Based on"? And do you see where it says, "Based on the content of the Report, the Department makes the following determinations. The Department finds that the RFP process was conducted in a fair and impartial manner, was commercially reasonable and was competitive."

So with this docket in front of you, do

you know if the comp- -- if the Connecticut contract

that you've cited in your testimony involved an RFP
process?

MR. LANG: Objection to form. Could you please read the question back to me?

(Record read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: Yes.

7 BY MR. MENDOZA:

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. And did the Connecticut long-term contract cited in your testimony result from an RFP process?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. We can move on from that, although we're still on Page 15 and 16 here in this same portion of your testimony.

Other than these long-term contracts that you've specifically referred to on Pages 15 and 16, are you familiar with any other states with a restructured market in which a utility has entered into a long-term contract on behalf of all customers independently from its SSO process?

- A. No.
- Q. Okay. And other than the Constellation example from New York that you cite to, are you aware of any restructured market state other than Ohio

Steven Strain
45

where a utility has proposed a long-term contract of this kind?

MR. LANG: Objection. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the

5 question, please?

4

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

(Record read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

BY MR. MENDOZA:

Q. Thank you, Mr. Strah.

Let's shift gears, let's turn back to

Page 4, Lines 8 through 10 of your testimony. And do

you see where -- I apologize. Are you on Page 4,

13 Mr. Strah?

14 A. I'm on Page 4.

Q. Okay. Thank you. Do you see where -in Lines 8 through 10, do you see where your
testimony states: A mix of generation assets lacking
an essential nuclear or coal baseload generation
threatens the stability and security of the
companies' delivery system?

21 MR. LANG: Objection, because you actually read it wrong.

23 BY MR. MENDOZA:

Q. Okay. Do you see the text that I'm

referring to? I may have made a minor error in reading it, but I just want to direct you to the -- those lines.

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And in those lines, what do you mean by "lacking"?
  - A. Something less than needed.
  - Q. Okay.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

## BY MR. MENDOZA:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

Q. Mr. Strah, you've been handed a document that's been premarked as Exhibit 2. I took this from the PUCO website. You can see the URL on the bottom of the page. It's titled "Where does Ohio's electricity come from?" You'll see prominently on the first page of this document that I handed you a pie chart that is titled "Ohio generation output 2013." At the bottom of that chart it says, "Source: EIA January-November 2013," and then within the chart it lists various resource types of generation.

First of all, do you understand EIA to

refer to the United States Energy Information
Association?

MR. LANG: Objection. Go ahead.

1 THE WITNESS: No.

2 BY MR. MENDOZA:

3 Q. Okay. Do you consider the US Energy

4 Information Association a reliable source of

5 information regarding the electric sector, Mr. Strah?

6 MR. LANG: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

8 BY MR. MENDOZA:

- 9 Q. Have you ever heard of the US Energy
- 10 | Information Association?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Okay. So looking at the pie chart --
- 13 excuse me. So looking at this pie chart, can you see
- 14 that for this period, which is in 2013, 69.7 percent
- of generation output in Ohio was coal-fired?
- MR. LANG: Objection.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Based on the document
- 18 before me, yes.
- 19 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. Thank you. And 15.56 percent was
- 21 | natural gas-fired?
- MR. LANG: Objection.
- 23 THE WITNESS: Based on the document in
- 24 front of me, yes.

BY MR. MENDOZA:

1

5

Q. And then less than 2 percent
respectively was provided by renewal energy,
petroleum, and hydroelectric power, respectively?

MR. LANG: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Based on the document in front of me, yes.

8 BY MR. MENDOZA:

- 9 Q. Do you consider the PUCO to be a

  10 reliable source for information about Ohio generation

  11 assets?
- MR. LANG: Objection.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 14 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. Do you think the mix of generation reflected in this pie chart threatens the stability and security of the companies' delivery system?
- MR. LANG: Objection.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 20 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. If additional coal units are retired and coal makes up just 60 percent of Ohio generation output, would that mix of generation threaten the stability and security of the companies' delivery

system?

1

5

6

7

8

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

MR. LANG: Objection.

3 | THE WITNESS: I don't know.

4 BY MR. MENDOZA:

Q. We'll try one more number and then we'll move on, but what about if after additional coal units are retired and coal makes up only 50 percent of Ohio generation, would that mix of generation threaten stability and security of the companies' delivery system?

MR. LANG: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

13 BY MR. MENDOZA:

- Q. Okay. Are you able to put a number or numbers on the mix of generation with respect to coal and nuclear power that would threaten the stability and security of the companies' delivery system?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Putting aside the chart I've handed you, do you know the current mix of generation in Ohio?

MR. LANG: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

23 BY MR. MENDOZA:

Q. Do you know the current mix of

generation that serves the companies' customers?

2 MR. LANG: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

4 BY MR. MENDOZA:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. So looking back at Page 4, Lines 8 through 10, would you say that this is just a qualitative observation that you're making?
  - A. Could you rephrase the question?
- Q. Could you tell me what about it you're having trouble with?
- A. What do you mean by "qualitative observation"?
- Q. Well, is this just a general observation about the mix of generation that would threaten the stability and security of the companies' delivery systems?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. What is the basis for your view that a mix of generation assets lacking nuclear and coal generation threatens the stability and security of the companies' delivery system?
- MR. LANG: Objection. Go ahead.
- 23 THE WITNESS: I base it on the testimony of Witness Moul and Witness Harden.

BY MR. MENDOZA:

2.

2.2

2.3

- Q. Is there anything else on which you base that opinion?
- A. Just general industry knowledge and current trends.
- Q. Can you point to a specific piece of knowledge you have in mind?
  - A. No.
- Q. Can you point to a specific trend that you have in mind?
- A. I think generally -- I'm sorry. Could you repeat your question?
  - Q. We're talking about your testimony, and I'm paraphrasing, that a mix of generation that lacks essential nuclear and coal baseload generation threatens the stability and security of the companies' delivery system. And I'm trying to figure out at what quantitative levels of coal generation and nuclear level we would know that such threats would materialize.

And so then you said your opinion, and then I asked you what your opinion was based on, and you refer to the testimony of certain witnesses. And I asked you if there was anything else that that

1 opinion was based on, and I believe you said something along the lines of general knowledge and 3 trends in the industry, and I assume you're referring 4 to just the electric industry generally. But I'm wondering what are some of those specific trends that 5 6 you are referring to? 7 MR. LANG: Objection to form. Go ahead. 8 THE WITNESS: I can't remember. BY MR. MENDOZA: 9 10 Ο. Okay. Generally speaking, Mr. Strah, 11 could you have a stable system without nuclear 12 baseload? 13 Could you rephrase the question? 14 Q. Which part of the question were you having trouble with? 15 16 THE WITNESS: Could we have that 17 question read back, please? 18 (Record read back as requested.) 19 MR. LANG: Objection. Go ahead. 20 THE WITNESS: "Generally speaking" is 21 the phrase I'm struggling with. BY MR. MENDOZA: 2.2 2.3 Okay. Could you have a stable system Q. without nuclear baseload? 24

1 MR. LANG: Still objection. 2 THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase your 3 question? 4 BY MR. MENDOZA: 5 Ο. How about we go at it a different way. 6 Do you -- given your general knowledge 7 of the electric industry, do you think that there --8 do you know that there are states in the United 9 States that have no nuclear power generation assets? 10 Α. I don't know. 11 Do you think a state needs to have Ο. 12 coal-fired generation to provide reliable electric 13 power? I don't know. 14 Could a state with natural gas, nuclear, 15 Q. 16 and renewable generation only provide reliable power? 17 I don't know. Α.

Q. Do you see demand response as playing an important role in reducing load on high-demand days?

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

A. Could you rephrase the question?

Q. Do you see demand response as playing a role in reducing load on high-demand days?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  LANG: Sounded like you read the question again.

54 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2. BY MR. MENDOZA: 3 Q. Okay. And would you say that that's an important role? 4 5 I don't know. 6 Ο. Do you think that including demand 7 response in a mix of resources is important to 8 maintain a reliable electric system? MR. LANG: Objection. Go ahead. Could 9 10 you please read that question back? 11 (Record read back as requested.) 12 THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase the 13 question? BY MR. MENDOZA: 14 Is demand response helpful to maintain a 15 Q. 16 reliable electric system? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Are you aware of any coal-fired power Q. units under construction anywhere in the PJM 19 20 footprint? Actually can I withdraw that question. 21 Are you aware of any new coal-fired 2.2 power units under construction anywhere in PJM? 2.3 I don't know. Α. 24 Q. Do you think you would -- given your

observation of general trends in the industry, do you think if there were a new coal-fired power unit being built somewhere in the PJM footprint today that you would know about it?

- A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you know if there are any new coal-fired power units under construction today in Ohio?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. And do you think you would know about that if there were?
  - A. I don't know.
  - Q. Okay. Can you point to any specific features of Ohio's electric transmission system that makes it uniquely dependent on coal generation as compared to other states?
    - A. I don't know.
      - Q. So you can't point to any at this time?
- A. No.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Q. On Page 4, Lines 8 through 10, do you see where your testimony states, and we've been looking at this previously, "A mix of generation assets lacking in essential nuclear and coal baseload generation threatens the stability and security of

- 1 | the Companies' delivery system"?
- 2 MR. LANG: Objection again.
- 3 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. What do you mean by "security" in this context, Mr. Strah?
- 6 MR. LANG: Objection to form.

distribution electrical system.

- THE WITNESS: Our operating companies'
  top priority is to serve customers reliably. To do
  so, we must have a robust transmission and
- 11 BY MR. MENDOZA:

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

- Q. And so how does that bear on the meaning of security here?
  - A. If baseload plants are retired, we have the responsibility to alter and adjust our transmission and distribution electrical system.
    - Q. Okay. Let's turn back to Page 2 of your testimony. I'd ask you to look, please, at Line 14 through 16 with the sentence starting at the very end of Line 14, "Such diversity is necessary to make sure there is sufficient power when the availability of certain types of fuel (i.e., natural gas) is limited," and the sentence goes on from there.
- 24 And I'm just wondering if you see that

text that I was referring to.

A. Yes.

1

2

5

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. And what do you mean that natural gas is limited?
  - A. It's not always available.
  - Q. And what are the factors that bear on your opinion that natural gas is not always available?
- 9 A. Is the form of energy, in this case
  10 natural gas, available and ready to serve customers
  11 when needed.
- 12 Q. And what are some things that would cause it to not be available when needed?
  - A. Periods of high demand.
- Q. Anything else?
- A. Availability of other supplies.
- Q. And what are some of those other
- 18 supplies?
- 19 A. Other forms of energy.
- Q. Could you name a specific form that you
- 21 have in mind?
- 22 A. Nuclear, coal.
- Q. Okay. And then going back a question or two, aside from high demand and availability of other

supplies, are there any other things that you have in mind when -- when you told me that natural gas is sometimes unavailable?

MR. LANG: Object.

THE WITNESS: Could you --

MR. LANG: Go ahead. I was going to

7 object to form.

1

3

4

5

6

THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase the question, please?

10 BY MR. MENDOZA:

Q. Okay. How about we move on.

Do you consider pipeline infrastructure

a factor that limits fuel supply for natural gas

units in Ohio?

THE WITNESS: Could you read back the question, please?

(Record read back as requested.)

18 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

19 BY MR. MENDOZA:

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Q. So in your testimony on Page 2 where you're talking about natural gas, and I'm paraphrasing, natural gas being limited in some circumstances, were you considering pipeline infrastructure in formulating the opinion that you

state here on Lines 14 through 17?

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- A. I was relying on the testimony of Witness Moul and Witness Harden -- Harden.
- Q. But other -- other than that, were you -- withdraw that question. Strike.

Are you aware of additional natural gas pipelines being built in Ohio?

- A. I don't know.
- Q. You don't know if anyone is building natural gas pipelines in Ohio today?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. As you were formulating your testimony on these lines that we've been referring to, did you research the amount of Ohio gas pipeline infrastructure under construction?
  - A. Could you rephrase the question, please?
- Q. Sure. Looking at -- we're still talking about Lines 14 through 17 of your testimony on Page 2. And I'm wondering as you were formulating your testimony for this proceeding, did you research or otherwise inquire into the amount of Ohio gas pipeline infrastructure under construction?
- A. No. I relied upon the testimony of Witness Moul and Witness Harden.

- Q. Did you ask anyone to do research for you on that topic?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Why not?
- 5 A. I replied -- I relied upon the testimony 6 provided by Witness Moul and Witness Harden.
  - Q. Okay. And did you research the amount of Ohio natural gas pipeline infrastructure being planned?
- 10 A. No.

1

2

3

4

7

- 11 Q. And did you ask anyone to do that research for you?
- A. I replied -- I relied upon the testimony of Witness Moul and Witness Harden.
- Q. So I take that to mean that you did not ask anyone to research the pipeline infrastructure being planned in Ohio.
- THE WITNESS: Could you read that question back, please?
- 20 (Record read back as requested.)
- 21 THE WITNESS: I relied upon Witness Moul
- 22 and Witness Harden.
- 23 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. And I believe you said a while ago that

Steven Strah

61 natural gas is not always available, and I may not 1 2. have that quote exactly right. Do you recall that 3 discussion? 4 MR. LANG: Objection to form. Go ahead. 5 THE WITNESS: I recall the discussion. 6 BY MR. MENDOZA: 7 Q. Okay. Is coal always available? 8 Α. Could you rephrase the question? 9 Q. Is coal-fired generation always 10 available? 11 Α. Could you rephrase the question, please? 12 Maybe if we focus on a specific unit. Q. 13 Is the Sammis generation unit always available? 14 Objection. 15 MR. LANG: 16 THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase the 17 question? BY MR. MENDOZA: 18 19 Q. Can you tell me what you're having 20 difficulty with? 21 I don't know what a Sammis unit is. Α. 2.2 Q. Okay. Are you aware that the Sammis 23 plant has seven coal-fired generation units? 24 Α. Yes.

- Q. Okay. So let's just think about one of them, Unit 1 we'll call it. Is Sammis Unit 1 always available?
  - A. I don't know.

2.2

2.3

- Q. Given your knowledge of the industry, do you -- is it -- do you think that Sammis Unit 1 is always available?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Are your concerns about the role of natural gas in Ohio's mix of generation based on a belief that there is insufficient current or planned construction of new natural gas plants in Ohio?
  - A. Could you rephrase the question, please?
- Q. Could you tell me which part you didn't understand?
  - A. Word -- the use of the word "belief."
- Q. Okay. On Page 4 of your testimony, we've talked about this -- we were talking about this testimony for a while. On Page 4, Lines 8 through 10, you say, "A mix of generation assets lacking in essential nuclear and coal baseload generation threatens the stability and security of the Companies' delivery system"; then turning back to Page 2, paraphrasing, we were talking about natural

gas being limited in certain circumstances.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

So I was characterizing your testimony, you can tell me if it's incorrect, that you have concerns about the role of natural gas in Ohio's generation -- in Ohio's mix of generation.

- A. Is that a question?
- Q. I'm wondering if you have concerns about -- about the role of natural gas in Ohio's mix of generation.
  - A. Yes, in terms of availability.
- Q. Okay. And so my question, then, is: Is that concern related to any opinion or understanding you have about the construction of new natural gas plants in Ohio?
  - A. Can you repeat the question, please?
- Q. Are your concerns about the role of natural gas in Ohio's mix of generation related to construction of new gas plants?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of any natural gas plants under construction in Ohio today?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you think there are new natural gas plants under construction in Ohio today?

64 1 I don't know. Α. 2 Okay. Let's shift gears. Q. 3 Are the companies responsible for 4 generation reliability? 5 Α. No. 6 MR. LANG: Objection, but, yeah, go 7 ahead. 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 9 MR. LANG: That's all right. 10 BY MR. MENDOZA: 11 And would you say that generation Ο. 12 reliability is a responsibility of PJM 13 Interconnection? 14 MR. LANG: Objection. 15 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the 16 question? 17 BY MR. MENDOZA: 18 Q. Sure. Is generation reliability a 19 responsibility of PJM Interconnection? 20 MR. LANG: Same objection. THE WITNESS: It's the responsibility of 21 2.2 the generator. 2.3 BY MR. MENDOZA: Q. And doesn't PJM have a role in ensuring 24

- 1 | the reliability of generation?
- A. I don't know.
- 3 Q. If Rider RRS is denied, will Sammis
- 4 retire?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. If Rider RRS is denied, will Davis-Besse retire?
- A. I don't know.
- 9 Q. If Rider RRS is denied, will OVEC retire 10 either -- let me withdraw that question.
- If Rider RRS is denied, will OVEC retire
  the Kyger Creek plant?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. What about the Clifty Creek plant?
- 15 A. I don't know.
- 16 Q. Have you spoken with anyone within
- 17 FirstEnergy Corporation about whether any of these
- 18 plants will retire if Rider RRS is denied?
- 19 MR. LANG: Just an objection to the
- 20 extent that it involves legal communications. I
- 21 don't think it does, but I'm just stating an
- 22 objection to make clear that that's carved off, and
- you can otherwise answer the question.
- THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the

- 1 question, please?
- 2 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. I'd be happy to. Have you spoken with anyone within FirstEnergy Corporation about whether any of these plants will retire if Rider RRS is denied?
  - A. No.

- Q. And that -- and that includes anyone
  within FES?
- MR. LANG: Objection.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 12 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. Okay. Have you seen any analysis of whether the plants would retire if Rider RRS is denied?
- A. Could you rephrase the question?
- Q. Have you seen any document that
  discusses whether the plants would retire if Rider
  RRS is denied?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Are you aware that the owner of a
  generation unit must notify PJM if the owner intends
  to retire that unit?
- 24 A. Yes.

- Q. And after receiving a retirement notification, are you aware that PJM conducts an analysis of the reliability impacts of such retirement?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. And as far as you know, has FES notified PJM of its intent to retire any of the Sammis units?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Could you read that 10 question back to me, please?
- 11 (Record read back as requested.)
- 12 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 13 BY MR. MENDOZA:

2

3

5

6

7

8

14

19

2.3

- O. And what about for Davis-Besse?
- 15 A. I don't know.
- Q. And do you know if OVEC has notified PJM or another ISO regarding its intent to retire either of its two coal-fired generation plants?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Are you familiar with the reliability
  must-run contracts that PJM has authority to enter
  into?
  - A. Could you rephrase the question, please?
- 24 Q. Sure. Do you know what a reliability

1 | must-run contract is?

3

4

5

- A. No.
- Q. Have you ever heard of a reliability must-run contract?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And what are RMR contracts?
- 7 A. I don't know.
- 8 Okay. Let's -- let's look at Page 2 of Q. 9 your testimony, Lines 19 through 21, and I believe 10 we've looked at this language before. Do you see 11 where your testimony states that, "...the plants 12 involved in the Economic Stability Program produce 13 over \$1 billion in benefits to Ohio's economy annually"? I'm just asking if you see the language 14 I'm referring to. 15
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. All of these economic benefits apply
  only if the plants at issue would retire if Rider RRS
  were denied, isn't that right?
- MR. LANG: Objection.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat your
- 22 question?
- 23 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- 24 Q. Okay. I think it might be helpful to

look at the line before where I started to quote.

A. Okay.

2.2

2.3

Q. Starting on Line 18 you say, "Third, the Economic Stability Program will significantly contribute to the economic vitality of Ohio." And so the issue that you're testifying to is the role of the Economic Stability Program and contributing to the economic vitality of Ohio, and I'm characterizing your testimony.

So now what I want to know is the economic benefits you're referring to only apply to the Economic Stability Program if the plants at issue, or at least some of them, would retire if Rider RRS were denied, isn't that right?

MR. LANG: Yeah, objection. And I would suggest, so that we get this done at a reasonable hour today, asking him questions and trying to limit characterizations of the testimony.

MR. MENDOZA: Fair enough.

MR. LANG: As usual, we have a 5:30 hard stop; so let's move it along. Do you want to -- why don't you -- why don't you, like, just ask the last part of that question and we can go.

24 BY MR. MENDOZA:

 $\,$  Q. So my question is whether these economic benefits apply to the proposed transaction -- strike that.

My question is whether these benefits apply to the Economic Stability Program if these units would continue to operate anyway if Rider RRS were denied?

- A. I'm still not clear on your question.
- Q. So if economic -- let's assume that the stability program is denied, and let's also assume that the plants continue to operate for the full 15 years that we've been talking about today. So wouldn't those benefits still occur even in the absence of the approval of the Economic Stability Program?

MR. LANG: Objection to the hypothetical. Go ahead.

18 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

19 BY MR. MENDOZA:

Q. Okay.

A. If you don't mind.

MR. LANG: Do you need a --

THE WITNESS: I'd like to take a brief

24 break.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

2.2

2.3

71 1 MR. MENDOZA: Sure. 2 MR. LANG: Five minutes. 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think that would 4 be fine. Tony -- sorry, this is 5 MR. OLIKER: 6 Joe -- how much longer do you think you have? 7 MR. MENDOZA: Thirty minutes, maybe 8 45 --9 MR. OLIKER: Okay. Thanks. 10 MR. MENDOZA: -- tops. 11 MR. OLIKER: Perfect. 12 (Recess taken.) BY MR. MENDOZA: 13 14 Ο. I want to switch back briefly to our discussion of fuel. Is coal always available? 15 16 Α. Could you rephrase your question? 17 Okay. And I agree it's probably not 0. 18 helpful for me to characterize your testimony, but I 19 think we were discussing the availability of gas 20 before and we talked about that some. And I want to 21 know -- and I think you said that it wasn't always 2.2 available or something along those lines. And I want 2.3 to know if you have the same opinion about coal as a

fuel source, that it's not always available?

1 MR. LANG: Objection to form again.

THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase your

3 | question?

4 BY MR. MENDOZA:

Q. Okay. Do you know if any of the coal units in Ohio burn any coal from the Powder River

7 Basin?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

A. No.

Q. Do you know -- okay. Let's turn to

Page 16, Line 16 of your testimony. Do you see where

you refer to economic benefits of specific Ohio

plants? And I'm just asking if you have that

language in front of you. Do you see where you refer

to tremendous economic benefits, Mr. Strah?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. On Line 16 does the quote "these specific Ohio plants" include the Clifty Creek plant?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Does the Clifty Creek plant have economic benefits to the Ohio economy?

A. I don't know.

Q. Do you know what state the Clifty Creek plant is located in?

24 A. No.

7.3

- Q. Okay. And now let's turn to Page 11 of your direct testimony, Mr. Strah. I'd like to direct your attention to Lines 11 through 13. Do you see the second sentence under this particular answer, the sentence that starts with "The staggering and laddering"?
  - A. Is that Line 11?
- Q. It is on my version of your testimony. The sentence I'm referring to is "The staggering and laddering in the Companies' SSO competitive procurements is designed to benefit SSO customers by smoothing the impact of short-term price volatility over the period of an ESP." Do you see that sentence, Mr. Strah?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And just here, to be clear, ESP is not referring to the Economic Stability Program, it's -- you're referring to the electric service plan; is that right?
- 20 MR. LANG: Objection. Electric Security
- 22 BY MR. MENDOZA:

Plan.

Q. Thank you. ESP here refers to an
Electric Security Plan; is that right, Mr. Strah?

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Is it your understanding that during the polar vortex in 2014 wholesale electric energy prices increased?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And did the staggering and laddering in the companies' SSO procurements mean that the rate impact during the term of the ESP was insignificant?

  MR. LANG: Objection.
- BY MR. MENDOZA:
  - Q. Okay. Let me rephrase.
  - We were just -- I had just asked you if wholesale electric energy prices had increased during the polar vortex, and I want to know if that increase effected the rates of the companies' customers during the term of the ESP.
    - A. Rephrase your question.
  - Q. Did the laddering and the staggering that you refer to in this portion of your testimony protect the companies' customers from the volatility and wholesale prices during the polar vortex?
    - A. I don't remember.
- Q. But here on Page 11, Lines 10 through
  19, you're talking about staggering and laddering and

how that works to smooth the impact of short-term price volatility. I'm wondering if it had that effect, in fact, with respect to the polar vortex.

- A. I don't remember.
- Q. Okay. Are you familiar -- and switching gears to the proposed transaction that we've been talking about today. Are you familiar with the team that the companies created to evaluate and negotiate the proposed transaction with FES?
  - A. Could you rephrase the question?
  - Q. Yeah. I think that would be helpful.

12 Have you heard the term "EDU team" as

- used in this proceeding?
- 14 A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

- Q. Okay. And when did you first hear about the existence of an EDU team?
  - A. Could you rephrase the question?
- Q. Well, why don't we step back for a second. When I use the phrase "EDU team," do you know what I'm referring to?
- MR. LANG: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 23 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. Okay. And when did you first hear about

1 the EDU team?

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- A. Could you rephrase your question?
- Q. Were you involved in the EDU team in any way?
  - A. Could you rephrase your question?
  - Q. Did you participate in any EDU team meetings?
    - A. Could you rephrase your question?
    - Q. What is it about that question that is causing difficulty?
      - A. The term "participate."
  - Q. Did you attend any EDU team meetings?

    How about I -- I'll withdraw that question.

Did you attend in person any EDU team

meetings? Let me withdraw that question as well.

Did you attend, including by, for
example, calling into a conference call, any EDU team
meetings?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And did you attend physically in the room in which the meeting was taking place, or did you call into a conference call, or something else?
  - A. Could you rephrase your question?
- Q. Sure. When did the meeting that you

1 have in mind occur?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 2 A. In June of 2014.
  - Q. And where did that meeting take place?
  - A. At 76 South Main Street in Akron.
    - Q. And that's the building we're in.
  - A. Akron, Ohio.
    - Q. I apologize.
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Okay. What was discussed at that June 2014 meeting?
- MR. LANG: Objection. Again, this is an attorney-client privilege issue. With regard to if there was legal discussion, it's privileged, it should be put to the side; but if there were nonlegal EDU team process things, you can answer.
- THE WITNESS: I'd like to back up in
  terms of the questions you asked regarding the EDU
  team.
- 19 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- 20 Q. Uh-huh.
- 21 A. Is that possible?
- 22 Q. Sure.
- MR. LANG: If you need to correct an
- 24 answer.

78 1 THE WITNESS: I'd like to correct an 2 answer. 3 BY MR. MENDOZA: 4 Q. Okay. Please do. 5 I did not attend a full EDU team 6 meeting. 7 Q. Okay. 8 Α. Which I believe was proposed in your 9 question, or asked in your question. 10 It was one of them, yes. Ο. 11 Α. Okay. 12 So did -- so you didn't attend. Did you Q. 13 participate by phone? Α. 14 No. I participated in a meeting in person in -- at the location I just indicated. 15 16 Ο. Okay. 17 It was not a full EDU team meeting. Α. 18 Q. I understand. Could you tell me some of the people who attended that meeting? 19 20 Jay Ruberto, Mark Julian, and that's all Α.

21

2.2

2.3

24

I recall.

Q.

Okay. And keeping in mind your

A. It was an update on the proposed transaction.

2.

2.2

2.3

- Q. Okay. Can you give me any details of that update, keeping in mind your counsel's instruction?
  - A. Could you rephrase the question?
- Q. I think you said that an update on the proposed transaction was discussed at this meeting in June. I'm wondering if you can tell me anything about that update, keeping in mind that I'm not asking for privileged information, or I should say information that the companies have asserted privilege claims for.
- A. I'd ask you to rephrase the question, please.
- Q. Could you tell me which part of the question is causing the difficulty?
- A. I'm not clear on the word "anything." I think the phrase was "anything about the meeting."
- Q. Right. Could you tell me what was discussed about the proposed transaction, again, keeping in mind the instruction from your counsel.
- A. One item that was discussed was with regard to the reliability of the plants that were

offered in the transaction -- the proposed transaction.

2.2

2.3

- Q. And -- and what was discussed about the reliability of the plants? Again, keeping in mind the instruction from your counsel.
- A. We discussed what would occur if a unit was unavailable.
- Q. Okay. And can you tell me anything about what would occur if a unit -- scratch that.

Can you tell me -- can you provide any details on what was discussed about what would occur if a unit was unavailable? Again, keeping in mind that we're talking about the plants to be included in this transaction -- the proposed transaction, and also keeping in mind that I'm not seeking any information that the companies have asserted a privilege claim for.

- A. Could you rephrase the question?
- Q. Can you describe the conversation about what would occur if a unit were unavailable?
- A. We discussed the need for the companies to be protected in some event of that nature.
- Q. And the event you're referring to is unavailability; is that right?

- A. A unit being unavailable.
- Q. And can you tell me -- can you describe -- can you describe the protection for the companies that was discussed? Again, keeping in mind that we're not seeking privileged information.
- A. We discussed the need to have it addressed within the proposed transaction.
- Q. And did that discussion involve reference to a draft term sheet? I'll strike that.

  Did that discussion involve reference to

11 a term sheet?

- A. I don't remember.
- Q. Were there any other topics discussed at this June 2014 meeting involving Mr. Ruberto and Mr. Julian other than this issue of availability of a unit, were there any other topics you could tell me without revealing information that the companies have asserted a privilege claim over?
- A. Could you repeat that question or just have it read back?

(Record read back as requested.)

- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And could you name -- could you identify such other topic?

MR. LANG: Keeping in mind the continuing instruction that legal is off to the side; but to the extent you can answer, you can go ahead.

2.2

2.3

MR. MENDOZA: Thank you, Counsel.

THE WITNESS: The ability to audit the costs of the plants within the proposed transaction.

BY MR. MENDOZA:

- Q. And can you describe the discussion about the ability to audit the costs of the plants in the proposed transaction? Again, keeping in mind the continuing instruction about privilege.
- A. We asked it to be considered within the body of Jay Ruberto's work.
- Q. Okay. And can you think of any other topic that was discussed at this June 2014 meeting other than the audit issue and the unavailability of a unit issue? Again, keeping in mind that I'm not asking for you to reveal any information for which the company has asserted a privilege claim.
  - A. I can't remember.
- Q. Okay. Aside from that June 2014 meeting, were there any other meetings involving Mr. Ruberto and yourself at which the work of the EDU team was discussed?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

2.2

- Q. And can you tell me when any such meeting occurred?
  - A. I can't remember.
  - Q. For the meeting that you had in mind, were there any other people who participated, aside from you and Mr. Ruberto, assuming that Mr. Ruberto did participate in that meeting?
    - A. Mr. Julian.
- Q. Okay. What was discussed at that meeting? Again, keeping in mind the continuing instruction regarding privilege.
- THE WITNESS: Could you repeat that question, please?
- (Record read back as requested.)
- THE WITNESS: It was an update meeting of the EDU team's work.
- 18 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. And was this meeting that we're talking about now subsequent to the first meeting that we've discussed which you had said occurred in June 2014?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you -- could you estimate how -- you know, how many weeks subsequent to that meeting

in June 2014 this second meeting occurred?

- A. I can't remember.
- Q. And can you -- can you recall any other meetings, aside from these two involving Mr. Ruberto, at which the work of the EDU team was discussed?

  THE WITNESS: Could you read back that question, please?

(Record read back as requested.)

9 THE WITNESS: No.

MR. MENDOZA: I'm sorry, could I have the question and the answer read back for me, please?

(Record read back as requested.)

MR. MENDOZA: Okay. Thank you.

14 BY MR. MENDOZA:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

- Q. So did Eileen Mikkelsen participate in either of the two meetings that we've been discussing?
- A. I can't remember.
  - Q. Okay. And I already alluded to it, but are you aware that the EDU team and FES produced a draft term sheet for the proposed transaction?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And do you know if there were any disagreements between the EDU team and FES regarding

any aspect of the term sheet? And to the extent your answer might implicate a privilege issue, I would have you keep that continuing instruction in mind.

4 MR. LANG: Right. Same privilege

5 instruction, but you can answer.

THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase the question, please?

BY MR. MENDOZA:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. Sure. Are you aware of any disagreements between the EDU team and FES regarding any aspect of the draft term sheet?
- A. I'm sorry. Could you rephrase the question one more time?
- Q. Sure. Would you mind pointing me to the part of that question that was causing difficulty?
- A. I'm not sure of the use of the word "disagreement."
- Q. Okay. Do you know if there were any parts of the term sheet over which the EDU team and FES -- scratch that.

Do you know if there were any contentious issues in that negotiation?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And could you tell me what those top --

```
what one of those topics was? Actually, can I
withdraw that question?

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
```

BY MR. MENDOZA:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

Q. Have you seen this document before? Oh, you've been passed, Mr. Strah, what's been marked as Exhibit No. 3. This document was produced in discovery in this case, and it has the designation IEU Set 1-INT-25 Attachment 1.

Are you familiar -- have you seen this document before, Mr. Strah?

- A. Could I confer with Jim just for a moment?
- 14 Q. Sure.

15 (Client-counsel conference.)

- A. Yes. Thank you.
- Q. Thank you. And then going back a few questions, are you aware if there were any aspects of this term sheet that were contentious -- the formulation of which were contentious in the negotiations?

THE WITNESS: Could you read back my answer to that question?

(Record read back as requested.)

87 1 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat your 2 question, please? BY MR. MENDOZA: 3 4 Q. How about I rephrase. 5 Α. Okay. 6 0. Were there differences of opinion 7 regarding any aspect of this term sheet? 8 Α. Yes. And can you tell me what one of those 9 Ο. 10 differences of opinion was? 11 Α. How to deal with unit unavailability. 12 And can you tell me something about that Q. 13 difference of opinion regarding how to deal with unit unavailability? 14 I can't remember. 15 16 Were there other topics on which there Ο. 17 were differences of opinion? 18 I can't remember. Okay. Did you provide any input 19 Q. 20 regarding the specific text of any -- any of the 21 specific text of this draft term sheet? 2.2 Α. No. 2.3 Okay. Do you know if under the proposed Q. agreement FES would be able to terminate the 24

agreement before May 31, 2031?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

24

- A. Could you repeat the question, please?
- Q. Yes. Do you know if under the proposed agreement FES would be able to terminate the agreement before May 31, 2031?

MR. LANG: While he's thinking, I would object. You're getting pretty far outside the scope of his testimony. To the extent that he can answer that question, he can answer that question; but, you know, he's definitely not the witness to spend -- I'd say to waste time on, you know, talking about the details of the term sheet. So if you can answer, go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

15 BY MR. MENDOZA:

Q. Okay. And I've just got a couple more topics. Let's switch gears.

Is it your understanding that the companies would need PUCO approval in order to execute the proposed transaction with FES?

MR. LANG: Objection. Go ahead.

22 BY MR. MENDOZA:

Q. Yes. Let me rephrase actually.

Is it your understanding that the

companies would need PUCO approval in order to enter into a power purchase agreement with FES?

MR. LANG: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Could you read that back,

5 please?

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

2.3

24

(Record read back as requested.)

MR. LANG: I'm just objecting to the extent you're asking him to make a legal conclusion, but as a lay witness he can answer what his understanding is.

11 THE WITNESS: No.

12 BY MR. MENDOZA:

- Q. Are you aware that the companies' projections filed in this proceeding show that the proposed transaction would provide the companies with net revenues of approximately \$770 million over the term of the agreement?
  - A. Could you repeat the question, please?
- Q. Maybe it would be helpful if I broke it up.

Are you aware that the companies have provided net present value projections -- a net present value projection for the proposed transaction in this proceeding?

A. Yes.

1

5

8

9

10

- Q. And are you aware that the value of that net present value projection is approximately \$770 million?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you believe that those -- and do you believe -- scratch that, please.

And do you believe that this net present value projection is reasonable?

- A. Could you rephrase your question?
- Q. Could you tell me which part of the question is causing difficulty?
- A. Use of the word "believe."
- 14 Q. Is the net present value projection reasonable?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. If the Commission does not approve Rider RRS, is it your opinion that the companies should enter into the proposed PPA?
- MR. LANG: Objection.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat that
- 22 question?
- 23 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. I'm asking you to assume that the

Commission does not approve Rider RRS, and in that circumstance is it your opinion that the companies should enter into the proposed PPA?

MR. LANG: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: We're asking the PUCO to approve Rider RRS that will provide significant benefits to customers over the 15-year period that's outlined in my testimony.

## BY MR. MENDOZA:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

Q. And I'm asking if you -- in the assumption -- I'm asking you to assume that the Commission denies the rider that we're talking about. And I'm asking if you would recommend that the companies take the benefit of the agreement outlined in this draft term sheet by accepting FES's offer.

MR. LANG: Same objection; asked and answered, and you're asking him to speculate.

THE WITNESS: I can't agree with the premise or assumption in your questions. I'm unable to answer it.

## 21 BY MR. MENDOZA:

Q. Why haven't the Ohio -- excuse me.

Strike that.

24 Why haven't the companies entered into

the PPA today?

- A. We need the approval of Rider RRS from the PUCO.
- Q. Okay. Let's move on. I've got two more topics, I think it will take about less than 10 minutes. Are we okay to continue?
- 7 MR. LANG: Go.
- 8 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- 9 Q. Okay. Have you met or spoken to
  10 Governor Kasich or any member of his staff to discuss
  11 any aspect of the proposed Electric Security Plan?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Have you met or spoken to any PUCO

  commissioner to discuss any aspect of this proposed

  Electric Security Plan subsequent to its filing on

  August 4th, 2014?
- THE WITNESS: Could you read that question for me, please?
- 19 (Record read back as requested.)
- THE WITNESS: No.
- 21 BY MR. MENDOZA:
- Q. Did you meet with any of them prior to August 4th, 2014?
- A. Could you rephrase your question,

```
1 please?
```

2.

3

4

5

7

8

- Q. Sure. Have you met or spoken to any
  PUCO commissioner to discuss any aspect of this
  proposed Electric Security Plan prior to August 4th,
  2014?
- 6 A. No.
  - Q. And the last topic: Did you have assistance in drafting the testimony that you filed in this proceeding?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And what sort of assistance did you have?
- 13 A. Assistance from my staff.
- Q. And could you name some of the people who assisted you?
- MR. LANG: Objection to relevance, but go ahead.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Shannon Quade.
- MR. MENDOZA: Okay. Could we just have
- a minute to confer?
- 21 MR. SOULES: Can we go off the record
- 22 for a minute?
- 23 (Discussion held off the record.)
- 24 BY MR. MENDOZA:

Q. Just a couple more.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2.3

Switching back to that topic we discussed of, you know, whether the companies would enter into the agreement in the absence of Commission approval, would it make financial sense for the companies to enter into the proposed power purchase agreement in the absence of Commission approval?

MR. LANG: Objection again.

THE WITNESS: I just can't agree with the premise of your question, and I cannot answer it.

BY MR. MENDOZA:

- Q. Why can't you agree with that premise?
- A. Well, as I outlined in my testimony,
  Rider RRS is a key component of what we will be -- we
  believe will be the success of the transaction.

MR. MENDOZA: I've got no further questions. Thank you, Mr. Strah.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MS. DUNN: So given the cross estimates,

I think we can take an hour.

MR. DARR: Do you want to take care of mine right now?

MS. DUNN: Is that you, Frank?

MR. DARR: Yes.

```
95
                   MS. DUNN: Actually we would really like
 1
 2
      to take a lunch break if that's okay.
 3
                   MR. DARR: That's up to you.
 4
                   MS. DUNN:
                             We'll go -- we'll do 40
 5
      minutes. We'll come back at 12:20.
 6
                   MR. LANG:
                             Okay.
 7
                   MS. DUNN: Does that work?
 8
                   MR. LANG: No objections.
 9
                   MS. FLEISHER: I just wanted to chime in
10
      that I'm not going to be able to join back in then,
      but that's fine because, again, I don't think I'll
11
12
     have any questions. Just maybe check if I'm there,
13
      but if not, don't worry about me.
                   MR. PETRICOFF: This is Howard. I'm in
14
      the same position.
15
16
                   MS. DUNN: And one more housekeeping
17
      issue: No confidential session?
18
                   MR. MENDOZA: No confidential session.
19
                   MS. DUNN: If you're still on the phone,
20
      no confidential sessions?
21
                   MR. OLIKER: Carrie, this is Joe.
2.2
      don't believe so, but I'd have to wait to see what he
2.3
      said to my questions and then make a call, but I
24
      don't think I will.
```

```
96
                   MS. DUNN: Okay. Great. Thank you.
 1
 2
                  MS. HUSSEY: I don't think so, either,
 3
     Carrie. This is Becky.
 4
                   MS. DUNN: Okay.
                   MR. BORCHERS: This is Dylan. I don't
 5
     think so. Thank you.
 6
 7
                   MS. DUNN: Great. Thank you.
 8
                   (Luncheon recess.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

97 1 Friday Afternoon Session, 2 January 30, 2015. 3 4 MR. LANG: Let's go on the record. 5 MS. DUNN: We'll go on the record. 6 Frank Darr from IEU, are you there? 7 MR. DARR: Yes, I am. 8 MS. DUNN: Okay. Go ahead. 9 MR. DARR: Thank you. 10 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. DARR: 13 Mr. Strah, my name is Frank Darr, I'm 14 with the law firm of McNees, Wallace & Nurick, and we represent Industrial Energy Users of Ohio. I have 15 16 just a few questions concerning some information that 17 you may have used or not used. That's what I want to 18 determine. In preparing your testimony concerning 19 20 system reliability and the benefits of the Economic 21 Stability Program, did you look at any historic 2.2 availability data prepared by the companies? 23 Could you rephrase the question, please? Α. 24 Q. I don't think so, sir. There's

something in my question that you don't understand?

- A. In terms of historic availability, I'm just looking for a timeframe.
  - Q. Certainly. For the last four years.
- A. Okay. Could you repeat the question, or could we have the question read back, please?
  - (Record read back as requested.)
  - A. I can't remember.
- Q. Are there documents that you would look at to refresh your recollection?
- 11 A. No.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. So at this point you have no recall as
  to whether or not you looked at any information
  concerning the historic availability over the last
  four years?
  - A. I relied on other witnesses.
- Q. Does that complete your answer?
- 18 A. Yes.
- MR. DARR: Going back to my question,
  then, would you -- could I ask the court reporter to
  ask my question again, and I'd like an answer to my
  question, sir.
- (Record read back as requested.)
- MR. LANG: Objection, but go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. DARR:

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. So what is your recollection?
- A. My recollection is reviewing -- my recollection is reviewing the testimony of other witnesses that are in this proceeding on behalf of the company, and putting that together to form my testimony.
- Q. Did you seek to independently verify that information with other information concerning the historic availability of the generating units that are the subject of the Economic Stability Program?
  - A. No.
- Q. Are you aware that -- whether or not such information is available within -- or available from one of the operating companies? What I mean by operating companies, I mean Ohio Edison, Toledo Edison, or Cleveland Electric.

THE WITNESS: Could you read that question back, please?

(Record read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

24 BY MR. DARR:

- Q. Could you point me to which witness that you are relying upon concerning the availability data?
- A. Could you rephrase your question, please?

- Q. Sure. Which witnesses' testimony are you relying upon for purposes of identifying the availability data?
  - A. Could you clarify "availability"?
- Q. I thought we were clear. We were talking previously about historic availability data, and you indicated that you relied on other witnesses for that information. I was wondering which witnesses' testimony you relied upon.

So my question is: Which witnesses' testimony did you rely upon for the availability data?

- A. My apologies. I might have misunderstood one of your prior questions.
- Q. What would you like to clarify at this point, sir?
- A. Is your question on availability relative to unit availability?
- Q. I'm looking at -- yes. My question

- relates to the availability of the Sammis and
  Davis-Besse units.
- A. Okay. I was incorrect of my understanding of your previous question. My apologies.
  - Q. Okay. Let's start again then. As part of your review in preparation for providing testimony in this case, did you look at the historic availability data or the Davis-Besse and Sammis units which are the subject of the Economic Stability Program?
- 12 A. No.

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

- Q. Are you aware of whether or not that information, which we've just discussed, is available from the operating companies?
  - A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of whether or not that information is available through FirstEnergy Service Corporation?
- MR. LANG: Objection. Go ahead.
- 21 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 22 BY MR. DARR:
- Q. Your testimony at Pages 7 and 8, you have some discussion about how the Economic Stability

Program will promote certainty regarding retail electric service, and you state on Page 8 that the recent polar vortex provides one example of the benefit of baseload assets like the plants and OVEC.

In this instance, the reference to plants refers to the Sammis and Davis-Besse units, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. With regard to the plants and the OVEC units that are mentioned in Line 16 on Page 8, have you personally assessed whether or not these units would satisfy the capacity performance requirement under the proposed PJM capacity performance product definition?
- MR. LANG: Objection.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Could you read the
- 17 | question, please?
- 18 (Record read back as requested.)
- 19 THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase your
- 20 question?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 21 BY MR. DARR:
- Q. What didn't you understand?
- A. Use of the word "satisfy."
- Q. What about that don't you understand,

1 sir?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.3

24

- A. My answer is no.
- Q. Do you know whether anyone at

  FirstEnergy Service Corporation has assessed whether
  or not the plants would qualify under the definition
  of a -- definition of capacity for the capacity
  performance definition that's been proposed by PJM?

MR. LANG: Objection again.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

10 BY MR. DARR:

- Q. Are you personally familiar with the requirements of that proposal that's presented by PJM to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission?
  - A. No.
- Q. So is it fair to say that at this time you do not have an understanding of the requirements that would apply to a gas-fired generating unit?

MR. LANG: You're asking him, Frank, under the capacity performance proposal that he doesn't have an understanding with -- of?

21 MR. DARR: I'm asking is it fair to conclude that, yes.

MR. LANG: Okay. Objection to the form of the question, but you can answer.

104 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2. BY MR. DARR: 3 Q. Are you familiar with the efforts 4 undertaken by PJM to address inconsistencies with 5 scheduling protocols between natural gas and 6 electricity industries? 7 I can't remember. 8 Q. Thank you. That's all the questions I 9 have. 10 MR. LANG: Okay. Let's see, Carrie 11 stepped out of the room and she was doing our order. 12 I think was -- did Howard Petricoff drop off? 13 MR. OLIKER: Howard does not have any 14 questions he indicated. 15 MR. LANG: Madeline Fleisher, is she 16 there? You there, Madeline? Maybe not. 17 Joe, I know you're there; so why don't 18 you go ahead. 19 MR. OLIKER: Sure. 20 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2.2 BY MR. OLIKER:

Joe Oliker, and I represent IGS Energy.

2.3

24

Q.

Good afternoon, Mr. Strah. My name is

- A. Good afternoon.
- 2 Q. Just a few questions this afternoon.
- 3 You may have touched on the subject, but I don't
- 4 think you talked exactly to this issue.
- 5 Are you aware that the FirstEnergy Ohio
- 6 Utilities and FirstEnergy Solutions provide testimony
- 7 from time to time to the Ohio General Assembly?
- 8 MR. LANG: Objection; beyond the scope
- 9 of his testimony. Go ahead.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 11 BY MR. OLIKER:

- 12 Q. Have you reviewed any testimony that the
- 13 | FirstEnergy Utilities or FirstEnergy Solutions have
- 14 provided to the Ohio General Assembly?
- MR. LANG: Same objection.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase the
- 17 | question, please?
- 18 BY MR. OLIKER:
- 19 Q. What part of my question don't you
- 20 understand?
- 21 A. The period of time that your question
- 22 pertains to.
- Q. The past 10 years.
- MR. LANG: Same objection.

Steven Strah

106 1 THE WITNESS: I can't remember. 2. BY MR. OLIKER: 3 Why does the period of time matter if 4 you can't remember? 5 MR. LANG: Objection; argumentative. 6 BY MR. OLIKER: 7 Ο. You can answer. 8 Could you repeat your question, please? 9 Why does the period of time that I'm 10 talking about in my question for providing testimony or reviewing testimony to the General Assembly matter 11 at all if you can't remember ever reviewing any? 12 13 MR. LANG: Objection; mischaracterizes 14 his response. Go ahead if you can answer. 15 THE WITNESS: I just wanted an 16 understanding of the timeframe that you were 17 referencing in your question. BY MR. OLIKER: 18 19 Q. Okay. In the future, Mr. Strah, if you don't know the answer and you just have a problem 20 21 with my question, please just state that you don't

2.2

2.3

24

know the answer --

there.

MR. LANG: Joe, you can stop right

107 1 BY MR. OLIKER: Q. -- for purposes of moving this 3 deposition along. 4 MR. LANG: Joe, you can stop right 5 there. Ask your next question, please. 6 BY MR. OLIKER: 7 Q. Are you familiar with PJM 8 Interconnection, Mr. Strah? 9 A. Yes. Q. Have you ever dispatched generation 10 11 resources into PJM? 12 Α. No. 13 Q. Do you know who the PJM market monitor is? 14 MR. LANG: Objection to form. Go ahead. 15 16 THE WITNESS: I can't remember. 17 BY MR. OLIKER: 18 Q. Do you know what the duty of the PJM market monitor is? 19 MR. LANG: Objection; and beyond the 20 21 scope. Go ahead. 2.2 THE WITNESS: I don't know. BY MR. OLIKER: 2.3

24

Q. And likewise, are you aware if the PJM

Steven Strah

108 1 market monitor produces quarterly reports? 2 MR. LANG: Objection. 3 THE WITNESS: I don't know. BY MR. OLIKER: 4 5 Q. Do you participate in the PJM 6 stakeholder process? 7 MR. LANG: Objection. 8 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 9 BY MR. OLIKER: 10 Q. Do you know what the PJM stakeholder 11 process is? 12 MR. LANG: Objection. 13 THE WITNESS: No. 14 BY MR. OLIKER: 15 Q. Are you familiar with the term American 16 Transmission Systems, Inc.? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. If I -- could you explain what that is, 19 please? 20 Α. It's the subsidiary that contains 21 transaction assets within the Ohio and Penn Power 2.2 footprint. Q. If I refer to American Transmission 2.3 24 Systems, Inc. as ATSI, would you understand what I'm

talking about?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- A. Yes.
- Q. Have you performed any analysis to demonstrate the impact of closure of Sammis on the total fuel mix within PJM or ATSI?
  - A. No.
- Q. Have you performed any analysis to demonstrate the impact of the closure of Davis-Besse on the total fuel mix within PJM or ATSI?
- A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of the total amount of unforced capacity that exists in PJM Interconnection?
  - A. Could you rephrase your question?
- Q. What part of my question don't you understand?
- 16 A. Timeframe.
- Q. Currently.
- A. I don't know.
- Q. How about the projected amount over the next three years? When I say that, I mean any delivery year as PJM defines it.
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Do you know the total level of unforced capacity that currently exists in the ATSI zone?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

- Q. Do you know the total level of unforced capacity that is projected to exist in the ATSI zone over the next three years as defined by PJM as a delivery year?
  - A. No.
- Q. Let me ask you a hypothetical. Over the next three years if you were to remove 1.5 percent of PJM's total unforced capacity, do you believe that would be detrimental to the reliability of the grid?

MR. LANG: Objection to the hypothetical, but answer if you can.

13 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

14 BY MR. OLIKER:

- Q. Is there any additional facts that would allow you to better answer that question?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. Now, let me ask you another

  hypothetical. If 1.5 percent of PJM's total capacity

  were to be removed for the next three years, do you

  believe that reduction would be detrimental to the

  operation of the energy market?

23 MR. LANG: Objection; both beyond the 24 scope and hypothetical. But to the extent you can

- 1 answer, go ahead.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 3 BY MR. OLIKER:

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

Q. In your testimony you talk about -- you say the requirement of baseload generation plants -- I'm sorry, scratch that.

You indicate that stability and security is impacted by a generation asset mechanism that is increasingly dominated by generation that lacks the capability to run continuously, particularly when the grid is stressed.

Now, in this statement, you're referring to the interruptibility of natural gas plants,

correct?

MR. LANG: Did you have a particular page in mind you're asking about, Joe?

MR. OLIKER: He says it in a few places, that's why I'm trying to paraphrase.

MR. LANG: Okay. Could we have the question read back then?

21 (Record read back as requested.)

- 22 BY MR. OLIKER:
- Q. That should be generation mix, not mechanism.

A. Yes.

1

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

Q. You talked a little bit about PJM's capacity performance proposal. Are you familiar with what has been proposed by PJM?

5 MR. LANG: Objection; asked and 6 answered.

7 THE WITNESS: Can you read the question 8 back, please?

9 (Record read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: I don't recall or remember talking about the proposal.

12 BY MR. OLIKER:

- Q. Let me ask you plainly: Are you familiar with PJM -- with what PJM has proposed?
- 15 A. No.
  - Q. Let me ask you a hypothetical. If natural gas combined cycle generators are allowed to include the price of firm transportation in their capacity offers, would you agree that they are less likely to be interrupted during peak times?

MR. LANG: Objection again to the -what's being assumed in the hypothetical, but --

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

24 BY MR. OLIKER:

- Q. Okay. Let me ask you differently: If natural gas combined cycle generators have firm pipeline transportation, would you agree that they're less likely to be interrupted?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Mr. Strah, have you done any analysis to determine what portion of gas generation in PJM relies upon interruptible transportation?
  - A. No.

2.2

2.3

- Q. And likewise, have you done any analysis to determine what portion of natural gas generation in PJM has firm transportation?
  - A. No.
- Q. On Page 8 you state, "During this unprecedented cold spell, many interruptible gas generation assets were unable to operate due to: inconsistencies in scheduling protocols between the natural gas and electricity industries; a lack of pipeline infrastructure to support increasing demand for gas; and (3) priority questions between gas used for heating and gas used to create electricity."

  What information is this statement based upon?
  - A. It's based upon information and data

- contained in a PJM report on the polar vortex.
  - Q. Is it the statement of Michael Kormos?
    - A. I don't know.
      - Q. Did you review this report?
- 5 A. Yes.

3

- 6 Q. Do you know when it was filed?
- 7 A. I don't remember.
- Q. Would you agree that in January 2014
  there were unprecedented forced outages in PJM?
- 9 there were unprecedented forced outages in PJM?
- MS. DUNN: If someone's typing, can you please put your phone on mute?
- MR. LANG: It might be him.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- MR. OLIKER: It's not me.
- MR. LANG: Oh, sorry to accuse, Joe.
- 16 BY MR. OLIKER:
- Q. I'll ask my question again. I'm not
- 18 | sure of the answer.
- Would you agree that there were
- 20 unprecedented forced outage rates during January of
- 21 2014?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And you would agree that general plant
- failures at both coal and natural gas plants were

Steven Strah

115 responsible for the majority of forced outages during 1 January of 2014? MR. LANG: Could I have that read back? 3 4 THE WITNESS: Yeah, if you don't mind. 5 (Record read back as requested.) 6 BY MR. OLIKER: 7 Q. That was agree that general plant 8 failures. 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. Did you review the forced outage history 11 of Sammis or Davis-Besse over the past five years? 12 Α. No. 13 Did you review the forced outage rate Ο. 14 for Sammis or Davis-Besse during January of 2014? Α. 15 No. 16 On Page 3 you state, "The Economic Ο. 17 Stability Program of the Powering Ohio's Progress 18 plan is designed to mitigate the impact on customers of long-term volatility in retail electric service 19 20 prices as well as forecasted increases in those 21 prices. As Company witness Rose explains, power 2.2 prices have been, and are expected to be, 2.3 significantly volatile. Power price increases

also are forecast over the long term."

Would you agree that your conclusion that the RRS will mitigate the impact of rising market prices is predicated on the conclusion that Sammis, Davis-Besse, and OVEC will produce additional margin if prices rise?

- A. Could you read the question back, please?
- Q. Sure. Would you agree that your conclusion that the RRS will mitigate the impact of rising market prices is predicated on the idea that Sammis, Davis-Besse, and OVEC will produce additional margin if market prices rise?

MR. LANG: Yeah. Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

15 BY MR. OLIKER:

2.2

2.3

- Q. Well, let me ask you a hypothetical.

  And before that, why don't you know? What is it -what is it -- is there anything additional that you
  would need to answer that question?
- A. No. I can only point you back to my testimony in terms of the benefits that are articulated for Rider RRS.
- Q. Okay. Can you please explain how Rider RRS will be a benefit to customers when market prices

rise? Can you walk me through that?

2.

2.2

- A. It will provide price stability, reliability of our distribution -- or, our delivery system, it will also continue to contribute to the vitality of Ohio's economy, along with job retention benefits.
- Q. Okay. Let's break that down. Let's talk about the simple premise of whether the RRS is a charge or a credit. Let's do this in a hypothetical.

Let's assume that Sammis, Davis-Besse, and the OVEC plants are not profitable now; and then assume that there's a \$10-per-megawatt-hour increase in the market price; also assume that the cost of producing power for each plant increases \$10 per megawatt hour along with that market price increase. Would you agree that in this hypothetical the RRS will continue to be a charge?

MR. LANG: Objection to the assumptions requested in the hypothetical as being unrealistic.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

BY MR. OLIKER:

- Q. Why is that?
- A. Rider RRS operates -- is when the revenues incoming from the PJM market are matched

against costs customers would receive a credit.

Q. Okay. So now assume this with me using your terminology: Let's assume that in 2015 the PJM market revenues are less than the costs. Now, let's assume everything else is the same in 2016, but the market price for electricity rises by \$10 and the cost of producing electricity also rises by \$10. Would you agree that in that hypothetical the RRS will still be a charge?

MR. LANG: Objection again to the assumptions included in the hypothetical. I think he's asking you to do the math.

13 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

14 BY MR. OLIKER:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

2.2

2.3

Q. Would you agree that 10 minus 10 is zero?

17 A. Yes.

18 MR. LANG: That one we can answer.

19 BY MR. OLIKER:

Q. And you would agree that if the revenue increases 10 and the cost increases 10, there's no more margin?

MR. LANG: Objection again.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. OLIKER:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

Q. Okay. So from a high level, if there is an increase in cost to these plants that matches the increase in revenues, then the RRS is not a hedge against rising market prices?

MR. LANG: Objection again to the assumptions. Answer if you can.

8 THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase the 9 question?

10 BY MR. OLIKER:

- Q. What about my question don't you understand?
- A. What is meant by the word "hedge."
- Q. I mean the RRS doesn't produce any more margin.
- MR. LANG: Could you just ask your question again, Joe?
- MR. OLIKER: Sure.
- 19 BY MR. OLIKER:
- Q. Assume a situation of rising market
  prices as you describe in your testimony. If every
  time prices increase the cost of operating Sammis,
  Davis-Besse, and the OVEC plants also increases at an
  equivalent rate, would you agree that the RRS will

Steven Strah

120 not mitigate against those price increases for 1 customers? 3 MR. LANG: Objection again. Answer if 4 you can. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 BY MR. OLIKER: 7 Q. Yes, it will not mitigate against the 8 price increase? Α. 9 Yes. Thank you. Are you familiar with the 10 Q. EPA's proposed regulations regarding carbon emissions 11 12 for existing power plants? 13 Α. No. 14 Q. Are you familiar at all with the principle or idea of carbon dioxide regulation? 15 16 Α. No. 17 Okay. Switching to a different subject. 0. 18 You state, "If shopping customers decide to switch because they think they can save, that same savings 19 20 is available to the customers regardless of the 21 existence of the Economic Stability Program." 2.2 Would you agree that if the RRS is a 2.3 charge, a customer will not realize the same savings

if they shop than they would without the existence of

beeven belan

- 1 the RRS?
- 2 MR. LANG: Is that a reference to the
- 3 testimony again, Joe?
- 4 MR. OLIKER: One second. Now I'm
- 5 typing. It's on Page 6.
- 6 MR. LANG: All right.
- 7 BY MR. OLIKER:
- Q. And it's on Line 21. You say, "If
- 9 shopping customers decide to switch because they
- 10 think they can save, that same savings is available
- 11 to the customers regardless of the existence of the
- 12 Economic Stability Program."
- And my question is: Would you agree
- 14 that if the RRS is a charge, a customer will not
- 15 | realize the same savings if they shop than they would
- 16 | without the existence of the RRS?
- MR. LANG: Well, objection to the form,
- 18 but --
- 19 THE WITNESS: No.
- 20 BY MR. OLIKER:
- 21 Q. Would you agree that if the RRS -- and
- 22 maybe my question is poor. When you say no, are you
- 23 agreeing with me that they would not have the same
- 24 savings?

1 MR. LANG: Same objection to the earlier 2 question, but go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Could you please read back the question before that question so I'm clear?

BY MR. OLIKER:

Q. I'll just ask it again very simply.

Would the existence of the RRS, if it is charged, a customer will not realize the same savings that they would realize if the RRS did not exist?

MR. LANG: And I guess -- yeah, I think we're both having trouble. When you say the same savings, yeah, it's -- since you -- you can't see our faces over the phone, we're -- I can tell you we have confused faces.

MR. OLIKER: That's fine. Let's come at it from a different angle.

17 BY MR. OLIKER:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

2.3

24

Q. Are you familiar with a flat-bill product?

A. No.

Q. Are you familiar with a fixed-price contract?

A. Yes.

Q. Would you agree that a customer that has

- a fixed-price contract could have their expectations undermined if the RRS is a charge?
  - A. Could you rephrase the question?
  - Q. What part of my question don't you understand?
    - A. What you mean by customer expectation.
  - Q. Okay. Would you agree that a customer that enters into a fixed-price contract will pay a different amount if the RRS is in existence?
    - A. Could you repeat the question?
- Q. Maybe to make it really simple: Would you agree that when the RRS exists, there is no way a customer can determine what their bill will be?
  - A. No.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Why do you disagree?
- A. Rider RRS is a stability rider, it's independent of a customer that shops or one that does, and customers --
  - Q. Do you know -- I'm sorry, go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you.
- A. Sure. Thanks.
- And customers will be able to see what their bill is.
- Q. Do you know what the RRS will be every

- 1 | month of the year?
- A. I can't remember.
- Q. Do you know what the RRS will be in 2017 as you sit here today?
- A. No, but I am relying on the forecasts that's found in my testimony.
  - Q. Would you agree that those forecasts do not contain the actual prices that will exist in 2017?
- 10 A. Yes.

7

8

15

- Q. So would you agree even if those
  forecasts are close to being right, they still will
  not predict the exact amount that the RRS will
  reflect on a customer's bill?
  - A. Yes. If I may, is there any way we could just take a five-minute break?
- MR. LANG: Joe, we're going to take a five-minute break and I think stretch the witness's legs.
- MR. OLIKER: Okay.
- 21 MR. LANG: And so I'd say we'll start 22 again at 20 minutes after 1:00.
- MR. OLIKER: Okay. Thank you.
- MR. LANG: All right. Thanks, Joe.

125 1 (Recess taken.) 2 MR. LANG: All right. Mr. Oliker, you 3 still have questions? 4 MR. OLIKER: Yes. Just a few. 5 MR. LANG: Okay. Go ahead. 6 BY MR. OLIKER: 7 Q. Okay. On Page 11 you talk about, "Price 8 stability is an important consideration in site 9 location analysis." In this statement, are you referring to industrial customers? 10 11 Α. No. 12 What are you referring to? Q. 13 Α. I would say commercial industrial 14 customers. Q. You say, "When major companies consider 15 16 locating or staying in Ohio, or existing companies 17 consider expansion, they are making long term, 18 multi-million dollar investments, and require pricing stability in their budget projections." 19 20 Would you agree that industrial 21 customers could obtain a reasonable arrangement if 2.2 they so desired?

THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase the

MR. LANG: Objection.

2.3

126 question? 1 BY MR. OLIKER: 3 Q. What part of my question don't you 4 understand? 5 Α. The phrase "reasonable arrangement." 6 0. Let's take it one step at a time. 7 you know what a reasonable arrangement is? 8 Α. No. 9 0. Are you aware that Ohio law provides 10 that industrial customers may request approval of a 11 discounted rate with a local utility? 12 MR. LANG: Objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. 13 14 BY MR. OLIKER: 15 0. Do you know who Republic Steel is? 16 Could you rephrase the question? Α. 17 What part of my question don't you Q. 18 understand? 19 Α. I'm not sure if you're referencing 20 location. 21 Do you know the company Republic Steel? 0. 2.2 Yes. Α. 2.3 Would you agree that Republic Steel has Q. 24 a new facility in FirstEnergy service territory?

- A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. You say on Page 11, Line 5, "The greater the degree of certainty about energy costs that we can provide these companies, the greater our odds of landing new capital investment and employment in the State of Ohio."

If a customer has the option of knowing exactly what their bill will be over the next three years or the option of having a bill that may go up 10 percent or down 10 percent, which would a customer choose?

- MR. LANG: Objection, again, to the assumptions. Answer if you can.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 15 BY MR. OLIKER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. You don't think they'd want the greater degree of certainty?
- MR. LANG: Objection.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 20 BY MR. OLIKER:
- Q. Page 6, Line 9 you state, "...Rider RRS must be nonbypassable for it to work properly and to be competitively neutral thereby avoiding any impact on the competitive retail market."

Regarding this statement, is it limited to retail competition or does it also pertain to wholesale competition?

- A. Could you please direct me to the line you're referring to?
- Q. I believe it's Page 6, Line 9 you say,
  "...Rider RRS must be nonbypassable for it to work
  properly and to be competitively neutral thereby
  avoiding any impact on the competitive retail
  market."
  - My question is: Is your statement limited to retail competition or does it also pertain to wholesale competition?
- A. I can't remember.
- Q. Did you write this statement in your testimony?
- 17 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 18 Q. Are there any documents that would refresh your recollection?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Are you an economist?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Do you have any training or education in market fundamentals?

129 1 MR. LANG: Objection to the form of the 2 question. 3 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat your 4 question? BY MR. OLIKER: 5 Q. What part of my question don't you 6 7 understand or want me to repeat? 8 Α. I would just like you to repeat your question, please. 9 Q. Do you have any education or training in 10 retail electric market fundamentals? 11 12 MR. LANG: Different question. 13 THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. OLIKER: 14 Do you have any training or education in 15 Q. wholesale market -- energy market fundamentals? 16 17 Could you read the question back for me, Α. 18 please? Do you have any education or training in 19 Q. 20 wholesale energy market fundamentals? What do you mean by "education"? 21 Α. 2.2 Have you studied energy markets in Q. college, university, do you have any degrees? 23

On markets?

Α.

130 1 0. Yes. 2 Α. No. 3 Q. Is it your testimony that 4 generation-related nonbypassable charges do not have 5 a negative impact -- let me scratch that. Try that 6 again. 7 Is it your testimony that 8 generation-related nonbypassable charges do not have 9 an impact on competition? 10 MR. LANG: Objection to the question 11 again, and mischaracterization of what's proposed. 12 If you can answer. 13 THE WITNESS: I don't follow your 14 question --BY MR. OLIKER: 15 What part of my question don't you 16 0. 17 follow? 18 Α. -- so I'm afraid I can't answer it. 19 Q. What part of my question don't you 20 follow? 21 The inclusion of generation. I guess Α. 2.2 I'm just not clear. 2.3 Well, I'm just asking you from a high Q.

level, do you believe that generation-related

Steven Strah 131 1 nonbypassable charges impact the competitive market? 2. MR. LANG: Objection; beyond the scope 3 of his testimony. 4 THE WITNESS: I don't know. BY MR. OLIKER: 5 6 Q. So let me -- let's take it a step 7 further. If you look at Page 6, Line 19 and it says

"as a nonbypassable charge," if I were to insert the words "as a generation-related" after "as a" and between "nonbypassable," would your testimony change? MR. LANG: Objection; beyond the scope of his testimony.

13 THE WITNESS: I can only speak to what 14 my testimony says.

BY MR. OLIKER: 15

8

9

10

11

12

16

2.2

- Ο. That doesn't answer my question.
- 17 I'm sorry, I won't be able to answer 18 your question.
- So your answer is you don't know? 19 Q. 20 MR. LANG: Objection.

21 BY MR. OLIKER:

- Q. You may answer.
- 2.3 Α. I don't know.
- 24 Q. And do you know whether the RRS will

132 1 have a negative impact on wholesale competition? 2 MR. LANG: Objection; beyond the scope 3 of his testimony. 4 THE WITNESS: I don't know. BY MR. OLIKER: 5 6 0. Do you know whether Sammis, Davis-Besse, 7 Clifty Creek, and Kyger Creek are FirstEnergy 8 Solutions' most efficient or profitable power plants? MR. LANG: Objection; beyond the scope 9 10 of his testimony. 11 THE WITNESS: No. 12 BY MR. OLIKER: 13 Have you evaluated FirstEnergy Solutions' business plan regarding its generation 14 fee? 15 16 MR. LANG: Objection; beyond the scope 17 of his testimony. 18 THE WITNESS: No. 19 MR. OLIKER: If I can have just a 20 minute, Jim. 21 MR. LANG: Sure. 2.2 (Pause.) 2.3 MR. OLIKER: Okay. That's all the

questions I have. Thank you, Mr. Strah.

Steven Strah

133

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MS. DUNN: Okay. NOPEC, you can go

3 ahead.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

4 MR. BORCHERS: Thank you.

5 | - - -

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. BORCHERS:

Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Strah. My name is
Dylan Borchers, and I represent the Northeast Ohio
Public Energy Council. Based on the questions asked
by a number of the other parties, the questions I
have for you will be fairly brief since they did a
good job covering the topics I wanted to cover.

To get started, on Page 13 of your testimony at the top there you state that, "CRES providers are not currently offering long-term contracts to residential customers in the Companies' service territories that would serve a mitigation function equivalent to the Economic Stability Program."

What do you mean by "mitigation function equivalent to the Economic Stability Program"?

A. That they don't provide the same benefit as the Economic Stability Program.

- Q. And in your opinion, how is the Economic Stability Program different from a contract with a CRES provider in terms of benefit?
- A. The Economic Stability Program is a 15-year program that, along with price stability, provides reliability of the delivery system and aids the Ohio economy.
- Q. A contract between a CRES provider and a residential customer could provide price stability at least during the term of that contract, correct?
  - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And so to you one of the distinctions between the Economic Stability Program and a contract with a CRES provider is the length of the Economic Stability Program, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Would a residential customer in a contract with a CRES provider see any benefits from the Economic Stability Program during the term of that contract?
  - A. No.
- Q. And in your testimony on Page 13, you state that there are no offers from CRES providers that are longer than 36 months for a contract period.

135 1 How did you come to your conclusion that 2 CRES providers are not offering contracts to 3 residential customers for a period greater than 36 4 months? 5 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, could you read 6 that question back for us? 7 (Record read back as requested.) 8 THE WITNESS: It was from a review of 9 the Apples-to-Apples website referenced in my 10 testimony at the time my testimony was being created. 11 BY MR. BORCHERS: 12 Okay. So your review was limited solely Q. 13 to the PUCO's Apples-to-Apples website? Α. 14 Yes. Mr. Strah, do you know what governmental 15 16 aggregation is? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Are you aware that the Northeast Ohio Q. Public Energy Council is a governmental aggregation? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 Are you aware that CRES providers will 2.2 enter into contracts with governmental aggregations 2.3 to provide the supply for the aggregations? Α. 24 No.

- Q. So you're not aware that NOPEC and FirstEnergy Solutions have a nine-year contract for generation supply then, correct?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. Yes, as in you're not aware?
- A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. Thank you. Just switching topics briefly, IEU and IGS asked most of the questions in terms of the PJM and the polar vortex, but I just have a couple of additional questions.

Have you reviewed any reports by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, also known as NAERC, on the operational and market impacts of the polar vortex?

- A. No.
- Q. But you have reviewed reports from the PJM on the impacts of the polar vortex, correct?
  - A. I reviewed one report.
  - Q. Do you remember the name of that report?
    - A. I don't remember the name of the report.
- Q. So in response to an earlier question from IGS, you agreed that both natural gas and coal generators experienced forced outages during the polar vortex. Do you recall that statement?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. And of the unavailable megawatts within the PJM during the polar vortex from forced outages, do you know what percentage of those unavailable megawatts came from forced outages of coal-fired plants?
  - A. I can't remember.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.
- MR. LANG: I think Rebecca Hussey is next.
- MS. HUSSEY: Thank you.

13

### 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION

15 BY MS. HUSSEY:

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Strah. I'm Rebecca
  Hussey, and I represent the Ohio Manufacturers
  Association Energy Group.
- I believe you were speaking with

  Mr. Mendoza earlier today about your new roles

  effective on February 1st, 2015, and you stated that

  one of your new titles is president of FirstEnergy

  Utilities; is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And for which FirstEnergy operating companies will you be serving as president?
- A. Toledo Edison, the Illuminating Company,
  Ohio Edison, Penn Power, Penn Elec, West Penn Power,
  Met Ed, Mon Power, Potomac Edison, and Jersey Central
  Power & Light.
- 7 MR. LANG: Good test.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 9 BY MS. HUSSEY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

15

- Q. Thank you. I'd like to talk with you

  for a bit about the Economic Stability Program. And

  from my understanding, your testimony explains the

  Economic Stability Program proposed by the companies,

  correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. When I say "the companies," we're talking about the three Ohio electric distribution utilities, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Great. Thank you.
- In a number of places in your testimony,
  you refer to the Economic Stability Program including
  Rider RRS. For instance, if you could refer to
  Page 6, at Lines 2 and 3.

A. Yes.

2.2

2.3

- Q. Would you please clarify for me what the Economic Stability Program actually includes?
- A. It includes Rider RRS, it includes the reliability that will be produced for the energy delivery systems to the customers of the three operating companies in Ohio, will also support the economy of Ohio, including not only contributing to its vitality, but also to job retention.
- Q. Okay. And are any other riders that are proposed in ESP IV included in the program?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you for clarifying.

On Page 6 of your testimony, beginning on Line 16, you discuss the impacts of the Economic Stability Program on customer choice. And I wondered, as you've used it in your testimony, what does customer choice mean to you?

- A. It means customers will enjoy the same level of choice they have today as they would if the PUCO approves Rider RRS.
- Q. Okay. And on Page 7, at Line 2, you conclude that the Economic Stability Program will have no adverse impacts on shopping. And I wondered

- if the term "shopping" strictly means choosing a provider as you've used it.
  - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. And would you agree that a number of Ohio's Certified Retail Electric Service, or CRES, suppliers make many different types of offers available to their consumers.
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree that certain CRES offers already have hedges of some nature built into them?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- Q. Should a customer who has already taken action to secure electric rate stability be required to pay for Rider RRS?
- MR. LANG: Can I have the question read again?
- THE WITNESS: Yeah. Thank you.
- 19 (Record read back as requested.)
- THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase your
- 21 question, please?
- 22 BY MS. HUSSEY:
- 23 Q. Sure. If a customer has already taken action or -- strike that.

1 If a customer has already secured some 2 type of hedge against rate increases, should that 3 customer be required to pay for Rider RRS? 4 MR. LANG: Objection. Go ahead. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 6 BY MS. HUSSEY: 7 Q. Okay. And could you turn to Page 6, 8 Line 20. There you testify that one of the ways that 9 the Economic Stability Program will promote stability and certainty is that it will promote sufficient 10 11 generation resource diversity; is that accurate? 12 MR. LANG: I'm sorry. What line were 13 you reading from? I think I was in the wrong place.

MS. HUSSEY: I think Line 20, Page 6.

MR. LANG: Do you see it?

16 THE WITNESS: I don't see it. I'm 17

sorry, I don't see that.

MS. HUSSEY: I'm sorry. Hold on. probably have the wrong reference. It's actually Line 20, Page 7, I'm sorry.

MR. LANG: There you go. Okay. We're there. What was the question?

2.3 BY MS. HUSSEY:

14

15

18

19

20

21

2.2

24 Q. Okay. As you use it on Line 20, what

- does resource diversity mean to you?
- A. Having a -- a diversity in the variety of energy sources.
  - Q. Okay. And to your knowledge, does the ESP IV application propose any new generating resources to be added in the companies' service territory?
    - A. I don't know.
  - Q. And do you know, does the application propose any new renewable resources to be added in the companies' service territory?
  - A. I'm sorry, could you repeat your question?
  - Q. Sure. To your knowledge, does the application propose any new renewable resources to be added in the companies' service territory?
  - A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Okay. I'm going to refer you back to Line 20, same page. Do you consider demand response to be a resource as you've used the word there?
- 21 MR. LANG: Again, if you could be on 22 mute, unless it was Rebecca.
- MS. HUSSEY: It wasn't me.
- MR. LANG: Mute then, please.

1 THE WITNESS: Yes.

2 BY MS. HUSSEY:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

- Q. Okay. And if I could get you to turn to Page 8, Line 23, you use the term essential generation there. I wondered if you could explain what you mean by "essential generation."
- A. Baseload coal and nuclear plants that have the capability to run continuously for extended periods.
- Q. Okay. And in your estimation, then, coal and nuclear generating resources are the only types of generation that would qualify as essential generation?
- A. I would say no. It is outlined in my testimony, though, the -- the important nature of coal in nuclear plants.
- Q. Okay. And if there are other types of generation that would qualify as essential generation, what would those types be?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Would you consider a natural gas generating facility with a firm supply of natural gas to be essential generation?
- MR. LANG: Objection.

1 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

BY MS. HUSSEY:

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

2.2

2.3

- Q. Okay. Could you please turn to Page 10 of your testimony? And beginning at Line 5 you testify that you believe the polar vortex event in January will not be the last instance in which overreliance on underperforming supply creates an emergency; is that accurate?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Will the Economic Stability
  Program prevent overreliance on underperforming
  supply?
  - A. Could you rephrase your question?
- Q. I don't know that I can, because I specifically used your words in the question.
  - A. I don't understand.
  - Q. If you'd like me to reread it.
- A. If I may, could -- I'm unclear as to
  what you mean by the word "prevent." I believe that
  was in your question.
  - Q. Sure. Will the Economic Stability

    Program in some way keep overreliance on

    underperforming supplies from happening?
- A. Yes. It lessens the likelihood of it

occurring.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. Okay. Can it prevent it entirely?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Could you turn to Page 11, please? And I'm summarizing, but you testified generally that companies considering location -- or, excuse me, considering locating their operations in Ohio or staying and expanding their operations in Ohio require pricing stability in their budget projections; is that accurate?
- 11 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And more specifically you state at Line 5 that, "The greater the degree of certainty about energy costs that we can provide these companies, the greater our odds of landing new capital investment and employment in the State of Ohio"; is that correct?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. Would you say that that is true if the energy costs yielded under the proposed ESP are consistently higher than market prices?
      - A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. And could you turn to Page 17, please? At Lines 22 and 23 you testify about the

- potential loss of jobs, benefits, and support for other local businesses if the Sammis and Davis-Besse plants close; is that correct?
- A. I'm sorry, could you repeat your question?
- Q. Sure. At Lines 22 and 23, you testify about the potential loss of jobs, benefits, and support for other local businesses if the Sammis and Davis-Besse plants close; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Okay. And would you expect that the jobs, benefits, and support for other businesses will continue to improve for as long as the plants operate?
  - A. I don't know.
- 16 Q. Thank you very much. I have no further questions.
- MS. DUNN: Anybody else on the phone?

  (No response.)
- 20 MR. LANG: If there is no other
  21 questions, we'll reserve reading the transcript, and
  22 we can go off the record.
- MS. DUNN: Thank you. Have a good weekend.

```
147
                   MR. SOULES: Thank you for your time.
 1
 2
                    THE WITNESS: Thank you.
 3
                   (Thereupon, the deposition concluded
                    at 2:09 p.m. Signature not waived.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

م

# Steven Strah

		148					
1	State of Ohio : : SS:						
2	County of :						
3							
4	I, Steven E. Strah, do hereby certify						
5	that I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Friday, January 30, 2015; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is						
6							
7	true and correct.						
8							
9	Steven E. Strah	<del></del>					
10	Steven E. Strah						
11	The househop month for the title for the						
12	I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Steven E. Strah was						
13	submitted to the witness for reading and signing; that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary						
14	Public that he had read and examined his deposition he signed the same in my presence on the day of the	of					
15	<u>February</u> , 2015.						
16							
17	Elizature a. Rosenbeger						
18							
19	Notary Public						
20	Christine A. Rosenberger Notary Public, State of Ohio						
21	My commission expires My Commission Expires Feb. 20, 2016	•					
22							
23	* 20 						
24							

### **ERRATA SHEET**

Please do not write on the transcript. Any changes in form or substance you desire to make should be entered upon this sheet.

# TO THE REPORTER:

I have read the entire transcript of my deposition taken on the  $30^{40}$  day of 3000, or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the signature page and authorize you to attach the same to the original transcript.

Page	Line	Change	Reason
31	10	"of" Should be "or"	TYPO
101	Q.	"or" should be "for"	Typo
108	21	"Transaction" Should be "transmission"	Typo
122	7	"Charged" Should be "a charge"	Typo
125	13	"Commercial industrial" Shouldbe "Commercial	TYPO
		and industrial "	,,
132	15	"fee" should be "fleet."	TYPD
136	13	"HAERC" should be "HERC"	TYPD
138	4	1, -	misspelling
143	16	" in snould be "and"	Typo

Date	2-9-15	Signature:	Story	2 Atrack	
	1959		70	0 (1)	

Steven Strah 149 1 CERTIFICATE State of Ohio 2.

SS:

3 County of Muskingum

> I, Carolyn D. Ross, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, certify that the within named Steven E. Strah was by me duly sworn to testify to the whole truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony was taken down by me in stenotype in the presence of said witness, afterwards transcribed upon a computer; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by said witness taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified and completed without adjournment.

I certify that I am not a relative, employee, or attorney of any of the parties hereto, or of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties, or financially interested in the action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Columbus, Ohio, on this 2nd day of February, 2015.

15

14

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

(CDR-77619)

23

24

Cardy / Mesca Carolyn D. Ross, Registered Professional Reporter and State of Ohio.

Notary Public in and for the

My commission expires April 3, 2019.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

8/20/2015 12:20:04 AM

in

Case No(s). 14-1297-EL-SSO

Summary: Deposition (Public) of Steven E. Strah electronically filed by Mr. Tony G. Mendoza on behalf of Sierra Club