BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of Pa Alieu :
Njie, Notice of Apparent :

Violation and Intent to : Case No. 15-651-TR-CVF

Assess Forfeiture.

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Ms. Megan Addison and Mr. Scott E. Farkas,
Attorney Examiners, at the Public Utilities
Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C,
Columbus, Ohio, called at 10 a.m. on Tuesday, June 9,
2015.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

- - -

```
2
 1
      APPEARANCES:
 2
             Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General
             By Mr. William L. Wright, Section Chief
 3
             Public Utilities Section
             Ms. Natalia Messenger
 4
             and Mr. Steven Beeler,
             Assistant Attorneys General
             180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
 5
             Columbus, Ohio 43215
 6
                   On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.
 7
             Mr. Pa Alieu Njie
 8
                  On his own behalf.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

i					
					3
1		INDEX			
2					
3	Wit:	ness		Page	
4	Willie E. Richardson Direct Examination by Ms. Messenger Cross-Examination by Mr. Njie Direct Examination (Rebuttal) by Ms. Messenger			_	
5				5 18	
6				er 38	
7	Jonathan Frye Direct Examination by Ms. Messenger		21		
8	Pa Alieu Njie				
9	Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Ms. Messenger		24 32		
10			_	32	
11	Sta	ff Exhibit Id	dentified Ad	Amitted	
12		Ohio Driver/Vehicle Examination	zenerried ne	anii ccca	
	1	Report	8	24	
13 14	2	Notice of Preliminary Determination	22	24	
15	3	Illinois Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	41	44	
16					
17			dentified Ad	lmitted	
18	1	Illinois Police Written Warning	29	37	
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1 Tuesday Morning Session, 2 June 9, 2015. 3 4 EXAMINER ADDISON: Let's go ahead and go 5 on the record. Good morning. The Public Utilities 6 7 Commission of Ohio calls for hearing at this time and 8 place Case No. 15-651-TR-CVF being in the Matter of 9 Pa Alieu Njie, Notice of Apparent Violation and 10 Intent to Assess Forfeiture. 11 My name is Megan Addison and with me is 12 Scott Farkas and we are the attorney examiners 13 assigned by the Commission to hear this case. At 14 this time I would like to take appearances of the 15 parties. On behalf of the staff. 16 MS. MESSENGER: Thank you, your Honor. 17 On behalf of the staff of the Public Utilities 18 Commission of Ohio, Ohio Attorney General Mike 19 DeWine, by Natalia Messenger and Steven Beeler, 180 2.0 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.

Mr. Njie, if you would just like to state
your name for the record.

MR. NJIE: Yeah. My name is Pa Alieu
Njie.

2.1

5 1 EXAMINER ADDISON: Can you pronounce that 2 one more time? 3 MR. NJIE: Njie, the N is silent. 4 EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you. 5 Ms. Messenger, are you ready to proceed? MS. MESSENGER: Sure. I would like to 6 7 call Inspector Richardson to the stand, please. 8 9 WILLIE E. RICHARDSON 10 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 11 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 By Ms. Messenger: 14 Q. Can you please state your name. Α. Willie E. Richardson. 15 16 What is your business address? Q. 17 Α. 3201 North Main Street. Q. Who is your employer? 18 The Ohio State Highway Patrol. 19 Α. 2.0 What is your position with the Ohio --Q. 2.1 with the state highway patrol? 22 Α. I am a commercial motor vehicle 23 inspector/state trooper. 24 And what are your duties? Ο. 25 Α. My duty is to enforce the federal rules

- and regulations as with the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, also traffic and safety violations.
- Q. Oh, going back to your business address, can you tell me the -- can you specify the city?
 - A. Yeah. It's Findlay, Ohio.
- Q. And how long have you been with the highway patrol?
 - A. 25 years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- Q. As an inspector?
- A. I have been an inspector for 13 years.
- Q. Okay. What did you did before you became an inspector?
 - A. I was a regular road trooper.
 - Q. Okay. Do you have any certifications or training?
 - A. I am currently certified by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration to conduct level I -- North American Standards Inspection Level I through Level V.
 - Q. Were you -- what is your jurisdiction?
- A. My -- I am assigned to the Findlay

 District Headquarters which is in northwest, Ohio,

 and we are responsible for 12 counties.
 - Q. And the state highway patrol, what is the

jurisdiction of the patrol in general?

- A. To enforce the federal rules and regulations of both trucking on behalf of the PUCO and Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration; and also, again, with the dual duties I am also enforcing traffic rules and laws and crash investigations.
 - O. And that's in the state of Ohio --
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

2.0

2.1

- Q. -- correct? Were you on duty on January 13, 2015?
- 11 A. I was.
- 12 Q. What was your jurisdiction that day?
- 13 A. I was assigned to Wood County to do
 14 inspections. Interstate 75 is a high crash road, and
 15 so we have specific areas we have to patrol.
- Q. Were you in uniform that day?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And you were in a marked vehicle?
- 19 A. I was.
 - Q. Did you inspect a vehicle that Mr. Njie was driving?
- 22 A. I did.
- Q. Did you generate a report during that inspection?
- 25 A. I did.

1 And today do you have an independent Ο. 2 recollection of that inspection? 3 Α. T do. 4 Can you specify any reason why you 5 specifically recall this investigation? I was sitting facing the southbound 6 7 traffic on Interstate 75 near where Interstate 75 and 8 Interstate 80, or the Ohio Turnpike, come together. 9 That's the area that we were at. I was facing 10 southbound traffic perpendicular to the roadway watching traffic coming southbound. 11 12 Q. Okay. 13 MS. MESSENGER: Your Honor, may I 14 approach? 15 EXAMINER ADDISON: Yes, you may. 16 MS. MESSENGER: I would like to mark this 17 document as Exhibit 1. It's the Driver/Vehicle 18 Examination Report. 19 EXAMINER ADDISON: So marked. 2.0 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 2.1 0. Inspector Richardson, do you recognize 22 this document? 23 This is similar to the inspection that I Α. 24 generated. It's a little different because of the --25 the heading, but it is -- has the same information.

- Q. Okay. Was this report prepared by you?
- A. Yes.

2.0

2.1

- Q. How did you prepare this report?
- A. Initiated the traffic stop and entered the company's DOT number in the computer in the Aspen program which generates a new inspection --
 - Q. Okay.
- A. -- and subsequently started the inspection of -- of Mr. Njie's truck and trailer and the driver, and this time I only did a driver-only inspection. I did not inspect the truck other than doing an overall once over as I am walking up to see if I see any obvious violations, and I did not see any obvious violations which then my attempt when I stopped him when I viewed the obvious violation was the reason why I pulled him over.

But if there was any other things that were obvious violations with the vehicle, then I would have bumped it up to a level II violation -- level II inspection instead of a level III. As such, I didn't view any obvious violations, so I went ahead with the level III only.

- Q. Okay. Is it in the practice of the highway patrol to make this report?
 - A. It's mandatory.

- Q. And was this report prepared in the ordinary course of your duties?
 - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. It was prepared at the time of the inspection?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- Q. And is this an accurate representation of the report that you created at the time of Mr. Njie's inspection?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Where do you send this report after the traffic stop?
 - A. It is uploaded to the PUCO which then is processed. And after that, I really -- there is several, compliance review board and issues like that. I am not sure once it gets past that point where it goes.
 - Q. Okay. Can you take a look at the report number at the top.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Can you tell me what this number represents?
- A. The report number represents -- obviously
 the first two characters, OH, represents Ohio report,
 Ohio-generated report. The next four letters are --

- is actually my unit number or some people it is known as badge number. And then the last four is the actual number of inspections I have completed up to this point.
- Q. Okay. What time did the inspection of Mr. Njie take place?
- A. I started -- I viewed the violation at 12:01. And that's when I first viewed the violation and completed it, you know, a half hour later.
- Q. Okay. Who is the driver identified on this report?
 - A. Pa A. Njie or Njie.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- Q. How did you identify the driver?
- A. Once I made contact with him I asked the driver for his driver's license, registration, logbook, and bill of lading. And the information on the driver's license matched the individual that I was speaking to.
- Q. Okay. What is the license number on the report?
- A. It's Tom Young 779923.
- Q. Okay. Inspector Richardson, why did you pull this vehicle over?
- A. Checking traffic and, again, I was facing southbound, southbound lanes, and, you know, I was

just looking at traffic as it goes by. And when I noticed the white -- the Swift truck go by and I saw the driver with his left hand up to his ear with a cell phone with the white cord leading -- you know, attached to the phone. And that's why it brought my attention, and so I immediately pulled out on him to stop him. And basically I viewed him appear to be talking on his cell phone.

- Q. Can you look at the violation section of the report?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

2.0

2.1

- Q. What information goes into the violation description?
- A. If -- the violation code and -- or section, then we put down what violation we -- what violation we feel is best appropriate for what we saw. And in this one it was 392.82A, A1.
- Q. What does 392.82A1, what does that section provide?
- A. That provides to describe the nature of the violation that was observed by the inspector, by myself.
 - Q. And what was that violation?
- A. And that was -- the standard heading was "using a hand-held mobile telephone while operating

- a" -- "a CMV," or commercial motor vehicle. In addition to, I then after that would indicate, you know, what I observed. And I observed a mobile telephone in the left hand against his left ear with a white charge cord attached.
- Q. Okay. In your experience with the highway patrol, can you explain why this regulation is important?
- A. For crash reasons, driver inattention is one of the biggest crash causing violations. A lot of it is now with the -- with the invent or the wide use of cell phones and other devices, a driver's inattention is -- is a big factor. That's why when this law was put into effect, it's to curb this type of activity.
- Q. And have you pulled over many vehicles where the driver was on his cell phone and inattentive?
- A. No, because for a while we weren't -- we did not adopt the rule, and subsequently since we have adopted the rule I have only written -- it may have been my third one --
 - Q. Okay.

2.0

2.1

A. -- since the rule has been adopted.

That's why it's significant to me because I have only

written it two or three times so that's why this one stands out to me more than anything else.

- Q. Okay. Where did you pull this vehicle over?
- A. I took the vehicle at the 197. That's where Interstate 80 and Interstate 75 come together. It's mile marker 197. I was in the 197 crossover and that's where I viewed the violation, but I stopped him a few miles later.
- Q. Okay. And just to clarify on the inspection report you listed the milepost as 187, but it was actually 197?
 - A. It was 197, yes.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Okay. Can you describe where you were parked when you observed Mr. Njie on his mobile phone?
- A. Yes. I was facing, again, the southbound lanes or I was facing west and Mr. Njie was southbound on Interstate 75. And what made it really easy for me to see the violation was because the sun -- high noon the sun is directly -- you know, shining directly on top of the truck, and it's basically lighting up the inside of the vehicle and in plain sight, plain view going down the road. I'm trained over the years to watch traffic, so I am very

observant and very -- I can tell generally most of the time a lot of things that a normal person may not be able to observe. In this case it was plain as day that the individual had a cell phone to his ear and with the white cord attached to it when he went by me.

- Q. So it was a clear, sunny day --
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. -- that day?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q. So did you observe him from the front of 12 the vehicle or from the side as he --
 - A. From the side as he passed me.
 - Q. As he drove by you.
- 15 A. Yes.
 - Q. Can you describe what you observed as you approached the vehicle after you pulled him over.
 - A. After I pulled him over, I walked along -- I made a right-side approach as required by the FMCSA, and I approached the driver's passenger door. I knocked on the door and had the individual open the door. I asked him for his license, registration, logbook, bills, and medical card, standard procedure.

And at that time I'm looking for the

evidence -- as a police officer looking for evidence of, No. 1, why I pulled him over. So I'm looking for -- the first thing I am looking for is the phone and which, you know, I saw him -- I saw him holding in his hand. And when I observed that, then I confirmed what I saw when he was driving down the road that the same phone was in -- that was in his hand with the white cord attached to it was the one that was sitting in the ashtray or the center console or the cup holder with it still with the charger attached to it. I didn't -- I made no mention of it at the time until I told him why I pulled him over.

- Q. So the same white cord that you saw as he was driving by attached to the phone was the cord that you saw attached --
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. -- in that vehicle? And the cord was plugged into the phone still?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Can you read the inspection reports -- or the inspection notes on the report, please?
- A. Yes, ma'am. I stated -- if I may clarify?
- Q. Uh-huh.

2.0

2.1

25 A. During my inspection we go back through

and do what's called a query central to look for any previous inspections and always the driver when is the last time he has been inspected because that way I know there may be another report that's recent, within the last year. And when I pulled up the query central, I realized and brought to my attention that he was cited in Illinois for using a cell phone while operating a commercial vehicle back in September -- excuse me, back October 23, 2014, in the state of Illinois.

- Q. After the inspection, you completed the report, did you sign the report?
 - A. I did.
 - Q. Did the driver sign the report?
- 15 A. He -- I am not sure if he did or did not.
- There's been an argument but he received -- he received the violation and I believe he did.
- Q. Okay. And he received -- Mr. Njie received a copy of the report?
- 20 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. And did you submit that report to the Commission?
- 23 A. I did.
- Q. Is there nothing else you feel is important for the Commission to know about this

inspection?

2.0

2.1

A. Not this inspection but going back to the reason why the -- this violation is important, in my time as an inspector I have handled a couple of crashes where drivers admitted talking on the cell phone and during the crash so for this -- this is pretty significant because it is important. Driver inattention and cell phone use, you know, that's why -- I think that's why this violation is very significant.

MS. MESSENGER: Thank you. We have nothing further for this witness.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.

Mr. Njie, do you have any questions for Mr. Richardson right now?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Njie:

- Q. Yeah. You said when you came in my truck, I was holding the phone in my hand?
 - A. No, sir, no.
- Q. First, the charger wasn't long enough to reach from down here to my left ear, and while the officer was standing, I have this white watch still, I was fixing my bluetooth. I have three bluetooths

that I use. When one dies, I use the other one so there is no way it reached from down here to --

MS. MESSENGER: Objection, your Honor.

Mr. Njie will get a chance to testify later. I am

just objecting to the statement at this time.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Sustained. I will ask you just to ask questions as to what Mr. Richardson said right now. We will give you a chance to give your perspective later.

MR. NJIE: Okay. That was the only question I had, that when he came in the truck, the phone was in my hand or not.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Okay.

THE WITNESS: May I answer?

MS. MESSENGER: You may answer his

16 question.

2.0

2.1

THE WITNESS: Sir, when you opened the door, the first thing I looked at was for the phone that you had in your hand at the time you were driving by me. And it was in the cup holder at the time on the center console. That's why I made the mental note of the white cord was still attached to it. It was not in your hand when you opened the door, sir. It was in the center console in the cup holder. That's where I observed the white cord.

```
1
                  What I am saying it wasn't long enough to
             Q.
      reach from there to my left hand up there.
 2
 3
                  MS. MESSENGER: Objection, your Honor.
 4
      He is testifying again.
 5
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: Again sustained.
      will go ahead and note your answer, Mr. Richardson.
 6
 7
      Thank you for answering that.
 8
                  Mr. Njie, I will just say again you can
 9
      give your perspective certainly when you are
10
      testifying on the Bench.
11
                  MR. NJIE: Okay. Sorry about that.
12
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: Don't be sorry.
13
                  MS. MESSENGER: Your Honor, I would also
      like to reserve Officer Richardson for rebuttal
14
      testimony --
15
16
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: Okay.
17
                  MS. MESSENGER: -- if needed.
18
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: All right. Is there
19
      anything further?
2.0
                  MR. NJIE:
                             No.
2.1
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: Mr. Richardson, you
22
     may take your seat.
23
                  THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.
24
                  MS. MESSENGER: Staff would like to call
25
      Jonathan Frye to the stand.
```

	21		
1	JONATHAN FRYE		
2	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was		
3	examined and testified as follows:		
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
5	By Ms. Messenger:		
6	Q. Can you please state your name.		
7	A. Jonathan Frye, last name F-R-Y-E.		
8	Q. And your business address, please?		
9	A. 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio.		
10	Q. And who is your employer?		
11	A. Public Utilities Commission of Ohio		
12	Transportation Department.		
13	Q. And what is your position with the Public		
14	Utilities Commission?		
15	A. I'm the chief of the Compliance Division.		
16	Q. How long have you been in that position?		
17	A. Approximately 10 years.		
18	Q. And what are your duties?		
19	A. To review the assessments that are		
20	assessed against carriers, shippers, and drivers		
21	related to violations.		
22	Q. Are you familiar with the facts of this		
23	case?		
24	A. Yes.		
25	MS. MESSENGER: Your Honor, may I		

approach?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

2 EXAMINER ADDISON: Yes, you may.

MS. MESSENGER: I would like to mark the following document as Staff Exhibit 2. It is the Notice of Preliminary Determination.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. Mr. Frye, do you recognize this document?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What is it?
- A. It's the Notice of Preliminary

 Determination letter. It's a letter that's sent

 to -- it's the letter that was sent to the driver at

 the conclusion of a telephone conference to notify

 the driver that he now has the -- the authority to

 either pay the fine or to make a request for an

 administrative hearing to pursue the case further.
- Q. What is the amount of the forfeiture shown on this notice?
 - A. \$250.
- Q. Can you explain how -- can you explain how this amount is calculated?
- A. Yes. We -- the division has a fine chart that's broken up into four groups, group 1, 2, 3, and 4. And based upon the severity of the violation and

based upon the Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance recommended fine schedule, the fine is -- is based upon that particular grouping.

- Q. Is this amount \$250 consistent with the guidelines issued by the Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Do you recommend that the Commission order respondent to pay this amount as a forfeiture?
- A. Yes.
- MS. MESSENGER: Nothing further, your
 Honor.
- EXAMINER ADDISON: Mr. Njie, do you have any questions?
- MR. NJIE: No.
- 16 EXAMINER ADDISON: You may go ahead and step down, Mr. Frye. Thank you.
- MS. MESSENGER: Your Honor, at this time

 I would move to admit Exhibit -- Staff Exhibits 1 and

 20 2 into the record.
- EXAMINER ADDISON: Do you have any objections?
- MR. NJIE: No.
- EXAMINER ADDISON: Okay. Please note that Complainant has said no objections to the

```
24
      admitting. Those exhibits are admitted into the
 1
 2
      record.
 3
                  (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
 4
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: All right. Mr. Njie,
 5
      I presume you would like to testify at this time?
                  MR. NJIE: Yes.
 6
 7
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: I would ask you to
 8
      just come up here and I'll swear you in.
 9
10
                          PA ALIEU NJIE
      being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
11
12
      examined and testified as follows:
13
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
14
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: I ask that you just
15
      speak up so the court reporter can hear you.
16
                  THE WITNESS: Okay.
17
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: Would you please state
18
      your name for the record.
19
                  THE WITNESS: Pa Alieu Njie.
2.0
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: And what is your
2.1
      address?
22
                  THE WITNESS: It's 6920 Ardelle Drive,
     Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068.
23
24
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you. And are
25
      you the complainant in this case?
```

THE WITNESS: Yes.

2.0

2.1

EXAMINER ADDISON: Okay. Mr. Njie, would you just give us your perspective on the alleged violation.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. On January 13, I was driving on Interstate I-75 South coming back from Michigan. An officer was across the road on my left side, and I was driving coming -- I was fixing my bluetooth. I have this white watch. My phone is connected to my white charger which was laying on the cup holder down.

So the officer pulled me over. When he pulled me over, I thought maybe he pulled me over for speeding, but then I was on cruise control, so I am like that is not speeding. So I pulled over and he came so I opened the passenger side door. So he looked, he saw that my phone is plugged in the charger sitting on the cup holder.

So that's when he asked me, he said do you know why I pulled you over. I said no. He said I pulled you over because you were on your cell phone. I was surprised. I said, no, I was not on my phone. He was like from where I was standing I saw

the white cord connected which he already seen connected. He said I saw the white cord connected, and you were talking on your phone which was not long enough from down here to come to my left hand. So I told him, no, I was not on my phone, so back and forth. Well, I am still going to write you a violation. I say, yeah, I will fight it.

2.0

2.1

Then he told me he had a video of me on the phone. I told him I would like to see the video in court at that date. He said yes which I was not on my phone.

And he brings up the previous one I have in Illinois. That one I have my earpiece. Here is the warning. So I never used that phone with the earpiece. The phone comes with you have to dial to call -- make a call so I pick up my phone to dial. That's when the officer saw me that I was holding the phone to dial to make that phone call. With that one I understand I shouldn't be holding the phone when the officer told me. So I was a new driver back then.

But then he gave me a warning of having both of the earpieces. He said when you are driving, you should have only one so that you could hear what's going on outside, but you cannot have both of

them in. I never hold my phone while I am driving talking on the phone.

2.0

2.1

everything.

MS. MESSENGER: Your Honors, if I could view the document that he is pointing out.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's right here.

It's a warning that I have both the earpieces in my
ear which I was supposed to have only one.

EXAMINER ADDISON: I am going to go ahead and make a copy of that.

THE WITNESS: That's when he told me the court date. I told him I would like to see the video you said you have of me on my phone while I am driving. And while the officer was standing there there is no way even if I have a cell phone he would see me. I have my white watch which he must have seen the white watch, and when he come in the truck, he saw the phone connected to the white charger which was not long enough to reach to my left.

Since after that warning when I have that ticket from Illinois, I bought three bluetooths which was this one and I have the other three in my book bag, so I don't use the earpiece no more. With these ones I don't even need to touch the phone.

Everything you just press here and you answer

2.8

1 EXAMINER ADDISON: Mr. Njie, when you are 2 driving your truck, you always have your bluetooth? 3 THE WITNESS: Always. 4 EXAMINER ADDISON: Just to clarify. 5 THE WITNESS: I have three. I could 6 bring the other two. I always have them all charged. 7 When one dies, I use the other one. 8 EXAMINER ADDISON: And you indicated you 9 bought those after your --10 THE WITNESS: Yes. EXAMINER ADDISON: -- warning in 11 12 Illinois? 13 THE WITNESS: The warning in Illinois, 14 yeah, because I used to use the earpiece that the 15 phone comes with when you buy a phone so that's when 16 he -- when he give me. I said, okay, I am going to 17 buy a bluetooth. With this one you don't even touch 18 the phone. You could answer, ignore, and you could 19 call a name, and it dials just to stay away from the 2.0 phone since I had that warning because I know that's serious. That's my life, you know, so I have to make 2.1 22 sure I take care of it. 23 EXAMINER ADDISON: Did you want to go 24 ahead and mark this as an exhibit so we can have it 25 on the record?

```
1
                  THE WITNESS: Yes.
 2
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: That way the
 3
      Commission can view it. Certainly. I will mark the
 4
      Illinois State Police written warning as Complainant
      Exhibit 1.
 5
                  (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
 6
 7
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Mr. Njie.
 8
                  THE WITNESS: The N is silent, yes.
 9
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: What color is
      your bluetooth? What color is the device?
10
11
                  THE WITNESS: The bluetooth black.
12
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Those are
13
     black and your cell phone?
                  THE WITNESS: My cell phone is black.
14
      This is the white watch that I always have on.
15
16
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: That's a white
17
     watch.
18
                  THE WITNESS: Yeah. This is what I had
19
      on.
2.0
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Okay. And
2.1
     your cell phone you are saying is black?
22
                  THE WITNESS: Black, the cell phone is
     black.
23
24
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Do you have it
25
     with you?
```

```
THE WITNESS: This is my cell phone.
 1
 2
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Okay. And
 3
     what color is the cord that charges --
 4
                  THE WITNESS: It was a white one.
 5
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Okay. And
     both bluetooth devices are black you are saying?
 6
 7
                  THE WITNESS: Yes.
 8
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Do you have
 9
      those with you?
10
                  THE WITNESS: Yeah. I have one here.
      It's in my book bag. Do you want me to get it?
11
12
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: No. Just
13
     asking. Do you have it, the charge cord, with you?
14
                  THE WITNESS: Let me check.
15
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: If you
16
      don't. --
17
                  THE WITNESS: No.
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Okay.
18
19
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: Mr. Njie, you
2.0
      indicated that you doubted whether the charge cord
2.1
     would be able to reach from your left ear to where it
22
     was plugged in. How long would you estimate that
23
     charge cord to be?
24
                  THE WITNESS: Not that long. I don't
25
     know exactly what number to give it, but it's not
```

that long to reach from where I plugged it to charge to my left ear.

EXAMINER ADDISON: And how much of a -how much distance is between where you sit in the
driver's seat and where you would plug your phone in?
Can you reach to it easily or is it -- would you have
to get out of your seat in order to actually plug
something in?

THE WITNESS: No, no, no. I would probably just reach down to plug it in.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Okay.

2.0

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: I have another question for you. This citation is for wearing a headset while driving.

THE WITNESS: That Illinois one?

ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Yeah.

THE WITNESS: Yes. Give me the warning because when you have the earpiece, he said I should have only one on so that the other ear I could hear what's going on outside if ambulance is passing or, then I would be able to hear.

ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Okay. Okay. Thank you.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Ms. Messenger, do you have any additional questions?

MS. MESSENGER: Yes. Can I have just one second?

EXAMINER ADDISON: Yes, of course.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Ms. Messenger:

2.0

2.1

- Q. Mr. Njie, you said at the time Inspector Richardson observed you driving by you were putting the number in on your phone?
- A. This officer, no, no, the Illinois officer.
 - Q. The Illinois officer?
 - A. Yes, because I have the earpieces on.
 With this earpiece you have to pick up the phone and dial the number so that's what I was doing. That's when the officer saw me. He told me in the state of Illinois it's a handsfree. Once you are driving you are supposed to hold both hands on the wheels. You are not supposed to be holding a phone. So I told him I was dialing my dispatcher to tell him I am going to be running late to my next stop so that's when he said I have both earpieces in. He told me when you are driving, you have to have one in/one off so you could hear what's going on outside.
 - Q. So here where Inspector Richardson pulled

- you over, you are saying you were adjusting your bluetooth?
- A. Yeah, I was, my bluetooth.
- Q. When you walked over to your bag, we observed a white cord in there. Is that a different white cord?
- A. No, no. That's the one I use in my car.

 I have two different ones, one I use in my car, one I use in my truck.
 - Q. Okay.
- 11 A. Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Is it the same -- does it charge the same
 phone; you have one charger for your car, one for
 your truck?
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. But it charges the same phone.
- 17 A. Yeah. Same charger that I use, charge
 18 the same phone but it's two different chargers. One
 19 I leave in my car, one I leave in my truck.
- Q. Okay. Are they different lengths, the two chargers?
- A. Yeah.
- Q. The two chargers?
- A. Yeah.
- MS. MESSENGER: Nothing further, your

Honor.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2 EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.

Mr. Njie, is there any particular reason you keep a different charger in your truck as opposed to your personal vehicle?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, because that's the truck I use. I don't want to be taking it out and then maybe I go home, I forgot the charger to bring it back, so I leave one in the truck and leave one in my car. So when I am in my car, I have a charger.

When I am in my truck, I have a charger.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Because if I take one, I take it home, I might forget to bring it back; and when I am driving, I would need my phone.

EXAMINER ADDISON: All right. Mr. Njie,
I believe you can go ahead and take your seat unless,
Ms. Messenger, do you have any remaining questions?

MS. MESSENGER: No, your Honor.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Okay. You are excused, Mr. Njie.

22 THE WITNESS: And I wanted to say one

23 more.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Oh, of course.

THE WITNESS: My phone company is

```
1
      prepaid, Net 10, so when I tell them that I am going
 2
      to need my phone records, they say I would need a
 3
      subpoena from the court for them to be able to send
 4
      it to me. If I could get that, I would like to get
 5
      that.
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: Mr. Njie, why do you
 6
 7
      want the cell phone records?
 8
                  THE WITNESS: Because I know the time the
 9
      officer pulled me over so I wanted to show that
10
      timeframe I was not even on my phone at all. That's
      why I needed the records, to show that I was not even
11
12
      on the phone at all.
13
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: What type
      of --
14
                  THE WITNESS: Net 10, it's called Net 10.
15
16
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: What would you
17
      need to get Net 10 to release your records? What
18
      would you need from the Commission?
19
                  THE WITNESS: I don't know. They just
20
      told me that a subpoena, a paper to show it's for
2.1
      court reason --
22
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Okay.
                  THE WITNESS: -- for them to be able to
23
24
      send it to me.
```

ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Okay. What

```
36
      you are saying if you were requested to get the
 1
 2
      records --
 3
                  THE WITNESS: Records I am going to need.
 4
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: -- you would
 5
     be happy to give those records up.
                  THE WITNESS: Yeah, happy to bring it to
 6
      show more of my -- that I was not even on my phone.
 7
 8
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: And that's
      your position, the records will show you weren't on
 9
10
     your phone at the time of the inspection.
11
                  THE WITNESS: Yeah.
12
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Or preceding
13
     the inspection.
14
                  THE WITNESS: Yeah.
15
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Okay. All
16
      right. Does the staff want the cell phone records?
17
                  MR. BEELER: If the Commission decides to
18
      subpoena the records, I mean --
19
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: But you are
2.0
     not planning on subpoenaing the records.
2.1
                  MS. MESSENGER: No.
22
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: We will advise
23
     you of that if we need them.
24
                  THE WITNESS: Okay.
25
                  ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Okay.
```

37 1 EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you, Mr. Njie. 2 You can go back to your seat. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. 4 EXAMINER ADDISON: Does staff have any 5 objection to admitting Mr. Njie's Exhibit 1, the state police written warning from Illinois? 6 7 MS. MESSENGER: No, your Honor, we don't. 8 EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you. So 9 admitted. 10 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 11 EXAMINER ADDISON: Ms. Messenger, did you 12 indicate you would like to redirect Mr. Richardson? 13 MS. MESSENGER: Yes, your Honor, please. 14 EXAMINER ADDISON: You actually don't have to be sworn in, but I will remind you you are 15 16 still under oath. 17 MR. RICHARDSON: Yes, ma'am. 18 EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you. You may 19 proceed. 2.0 MS. MESSENGER: Your Honor, I would just 2.1 like to clarify we recalled Officer Richardson as a 22 rebuttal witness. 23 24 25

38 1 WILLIE E. RICHARDSON 2 being previously duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified on rebuttal as follows: 3 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 By Ms. Messenger: Did you explain the violation to Mr. Njie 6 7 when you pulled him over? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Did Mr. Njie dispute the violation at 10 that time? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. When he disputed the violation, did he 13 show you his bluetooth in his ear? He showed me -- he showed me a bluetooth 14 Α. in his hand, not in his ear. 15 16 It wasn't in his ear. Ο. 17 Α. Correct. 18 Was Mr. Njie wearing his white watch at Q. that time? 19 2.0 Α. Yes, he was. 2.1 Is it possible for you to have confused 22 the phone with the charge cord for -- or to have confused his bluetooth or watch for the phone and the 23

24

25

charge cord at all?

No, ma'am.

Α.

- Q. Can you describe the length of the cord.
- A. Well, phone chargers come in different lengths from the -- depending on the carrier or the type of manufacturer. I have had cell phone cords of 1 foot long up to 2 foot to 4 foot. The standard is 2 foot at the most. You can get 4-foot long chargers for a car or the actual wall plug. The standard length is 4 feet.
- Q. And can you describe the distance from where it was charging to maybe Mr. Njie's ear, how far of a distance that would be.
- A. With the actual length of a vehicle being only 8-foot wide, that would be 8 foot 6 inches wide, looking inside -- inside the cab of the commercial vehicle generally is anywhere from 6-1/2 to 7 feet in width and the middle of it obviously being 3-1/2 to 3 -- 3-1/2 foot depending on the length inside of the vehicle, how long the cord is, is it possible to be able to have a 4-foot cord and still be able to talk on the phone? Yes, ma'am, in my opinion it can be.
 - Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. Again, the distance is -- could be up to 4 feet.
- Q. Based on what you observed that day, would the charge cord that Mr. Njie had be long

enough to reach his ear?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

18

19

2.0

2.1

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Does it matter if Mr. Njie is actually making a call for purposes of the violation?
 - A. It does not. It does not specify.
- Q. The fact alone he has the phone to his ear is enough to violate?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Okay.

10 ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: I have a

11 question for Officer Richardson. What was the color

12 of the phone you observed Mr. Njie with?

13 THE WITNESS: It was dark.

ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: It was dark.

THE WITNESS: I couldn't tell. That's

what made it easy to see because the white cord

17 coming from it.

ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Right.

- Q. (By Ms. Messenger) Inspector Richardson, do you have the Ohio Inspection Report in front of you?
- A. I do not.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- MS. MESSENGER: Your Honor, may I
- 25 approach?

EXAMINER ADDISON: You may.

- Q. This is Staff Exhibit 1. Can you read the inspection notes one more time, please.
- A. "Driver cited in Illinois for using cell phone while operating a commercial vehicle, Illinois Inspection No. 4928001118, 10-23 of 2014."
- 7 MS. MESSENGER: Okay. Your Honor, may I approach the witness?

EXAMINER ADDISON: You may.

10 MS. MESSENGER: We have the

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

Driver/Vehicle Examination Report from Illinois that
staff would like to mark as Exhibit 3.

13 EXAMINER ADDISON: So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MS. MESSENGER: Your Honor, I am also giving the witness Respondent's Exhibit 1, his warning, his written warning from Illinois.

- Q. Inspector Richardson, can you describe the report, Staff Exhibit 3, that is in front of you.
- A. Yes, ma'am. What I have in front of me -- you said describe it? I'm sorry.
 - O. What is this document?
- A. This is the Driver/Vehicle Examination
 Report that was generated by the Illinois State
 Police on October 23, 2014.

- Q. And is this the report that's referenced in your inspection notes?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

- Q. Can you state the report number, please.
- A. Illinois -- IL for the state that it was -- the report was initiated, 4928 is the badge number or unit number of the inspector, and the last four indicates the number of inspections to that point which the inspector has done and that would be 1,118 inspections.
- Q. Okay. Can you look down in the Violation Sections -- in the Violations Section and the first violation code, can you tell me what that is?
 - A. That's a violation code 392.82A1.
- Q. And that's the same violation that you wrote Mr. Njie up for here?
 - A. That is correct, ma'am.
- Q. And can you read the violation under Violations Discovered for that code, please?
- A. "Using a hand-held mobile telephone while operating a CMV."
 - Q. Okay. Can you describe why that is different from Respondent's Exhibit 1.
- A. It is only a part -- it's different from his report. The officer chose to give him a warning

```
for having headsets on which is also a violation
 1
 2
      listed in the Violation Section. As you would note
 3
     on Exhibit -- Staff Exhibit 3, the citation number is
 4
      the same for that particular violation, 392.2MI or
 5
     M -- yeah, MI, wearing headset receiver while
      driving. That matches the -- Mr. Njie's exhibit for
 6
 7
      that violation and that violation only.
 8
                  Also in addition to, as I am looking at
 9
      the report, it also shows a warning for speeding
10
     which is listed in the same citation number which
     matches the Exhibit No. 1 by Mr. Njie.
11
12
                  MS. MESSENGER: Okay. Nothing further,
13
     your Honor.
14
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: Mr. Njie, do you have
      any other questions for Inspector Richardson?
15
16
                  MR. NJIE: No.
17
                  MS. MESSENGER: Your Honor, at this time
18
      I move to admit Exhibit 3 into evidence.
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: So admitted.
19
2.0
                  I'm sorry, Mr. Njie, do you have any
2.1
     objection to moving Staff Exhibit 3, the
22
      Driver/Vehicle Examination Report?
                  MR. NJIE: From Illinois?
23
24
                  EXAMINER ADDISON: From Illinois.
25
                  MR. NJIE: No.
```

EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you. So admitted.

2.0

2.1

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

EXAMINER ADDISON: Inspector Richardson,

I have just one question. What knowledge do you have

of Illinois's reporting process?

during every inspection during the inspection process we have to check for credentials to make sure they have operating authority, make sure that, you know, they're fit -- make sure there is not an out of service rule and what we do is the fastest realtime area that we check is called query central. That is from the date that we stop -- stop the individual going back exactly one year. So any time they have an inspection in between that time we are going to pull up so when I -- how I came about this was when we run a driver's license number or a registration of a tractor or trailer, if it's been inspected within the last year, that inspection is going to come up on query central.

And that's when I saw that he was inspected in October so when that happens, his name comes up on the screen and his -- this driver has one inspection within -- it shows how many, could have

three or four inspections. So I click on that particular inspection and I open it up and then it shows -- actually shows you what the plaintiff -- what his inspection report is. It would show the exact inspection report that was generated by the officers like -- different from this because this is in-house from PUCO. This is the one that would come in the -- on the computer that I see.

2.0

2.1

And that's when I noted the Illinois and that's why I noted the actual inspection report and all that. You know, that's what we use every single day. It saves -- it also saves a lot of time of typing everything in because if it's already in the system, they have a way of we can download their information already in there and upload it right to our inspection report to save us from typing.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you for that.

But in terms of the actual violations cited by

Illinois on October 23, 2014, would your -- would the

process that you utilize vary at all from what -
what is your personal knowledge of Illinois's

procedures regarding these?

THE WITNESS: Well, again, ma'am, Federal Motor Carrier Safety officers, we are trained the same way by North American Standards, so we are all

trained the exact same way. However, each officer is different. Each officer observes things differently, but as far as we are trained, the person using a cell phone is a violation of the FMCSA rules and regulations, and so albeit texting while driving or using -- you will have the phone up to your ear, it's pretty universal across Canada, United States, and Mexico. It's standard.

2.0

2.1

So with the officer might observe something different, the -- the fact of the matter is he may not have put it specifically what he observed. I did. So I'm not sure what the observer observed to do that without me calling and finding out but, you know, I just -- again, it's a rare violation. It doesn't happen very much because drivers are pretty aware of that and they know the rules and unfortunately, you know, this time wrong place at the wrong time. I hope -- ma'am, I'm sorry if I am confusing, I didn't answer your question.

EXAMINER ADDISON: Oh, no, you did.

ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Just for clarification, the violations that are cited in Illinois are violations of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations, correct?

THE WITNESS: That is correct.

1 ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Those are the 2 same regulations you have cited in this Mr. Njie for. 3 THE WITNESS: That is correct. 4 ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Okay. So both 5 states are enforcing Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations. 6 7 THE WITNESS: That is correct. 8 ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Okay. And your -- your finding him in violation of the use of a 9 10 cell phone is because he was holding the cell phone 11 next to his ear. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 13 ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Okay. That's 14 considered a violation by --THE WITNESS: Federal Motor Carrier 15 16 Safety Administration. 17 ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: Okay. All 18 right. Thank you. 19 EXAMINER ADDISON: Inspector Richardson, 2.0 you can go ahead and take your seat. Thank you very 2.1 much. 22 Does staff have anything else? 23 MS. MESSENGER: We do not, your Honor. 24 EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you. Mr. Njie, 25 at this time if you want to add any final thoughts

	48
1	that we could have on the record for our
2	consideration, we would greatly appreciate it, if you
3	have anything. You don't have to necessarily say
4	anything.
5	MR. NJIE: I would just like to have that
6	letter to be able to get my court records.
7	ATTORNEY EXAMIENR FARKAS: If the
8	Commission deems it of importance, then we'll provide
9	that to you.
10	MR. NJIE: Okay. Thank you very much.
11	EXAMINER ADDISON: Thank you.
12	Anything further, Ms. Messenger?
13	MS. MESSENGER: Nothing, your Honor.
14	EXAMINER ADDISON: All right. All right.
15	If we don't have anything further to address, we'll
16	go ahead and close the record and adjourn for today.
17	Thank you all for your time.
18	(Thereupon, the hearing was concluded at
19	11:03 a.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, June 9, 2015, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter.

(KSG-6053)

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/16/2015 1:07:23 PM

in

Case No(s). 15-0651-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript in the matter of Pa Alieu Njie hearing held on 06/09/15 electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.