BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

\_ \_ \_

In the Matter of the
Complaint of Larry
Peterson,

:

Complainant, : Case No. 14-0744-EL-CSS

:

VS.

:

Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., :

:

Respondent. :

- - -

#### PROCEEDINGS

before Jim M. Lynn, Attorney Examiner, held at the offices of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, on Thursday, May 21, 2015 at 10:07 a.m.

\_ \_ \_

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

\_ \_ \_

```
2
 1
      APPEARANCES:
 2
             Larry W. Peterson
             3944 Roosevelt Boulevard
 3
             Middletown, Ohio 45044
 4
                  Pro se.
 5
             Eberly, McMahon, Copetas, LLC
             By Robert A. McMahon
 6
             2321 Kemper Lane, Suite 100
             Cincinnati, Ohio 45206
 7
                  On behalf of the Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
 8
 9
      ALSO PRESENT:
10
             Melissa Coffman.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

					3
1		INDEX			
2					
3	Witne	SS	Page		
4	Larry W. Peterson Direct Testimony 5 Cross-Examination by Mr. McMahon 22				
5					
6	Melissa Coffman				
7	Direct Examination by Mr. McMahon Cross-Examination by Mr. Peterson		29 35		
8	Direct Testimony 36				
9					
10	Duke	Energy Exhibit Iden	ntified Ad	mitted	
11	А	Direct Testimony of	24	33	
12		Melissa Coffman			
13	MC-1	Various Billings of Duke Energy to Larry Peterson	31	33	
14	MC 2	-	32	33	
15	MC-2	Various Billings of Duke Energy to Larry Peterson (Garage)	32	33	
16	MC-1a	Duke Energy bill dated 1-9-13	27	2.2	
17				33	
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1 Thursday Morning Session, 2 May 21, 2015. 3 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's go on the 5 record at this time. Public Utilities Commission of 6 Ohio has assigned for hearing at this time and place 7 Case No. 14-744-EL-CSS, In the Matter of Larry 8 Peterson versus Duke Energy Ohio. I'm Jim Lynn, the 9 Attorney-Examiner assigned to hear this case. 10 At this time, we'll have the appearances 11 of the parties. We'll begin with Mr. Peterson. 12 Mr. Peterson, if you'd state your name and address 13 for the court reporter. 14 MR. PETERSON: Larry William Peterson, 3944 Roosevelt Boulevard, Middletown, Ohio, 45044. 15 16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. For Duke 17 Energy Ohio? 18 MR. MC MAHON: Good morning, your Honor. 19 Robert McMahon on behalf of the Company, and along 20 with me is Melissa Coffman for Duke Energy. 2.1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. 22 Mr. Peterson, we'll go with Mr. Peterson first. 23 Mr. Peterson, if you'd like to come up to the witness 24 stand, please, we'll swear you in and bring whatever 25 evidence you might want to introduce into the hearing

along with you.

2.0

2.1

MR. PETERSON: I don't know if I should say this, but I got a statement from them stating that they did get overpaid by me.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, let's do this, let's swear you in first, and then we'll go from there, okay? Would you raise your right hand, please.

# LARRY W. PETERSON

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT TESTIMONY

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Now, I'm sure you have some comments you want to make, that's why you're here today, and what were you starting to say before I swore you in?

THE WITNESS: I received I think it was Tuesday statements from a lawyer in Cincinnati directed by Duke saying that my bills were paid.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Well, that's okay. Why don't we do this: Let's go ahead with whatever statements you have to make, okay. Indicate like what brought you here today, what the nature of the Complaint is, why you feel the hearing was

necessary.

2.0

2.1

And then if you have any documents of any sort to introduce into evidence to support your case, you can introduce those. And Duke will have the opportunity to object or not, okay?

So, first, let's take a look at the nature of the Complaint, what brought you here today, you know, why you felt that you needed a hearing, that kind of thing.

THE WITNESS: I believe I overpaid to Duke Electric.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.

I can't essentially say what my bills are. I know what they are, and that's where the Complaint comes.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So you're saying you believe you overpaid on your -- These are electric bills then?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I tried to explain to Duke I don't have all the paperwork yet for said -- to prove what I'm saying.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. During -
THE WITNESS: I have reasonable doubt

just the price was three times what it should have
been.

```
ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. What period of
 1
 2
      time are you talking about, Mr. Peterson?
 3
                  THE WITNESS: December of 2013 to
 4
      April 2015.
 5
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. So you're
      saying that you feel your bills were higher than
 6
 7
      normal, if I understand you correctly?
 8
                  THE WITNESS: Yes.
 9
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And are you thinking
10
     that -- What are your thoughts on that on why that
     might be? Are you saying that Duke miscalculated or
11
12
     what are you thinking?
13
                   If you believe your bills are higher
14
      than normal, why did you feel a hearing was
15
     necessary?
16
                   Do you feel that Duke is at fault, that
17
     they made some mistake? What do you think?
18
                  THE WITNESS: I don't know who's at
19
             I just rationalize what the meters read and
2.0
     what I had to pay. I had to pay 350 to $375 a month
2.1
      utilities when it should only be 80 to 110 or -20.
22
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: When you say what the
     meter read and what you had to pay, do you have some
23
24
     knowledge of how to read a meter or do you call --
25
                  THE WITNESS: That was their readings,
```

1 | not mine.

2

3

4

5

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It was their readings, okay. Now, you're comparing the dollar amount you said was like over \$300 to what was the lower amount again?

THE WITNESS: Say 90 to 125 a month utilities. It's a small, two-bedroom apartment.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And do you have -- Is it electric heat in that apartment?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it's central heat and air conditioning.

12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: But it's electric
13 heat then?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So you believe that the amount that Duke billed you was incorrect, and the reason you're saying that, again, is mostly that, if I'm understanding you correctly, you're comparing it to the amount that you had to pay was it for those months in prior years or something?

THE WITNESS: Basically. I believe I have some of my worksheet that I could present to you on a representation of what homes pay.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, do you have that available that you can introduce into evidence?

```
THE WITNESS: I believe I brought it with
 1
 2
     me. I'm sorry, can I get up?
 3
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You can get up.
 4
                  THE WITNESS: Is there any way I can
 5
      introduce testimony later on?
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, not really
 6
 7
      because the other party would have no opportunity to
 8
     object, so....
 9
                  THE WITNESS: I think I mailed it to you.
10
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, at any rate,
11
      you don't have that with you here today, but what
12
     was -- So you're indicating you had some document
13
     that you wanted to show us. And you don't happen to
14
     have it here today, okay. And that document was --
15
     what did it represent again?
16
                  THE WITNESS: My bills.
17
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Your bills, I see.
18
                  THE WITNESS: I moved in there September
19
      of, what is this, 2013, and I've been there 2012 --
2.0
     whatever it was, I've been there since then.
2.1
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So you're questioning
22
     the amount of the bills you're saying from December
     of 2013 you were saying through April of 2015?
23
24
                  THE WITNESS: Yes.
25
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That long a period of
```

time, okay.

2.0

2.1

2 MR. MC MAHON: Your Honor, if I may. Do

3 I need to stand?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You can sit, that's just fine.

MR. MC MAHON: Okay. I guess I would impose a couple of objections. One, the Complaint in this matter was filed April 21st, 2014. So anything from April 2014 until April 2015 is not at issue in these proceedings.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, then I'll agree to that objection.

MR. MC MAHON: The second issue is if you look at the formal Complaint that's filed in the record, Mr. Peterson did not raise an issue about high bills or overpaying Duke or anything about usage. It's very specifically about allegedly having gotten bills relating to a garage versus his apartment and the confusion and the application of his payments in that respect. And that's what we have been prepared to come and defend, and that's the basis of the testimony and the evidence that we've submitted in these proceedings.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Thank you, Mr. Peterson -- or Mr. McMahon. Sorry about

that.

2.0

2.1

2 MR. MC MAHON: That's all right.

3 | THE WITNESS: The overpayment -- I'm

4 sorry.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Peterson, I think Mr. McMahon raises a well thought out objection, namely, the focus of your Complaint was about bills that you were receiving for a different part of your building than apparently where you were living, okay, and it covered a period of time. Let's see, the Complaint was filed April of 2014, so we need to limit your comments today. And I did want to hear initially what you had to say, but we do need to limit your comments today to the period of time up to April of 2014.

And secondly, to the matter of although you were discussing just a minute ago you felt you had been overbilled by Duke, if you could narrow your comments down to the matter of your Complaint which was being billed to a different part of the building than where you were living, if we're clear on that then. I imagine we are.

THE WITNESS: The part on the partial payment on the other part of the building?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, your Complaint

was saying you were billed to a different part of the building. And there was a double billing too. You said, "I only rent the upstairs of the apartment," this is in your original Complaint.

2.0

2.1

So when you started out your comments, you were mentioning incorrect billing by Duke from December of 2013 to April of 2015. What Mr. McMahon is saying is an objection that I will agree with, that your Complaint was filed April 2014, so you can only go up to that point in time to discuss your Complaint, okay?

THE WITNESS: Can I have a report from 2014 to today?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, you'd have to file a different Complaint for that. So today our focus is on what you had said in your Complaint which was -- Again, the Complaint was filed in April of 2014, but the focus today is on a period of time up until then when you were indicating that you were being billed incorrectly because you were being billed to a different part of your building than where you were actually living. So with that in mind, what can you add beyond to what was in your original Complaint?

THE WITNESS: I had three or four

reimbursements by Duke that was applied to my bills.

2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You had

reimbursements by Duke?

2.0

2.1

THE WITNESS: Three or four of them.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: They were applied to your bill to the part of the building that you lived in?

THE WITNESS: (Nods head.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: For the sake of our court reporter, it's okay to nod your head, but say yes or no because they have to record that.

And with that in mind then, why did you feel the hearing was necessary? If you were -- There was -- Your Complaint indicates you were billed for a part of the building other than -- It says, "Billed for a storage room and garage; I only rent the upstairs." So if you were reimbursed by Duke, what more do you have to add then? What more do you have to say?

THE WITNESS: Okay, he's a Duke representative, could we get the last two bills I paid the last two months? That would determine what my real electricity is. And if it would need another hearing or in the future or whatever, but the last two bills were \$150, -70.

1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, Mr. Peterson, 2 the last two bills would have been for a period of 3 time after when you filed your Complaint. So your 4 Complaint covers up to -- Since it was filed in 5 April 2014, your Complaint, anything you discuss today could go up to April 2014, but if you feel 6 7 there was any incorrect billing after that, that 8 would have to be subject to filing a different 9 Complaint. 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. I understand what 11 you're saying. 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So you indicated that 13 you received some reimbursements from Duke? 14 (Nods head.) MR. PETERSON: 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. He's 16 indicating to the court reporter yes. 17 THE WITNESS: Three or four 18 reimbursements. 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right, three or 2.0 Then if you had the reimbursements, and given 2.1 the nature of what you wrote in your original 22 Complaint, which is you were billed for a different 23 part of the building, storage room and garage, but 24 you only rent the upstairs, and you got the 25 reimbursement, what do you feel is still not correct? Again, we're talking about this period of time up to April of 2014.

THE WITNESS: The bill, I paid extra -paid more than what I should have. I had to disprove
it, correct? I mean, I've got to prove that I got -that I paid more? That's what the Complaint is.
Now, if I can prove what my bills are, what they
should be, that's on there, on admissible evidence to
what I had paid?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. You're indicating that in your Complaint you feel you were billed incorrectly?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

2.0

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Because you were billed for a part of the building that you actually did not live in?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You're agreeing with that, okay. Now, you have told me that you have received some reimbursements from Duke, and those reimbursements, did it cover that period of time up to when you filed the Complaint?

THE WITNESS: It occurred about three months, not the whole --

25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, again, I'm

saying --

THE WITNESS: Dealing of what, 15, 18

3 | months?

2.0

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Remember, our

Complaint today focuses on things up to April 2014.

So when you received those reimbursements from Duke, was it during that period of time up to April of 2014?

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You're not sure.

THE WITNESS: But April of 2000 -- I moved in there September 2013. You said April?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, your Complaint was filed April of 2014, okay, and you're saying you moved in there in September of 2013, and you believe that the billing issues began December of 2013; am I correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

back to the question I had, you're indicating that Duke had given you some reimbursements or credits back, and those credits then, if they gave you credits back, do you feel that there was something — there's something still incorrect, again, for that period of time up to this April 2014?

```
1
                  MR. PETERSON: (Nods head.)
 2
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You're indicating
 3
     they gave you some --
 4
                  THE WITNESS: I would not actually say
 5
      yes or no on that. Sitting here, I cannot definitely
 6
      say.
 7
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Okay.
 8
                  THE WITNESS: The actual months were
 9
     variable.
10
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The actual months --
                  THE WITNESS: The actual months before
11
12
      and after said April whatever.
13
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. So what you're
14
      saying is Duke gave you some reimbursements?
15
                  THE WITNESS: Yes.
16
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It did show up on
17
     your bill. And you're saying that you're not sure
18
     what period of time those reimbursements covered or
19
      are you saying the reimbursements were incorrect
2.0
      somehow?
2.1
                  MR. PETERSON: The reimbursements were
22
     applied to the bill.
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, that's what I
23
24
     mean.
25
                  THE WITNESS: That they charged me for
```

it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So they were applied to the bills. And, again, do you think there was something — If you received I'll call it a credit on your bill, would you agree, there was a credit on your bill, right?

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh, yes, I would agree there was a credit on my bill. I've said that of what it was said.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I'm sorry?

THE WITNESS: Of what was said, yes.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So there was a credit on your bill. Duke apparently did several of those.

THE WITNESS: There was only one set of credits. It was all three or four reimbursements but just one time.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Oh, one time, all right.

THE WITNESS: They did four of them.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Four of them, okay.

And, again, what I'm trying to find out is, your original Complaint indicates you were billed for a

part of the building that you are not living in; you

24 agree to that? Do you agree with that?

25 THE WITNESS: To the point that it was in

conversation only. That's where the discrepancy starts coming in. Now, up to April of '14, that would be seven months.

2.0

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I guess what I'm trying to find out is this, you indicate the billing issue that was for a part of the building you were not living in, okay?

THE WITNESS: (Nods head.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And you're nodding your head yes, so if you could say yes, that the billing issue covered a part of the building you were not living in?

THE WITNESS: Correct, yes.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, if you could say it out loud to the court reporter.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: If you're nodding your head, it won't get into the record. So it covered a period -- it covered a part of the building you're not living in, a building issue. Then you're saying Duke did give you some credits on your bill?

THE WITNESS: (Nods head.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, you're nodding your head yes.

25 THE WITNESS: Yes, they gave me credit of

four months.

2.0

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. So given that Duke did give you some credits on your bill, do you feel there is still something else wrong for that period of time up to April 2014?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Without too much rationale of even worrying about me saying yes, I was overcharged.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So you're saying that Duke gave you credits back on your bill, you feel you were overcharged, and do you feel that the credits were not enough, or what?

THE WITNESS: The credit they gave me was on the billings they gave me credit on, but I have a whole list of 17 months of overcharging. Now, this won't go up to April, I'm sorry.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, again, we're only looking up to April of 2014.

THE WITNESS: The super charges started in December of '13, 2013. That's when I called them up immediately and explained to them about this overcharging in December of 2013, and then we started to get into why we're sitting here now.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. So at any rate, the overcharges you're saying from your

```
perspective, December 2013, your Complaint was filed in April of 2014, and you're indicating Duke did provide some credits back to you. And at this point, you do not have any bills or documents with you to introduce into evidence?

THE WITNESS: Did I pay all my bills?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: If you do, you do,
```

2.0

2.1

but you don't have anything to introduce into evidence then, anything to support what you're saying about overpayments?

THE WITNESS: I tried to ask for a stay of -- a stay of the Court because I can get the stuff.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.

THE WITNESS: And this didn't come apparent to me until, what, three, four, five weeks back.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.

THE WITNESS: And I've got to go
through -- I've got to go write two or three banks
and other things and get the documents from them.
That's why I asked for the hearing be postponed.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Okay.

Mr. Peterson, I have no more questions at this time, but Mr. McMahon will have an opportunity to ask

questions of you, so Mr. McMahon, if you're ready, you could step in.

MR. MC MAHON: Okay. Thank you, your 4 Honor.

5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: If you need a moment, 6 we'll wait.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

### CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Mc Mahon:

- Q. Mr. Peterson, you referenced having received several reimbursements from Duke Energy.

  Just to be clear, the Company gave you credit for the payments that you made on the garage account. You got the credits on the account for your apartment, correct?
  - A. Isn't that reimbursement?
- Q. Right, that's what you mean by the reimbursements, correct?
  - A. Excuse me, they gave me reimbursements.
  - Q. Okay. But when you say --
- A. I cannot declare where the -- I thought they took it off of my bills, but what you just said, they reimbursed me. That's as far as my knowledge goes.
- Q. What actually happened was you had made

payments that were applied to that garage account and the Company moved those payments to your residential apartment account, correct?

- A. Well, if that's what they did, okay, that's what they did.
- Q. Okay. And when you say even though it's not in your Complaint but you're now complaining about high bills, you don't have any evidence today to support how much electric you should have been billed for versus what the company actually billed you for, correct?
  - A. That would be impossible to have.
- Q. Okay. But just answer my question, you do not have that evidence, correct?
  - A. No.

2.0

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And Mr. McMahon, again, you're -- I assume that you're asking about that period of time up to when the Complaint was filed?

Q. (By Mr. Mc Mahon) Right. My question focuses on the period of time from which you moved into the apartment in September of 2013 until you filed your Complaint on April 21st, 2014. You don't have any evidence to support your claim that you were not billed correctly for your usage of electric on

your apartment account, correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

- A. Can I add something to answer the question?
  - Q. Can you do what, I'm sorry?
- A. Can I add an additive to it? Yeah, correct, but also Duke sent to me through lawyers in Cincinnati, I got it Tuesday, declaring they overpaid me. I mean, I don't pay Duke. Now, this is like 10, 12, 14 pages, 15 pages thick, and it's a lawyer firm in Cincinnati.
- MR. MC MAHON: Let me -- If I may approach?
- 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Please go ahead.
- MR. MC MAHON: I believe your Honor has a copy. I'm going to hand you what's been submitted filed of record is the Direct Testimony of Melissa
- 18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I do have that, yes.

  19 THE WITNESS: It says I did overpay this,
- 20 doesn't it?

Coffman.

- Q. (By Mr. Mc Mahon) I'm handing you what's
- been marked as Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit A. It's
- 23 entitled the Direct Testimony of Melissa Coffman. Is
- 24 that what you're referring to as what you received
- 25 from Duke Energy's attorneys from Cincinnati on or

```
25
      about Tuesday of this week?
 1
 2
             Α.
                  I believe so.
 3
             Q.
                Excuse me?
 4
             Α.
                  I believe so. I mean, it got here
 5
      Tuesday.
                  I understand.
 6
             0.
 7
                  I got something like this, yes.
             Α.
 8
                  Well, that is what you got in the mail,
             0.
 9
      correct?
                  I wouldn't swear to it, but I believe it
10
             Α.
      is. I won't say a definite yes or no. I'm not sure,
11
12
      but it looks like it. I mean, this is office work
13
      and -- I'm not an office person. Can I say
14
      something? I just opened this up and --
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: For the record,
15
16
      Mr. Peterson is referring to Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit
17
      Α.
18
                  THE WITNESS: May I show this to you,
19
      sir?
2.0
                  MR. MC MAHON: Well, there's no question
2.1
     pending, your Honor.
22
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yeah, Mr. Peterson,
     we'll do this --
23
24
                  THE WITNESS: Change this?
25
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: No. Oh, no.
```

```
Mr. Peterson, this is an Exhibit that Duke will be
 1
 2
      introducing into evidence, and their witness, Melissa
 3
      Coffman, will be up in the witness stand fairly soon
 4
      to speak about it. So if you have questions about
 5
      that document, please wait until Miss Coffman is up
      in the witness stand.
 6
 7
                  THE WITNESS: Yes, I have one comment.
 8
     have a problem with a disability that is inherent to
 9
     my back. I took three hours total getting up here.
      I've been in here about 45 minutes. That's about my
10
      limit when I need some kind of restful ease on it. I
11
12
     need 10 or 15 minutes if that would be possible.
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You're saying you
13
14
     want to pause for a few minutes?
15
                  THE WITNESS: Yeah, my back is -- and
16
      it's --
17
                  MR. MC MAHON: I have no objection, your
18
      Honor.
19
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                      Thank you,
2.0
     Mr. McMahon. We'll go off the record for a minute.
2.1
                  (Recess taken.)
22
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Back on the record,
23
     please.
```

MR. MC MAHON: May I approach?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, you can,

24

certainly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. (By Mr. Mc Mahon) Mr. Peterson, I've handed you what's been marked as Exhibit MC-1a. Do you see that in the lower right-hand corner?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Down in the right-hand corner of the page.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, MC-1a.

- Q. (By Mr. Mc Mahon) And this is a copy of the Duke Energy bill to you for your apartment at 3944 Roosevelt Boulevard in Middletown, Ohio which was prepared on January 13th, 2014, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. That's correct, backpayment or reimbursement.
- Q. As you look in the box, see this one right here that says current billing --
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q. -- and there are three payment corrections there in the amount of \$125.74, \$108.27 and \$117, those are the three things that you were referring to before as the reimbursements, correct?
  - A. Correct, yes.
    - Q. And with respect to the garage account that was previously in your name, you have gotten

full credit for all payments that you made toward that account, correct?

- A. Correct, to date.
- Q. And all of that happened by roughly January 13th or so, 2014, correct?
  - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

MR. MC MAHON: That's all I have.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you,

Mr. McMahon. Mr. Peterson.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You can take your seat for the time being, and we'll give Duke an opportunity to present their case. And if you have any questions for them, you can ask questions after they're finished with their testimony and such, okay?

THE WITNESS: These are the bills you gave -- you sent me, correct? These are the bills you sent me that I paid, what you just handed me?

MR. MC MAHON: Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit A is the written testimony of Melissa Coffman and attached to that testimony are your bills for your apartment and the garage, correct.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you,

25 Mr. Peterson. Mr. McMahon, would you like to proceed

	29			
1	with your case?			
2	MR. MC MAHON: Yes, your Honor, I would			
3	like to call Melissa Coffman to the stand, please.			
4	ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Miss Coffman, raise			
5	your right hand, please.			
6	(Witness placed under oath.)			
7	ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. McMahon, please			
8	continue.			
9				
10	MELISSA COFFMAN			
11	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was			
12	examined and testified as follows:			
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
14	By Mr. Mc Mahon:			
15	Q. Just for the record, could you please			
16	state your full name and your title.			
17	A. Melissa Coffman, Consumer Affairs			
18	Specialist.			
19	Q. Miss Coffman, I've handed you what's been			
20	marked as Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit A, correct?			
21	A. Yes.			

Direct Testimony in these proceedings, correct?

Are you familiar with that document?

Okay. Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit A is your

22

23

24

25

Q.

A. Yes, I am.

- A. Yes.
- Q. And I see this is dated May 14th, 2015?
- 3 A. Yes.

1

- Q. You assisted in the preparation of your Direct Testimony, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Did you review this testimony before it was filed with the Commission?
  - A. I did.
- Q. And was this testimony true and accurate at the time of its filing?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And does it remain true and accurate as of today?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Let me ask you -- I'm going to hand you now what's been marked previously as Exhibit MC-1a.
- Could you identify what that document is, please?
- A. This is the billing that was prepared in January.
- 21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just a minute,
- 22 Miss Coffman. Mr. Peterson?
- MR. PETERSON: Do I get in the witness
- 24 stand again?
- 25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, you'll have an

opportunity, we can do that later, but this is Miss Coffman's opportunity right now. Go ahead, please.

THE WITNESS: This is billing for Mr. Peterson's residential account, the apartment account that was prepared on January 13th, 2014.

- Q. (By Mr. Mc Mahon) Okay. And could you explain -- Well, first of all, I guess we realized when we were off the record that this bill was inadvertently not included as part of Exhibit MC-1 to your written testimony, correct?
  - A. Correct.

2.0

2.1

- Q. But there is other information in your testimony, the spreadsheets and such, that relates to this bill, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Could you explain to the Court, please, what's reflected in the current billing box with respect to the payments and credits?
- A. Yes. In the current billing box on the billing statement, there are three payments that Duke Energy, they show as payment corrections. Those three payments, \$125.74, \$108.27 and \$117, all three of those payments were initially had been paid to Mr. Peterson's account that originally was in his

1 name at a garage.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Those were the payments that Mr. Peterson had made to the Company?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And the Company then moved them over to his apartment once this issue was discovered on or about January 13th, 2014?
    - A. Yes, correct.
  - Q. And as is already reflected in your written testimony, if we looked into the corresponding bill from the garage account in Exhibit MC-2 to your testimony, we would see those same numbers coming from the garage account to the residential apartment account?
    - A. Yes, correct.
- MR. MC MAHON: I guess, at this time,

  your Honor, Duke would move for the -- to have

  Exhibit A and the newly identified Exhibit MC-1a into

  the record.
- 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Peterson, 21 do you have any objection to --
- MR. PETERSON: May I see what he's talking about?
- 24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, it's what you 25 have right there.

```
1
                  MR. PETERSON: What I have here?
 2
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have any
 3
     objection?
 4
                  MR. PETERSON: No objection on any of
 5
      that.
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Peterson has no
 6
 7
      objections, so we'll admit that into evidence.
 8
                  MR. MC MAHON: Thank you, your Honor.
 9
                  (DUKE ENERGY EXHIBITS A, MC-1, MC-2 AND
10
     MC-1a ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. McMahon, before
11
12
     you go further, Miss Coffman, I just had a question.
13
     On Exhibit MC-1a, on the current bill, you pointed
14
      out those three payment corrections?
15
                  THE WITNESS: Yes.
16
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Those were for -- Was
17
      it for, what, three prior months worth of payments
18
     that Mr. Peterson made, I guess? That is, this bill
19
      is from -- Let's go off the record for a minute.
2.0
                  (Off the record.)
2.1
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We'll go back on the
22
              Exhibit MC-la indicates the bill was
     record.
23
     prepared January 13th of 2014. Miss Coffman, I just
24
     wanted to know, those three payment corrections that
25
      are listed under the current billing, were those
```

```
1
      payments that were made by Mr. Peterson to -- I quess
 2
      apparently not to his residential account, those
 3
      payments were made during, what does it say,
 4
      December, November and October or something of the
 5
      prior year?
                  THE WITNESS: Well, that would be true
 6
 7
      that these three payments were made to the account
 8
      listed as the garage that was under his name.
 9
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And that covered,
10
      what, three prior months, I guess, in 2013?
                  THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct, and
11
12
      those three bills would also be listed in the
13
      testimony.
14
                                      Okay. All right.
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
15
      Thank you. Mr. McMahon.
16
                  (By Mr. Mc Mahon) Just to follow up on
             0.
17
      his Honor's questions, the bills, Miss Coffman, that
18
      you were just referring to for the garage account,
19
      those would be included in MC-2 to your testimony?
2.0
             Α.
                  Yes, that would be correct.
2.1
             0.
                  Okay.
22
                  MR. MC MAHON: At this time, your Honor,
23
      I have no further questions from Miss Coffman.
24
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Thank
25
      you. Mr. Peterson, do you have any questions for the
```

35 1 witness? 2 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 By Mr. Peterson: 5 The one thing I would like to know is why do people pay bills? 6 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Can you say that --8 (By Mr. Peterson) Why do people pay Q. bills? 9 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: What does that have to do with your particular situation? 11 12 MR. PETERSON: I pay my bills. 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have a 14 question for the witness, though? (By Mr. Mc Mahon) Well, the question is, 15 16 you wrote what you see here and you read, right? 17 What is in this Exhibit MC-1a is what was handed to 18 me as bills, correct? 19 That would be correct, yes. Α. 2.0 MR. PETERSON: Okay. Am I -- I'm allowed 2.1 a return rebuttal, am I not? 22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You're allowed to ask 23 the witness questions. After you're finished with 24 your questions for the witness, we can have you go on 25 the witness stand again and make whatever statements

36 1 you want to make about your own case. 2 MR. PETERSON: Thank you. 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: But do you have any 4 more questions for the witness? 5 MR. PETERSON: No. 6 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. All right. 7 Miss Coffman, I have no more questions for you at 8 this time. You can take your seat. You will still 9 be under oath, so I may ask you some further 10 questions. 11 Mr. Peterson, if you would like to come 12 back up here, please. 13 14 LARRY W. PETERSON 15 having previously been sworn, as prescribed by law, 16 was examined and testified as follows: 17 DIRECT TESTIMONY 18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Peterson, what 19 other comments do you have to add beyond what you 2.0 said earlier? 2.1 THE WITNESS: I can partially show a 22 definite increase in my bills from what is in this 23 material. 24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. What are

you -- What do you want to point to in particular?

```
1
                  THE WITNESS: Starting from, let's see,
 2
      it's in the back of the bills. I believe they're at
 3
     the bottom. Yeah, for instance --
 4
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Which bill are you
      looking at in particular, Mr. Peterson?
 5
                  THE WITNESS: Well, yeah....
 6
 7
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: For example, if you
 8
      look under where it says Account Information.
 9
                  THE WITNESS: August 20th to
10
      September 6th.
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It says the bill
11
12
     prepared September 9, 2013.
13
                  THE WITNESS: Yeah.
14
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So let me get to that
15
     page. Okay, that's the first page of Exhibit MC-1.
16
                  THE WITNESS: You see 498 kilowatts.
17
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
18
                  THE WITNESS: Okay, they billed me 59.91
      for 498 kilowatts.
19
2.0
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                      Okay.
2.1
                  THE WITNESS: That's their opening
22
      statement, whatever, at that price. September to
     October of 2013, September 6th to October 7th.
23
24
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You're referring then
25
     to this would be the third page of Exhibit MC-1 and
```

```
it is a bill that says reading date September 6th to
1
 2
     October 7th, and it also says under Account
 3
      Information, bill prepared October 8th, 2013.
 4
                  THE WITNESS: They show usage 1,270
     kilowatts.
 5
                  The amount of money, $139.43. Now,
 6
     there's a couple others in there basically the same.
      I can go through it and I can find them, but that is
 7
8
     what -- Okay, here is December 2nd, 2013 --
     December 2nd, 2013, my kilowatts is 2,030 --
9
10
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Peterson, okay,
11
     for the record, again, you're referring to the bill
12
     that actually up in the upper right corner it says
13
     Disconnect Notice, due date September 2nd, 2013, and
14
     that is a bill, according to Account Information
15
     category it was prepared November 6th of 2013. I
16
      just wanted to make it clear for the record what you
17
     were looking at.
18
                  THE WITNESS: Okay, it says Disconnect
19
     Notice. Did I not pay my bill? Why is there a
2.0
     Disconnect Notice?
2.1
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You're referring to
22
     what's called Disconnect Notice, but --
23
                  THE WITNESS: Referring to the whole
24
     bill.
25
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: But apparently what
```

you were saying is, if I'm understanding you correctly, you were trying to point out some increase in the bills from one month to the next during this period of time?

2.0

2.1

THE WITNESS: (Nods head.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And you're nodding your head yes. Can you say... Okay, I just want to make sure you're communicating to the court reporter what you're trying to say here.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You're looking at the bill, it says Disconnect Notice, December 2nd, 2013, and by going through these first few pages of Exhibit MC-1, if you could indicate yes or no, you were trying to indicate an increase in the amount of the bills; am I correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct. The usage was 2,039 kilowatt hours. Now, this is October to November of 2013, okay.

MR. MC MAHON: Your Honor, I guess I would object to this testimony as improper rebuttal. All Mr. Peterson is doing is confirming the numbers that are already reflected in the Duke Energy bills that have been admitted into evidence, and he's already admitted during his Direct Testimony that he

does not have any evidence to support his claim as to what his actual electric usage was versus what was billed. He's already rested his case with that admission, so this rebuttal testimony is improper.

2.0

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: With the admission of this into evidence?

MR. MC MAHON: Well, no, his admission that he does not have any evidence to support his claim about his actual usage versus what was billed on his account.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I'll overrule that objection. I think this does indicate during the period of time that Mr. Peterson is discussing an increase in the amount of bills for one month to the next. My question for Mr. Peterson again would be this, though, Mr. Peterson, you point to this to as one month to the next as an increase in kilowatt hours, and though we also have an Exhibit MC-la, an indication that you did receive some credits back from Duke, my question would be: Do you feel the credits you received were insufficient? You know, why do you feel that -- Why do you feel the amount represented on these bills is incorrect?

And you've indicated you do have electric heat. Do you have anything with you at all

to indicate that after these credits were applied by Duke, that the billing was still incorrect in some way?

2.0

2.1

THE WITNESS: September to October -- By their own bill, October/September -- I moved in September. October and November bills are there. They have then immediately in December of 2013 when I put in my Complaint of being overcharged, I have one here for nearly 5,000 kilowatt hours over 8-, 900,000 kilowatt hours the prior two or three months. That's right in these.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Peterson, I guess what I'm trying to ask would be this: Your Complaint focused on the fact that you were billed, according to what you wrote in the Complaint, a storage room and garage, and yet you lived in — it says you rent the upstairs of this apartment, okay. So you're indicating in your Complaint that you were billed for a part of this building different than where you lived, all right.

Now, Duke Exhibit MC-la indicates you did receive some credits back from Duke, and the testimony of their witness, Miss Coffman, indicates that those credits were for -- apparently they were related to the billing for what's called the garage

account, and that was when the error was discovered because you had alerted them to it. They applied those credits to your residency account, your apartment where you live.

2.0

2.1

THE WITNESS: (Nods head.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You're nodding your head yes you agree with that?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I don't know what to say. That's right in proof right there. I'm disagreeing with the amounts.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, the thing is, the focus in the Complaint, the Complaint centered around the incorrect billing, that is, that you were billed for a place that you were not living in, okay. So anything -- I'll ask this question: Do you feel that the billing for a part of your building that you were not living in, do you feel that that has been corrected just for the -- that you were being billed for a part of your building --

THE WITNESS: The overpayment that I paid, they did apply to my account, yes.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So let's make sure
I'm understanding you correctly then. Your bill
focused on that you were billed for a part of the
building that you were not living in. You lived in a

different part of the building. The bill was for a garage, apparently, storage room and garage, and you actually were renting the upstairs of the building.

2.0

2.1

And you're saying that Duke, when the error was discovered that you were making payments and the bill was — the payments were being applied to this garage, you're indicating that Duke did — once you called this to their attention, they did take those payments from the garage account and apply it to your residential account; am I right about that?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. So with that in mind then, was there -- was there anything related to that specific Complaint that you wanted to mention, anything more you had to say?

I mean, if you feel that the amount -
If you feel that the amount you were being billed for

your residential account was too high, that would

have to be the subject of a different Complaint,

okay. Your Complaint here was on this garage.

THE WITNESS: Granted, granted. I'm not arguing with that point.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So what you're indicating then is you feel that Duke made the

corrections that were needed, and any payments that had been applied to that garage were later applied to -- I guess, according to Exhibit MC-la, those payments were later applied to your residential account?

2.0

2.1

THE WITNESS: Yes, I -- Yes.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You're agreeing to that, okay. I just wanted to make clear we're on the same page. So any other thoughts that you would have, you were mentioning you felt that for your residential account, you were overbilled. Am I right about that?

THE WITNESS: (Nods head.) Yes.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That would actually have to be the subject of a different Complaint.

We'll go off the record for a minute.

(Off the record.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's go back on the record. Mr. Peterson, do you have any more thoughts on the matter of being billed to a place you were not living in? Do you have any more thoughts on that?

THE WITNESS: No.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You don't have any more thoughts on that, okay. Mr. McMahon, did you have any more questions for Mr. Peterson?

```
45
 1
                  MR. MC MAHON: No, your Honor.
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. I don't
 2
      believe I have any more questions either. Having
 3
      said that, as we mentioned earlier, the Exhibit from
 4
 5
      Duke will be admitted into evidence, and we will
      close the proceedings for today. Thank you.
 6
 7
                  MR. MC MAHON: Thank you.
 8
                  (The hearing was concluded at 11:20 a.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

# CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, May 21, 2015, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Cynthia L. Cunningham

- - -

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

6/3/2015 3:53:26 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-0744-EL-CSS

Summary: Transcript in the matter of Larry Peterson vs. Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. hearing held on 05/21/15 electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Cindy Cunningham