Kroger F	Exhibit
----------	---------

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)	
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric)	
Illuminating Company, and The Toledo)	
Edison Company for Authority to)	Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO
Provide for a Standard Service Offer)	
Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143 in the Form of)	
an Electric Security Plan.)	

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF

KEVIN C. HIGGINS

On behalf of The Kroger Co.

FEBRUARY 5, 2015

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF KEVIN C. HIGGINS

2	Introduction

- 3 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 4 A. Kevin C. Higgins, 215 South State Street, Suite 200, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84111.
- 6 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- A. I am a Principal in the firm of Energy Strategies, LLC. Energy Strategies is a private consulting firm specializing in economic and policy analysis applicable to energy production, transportation, and consumption.
- Q. Are you the same Kevin C. Higgins who previously filed direct testimony in this proceeding on behalf of The Kroger Co. ("Kroger")?
- 12 A. Yes, I am.
- 13 Q. What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony?
- A. My supplemental testimony addresses the Stipulation and
 Recommendation filed December 22, 2014 ("Stipulation"). Kroger is not a
 signatory party to the Stipulation.
- 17 Q. Please summarize your supplemental testimony.
- 18 A. The Stipulation does not substantively change the fundamental features of
 19 the Companies' direct filing, and does not cause me to modify my primary
 20 recommendation to reject Rider RRS and Rider GDR, as presented in my Direct
 21 Testimony. Nor does the Stipulation cause me to change my recommendation
 22 that, if a version of Rider RRS is adopted, it should be restructured to better
 23 compensate customers for the critical funding they would be providing to

1		FirstEnergy Solutions ("FES") as well as for the risks customers would be
2		assuming by stepping into FES's shoes as the party exposed to the performance of
3		the FES-owned plants relative to the market. The Stipulation does, however,
4		propose to change the rate design of Rider RRS in a manner that is consistent with
5		the recommendation in my Direct Testimony, if Rider RRS is adopted.
6	Resp	onse to the Stipulation
7	Q.	Have you reviewed the Stipulation, Supplemental Testimony of Eileen M.
8		Mikkelsen, and the Companies' Amendments to Testimony to Reflect the
9		Stipulation?
10	A.	Yes, I have.
11	Q.	Does the Stipulation fundamentally alter the Companies' direct ESP IV filing
12		and Rider RRS proposal?
13	A.	No, it does not. With the exception of specific mechanisms to mitigate the
14		impact on parties who have signed the Stipulation, such as continuation of the
15		Economic Load Response Program Rider and the Economic Development Rider –
16		Automaker Credit Provision, the fundamental features of the Companies' direct
17		filing remain largely unmodified.
18	Q.	Does the Stipulation cause you to modify your primary recommendation
19		regarding Rider RRS?
20	A.	No. My primary recommendation is that Rider RRS be rejected by the
21		Commission. The Stipulation adopts Rider RRS, with a rate design modification.
22		I continue to recommend rejection of this provision in the Stipulation just as I
23		have in my response to FirstEnergy's initial filing.

Q. Does the Stipulation address your secondary recommendations concerning Rider RRS?

A.

In part. In my Direct Testimony I offer two secondary recommendations in the event that a version of Rider RRS is approved by the Commission.

First, if the Commission approves some form of Rider RRS, I recommend that it should be restructured to better compensate customers for the critical funding they would be providing to FES as well as for the risks customers would be assuming by stepping into FES's shoes as the party exposed to the performance of the FES-owned plants relative to the market. The Stipulation does nothing to address this need for a more equitable balancing of interests if Rider RRS is adopted.

Second, if some form of Rider RRS is adopted, I recommend that it be designed as a demand charge (or credit) for demand-billed customers. The Stipulation does address this issue, as it specifies that Rider RRS be based on billing demand for the demand-billed rate schedules. While this rate design approach is more appropriate than the kilowatt hour charge (or credit) originally proposed by the Company, my primary recommendation that Rider RRS be rejected remains unchanged, as does my secondary recommendation that if Rider RRS is adopted, the terms should be restructured to be more equitable to customers.

¹ Section V. Terms and Conditions A.1.(iv)., at p. 10.

1 Q. Have you reviewed the Commission's February 25, 2015 Opinion and Order in AEP-Ohio's ESP 3 proceeding² on the subject of AEP Ohio's proposed 2 Power Purchase Agreement ("PPA") Rider? 3 A. Yes, I have. 4

Does the Commission's order on AEP Ohio's PPA Rider cause you to modify Q. your position on FirstEnergy's proposed Rider RRS?

No, it does not. Based on the evidence of record in AEP Ohio's ESP 3 proceedings, the Commission was not persuaded "...that AEP Ohio's PPA rider proposal would provide customers with sufficient benefit from the rider's financial hedging mechanism or any other benefit that is commensurate with the rider's potential cost." While the Commission approved a \$0 placeholder PPA Rider for AEP Ohio, the Commission expressly did not approve the recovery of any costs, including OVEC costs, through the PPA Rider at this time. 4

I continue to recommend that the Commission reject FirstEnergy's Rider RRS proposal, noting that FirstEnergy's proposal is projected to lose money for customers each year through 2018 – largely covering the entire span of the proposed ESP IV term. Furthermore, although the arrangement is projected by FirstEnergy to produce annual benefits to customers starting in 2019, the cumulative net benefit does not turn positive in nominal terms until 2021, after taking into account the cumulative costs to customers racked up during the initial years of the proposed arrangement. FE's RRS proposal is simply not a good deal for customers.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

Case Nos. 13-2385-EL-SSO and 13-2386-EL-AAM.
 February 25, 2015 Opinion and Order at p. 25.
 Id., at pp. 25-26.

1		Moreover, if some form of Rider RRS is approved by the Commission, I
2		continue to recommend that customers should be compensated for the cash
3		infusion and assumption of financial risk that customers would be providing for
4		FES, as detailed in my Direct Testimony.
5	Q.	Does the Stipulation fundamentally alter the Companies' Rider GDR
6		proposal as laid out in its ESP IV filing?
7	A.	No, it does not.
8	Q.	Does the Stipulation cause you to modify your recommendation to reject
9		Rider GDR?
10	A.	No.
11	Q.	Does this conclude your supplemental testimony?
12	Δ	Ves it does

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing *Supplemental Testimony of Kevin* Higgins was served this 2nd day of March, 2015 upon the following via electronic mail.

James W. Burk	James F. Lang
Carrie M. Dunn	N. Trevor Alexander
FirstEnergy Service Company	Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
76 S. Main Street	1405 E. Sixth Street
Akron, OH 44308	Cleveland, OH 44114
Burkj@firstenergycorp.com	ilang@calfee.com
cdunn@firstenergycorp.com	talexander@calfee.com
David A. Kutik	Joseph M. Clark
Jones Day	Direct Energy
901 Lakeside Avenue	21 E. State Street, 19 th Floor
Cleveland, OH 44114	Columbus, OH 43215
dakutik@jonesday.com	joseph.clark@directenergy.com
Samuel C. Randazzo	Kimberly W. Bojko
Frank P. Darr	Jonathon A. Allison
Matthew R. Pritchard	Rebecca Hussey
Scott Elisar	Joel E. Sechler
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC	Carpenter Lips & Leland
21 E. State Street, 17 th Floor	280 N. High Street, Suite 1300
Columbus, OH 43215	Columbus, OH 43215
sam@mwncmh.com	bojko@carpenterlipps.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com	allison@carpenterlipps.com
mpritchard@mwncmh.com	hussy@carpenterlipps.com
selisar@mwncmh.com	sechler@carpenterlipps.com
Colleen L. Mooney	Larry S. Sauer
David C. Rinebolt	Kevin F. Moore
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy	Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
231 W. Lima Street	10 W. Broad Street, Suite 1800
Findlay, OH 45839	Columbus, OH 43215
cmooney@ohiopartners.org	larry.sauer@occ.ohio.gov
drinebolt@ohiopartners.org	kevin.moore@occ.ohio.gov

M. Howard Petricoff	Michael L. Kurtz
Michael J. Settineri	Kurt J. Boehm
Gretchen L. Petrucci	
	Jody Kyler Cohn
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP	Boehm Kurtz & Lowry
52 E. Gay Street	36 E. Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Columbus, OH 43215	Cincinnati OH 45202
mhpetricoff@vorys.com	mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com
mjsettineri@vorys.com	kboehm@bkllawfirm.com
glpetrucci@vorys.com	jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com
Barth E. Royer	Joseph Oliker
Bell & Royer Co., LPA	IGS Energy
33 S. Grant Avenue	6100 Emerald Parkway
Columbus, OH 43215	Dublin, OH 43016
barthroyer@aol.com	joliker@igsenergy.com
Kevin R. Schmidt	Richard L. Sites
Energy Professionals of Ohio	Ohio Hospital Association
88 E. Broad Street, Suite 1770	155 E. Broad Street, 15 th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215	Columbus, OH 43215
schmidt@sppgrp.com	ricks@ohanet.org
Thomas J. O'Brien	Steven T. Nourse
Dane Stinson	Matthew J. Satterwhite
Dylan Borchers	Yazen Alami
Bricker & Eckler LLP	American Electric Power Corporation
100 S. Third Street	1 Riverside Plaza, 29 th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215	Columbus, OH 43215
tobrien@bricker.com	stnourse@aep.com
dstinson@bricker.com	mjsatterwhite@aep.com
dborchers@bricker.com	yalami@aep.com
doctorous & orientation	Januari e acpressir
F. Mitchell Dutton	Craig I. Smith
700 Universe Boulevard CTR/JB	15700 Van Aken Boulevard, Suite 26
North Palm Beach, FL 33408	Shaker Heights, OH 44120
mitch.dutton@fpl.com	wttpmlc@aol.com
mich.duttone tpt.com	wupinic @ doi.com

Adrian Thompson	Gerit F. Hull
Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC
200 Public Square, Suite 3500	1717 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. 12 th Floor
Cleveland, OH 44114	Washington, D.C. 20006
athompson@taftlaw.com	ghull@eckertseamans.com
Christopher L. Miller	Barbara A. Langhenry
Gregory H. Dunn	Harold A. Madorsky
Jeremy M. Grayem	Kate E. Ryan
Ice Miller LLP	City of Cleveland
250 West Street #700	City Hall, 601 Lakeside Ave., Room 106
Columbus, OH 43215	Cleveland, OH 44114
christopher.miller@icemiller.com	blanghenry@city.cleveland.oh.us
gregory.dunn@icemiller.com	hmadorsky@city.cleveland.oh.us
jeremy.grayem@icemiller.com	kryan@city.cleveland.oh.us
Lisa M. Hawrot	Derrick Price Williamson
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC	Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
1233 Main Street, Suite 4000	1100 Bent Creek Boulevard, Suite 101
Wheeling, WV 26003	Mechanicsburg, PA 17050
<u>lhawrot@spilmanlaw.com</u>	dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com
Joseph P. Meissner	O. Judson Scheaf, III
Attorney Joseph Patrick Meissner & Assoc.	McDonald Hopkins LLC
5400 Detroit Avenue	240 N. Fifth Street, Suite 300
Cleveland, OH 44102	Columbus, OH 43215
meissnerjoseph@yahoo.com	jscheaf@mcdonaldhopkins.com
Trent Dougherty	John Finnigan
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201	128 Winding Brook Lane
Columbus, OH 43212	Terrace Park, OH 45174
tdougherty@theoec.org	ifinnigan@edf.org
dougherty & meoce.org	Jimingan e Car.org
Thomas R. Hays	Leslie Kovacik
8355 Island Lane	420 Madison Avenue
Maineville, OH 45039	Toledo, OH 43604
trhayslaw@gmail.com	lesliekovacik@toledo.oh.gov

Marilyn L. Widman Widman & Franklin, LLC 405 Madison Avenue, Suite 1550 Toledo, OH 43604 marilyn@wflawfirm.com Madeline Fleisher Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212 mfleisher@elpc.org	Matthew R. Cox Matthew Cox Law, Ltd. 88 E. Broad Street, Suite 1560 Columbus, OH 43215 matt@matthewcox.law.com Gregory J. Poulos EnerNOC, Inc. 471 E. Broad Street, Suite 1520 Columbus, OH 43054 gpoulos@enernoc.com
David J. Folk Assistant Director of Law 161 S. High Street, Suite 202 Akron, OH 44308 dfolk@akronohio.gov	Tony G. Mendoza Sierra Club 85 Second Street, Second Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 tony.mendoza@sierraclub.org
Michael K. Lavanga Garrett A. Stone Owen J. Kopon Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C. 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. 8 th Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007 mkl@bbrslaw.com gas@bbrslaw.com ojk@bbrslaw.com	Christopher J. Allwein Nolan M. Moser Williams Allwien and Moser LLC 1500 W. Third Avenue, Suite 330 Columbus, OH 43212 callwein@wamenergylaw.com nmoser@wamenergylaw.com
Jeffrey W. Mayes Monitoring Analytics, LLC 2621 Van Buren Avenue, Suite 160 Valley Forge Corporate Center Eagleville, PA 19403 jeffrey.mayes@monitoringanalytics.com	Margeaux Kimbrough Kegler Brown Hill & Ritter LPA 65 S. State Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215 mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com
Shannon Fisk Earthjustice 1617 John F. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 1675 Philadelphia, PA 19103 sfisk@earthjustice.org	Michael Soules Earthjustice 1625 Massachusetts Ave. NW, Suite 702 Washington, DC 20036 msoules@earthjustice.org
Glenn S. Krassen Bricker & Eckler LLP 1001 Lakeside Avenue, Suite 1350 Cleveland, OH 44114 gkrassen@bricker.com	Carrie M. Harris Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC 310 First Street, Suite 1100 P.O. Box 90 Roanoke, VA 24002-0090 charris@spilmanlaw.com

Daniel W. Wolff	
Richard Lehfeldt	
Crowell & Moring LLP	
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.	
Washington, DC 20004	
dwolff@crowell.com	
rlehfeldt@crowell.com	
(MOTION TO INTERVENE PENDING)	

/s/ Devin D. Parram
Devin D. Parram

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/2/2015 4:46:15 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-1297-EL-SSO

Summary: Testimony Supplemental Testimony of Kevin C. Higgins electronically filed by Mr. Devin D. Parram on behalf of Kroger