Butler, Matthew

From:

Puco ContactOPSB

Sent:

Tuesday, April 21, 2015 4:25 PM

To:

'Wilson, Eric'

Subject:

RE: Case Number 14-1280-EL-BSB, Gable Substation Project

Mr. Wilson,

Thank you for contacting the Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB). Your correspondence regarding the proposed Gable Substation Project will be docketed in the public comments for case number <u>14-1280-EL-BSB</u>.

The adjudicatory hearing in this case will begin on Thursday, April 23 at the offices of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Columbus. During the adjudicatory hearing, AEP Ohio Transmission Company and OPSB staff will have an opportunity to offer expert testimony supporting their positions before an administrative law judge. Later, once the adjudicatory process is complete, the Board will schedule the project for a decision during a public Board meeting.

The OPSB website at <u>www.OPSB.ohio.gov</u> provides the latest information regarding this and other power siting cases. Should you have additional questions or comments, please feel free to contact me directly at (866) 270-6772.

Respectfully,

Matt Butler

Public Outreach Manager
Administration and Operations Division
Rates and Analysis Department
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio | Ohio Power Siting Board
614-644-7670
PUCO.ohio.gov | OPSB.ohio.gov

RECEIVED

APR 21 2015

DOCKETING DIVISION
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

This message and any response to it may constitute a public record and thus may be publicly available to anyone who requests it.

From: Wilson, Eric [mailto:Eric.Wilson@McKesson.com]

Sent: Monday, April 20, 2015 3:57 PM

To: Puco ContactOPSB **Cc:** Wilson, Eric

Subject: Case Number 14-1280-EL-BSB, Gable Substation Project

To Whom it May Concern:

I am writing in regards to Case Number 14-1280-EL-BSB, Gable Substation Project, Jefferson County.

I am writing to voice my concern about the Preferred location of the Gable Substation. After reading the Staff Report of Investigation from March 23, 2015, I noticed that it mentions that both the Preferred Site and the Alternate Site had little differences in the criteria that the Applicant (AEP) is using to choose a location for the substation. If there isn't much difference between the two sites, then I beg you to look into the amount of people that the Preferred Site impacts as compared to the Alternate Site. According to the Overview Maps on pages 6 and 7, only 1 residence is affected at the Alternate Site and 21 are affected at the Preferred Site.

My in-laws are some of the people being directly affected by the location, as it is across the road from their house. In addition to ruining aesthetics of their everyday view, it threatens the value of their property. I can

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician A Date Processed 4/22/15

imagine that property value will go down because of the close proximity to a substation. I know that I personally would not want to buy a home so close to one and I know others would agree.

Please consider looking into the alternate site.

Thank you for your time,

Eric Wilson