

Genesky, Donielle

From: ContactThePUCO@puc.state.oh.us
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2015 3:23 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Docketing:14-1297-EL-SSO

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
 Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 1/13/2015

Re: Victoria Clemons
 330 E Perry St
 Port Clinton, OH 43452

Docketing Case No.:14-1297-EL-SSO

Please docket the attached in the case number above.

*****Email as received from the customer:

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
 To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
 Subject: 85715
 Received: 1/13/2015 9:47:29 AM
 Message:
 WEB ID: 85715 AT:01-13-2015 at 09:47 AM
 Related Case Number: 14-1297
 TYPE: comment
 NAME: Ms. VICTORIA CLEMONS
 CONTACT SENDER ? No
 MAILING ADDRESS:
 · 330 E PERRY ST
 · PORT CLINTON , Ohio 43452
 · USA
 PHONE INFORMATION:
 · Home: 4197343874
 · Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)
 · Fax: (no fax number provided?)
 E-MAIL: VCLEMONS@ROADRUNNER.COM
 INDUSTRY:Electric
 ACCOUNT INFORMATION:
 · Company: FIRSTENERGY/DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER PLANT
 · (no account name provided?)
 · (no service address provided?)
 · (no service phone number provided?)

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business
 Technician DM Date Processed JAN 14 2015

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
 2015 JAN 14 AM 8:12
 PUCO

· (no account number provided?)

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

PUCO Public Hearing - case number 14-1297-EL-SSO. OHIO EDISON COMPANY, THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY January 15, 2015

This is nothing more than forward funding, or more accurately, it is forward profit funding. FirstEnergy is doing nothing more than borrowing \$3 billion dollars from Ohioans, from future years to pay for their outdated and dirty energy plants. This is the equivalent of J. Wellington Wimpy the soft-spoken, intelligent and glutinous scam artist saying "I will gladly pay you Tuesday for a hamburger today". Why can't FirstEnergy borrow \$3 billion dollars from past profits ... and pay the stockholders back over the next 15 years?

The game now is how to evade the PUCO and Ohio's Alternative Energy bill for the next 15 years. FirstEnergy has dug deep into a bag of tricks pulling out the craziest payment gimmick ever put together. Ohio lawmakers froze SB310 to keep monthly utility bills from increasing. Yet here we are increasing our electric utility bill. Is it even necessary? You have before you an army of corporate lawyers doing a considerable amount of work to violate Ohio's deregulation law - to make Ohioans pay too much for electricity - and to operate the Davis Besse Nuclear Power Plant on the edge of catastrophe.

The economic analysis by NEI excluded two important points and therefore should have no basis in any of your deliberations. The analysis did not incorporate the negative impact on FirstEnergy's customers from paying these higher electricity rates, nor did it discuss Davis Besse's retirement with a discussion on what would replace it and the economic gain from increased employment at new energy plants. The economic analysis of a plant cannot be done in a vacuum; a comprehensive analysis is required to fully understand any economic benefit or harm from retiring Davis Besse ... which was not done.

NEI is making an attempt to influence the commission and customers with a gloom and doom scenario of the recent closing of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Plant on December 28th ... there was very little they could say. In actuality Vermont began a transition to renewable energy a decade ago with a goal of 90% by 2050, their unemployment rate is now 4.3%. It's a similar situation in Germany, in 2011, after Fukushima, they abandoned nuclear. 370,000 jobs were added in the Green economy and they now have the lowest unemployment rate since reunification 25 years ago ... 5%.

What would be the economic benefit if the rate increase money simply stayed with Ohio families and businesses? What would happen if you just said NO?

This is a theoretical payment program - FirstEnergy was not thorough in its analysis to conclude that the proposed 9 ESP and Rider RRS transaction is in the best interests of its customers ... especially for the 14% of Ohio's population over age 65. This is an attack on our seniors, asking seniors to pay more today so that they can pay less later ... will seniors benefit?

Victoria Clemons Port Clinton, Ohio

Genesky, Donielle

From: inez george <dg743@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2015 3:57 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Dear PUCO Members,

I write to ask that rate payer's electric rates not be raised to subsidize the aging and accident-prone Davis-Besse nuclear reactor.

I am a supporter of green energy.

Thank you.

Inez Dawn George
1043 S. Roosevelt Ave.
Bexley, Ohio 43209

Genesky, Donielle

From: Patricia Fritz <patriciafritz@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2015 9:06 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: FILING COMPLAINT INTO DOCKET FOR CASE 14-1297-EL-SSO

Good Morning!

We would like to state our opposition to First Energy's proposed purchased power agreement to bailout their failing power plants.

A few years back, First Energy went back on its promise to "all electric homeowners" in our development and now we are being short-changed once again.

Maybe First Energy should have saved the dollars spent by buying naming rights to the Cleveland Browns Stadium. In this way, They would have the dollars to improve the power plants.

This agreement will affect all consumers but in particular those with all electric homes. Don't give in to them again.

Thank you for listening.

Patty Fritz – Craig Glasky

12887 Olympus Way
Strongsville Ohio 44149

Friendship is a Precious Possession!