BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application Seeking)	
Approval of Ohio Power Company's)	
Proposal to Enter into An Affiliate Power)	Case No. 14-1693-EL-RDR
Purchase Agreement for Inclusion in the)	
Power Purchase Agreement Rider)	
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)	
Power Company for Approval of Certain)	Case No. 14-1694-EL-AAM
Accounting Authority)	

MOTION TO INTERVENE BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL LAW & POLICY CENTER

Pursuant to Ohio Revised Code ("ORC") § 4903.221 and Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC") 4901-1-11, the Environmental Law & Policy Center ("ELPC") respectfully moves to intervene in the above-captioned proceedings. As explained more thoroughly in the attached Memorandum in Support, ELPC has a real and substantial interest in this case, in which the Ohio Power Company seeks to amend a rider originally proposed in a proceeding where ELPC has already intervened. Additionally, the interests of ELPC are not adequately represented by any other party to this matter and its participation in this proceeding will contribute to a just and expeditious resolution of the issues and questions. Further, ELPC's participation will not unduly delay the proceedings or prejudice any other party.

ELPC respectfully requests this Commission grant its motion to intervene for these reasons and those set forth in more detail in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

/s Madeline Fleisher
Madeline Fleisher
Environmental Law & Policy Center
21 West Broad St., Suite 500
Columbus, OH 43215
P: 857-636-0371
mfleisher@elpc.org

Counsel for the Environmental Law & Policy Center

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application Seeking)		
Approval of Ohio Power Company's)		
Proposal to Enter into An Affiliate Power)	Case No. 14-1693-EL-RD	R
Purchase Agreement for Inclusion in the)		
Power Purchase Agreement Rider)		
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)		
Power Company for Approval of Certain)	Case No. 14-1694-EL-AAN	ΛI
Accounting Authority)		

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION TO INTERVENE BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL LAW & POLICY CENTER

Ohio Revised Code ("ORC") § 4903.221 states that "[a]ny other person who may be adversely affected by a public utilities commission proceeding may intervene in such proceeding" provided the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO" or "Commission") makes certain determinations. The Environmental Law & Policy Center ("ELPC") is a non-profit environmental advocacy organization whose mission is to improve the Midwest's environmental quality and economic development. ELPC is an advocate for both environmental health and sustainable economic development. As a regional organization with a presence and members in Ohio, ELPC and its members may be adversely affected by the outcome of this proceeding, and its interests are not adequately represented by the other parties hereto.

ORC § 4903.221 requires the Commission to consider four factors when presented with a motion to intervene. In addition, the Commission's procedural rules at Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC") 4901-11-1 similarly provide that it shall consider five factors when weighing a motion to intervene. ELPC's motion meets all of the factors required by statute and rule.

Pursuant to ORC § 4903.221, the Commission must consider:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings; [and]
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

ORC § 4903.221(B).

With respect to the first factor, ELPC has a substantial interest in the application of the Ohio Power Company, Inc. ("AEP") to add an affiliate power purchase agreement to a rider that was approved by the Commission in Case Nos. 13-2385-EL-SSO and 13-2386-EL-AAM on February 25, 2015. As discussed in ELPC's motion to intervene in those cases, ELPC has an interest in ensuring the protection and promotion of cost-effective clean and efficient energy in the state. Further, ELPC has members in Ohio and its work focuses on Ohio energy and environmental issues. AEP's application affects these interests because it proposes to expand a rider under which AEP's ratepayers would be committed to financially supporting previously unregulated coal plants, rather than clean energy sources.

As to the second factor, because of the potential impacts on ELPC and its Ohio members, ELPC seeks to ensure AEP's application meets the applicable legal requirements, and if not, recommend appropriate solutions. Additionally, ELPC seeks the Commission's careful scrutiny of the reasonableness of AEP's application, which implicates ELPC's interests in advocating for alternative energy solutions over reliance on polluting coal plants.

Under the third factor, ELPC's inclusion will not unduly delay or prolong the proceeding.

The Commission has not yet set a procedural schedule for the case, and ELPC is committed to

working within any schedule that is imposed by this Commission to achieve the efficient and orderly disposition of the questions presented.

Finally, ELPC will significantly contribute to the full development and resolution of the proceeding by bringing its unique perspective to bear. ELPC has expertise and experience throughout the Midwest regarding clean energy policy that will contribute to resolving the pending issues, and has participated in multiple proceedings involving AEP, including Case Nos. 13-2385-EL-SSO and 13-2386-EL-AAM.

Similarly, ELPC meets the requirements set forth in OAC 4901-11-1(B):

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings;
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues; [and]
- (5) The extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties.

The first four factors mirror those in ORC § 4903.221, and for the same reasons as stated above, ELPC meets those factors. As to the fifth, ELPC maintains that no other party can adequately represent its interests as a regional environmental advocacy organization that also focuses on "green" economic development, including new manufacturing and job creation.

Finally, this Commission's policy is to "encourage the broadest possible participation in its proceedings." *Cleveland Elec. Illum. Co.*, Case No. 85-675-EL-AIR, Entry at 2 (January 14, 1986). ELPC's inclusion in this proceeding will contribute to this goal of broad participation in PUCO proceedings.

Because ELPC meets the criteria set forth in both ORC § 4903.221 and OAC 4901-11-1, it respectfully asks this Commission to grant its motion to intervene in the above-captioned proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

/s Madeline Fleisher
Madeline Fleisher
Environmental Law & Policy Center
21 West Broad St., Suite 500
Columbus, OH 43215
P: 857-636-0371
mfleisher@elpc.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Motion to Intervene submitted on behalf of the Environmental Law & Policy Center was served by electronic mail, upon the following Parties of Record, this February 27, 2015.

/s Madeline Fleisher Madeline Fleisher

haydenm@firstenergycorp.com	stnourse@aep.com
jmcdermott@firstenergycorp.com	mjsatterwhite@aep.com
scasto@firstenergycorp.com	msmckenzie@aep.com
jlang@calfee.com	sam@mwncmh.com
talexander@calfee.com	fdarr@mwncmh.com
dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com	mpritchard@mwncmh.com
mkurtz@BK.Llawfirm.com	myurick@taftlaw.com
jkyler@BKLlawfirm.com	callwein@wamenergylaw.com
Kurt.Helfrich@ThompsonHine.com	tony.mendoza@sierraclub.org
Scott.Campbell@ThompsonHine.com	todonnell@dickinsonwright.com
Stephanie.Chmiel@ThompsonHine.com	<u>lhawrot@spilmanlaw.com</u>
tdougherty@theOEC.org	dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com
jeffrey.mayes@monitoringanalytics.com	Stephen.Chriss@walmart.com
toddm@wamenergylaw.com	schmidt@sppgrp.com
Bojko@carpenterlipps.com	jfinnigan@edf.org
mhpetricoff@vorys.com	Larry.sauer@occ.ohio.gov
mjsettineri@vorys.com	Kyle.kern@occ.ohio.gov
glpetrucci@vorys.com	Michael.schuler@occ.ohio.gov
joliker@igsenergy.com	joseph.clark@directenergy.com
mswhite@igsenergy.com	ghull@eckertseamans.com
cmooney@ohiopartners.org	stheodore@epsa.org
mdortch@kravitzllc.com	laurac@chappelleconsulting.net
msmalz@ohiopovertylaw.org	gthomas@gtpowergroup.com
ricks@ohanet.org	mfleisher@elpc.org
tobrien@bricker.com	thomas.mcnamee@puc.state.oh.us
	Katie.johnson@puc.state.oh.us

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/27/2015 4:03:42 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-1693-EL-RDR, 14-1694-EL-AAM

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene of the Environmental Law & Policy Center electronically filed by Madeline Fleisher on behalf of Environmental Law and Policy Center