

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Ohio Power Company to Adjust The)	Case No. 15-279-EL-RDR
Economic Development Cost Recovery)	
Rider Rate)	

**MOTION OF GLOBE METALLURGICAL, INC.
FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER.**

Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24, Ohio Administrative Code ("O.A.C."), Globe Metallurgical, Inc. ("Globe") respectfully moves the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") to issue a Protective Order to protect the confidentiality and prohibit the disclosure of the confidential information contained in the Application of Ohio Power Company ("AEP-Ohio") to adjust its Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider ("EDR") rate filed by AEP-Ohio under seal on February 6, 2015 in this proceeding. The confidential information is not subject to disclosure and includes competitively sensitive and highly proprietary business information comprising trade secrets. The grounds for this Motion are set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,



M. Howard Petricoff (0008287)
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
(614) 464-5414
mhpeticoff@vorys.com

Attorneys for Globe Metallurgical, Inc.

In the Matter of the Application of)
Ohio Power Company to Adjust The) Case No. 15-279-EL-RDR
Economic Development Cost Recovery)
Rider Rate)

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

In AEP-Ohio’s initial electric security plan (“ESP”) proceeding (Case Nos. 08-917-EL-SSO, *et al.*), the Commission authorized AEP-Ohio’s EDR to recover economic development amounts authorized by the Commission in reasonable arrangement cases. In the ESP proceeding, the Commission also set the initial level of the rider at zero, to be updated quarterly.³ The EDR was reauthorized in AEP-Ohio’s second ESP proceeding.⁴ The rider is calculated as a

⁴ *In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan*, Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order at 66-67 (August 8, 2012).

percentage of a customer's distribution charges. On August 1, 2014, AEP-Ohio initiated a semiannual update case in Case No. 14-1329-EL-RDF and filed an Application requesting that the Commission adjust AEP-Ohio's EDR. On February 6, 2015, AEP-Ohio filed an application proposing to further update its EDR rate. AEP-Ohio's February 6, 2015 Application contains Globe's customer-specific information that was clearly marked as confidential and was submitted under seal, separate from the redacted public version of the Globe-specific schedule. Prior to filing this motion, on February 11, 2015 Globe filed a Motion to Intervene in this proceeding.

For the reasons stated below, Globe respectfully requests that the Commission grant protective treatment of Globe's customer-specific information included to support AEP-Ohio's revised EDR adjustment filed under seal.

II. ARGUMENT

The billing information of the Globe reasonable arrangement schedule "filed by AEP-Ohio contains competitively sensitive and highly proprietary business information that constitutes trade secrets under Ohio law and the Commission's rules. State law recognizes the need to protect information that is confidential in nature. Accordingly, the General Assembly granted the Commission statutory authority to exempt certain documents from disclosure.⁵ Pursuant to this statutory grant of authority, the Commission promulgated Rule 4901-1-24, O.A.C. Rule 4901-1-24(D), O.A.C., provides for the issuance of an order that is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed at the Commission to the extent that state and federal law prohibit the release of such information and where non-

⁵ See Sections 4901.12 and 4905.07, Revised Code.

disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code.

Trade secrets protected by state law are not considered public records and are therefore exempt from public disclosure.⁶ A trade secret is defined by Section 1333.61 (D), Revised Code, as follows:

“Trade secret” means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information, or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

- (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

Section 1333.61(D), Revised Code (emphasis added)

The Globe-related information contained within the Globe schedule is competitively sensitive and highly proprietary business and financial information falling within the statutory characterization of a trade secret.⁷ The information for which protective treatment is sought includes Globe’s billings paid for electricity based upon its actual and estimated usage. Public disclosure of the pricing information would jeopardize Globe’s business position and its ability to compete. The actual and projected billing information Globe seeks to protect derives independent economic value from not being generally known and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by Globe’s competitors. Further, the efforts to protect the confidential pricing information are reasonable under the circumstances. Finally, actual customer usage and pricing

⁶ Section 149.43(A)(1)(v), Revised Code; *State ex rel. The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept. of Insurance*, 80 Ohio St. 3d 513, 530 (1997).

⁷ Section 1333.61(D), Revised Code.

terms are routinely accorded protected status by the Commission and the Commission accorded such treatment to Globe's information in AEP-Ohio's previous EDR update proceedings.⁸

The non-disclosure of the actual usage and pricing information will not impair the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code, as the Commission and its Staff will have full access to the confidential information in order to complete its review process. Because Globe's information constitutes a trade secret, it should be accorded protected status.

III. CONCLUSION

Globe respectfully requests that this Motion for Protective Order be granted for the reasons set forth herein.

Respectfully submitted,



M. Howard Petricoff (0008287)
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
(614) 464-5414
mhpetricoff@vorys.com

Attorneys for Globe Metallurgical, Inc.

⁸ *In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company to Adjust Their Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Pursuant to Rule 4901:1-38-08(A)(5), Ohio Administrative Code*, Case No. 11-4570-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (October 12, 2011); *In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Pursuant to Rule 4901.1-38-08(A)(5), Ohio Administrative Code*, Case No. 12-688-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4-5 (March 28, 2012); *In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Pursuant to Rule 4901:1-36-08(A)(5), Ohio Administrative Code*, Case No. 12-2210-EL-ROR, Finding and Order at 4-5 (September 26, 2012); *In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate*, Case No. 14-1329-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (September 17, 2014).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In accordance with Rule 4901-1-05, Ohio Administrative Code, the Commission's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document upon the following parties. In addition, I hereby certify that a service copy of the foregoing *Motion of Globe Metallurgical, Inc. for Protective Order and Memorandum in Support* was sent by, or on behalf of, the undersigned counsel for Globe Metallurgical, Inc. to the following parties of record this 11th day of February 2015, via electronic transmission.



M. Howard Petricoff

Steven T. Nourse
American Electric Power Service
Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
stnourse@aep.com

Samuel C. Randazzo
Frank P. Darr
Matthew R. Pritchard
McNees, Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 E. State St., 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com
mpritchard@mwncmh.com

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/11/2015 2:55:53 PM

in

Case No(s). 15-0279-EL-RDR

Summary: Motion Motion for Protective Order electronically filed by M HOWARD PETRICOFF
on behalf of Globe Metallurgical, Inc.