

Hunter, Donielle

From: Kevin Kamps <kevin@beyondnuclear.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2015 1:02 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Please file this as an opposition comment under CASE #: 14-1297-EL-SSO, re:
FirstEnergy's attempted \$3 billion ratepayer robbery to prop up Davis-Besse & Sammis

Please file this as an opposition comment under CASE #: 14-1297-EL-SSO, re: FirstEnergy's attempted \$3 billion ratepayer robbery to prop up Davis-Besse & Sammis

Dear PUCO,

I am deeply concerned about the harm to Ohioans' pocket books this \$3 billion money grab would represent.

But if Davis-Besse has a catastrophic radioactivity release -- all the more of a risk, now that its concrete containment Shield Building is severely cracked, and grows worse so with every freeze -- the damage to lives, health, and property would be even worse.

Here is a passage from a Davis-Besse backgrounder I wrote a few years ago, on how bad the public health and property damage would be if Davis-Besse has a catastrophic radioactivity release:

How bad would the casualties and property damage be? The NRC-commissioned, Sandia National Lab--conducted "Calculation of Reactor Accident Consequences" (CRAC-2) report [officially titled as the 1982 Sandia Siting Study or as NUREG/CR-2239] sheds terrifying light on this question. NRC actually tried to bury the report, but U.S. Congressman [now U.S. Senator] Ed Markey (D-MA), who wrote a four-page letter to NRC Chairman Jaczko, expressing his concerns about the recently revealed shield building cracking at Davis-Besse) [46] forced CRAC-2's publication via his congressional hearing powers in 1982.

CRAC-2 lists the following casualty and property damage figures from a catastrophic radioactivity release at Davis-

Besse: 1,400 Peak Early Fatalities; 73,000 Peak Early Injuries; 10,000 Peak Cancer Deaths; \$84 billion in property damage. But CRAC-2 was based on 1970 U.S. Census data; populations around Davis-Besse have grown significantly in the past 42 years, meaning that **those casualty figures would now be much worse.[47]**

And when adjusted for inflation from 1982 dollar figures, property damage would today surmount \$187 billion in 2010 dollar figures.[48]

My backgrounder, entitled "What Humpty Dumpty Doesn't Want You to Know: Davis-Besse's Cracked Containment Snow Job," is posted at

<http://static1.1.sqspcdn.com/static/f/356082/19776283/1344489357567/Snow+Job+Recent+Revelations+8+8+2012.pdf?token=2mo%2F19Vp9S1ySwZJbuoz1%2BIK2ZI%3D>

where you can see the primary documents cited in the [footnotes] in the passage above.

Ironically, that \$3 billion would be about what it would take to replace Davis-Besse's Shield Building. But FirstEnergy has no such plans, nor does NRC have any such requirement. FirstEnergy would simply pocket that \$3 billion, at the expense of ratepayers. It would line the pockets of FirstEnergy shareholders and corporate executives. No structural repairs would be made, using that money, at Davis-Besse. This is unacceptable. I urge you, on behalf of our members in Ohio, to reject FirstEnergy's bailout request.

members in Ohio, to reject FirstEnergy's bailout request.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician AN Date Processed JUL 13 2015

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kevin Kamps, Beyond Nuclear

Since Dec. 27, 2010, Beyond Nuclear has been an official intervenor before the NRC Atomic Safety and Licensing Board opposing Davis-Besse's 20-year license extension.

--

Kevin Kamps
Radioactive Waste Watchdog
Beyond Nuclear
6930 Carroll Avenue, Suite 400
Takoma Park, Maryland 20912
Office: (301) 270-2209 ext. 1
Cell: (240) 462-3216
Fax: (301) 270-4000
kevin@beyondnuclear.org
www.beyondnuclear.org

Beyond Nuclear aims to educate and activate the public about the connections between nuclear power and nuclear weapons and the need to abandon both to safeguard our future. Beyond Nuclear advocates for an energy future that is sustainable, benign and democratic.

Hunter, Donielle

From: Rachel Johnson <rgertrude@earthlink.net>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2015 6:30 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: "Please file this as an opposition comment under CASE #: 14-1297-EL-SSO."

"Please file this as an opposition comment under CASE #: 14-1297-EL-SSO."

It's time for Davis-Besse to be permanently shutdown! Please listen to the people of Ohio!

Rachel Johnson
Hiram, Ohio

From: Kevin Kamps
 To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
 Subject: Re: FirstEnergy's attempted \$3 billion ratepayer robbery to prop up Davis-Besse & Sammis
 Received: 7/13/2015 6:29:58 PM
 Message:
 Dear PUCO,

I am deeply concerned about the harm to Ohioans' pocket books this \$3 billion money grab would represent.

But if Davis-Besse has a catastrophic radioactivity release -- all the more of a risk, now that its concrete containment Shield Building is severely cracked, and grows worse so with every freeze -- the damage to lives, health, and property would be even worse.

Here is a passage from a Davis-Besse backgrounder I wrote a few years ago, on how bad the public health and property damage would be if Davis-Besse has a catastrophic radioactivity release:

How bad would the casualties and property damage be? The NRC-commissioned, Sandia National Lab-conducted "Calculation of Reactor Accident Consequences" (CRAC-2) report [officially titled as the 1982 Sandia Siting Study or as NUREG/CR-2239] sheds terrifying light on this question. NRC actually tried to bury the report, but U.S. Congressman [now U.S. Senator] Ed Markey (D-MA), who wrote a four-page letter to NRC Chairman Jaczko, expressing his concerns about the recently revealed shield building cracking at Davis-Besse) [46] forced CRAC-2's publication via his congressional hearing powers in 1982.

*CRAC-2 lists the following casualty and property damage figures from a catastrophic radioactivity release at Davis-Besse: **1,400 Peak Early Fatalities; 73,000 Peak Early Injuries; 10,000 Peak Cancer Deaths; \$84 billion in property damage.** But CRAC-2 was based on 1970 U.S. Census data; populations around Davis-Besse have grown significantly in the past 42 years, meaning that **those casualty figures would now be much worse.[47] And when adjusted for inflation from 1982 dollar figures, property damage would today surmount \$187 billion in 2010 dollar figures.[48]***

My backgrounder, entitled "What Humpty Dumpty Doesn't Want You to Know: Davis-Besse's Cracked Containment Snow Job," is posted at

<http://static1.1.sqspcdn.com/static/f/356082/19776283/1344489357567/Snow+Job+Recent+Revelations+8+8+2012.pdf?token=2mo%2F19Vp9S1ySwZJbuoz1%2BIK2Z1%3D>

where you can see the primary documents cited in the [footnotes] in the passage above.

Ironically, that \$3 billion would be about what it would take to replace Davis-Besse's Shield Building. But FirstEnergy has no such plans, nor does NRC have any such requirement. FirstEnergy would simply pocket that \$3 billion, at the expense of ratepayers. It would line the pockets of FirstEnergy shareholders and corporate executives. No structural repairs would be made, using that money, at Davis-Besse. This is unacceptable. I urge you, on behalf of our members in Ohio, to reject FirstEnergy's bailout request.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kevin Kamps, Beyond Nuclear

Since Dec. 27, 2010, Beyond Nuclear has been an official intervenor before the NRC Atomic Safety and Licensing Board opposing Davis-Besse's 20-year license extension.

--

Kevin Kamps
 Radioactive Waste Watchdog
 Beyond Nuclear
 6930 Carroll Avenue, Suite 400
 Takoma Park, Maryland 20912
 Office: (301) 270-2209 ext. 1
 Cell: (240) 462-3216

Fax: (301) 270-4000
kevin@beyondnuclear.org
www.beyondnuclear.org

Beyond Nuclear aims to educate and activate the public about the connections between nuclear power and nuclear weapons and the need to abandon both to safeguard our future. Beyond Nuclear advocates for an energy future that is sustainable, benign and democratic.