

DEAR PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

PLEASE DENY THE RATE INCREASE TO LOCK  
CONSUMERS LIKE ME INTO BUYING POWER FROM  
OHIO'S OLDEST AND DIRTIEST COAL PLANTS. MY WIFE  
AND I CARE ABOUT POLLUTION AND SAVING MONEY  
ON OUR ELECTRIC BILL. WE DON'T WANT A BRICCAT  
OF POLLUTING TECHNOLOGY. PLEASE HELP US THE CONSUMERS  
WITH OUR BILLS AND NOT LETTING THE BIG MONEY  
ELECTRIC COMPANIES DO WHAT EVER THEY WANT TO DO

THANK YOU  
Michael Ryan

MICHAEL RYAN  
23815 BRUCE ROAD  
BAY VILLAGE, OHIO  
44140

This is to certify that the images appearing are an  
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file  
document delivered in the regular course of business  
Technician WJH Date Processed JAN 16 2015

PUCO

RECEIVED-BOOKETING  
2015 JAN 16 PM 12:06

FILE

14-1297-EL-550

January 12, 15

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
180 East Broad Street  
Columbus, OH 43215

Re: First Energy Request for Purchase Power Agreement

Dear Commissioners:

We are writing to request that the PUCO deny the request being made by First Energy to add a rider to our electric bills that will increase our monthly costs. It is being reported as an effort to add financial support for future expenses, not yet known, and to assure monies for the Davis Bessie Nuclear Power Plant.

For many years First Energy has failed to provide maintenance and capital improvements for safety and efficiency, not only at the Davis Bessie Plant, but throughout their operating system. They have put the greatest fresh water resource in the world and the neighboring community at risk because of failure to properly maintain and operate Davis Bessie on more than one occasion, yet are well on their way to obtaining approval to operate for many more years beyond the usable life of this plant.

For the current requested additional fee, they have not shown actual costs, reportedly only the recommendations of a consultant they hired. The consultant has projected a possible increase, although, based on what facts, we do not know.

(As an aside, trying to read their energy bills nearly make one wonder whether they are the victims of some ponzi scheme.)

If First Energy or any other utility is requesting a rate increase or some other type of fee or charge, they should be required to demonstrate, then be held accountable by audit, that those monies are used for capital improvements and operating costs, not profits or increased benefits to officers or members of their boards of directors.

Again, we adamantly oppose this purchase power agreement, which in actuality, is just another way to fund a rate increase. We respectfully request that the PUCO deny this First Energy/Ohio Edison request.

Sincerely,

*Marilyn Harshman*

*Max Harshman*

Max and Marilyn Harshman  
2468 Knob Hill Drive, P.O. Box 172  
Marblehead, OH 43440

PUCO

2015 JAN 16 AM 11:40

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

FILE

Jan. 10, 2015

To whom it may concern:

Please deny the rate increase to lock consumers into buying power from Ohio's oldest plants.

My family and I care about reducing toxic pollution and saving money on our electric bills.

A bailout of old polluting technology only benefits utility shareholders and delays inevitable investment in efficiency and renewable energy.

The case number is 14-0841<sup>E</sup>SL-SSO

I'm currently with First Energy.

H. E. K.

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV  
2015 JAN 16 AM 11:39  
PUCO