Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

15-1150-RR-FED

Memo

2015 JUN 19 PH 1:02

To:

Docketing Division

From:

George Martin, Grade Crossing Planner, Rail Division

Re:

In the matter of the authorization of CSX Transportation to install an active grade crossing

warning device in Marion County

Date:

June 19, 2015

The Ohio Rail Development Commission (ORDC) has authorized funding for CSX Transportation (CSX) to install mast-mounted flashing lights and roadway gates at Marion County, Claridon Township, Marion-Williamsport Rd/TR 162, DOT# 262063C, approved cost \$255,655.00. This crossing was surveyed August 26, 2013 as part of the Galion-Union City Corridor Project, and was found to warrant the upgrade. Due to the number of projects currently authorized on this Corridor Project, both ORDC and staff are recommending an 18-month order.

The project will be paid for with federal funds, and is actual cost. The plan and estimate for this project has already been approved in the amount noted above. Staff requests a Finding & Order with completion of the projects within 18-months and that the following language be incorporated in the Finding & Order:

It is expected that all work necessary for FHWA acceptance of the warning devices will be completed by the in-service due date and that the railroad will be responsible for this work. This work includes, but is not limited to:

Any ancillary work to make the warning devices function as designed and visible to the roadway user, and

MUTCD compliance, including minor roadway work if necessary.

A suggested case coding and heading would be:

PUCO Case No. 15- \(\G\G\G\D\) -RR-FED: In the matter of the authorization of CSX Transportation to install an active grade crossing warning device in Marion County

C: Legal Department

Please serve the following parties of record

Page 1

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed JUN 1 9 2015

Ms Cathy Stout

Ohio Rail Development Commission

1980 West Broad St, Mailstop #3140

Columbus, Oh 43223

Mr Troy Creasy

CSX Transportation

500 Meijer Dr, Ste 305

Florence, Ky 41042

Claridon Township Trustees

2272 Caledonia-Climax Rd

Caledonia, Oh 43314

Mr Brad Irons

Marion County Engineer

222 W Center St

Marion, OH 43302

Ohio Edison

OHIO RAIL DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION

TO:

George Martin, Rail Division, PUCO

FROM:

Cathy Stout, Manager, Safety Section, ORDC

BY:

Joe Reinhardt, Project Manager,

SUBJECT:

CSX Corridor, One (1) Warning Devide Projects, Marion County:

Marion-Williamsport Road

DATE:

June 17, 2015

Preliminary Engineering has already been provided by the railroad to ORDC for one warning projects on the Union City-Galion Corridor. We have approved these site plans and estimates. Please issue a construction-only Order for these projects with a proposed 18 month deadline.

These construction authorizations are made with the stipulation and understanding that any field work needs prior approval before the work begins. This authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that an approved estimate may contain entries for items or activities that may be cited and found to be ineligible for federal participation during the project audit.

It is expected that all work necessary for FHWA acceptance of the warning devices will be completed by the in-service due date and that the <u>railroad will be responsible</u> for this work. This work includes, but is not limited to:

- any ancillary work to make warning devices function as designed and visible to the roadway user, and
- MUTCD compliance including minor roadway work if necessary.

Thank you for your assistance with these matters.

Attachment:

Diagnostic Review

Plan & Estimate

c:

George Martin, PUCO

ORDC Project Manager (file)



OHIO RAIL DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

Mail Stop #3140, 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus OH 43223 John R. Kasich, Governor • Mark Policinski, ORDC Chairman

June 17, 2015

Ms. Amanda DeCesare Project Manager 500 Meijer Drive, Suite 305 Florence, Ky 41042

RE: Marion County, Marion-Williamsport Road, DOT 262063E

PID 96896, OH1044

Dear Ms. DeCesare:

The plan and estimate dated June 8, 2015, for the referenced project has been reviewed and is acceptable. CSX may proceed with the construction of the proposed grade crossing warning system in accordance with the abbreviated plan. This authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that the approved estimate may contain entries for items or activities that may be cited and found to be ineligible for federal participation during the project audit. Reimbursement of eligible actual cost is limited to \$255,655. Additional costs must be approved in writing by the ORDC prior to being incurred. Emergency verbal authorizations by ORDC may be permitted and will be confirmed by ORDC in writing within ten (10) business days of the verbal approval.

This authorization is contingent upon CSX accepting the following instructions:

- 1. CSX's project foreman will furnish written notification five (5) working days prior to the date work will start at the project site to Joseph Reinhardt, ORDC, email Joe.Reinhardt@dot.state.oh.us and to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, at George.martin@puc.state.oh.us. CSX's project foreman will also notify the same of any stops and re-starts of the work activity and of the date work was completed for the project.
- 2. CSX will arrange for utilities to be located at the project site by the Ohio Utilities
 Protection Service (OUPS) prior to any construction activities at the site. Utilities that
 are not participating members of the service must be contacted directly by CSX.
- 3. CSX's project foremen will notify Joe Reinhardt at 614-580-7728 or <u>Joe.Reinhardt@dot.state.oh.us</u> of any changes in the scope of work, cost overruns, material changes, etc. which are not included in the approved plan and estimate and secure approval of same before the work is performed.
- 4. Open cut of roadways is not permitted except in unusual circumstances and must be coordinated with the local highway authority and preapproved by ORDC.
- 5. CSX will furnish two (2) copies of each partial bill to ORDC. Please find the enclosed ODOT Purchase Order to reference when billing.



www.rail.ohio.gov phone: 614.644.0306

IMPROVING RAIL TODAY FOR TOMORROW'S ECONOMY

- 6. CSX will furnish two (2) copies of the final all-inclusive bill to ORDC stating the exact dates of starting and completing work, the initial and final dates of construction and location where the accounts may be audited.
- 7. This installation will include any ancillary work to make the warning devices function as designed and meet MUTCD.

Thank you for your assistance with these matters.

Sincerely,

Joseph Reinhardt Project Manager

C: Randall Schumacher, Rail Division Supervisor, PUCO

George Martin, Grade Crossing Planner, PUCO

Susan Arduini, ORDC

ORDC (file)

OHIO RAIL DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

Diagnostic Review Team Survey 8/26/2013 Date: Reason for Survey: Galion Corridor (e.g. formula, accident, constituent, etc.) Location Data Street or Road Name: Marion Williamsport Rd Route/Road Number US DOT No.: TR 162 262063C (i.e. Twp., Co., SR or US) City; (in or Near) Township: County: MAR Claridon Marion Railroad Railroad Branch/Line CSX Transportation Great Lakes Indianapolis Line Name Division: Name: Nearest RR RR Milepost: 95.65 Marion Timetable Station: On-Site Review Team ation – Phone Number – Email) **Existing Traffic Control Devices** Type of Warning Devices Installed? Quantity/Comments Advance Warning Signs (condition?) X Yes □ No 'Stop' Signs Yes No IX 'Stop Ahead' Signs Yes **⋈** No Pavement Markings (condition?) KI No Yes Crossbucks X Yes No Number of Tracks Signs ANO Yes inventory Tags Yes Yes ☐ No Interconnected Highway Traffic Signal Yes D/No Mast-Mounted Flashing Lights Yes M No Cantilever Flashing Lights Length: Yes K No Number: Side Lights No No ີ Yes Automatic Gates Yes Length: Number: Bells K No Number: Sidewalk Gate Arms Z No ☐ Yes 'No Turn' Signs Yes K No Illumination Yes Yes ONE Is crossing flagged by train crew? ☐ Yes 1 No Other Yes No

Safety Data (Obtain cr	ash repor	rts, if possible, prior to review)			
	fnit	Revised			
Number & dates of crashes in previous 5 years	None				
Hazard Ranking	1726 Date Run: 7/30/2013				
Railroad Data					
Railroad Characteristics		Initial Information (from database)	Revised		
Total trains per day		19			
< I per day					
Day thru trains		9			
Night thru trains		10			
Daytime switching movements			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Nighttime switching movements					
Total number of tracks		l .	<u> </u>		
Number of main tracks		1	·		
Number of other tracks		0			
Maximum train speed		60			
Typical train speed Amtrak		55			
		<u> </u>			
If non-gated crossing, is clearin	g sight distan	ce adequate in all quadrants? (See Table 1)	Yes No		
• •		ossing at the same time? Tyes ANo			
Can one train block the motorists' view of another train at crossing?					
•		 	low) Divo		
Can one or more tracks be eli		 	iow) [] NO		
Can one or more tracks be eli Are there other track(s) cross	minated thro	 			
Can one or more tracks be eli Are there other track(s) cross If yes, Crossing DOT #(if d	minated thro ing this same ifferent)	roadway within 100 ft of this crossing?	res ⊠.No		
Can one or more tracks be eli Are there other track(s) cross If yes, Crossing DOT #(if d If yes, distance	minated thro ing this same ifferent)	ugh the crossing? Yes ZNo	res ⊠.No		
Can one or more tracks be elicated there other track(s) cross if yes, Crossing DOT #(if diff yes, distance	minated thro ing this same ifferent)	ugh the crossing? Yes No roadway within 100 ft of this crossing?	res ⊠.No		
Can one or more tracks be eli Are there other track(s) cross If yes, Crossing DOT #(if d If yes, distance Roadway Data Local Highway Authority:	minated thro ing this same ifferent) (take me	ugh the crossing? Yes No roadway within 100 ft of this crossing? asurement between track centerlines at close Claridon Twp	res 🔼 No st point along roadway)		
Can one or more tracks be elicated there other track(s) cross if yes, Crossing DOT #(if diff yes, distance	minated thro ing this same ifferent) (take me	ugh the crossing? Yes No roadway within 100 ft of this crossing?	res ⊠.No		
Can one or more tracks be eli Are there other track(s) cross If yes, Crossing DOT #(if d If yes, distance Roadway Data Local Highway Authority:	minated thro ing this same ifferent) (take me	ugh the crossing? Yes No roadway within 100 ft of this crossing? asurement between track centerlines at close Claridon Twp	res 🔼 No st point along roadway)		
Can one or more tracks be elicated there other track(s) cross if yes, Crossing DOT #(if dif yes, distance	minated thro ing this same ifferent) (take meaning stics	roadway within 100 ft of this crossing? asurement between track centerlines at close Claridon Twp Initial Information (from database) 44 (2011)	res 🔼 No st point along roadway)		
Can one or more tracks be eli Are there other track(s) cross if yes, Crossing DOT #(if d if yes, distance Roadway Data Local Highway Authority: Roadway Characteri Average daily traffic	minated thro ing this same ifferent) (take meaning stics	roadway within 100 ft of this crossing? asurement between track centerlines at close Claridon Twp Initial Information (from database) 44 (2011)	res No st point along roadway) Revised		
Can one or more tracks be elicated there other track(s) cross if yes, Crossing DOT #(if dif yes, distance	minated thro ing this same ifferent) (take meaning stics	roadway within 100 ft of this crossing? asurement between track centerlines at close Claridon Twp Initial Information (from database) 44 (2011)	res No st point along roadway) Revised		
Can one or more tracks be elicated there other track(s) cross if yes, Crossing DOT #(if diff yes, distance Roadway Data Local Highway Authority: Roadway Characteric Average daily traffic Highway paved Roadway Surface: Blackton	minated thro ing this same ifferent) (take meaning stics	roadway within 100 ft of this crossing? asurement between track centerlines at close Claridon Twp Initial Information (from database) 44 (2011)	res No st point along roadway) Revised		
Can one or more tracks be elicated there other track(s) cross if yes, Crossing DOT #(if dif yes, distance Roadway Data Local Highway Authority: Roadway Characteric Average daily traffic Highway paved Roadway Surface: Blackton Roadway width: G. ft.	minated thro ing this same ifferent) (take meaning stics	roadway within 100 ft of this crossing? asurement between track centerlines at close Claridon Twp Initial Information (from database) 44 (2011) Yes No Concrete Other	res No st point along roadway) Revised		
Can one or more tracks be elicated there other track(s) cross if yes, Crossing DOT #(if different filter) if yes, distance Roadway Data Local Highway Authority: Roadway Characteric Average daily traffic Highway paved Roadway Surface: Blackton Roadway width: Blackton Roadway width: Blackton Roadway width: Blackton	minated thro ing this same ifferent) (take meaning stics	roadway within 100 ft of this crossing? asurement between track centerlines at close. Claridon Twp Initial Information (from database) 44 (2011) Yes No Concrete Other	res No st point along roadway) Revised		
Can one or more tracks be elicated there other track(s) cross if yes, Crossing DOT #(if dif yes, distance Roadway Data Local Highway Authority: Roadway Characteric Average daily traffic Highway paved Roadway Surface: Blackton Roadway width: Gft. Number of highway lanes Urban or Rural	minated thro ing this same ifferent)(take mea	roadway within 100 ft of this crossing? asurement between track centerlines at close. Claridon Twp Initial Information (from database) 44 (2011) Yes No Concrete Other	res No st point along roadway) Revised		
Can one or more tracks be elicated there other track(s) cross if yes, Crossing DOT #(if dif yes, distance) Roadway Data Local Highway Authority: Roadway Characteric Average daily traffic Highway paved Roadway Surface: Blackton Roadway width: Blackton Roadway of highway lanes Urban or Rural Vehicle Speed: S MPH	minated thro ing this same ifferent)(take me	roadway within 100 ft of this crossing? asurement between track centerlines at close. Claridon Twp Initial Information (from database) 44 (2011) Yes No Concrete Other Rural	res No st point along roadway) Revised		
Can one or more tracks be elicated there other track(s) cross if yes, Crossing DOT #(if dif yes, distance Roadway Data Local Highway Authority: Roadway Characteric Average daily traffic Highway paved Roadway Surface: Blackton Roadway width: Gft. Number of highway lanes Urban or Rural Vehicle Speed: MPH School Bus Operation: Note that I was a second to the second tracks: Note that I was a second to the second tracks of the second tracks o	minated thro	roadway within 100 ft of this crossing? asurement between track centerlines at close. Claridon Twp Initial Information (from database) 44 (2011) Yes No Concrete Other Rural	res No st point along roadway) Revised		
Can one or more tracks be elicated there other track(s) cross if yes, Crossing DOT #(if dif yes, distance Roadway Data Local Highway Authority: Roadway Characteric Roadway Characteric Roadway Surface: Blackton Roadway Surface: Blackton Roadway width:	minated thro	roadway within 100 ft of this crossing? asurement between track centerlines at close. Claridon Twp Initial Information (from database) 44 (2011) Yes No Concrete Other Rural	res No st point along roadway) Revised		
Can one or more tracks be elicated there other track(s) cross if yes, Crossing DOT #(if dif yes, distance) Roadway Datia Local Highway Authority: Roadway Characteric Average daily traffic Highway paved Roadway Surface: Blacktor Roadway width: B ft: Number of highway lanes Urban or Rural Vehicle Speed: MPH School Bus Operation: No Hazardous Materials Trucks: Shoulders: Mo	minated thro	roadway within 100 ft of this crossing? asurement between track centerlines at close. Claridon Twp Initial Information (from database) 44 (2011) Yes No Concrete Other Rural 4 Amount Yes Amount Yes	res No st point along roadway) Revised		

Quadrant Curb and Gutter:	Quadrant Curb and Gutter:				
Functional (Curb height = 4" or more)	Functional (Curb height = 4" or more)				
Non-functional (Curb height = Less than 4")	Non-functional (Curb height = Less than 4")				
S Norie	[Z] None				
Pedestrians: No Yes					
Is sidewalk present? No Yes					
Is there a nearby intersection that could cause queuing over the could research if yes,	rossing? No Yes				
Distance					
Is this intersection signalized? No Yes	·				
Are the signals currently interconnected with the existing crossi is there a 'Do not Stop on Track' sign! X No Yes	ing warning devices? Z No Yes				
Is a roadway improvement project (e.g. widening, turn lanes, nearly location in the foreseeable future? No Yes if yes,	by new or upgraded traffic signal, sidewalk) planned at or near this				
Improvement type Lead Agency	Timeline/completion				
is it the consensus of the Diagnostic Review Team that this is a po					
Evoluin reasons	•				
Road soes to two	o homes.				
Type of Development					
Open Space Institutional Location of nearb					
Industrial Commercial					
Residential					
Utility Information					
Is commercial power available? Also Yes					
Utility Provider (Company Name)	Phone Number				
Nearest Available Power Source	[] Walantana				
What other utilities are present? Gas Cable (add locations to sketch) Petroleum Water Other	Telephone Fiber Optic Cable Sanitary Sewer				
Is(are) there potential utility conflict(s) Yes No	☑ Unknown				
Comments:					
	•				
<u>{</u> .					

Potential Red Flags / Project Challenges	
Traffic Signal Preemption (include traffic signal intersection name and LHA with jurisd	liction over traffic signal, if known):
Crossing Consolidation or Closure:	
Close Crossing & Build Roodway No	orth at Track to SR98
Real Estate or ROW:	
Culverts / Drainage / Ballast Conditions:	
Roadway and/or Sidewalks:	
Circuitry (e.g. reaches out to other crossings, specific needs, etc.):	
	· ·
Environmental:	
Other:	
	•
• • •	Jan Mr.
	J. Ja.

Diagnostic Team Recommendations	
	Quadrants Needed
☐ Install/upgrade active devices	
Automatic Flashing Lights (AFLS)	
AFLS /Cants	
AFLS / Gates	
AFLS / Gates / Cants	
Bells / number	
Upgrade circuitry / type	
Sidelights	
Guardrail Needed	
☐ Install/Replace curb	
Bungalow placement & offset from rail & highway	
Other (define)	
If Closure closest succ	eed, Sate the crossing.
Install/upgrade traffic signal preemption	
☐ No improvements needed	
Other (define)	CLOSURE
Acknowledgement of Recommendations (each entity represented acknowledgement):	at the diagnostic must have at least one signature

Field Dimensions Show North Sidewalk Direction Parkway Roadway Roadway Parkway Sidewalk] 30-59° 🔀 60-90° Crossing Angle 0-29° Measured in Quadrant? Measurements by

PEELD

N

FIELD

N

ARTION WELLIAMS FORT RD

P2

TP3

FIELD