BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

In the Matter of the Ohio Power Siting)	
Board's Review of Chapters 4906-1,)	
4906-5, 4906-7, 4906-11, 4906-13,)	Case No. 12-1981-GE-ORD
4906-15, and 4906-17 of the Ohio)	
Administrative Code.)	

INITIAL COMMENTS OF GREENWICH NEIGHBORS UNITED

In an Entry dated November 24, 2014, the Ohio Power Siting Board ("OPSB") requested interested parties to submit comments on the Staff's proposed changes to Rule 4906-4-08, Ohio Administrative Code ("O.A.C."). Greenwich Neighbors United appreciates this opportunity to submit the following comments on the proposed rule for the OPSB's consideration.

I. ABOUT THE COMMENTERS

Greenwich Neighbors United ("GNU") is a nonprofit corporation formed for the purpose of promoting the safety and well-being of the community in and around Greenwich, Ohio by proactively addressing issues relating to the siting of industrial wind turbines. GNU's members have actively participated in OPSB Case No. 13-990-EL-BGN, an experience that has been both educational and frustrating. The views of GNU's members are well documented in the public comments filed in this OPSB proceeding and in the Application for Rehearing granted by the OPSB on October 22, 2014.

II. COMMENTS ON PROPOSED RULES

Generally speaking, GNU supports the views expressed by Union Neighbors United ("UNU") in the comments filed in this proceeding by UNU. In addition, GNU urges the OPSB to consider GNU's comments regarding the proposed content of Rule 4906-4-08(C)(2)(d), O.A.C.

A. Rule 4906-4-08(C)(2)(d), O.A.C.

The language in proposed Rule 4906-4-08(C)(2)(d), O.A.C., states that the minimum setback requirement "... may be waived in the event that all owners of property adjacent to the turbine agree to such waiver." The text of this proposed rule is incompatible with the letter and spirit of Ohio law.

The General Assembly has specified the minimum setback distance which the OPSB may increase based on the circumstances presented by a particular wind farm proposal. The OPSB is also responsible for promulgating reasonable regulations (which the OPSB has not promulgated¹) in accordance with Section 4906.20(B)(2), Revised Code. And, Section 4906.20(B)(2)(c), Revised Code, states that any waiver of a setback requirement applicable to a particular wind farm cannot occur unless and until a waiver is executed by all owners of property adjacent to the wind farm property and the waiver has been secured in compliance with the procedure established in a rule adopted by the OPSB.

{C46453: }

¹ The OPSB has not adopted rules that contain reasonable regulations for each subject area identified in Section 4906.20(B)(2), Revised Code. Section 4906.20(A), Revised Code, states that a certificate may only be issued pursuant to Section 4906.20, Revised Code. The OPSB's failure to adopt the rules required by Section 4906.20(B)(2), Revised Code, means that the certificates which have been issued by the OPSB have not been issued pursuant to Section 4906.20, Revised Code.

The proposed rules conflict with Ohio law by suggesting that the minimum setback requirement can be avoided by a wind farm developer that secures a waiver from property owners adjacent to a turbine (rather than from all owners of property adjacent to the wind farm property). As Ohio Senator William Seitz has observed: (1) "... only where the waiver is universally agreed to by <u>all</u> owners and <u>all</u> lessees and <u>all</u> owners of adjacent property should the waiver ever be considered to be valid"² (emphasis original); and, (2) "... before the minimum setback requirements can be evaded through waivers secured by wind farm developers, the waivers must be secured from all owners of property within the wind farm property plus all owners of property adjacent to the wind farm property."³

The proposed rules also fail to satisfy the statutory requirement in Section 4906.20(B)(2)(c), Revised Code, that the OPSB establish, by rule, the procedure by which a proper waiver may be secured.

_

² In the Matter of the Power Siting Board's Adoption of Chapter 4906-17 of the Ohio Administrative Code and the Amendment of Certain Rules in Chapters 4906-1, 4906-5 and Rule 4906-7-17 of the Ohio Administrative Code to Implement Certification Requirements for Electric Generating Wind Facilities, Case No. 08-1024-EL-ORD, Comments of Senator Bill Seitz at 3-4 (October 3, 2008).

³ In the Matter of the Application of 6011 Greenwich Windpark, LLC for a Certificate to Construct a Wind-Powered Electric Generation Facility in Huron County, Ohio, Case No. 13-990-EL-BGN, Senator William Seitz Letter dated September 30, 2014 at 2 (October 6, 2014). In the September 30, 2014 letter expressing his views regarding what is required before a wind farm developer can evade the setback requirements by securing waivers, Senator Seitz also said "I continue to believe that this view is the only one that can be reasonably and lawfully adopted by the Board based on the plain meaning of the statutory language." *Id.* at 2.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, GNU urges the OPSB to consider and address the issues raised by and recommendations contained in the comments filed by UNU and GNU and incorporate the results of such considerations in the final rules as promulgated by the OPSB.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Samuel C. Randazzo

Samuel C. Randazzo
(Counsel of Record) (Reg. No. 0016386)
Scott E. Elisar (Reg. No. 0081877)
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State Street, 17TH Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
Telephone: (614) 469-8000
Telecopier: (614) 469-4653
sam@mwncmh.com
(willing to accept service by e-mail)
selisar@mwncmh.com
(willing to accept service by e-mail)

Attorneys for Greenwich Neighbors United

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing *Initial Comments of Greenwich Neighbors United* has been served upon the following parties of record this 16th day of January 2015 *via* electronic service, hand-delivery or U.S. mail, postage prepaid.

/s/ Samuel C. Randazzo Samuel C. Randazzo

Erin C. Miller
Matthew J. Satterwhite
American Electric Power Service
Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza, 29t Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
ecmiller1@aep.com
mjsatterwhite@aep.com

ON BEHALF OF AEP OHIO TRANSMISSION COMPANY

Sally W. Bloomfield (Reg. No. 0022038) BRICKER & ECKLER LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 sbloomfield@bricker.com

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO GAS ASSOCIATION AND LEIPSIC WIND LLC

Jeanne W. Kingery Associate Counsel Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. 139 E. Fourth Street, 1303-Main PO Box 961 Cincinnati, OH 45201-0960 Jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com

ON BEHALF OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

Christopher R. Schraff (Reg. No. 0023030) Robert J. Schmidt, Jr. (Reg. No. 0062261) Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP 41 South High Street Columbus, OH 43215 cschraff@porterwright.com rschmidt@porterwright.com Anne M. Juterbock (Reg. No. 0079637)
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 South Main Street
Akron, OH 44308
ajuterbock@firstenergycorp.com

ON BEHALF OF FIRSTENERGY SERVICE COMPANY

Michael J. Settineri (Reg. No. 0073369) Miranda R. Leppla (Reg. No. 0086351) Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 misettineri@vorys.com

On Behalf of EverPower Wind Holdings, LLC

Madeline Fleisher Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212 mfleisher@elpc.org

ON BEHALF OF ENVIRONMENTAL LAW & POLICY CENTER

Christopher A. Walker (0040696) Van Kley & Walker, LLC 137 N. Main St., Suite 316 Dayton, Ohio 45402 cwalker@vankleywalker.com

COUNSEL FOR UNION NEIGHBORS UNITED

Bill Wright
Section Chief
Office of the Attorney General of Ohio
Public Utilities Section
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215
william.wright@puc.state.oh.us

ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF OF THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

Christine Pirik
Kerry Sheets
Administrative Law Judges
Ohio Power Siting Board
180 East Broad Street, 12th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
Christine.pirik@puc.state.oh.us
Kerry.Sheets@puc.state.oh.us

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

1/16/2015 2:41:27 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-1981-GE-BRO

Summary: Comments of Greenwich Neighbors United electronically filed by Mr. Samuel C. Randazzo on behalf of Greenwich Neighbors United