

14-2301-GA-CSS MELC102914T8

Case Number

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Attn: Docketing 180 E. Broad St. Columbus, OH 43215

Formal Complaint Form

MARK ELVEY	2845 York st
Customer Name (Please Print)	Customer Address To LBOO OH 43605
Against	City State Zip 1 1377258 002 000 G Account Number
7-1 1-0	Customer Service Address (if different from above)
Columbia (SAS) Utility Company Name	City State Zip
Please describe your complaint. (Attach additional	sheets if necessary)
SEE Attaches	
	RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
	Signature

Customer Telephone Number

 To the Formal Complaint Department **Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Division** 180 E. Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215 – 3793

From Mark Elvey 2845 York St., Toledo, OH 43605 e-mail melvey@bex.net (419) 691-2845 Case ID number MELC102914T8

Columbia Gas customer account number 11377258 002 000 6

Service address Rustoration service shop 2845 York St., Toledo, OH 43605

I Mark Elvey am the owner of the small business and I have owned it since 1987

My complaint is regarding the infrastructure replacement program rider, which has gone from about five dollars a month to \$42.18 a month. This is because the previous year I used more than 3000 CCF in natural gas the amount used was 3248 CCF. I understand this charge will stay in place until October of 2015. At that time if I used less than the 3000 it would go back down.

I believe in paying my fair share but this seems to be a little unfair looking at my charges for November and this is just the delivery charges not the gas itself.

Customer charge \$22.50 Infrastructure replacement program rider \$42.18 Delivery charge \$21.99 Usage-based charge \$12.76

With tax that is \$104.39 before I buy any gas there are already charges in place that fluctuate according to how much gas I buy. The cost for the gas was \$70.23. I have been a Columbia Gas customer for my home since 1977 and my business since 1987, have always paid my bill on time and always thought that I got a good value until now something seems wrong with these amounts. I have talked to other businesspeople and homeowners, no one else has seen this charge and everyone thinks as I do that it is excessive.

In conclusion, I request that the commission review these charges namely the infrastructure replacement program rider charge and reduce it to what it has been.

Thanks for your consideration

Mrsh 6