

FILE

//

Genesky, Donielle

From: Joyce M Leimbach <jmleimbach@bex.net>
Sent: Monday, December 01, 2014 11:07 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: communication re: Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO

I would like to go on record opposing First Energy's proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bail out their failing power plants. I own a condo in a community with all electric condo units and feel such charges should be the responsibility of First Energy rather than individual resident customers.

Please file this email response in the docket for **Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO**

Joyce Leimbach
4402 Woodridge Dr
Sandusky, OH 44870

ph 419/271-0140

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2014 DEC -3 AM 10:34
PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician And Date Processed 12/3/14

Genesky, Donielle

From: oboekate@roadrunner.com
Sent: Monday, December 01, 2014 7:56 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: OPPOSED!!!

Please file these comments into the docket for Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO.

First Energy:

As an all-electric customer, I am opposed to FirstEnergy's proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bailout your failing power plants. This action would lessen the options for customers to take advantage of competition among energy companies and dump costs of failing plants on us instead of you!

Sincerely,

Kathleen Abraham

Genesky, Donielle

From: Edward Mc Ternan <ekmact@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 02, 2014 10:13 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Docket for case # 14-1297-EL-SSo

Edward K. McTernan
12266 Kronos Ct.
Strongsville, OH 44149

Dear Sirs:

I am a customer of the Illuminating Co. for both distribution and generation.

Please file the following comments into the subject case docket:

By passing charges into bills of regulated electric distribution companies the costs of operating unregulated generation plant the First Energy Solutions Co. is attempting to use The PUCO to avoid the inherent risks in deregulation while at the same time having the opportunity to gain the benefits of deregulation. These manipulations would defeat the purposes of deregulation. I urge you to disapprove FES's request.

Very truly yours,

Edward K. McTernan

Genesky, Donielle

From: John Zultner <johnzultner@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 02, 2014 11:11 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Comments About Docket For Case # 14

Good Morning:

With regard to the Docket For Case # 14.

I'm writing to express my opposition to FirstEnergy's proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bailout their failing power plants.

As a long time FirstEnergy all-electric home customer, I am painfully aware that FirstEnergy is not concerned with their customers best interests.

John Zultner
32678 Fallhaven Circle
North Ridgeville, Ohio 44039

Genesky, Donielle

From: mcgranny70@aol.com
Sent: Monday, December 01, 2014 4:18 PM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Fwd: CKAP - Your Help Is Needed Again!

Please include Mary Carpenter 4412 woodridge drive Sandusky Ohio 44870 to the email opposing rate increase

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Jude or JoAnne" <jitheibert@buckeye-express.com>
Date: November 27, 2014 at 12:32:02 AM EST
To: "Jane Meyer" <JELM9129@bex.net>, "Paula Geer" <PaulaFGeer@AOL.Com>, "Mitzi Fronizer" <Mitzi.Fronizer@Hotmail.Com>, "Joan Ratta" <JERatta@aol.com>, "JoDee Fantooz" <JDFTreas@bex.net>, "John Hufnagle" <JHufnagle@aol.com>, "Beth Busdicker" <Sundog4br@Bex.Net>, "Kay Eash" <CBEash@AOL.Com>, "Ann Schott" <ASchott128@AOL.Com>, "Bonny Ambos" <BOAM@bex.net>, "Kathy Kreidler" <KathyKreidler2@gmail.Com>, "Marilyn Beckman" <MarilynBeckman40@gmail.com>, "Ellen Troike" <msemt@buckeye-express.com>, "rich gangwisch" <r-gang@live.com>, "Chuck Kashian" <ckashian@buckeye-express.com>, "Joe & Carm Michel" <JoeandCarmMichel@gmail.com>, "Patricia Hric" <pastel419@aol.com>, "Kiu Sanders" <Kiuko@AOL.Com>, "Dick & Marlene \ (LF/AE\) Long" <lonkol13@yahoo.com>, "Mike \ (AE\) McCall" <Mgma73@AOL.Com>, "Ann \ (LF/AE\) Bingham" <aanndear61@gmail.com>, "Jeanne \ (HVorREAE\) Schafer" <jeanneschafer564@gmail.com>, "Sylvia \ (LF/AE\) Mazza" <biggsyl@aol.com>, "Mike & Hope \ (LF/AE\) Bailey" <bailey1531@bex.net>, "Dick & Connie \ (AE\) Montgomery" <cndtee42@aol.com>, "Steve & JoAnn \ (AE\) Damko" <josie10@buckeye-express.com>, "Gary Knauer" <hhorse55@gmail.com>, "Jude & JoAnne \ (Hipp\) Theibert" <jitheibert@buckeye-express.com>, "Donna Thompson" <MSdbt4119@bex.net>, "Betty Leech" <BJL27@aol.com>, "Terry Pulizzi" <TLP1427@aol.com>, "Dick Rossi" <frossi@buckeye-express.com>, "Bob Johnson" <BJ82Vette@Buckeye-Express.Com>, "John Kempton" <tlckem@buckeye-express.com>, "Keith Stierhoff" <bwanak@buckeye-express.com>, "Sandy Zuber" <MSZube@Bex.Net>, "Jackie Mayer" <Jackiemayer@aol.com>, "Carla Mitchell" <CJMGreen@AOL.Com>, "Mary Carpenter" <MCGranny70@aol.com>, "Fred & Barb Fogle" <bffogle50@Buckeye-Express.com>, "Harold Butcher" <ButchCommish@Buckeye-Express.Com>, "Carol Ziegler" <0625Lucy@gmail.com>, "Mike Printy" <MJPrinty@aol.com>, "Don Bergmoser" <Frاندberg@Buckeye-Express.Com>, "Don McGee" <DKMCGEE@Buckeye-Express.Com>, "John V & Nancy Viviano" <johnviviano@buckeye-express.com>, "Zep & Judy Porterfield" <Zepper33@AOL.Com>, "Gloria Miller" <Glomille@hotmail.com>, "Sandy Miller" <sm2821@msn.com>, "Charles Lococo" <NurseLoco@AOL.Com>, "John & Nancy \ (Ayle\) Viviano" <GrNan2801@GMail.Com>, "Dick & Paula Bier" <PBier@AOL.Com>, "Betty Lindberg" <BettyLindberg@aol.com>, "Larry & Lavern Knapp" <Rainbolt@Buckeye-express.com>, "Dave & Sue Omlor" <daveo@buckeye-express.com>, "Carrie Scheufler" <schcarrie5@aol.com>, "Don Hipp" <Dhipp15102@bex.net>, "Karen Cassidy" <kecrods603@aol.com>, "Lois & Paul Brown" <loispalo55@aol.com>, "Charles Ryan" <Charlesryan18@aol.com>, "Joyce Leimbach" <JMLEimbach@bex.net>, "Connie Mellein" <csmellein@aol.com>, "Sue Daniel" <MDaniel@Buckeye-Express.Com>, "Donna Van Auken" <PADonna@Buckeye-Express.Com>, "Virginia \ (Seitz\) Busdicker" <VBUSDICKER@AOL.Com>, "Susie Daniel" <sedan@bex.net>, "Randy & Lynn Grenier" <LGren70@Bex.net>

Cc: "Sue Daugherty" <SueD@ServingourSeniors.org>, "Sue Steigerwald" <sue2811@roadrunner.com>
Subject: Fw: Fwd: CKAP - Your Help Is Needed Again!

Please read the information below and do your part as suggested by Sue to appose the First Energy request being considered by PUCO.

Jude

FirstEnergy Ohio Edison is trying to raise our rates again by proposing a bailout of their failing power plants. If the plan passes, the average all-electric Ohio Edison customer's bill will raise at least \$11 per month. Please try to stop this increase by following these simple steps:

- Send an email to the PUCO saying you are **opposed to FirstEnergy's proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bailout their failing power plants.** The PUCO's email address is docketing@puc.state.oh.us
- Be sure to include your name, address, and the fact you are an all-electric home/condo owner
- Tell the PUCO that FirstEnergy should pay for their failing power plants out of company profits instead of charging Ohio Edison customers
- Ask for your email to be filed in the docket for **Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO**
- Please send your letter by December 5th if possible

Sue Steigerwald

From: [Richard jordan](#)
Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2014 9:12 AM
To: [Sue Steigerwald](#)
Subject: Fwd: CKAP - Your Help Is Needed Again!

----- Original Message -----

Subject: CKAP - Your Help Is Needed Again!
Date: Mon, 24 Nov 2014 22:59:56 -0500
From: Sue Steigerwald <mailto:sue2811@roadrunner.com>
To: Sue Steigerwald <mailto:sue2811@roadrunner.com>

Dear CKAP Members,

Your help is needed once again to fight against a plan FirstEnergy is trying to implement that will unjustly raise all of our electric rates. To understand what is happening now, a brief review of history is needed. In 1999, FirstEnergy fought for and won the right to separate its distribution and generation

facilities. FirstEnergy distribution (delivery of electricity to homes) remained with the Illuminating Company, Ohio Edison, and Toledo Edison as our local utility companies. FirstEnergy generation became the company known as FirstEnergy Solutions or (FES), who owns all the power plants.

This separation of the generation and distribution functions of FirstEnergy was supposed to be good for consumers because it was hypothetically the first step to allowing a competitive retail electric market to develop which would allow all of us to shop for lower generation prices. However, it had two highly negative impacts on all-electric customers. The first impact is that FirstEnergy argued that because its generation plants were now separate from its distribution plant, it could no longer offer all-electric customers a significant all-electric discount. The second impact is that the generation company of FES essentially became a bully in our retail electric market, undercutting other suppliers who tried to make offers and thus thwarting the development of any true competition.

Fast forwarding to 2014, and FES announced in August 2014 that it was leaving its unprofitable retail electric supply market and would focus on selling electric only to the wholesale market. (Read about the FES Collapse Story in the Plain Dealer). At first this sounded like good news as the bully would be gone and perhaps true electric competition could develop in our area. Unfortunately, FirstEnergy also filed in August with the PUCO their three year rate plan that will set electric distribution prices for each of the local distribution companies (Illuminating, Ohio Edison, and Toledo Edison). In this three year rate plan, FirstEnergy has snuck in a provision that will help them cover the loss of the retail market for FES that will cause all of our electric distribution rates to increase.

The provision FirstEnergy has in their three year rate plan is called a Purchased Power Agreement, and it would commit our local distribution companies (Illuminating, Ohio Edison, and Toledo Edison) to buy all the electricity from FES that was generated by the Davis-Besse and WH Sammis Plants for the next 15 years. The local distribution companies would be **obligated to pay whatever FES says the electricity costs to produce**, which will include making necessary upgrades to Davis Besse and WH Sammis. FirstEnergy Distribution companies would then sell this electricity into the wholesale regional market at either a profit or a loss. The profit or loss on the sale will then be **automatically charged to all of us** and appear as a line item on our local distribution company bills. (Read more about (FirstEnergy's Rate Plan in the Plain Dealer))

FirstEnergy has already admitted that for the next 3 years, **this arrangement will knowingly operate at a loss**, and the average customer who uses 750 kwh per month will be charged \$3.50. This charge is unavoidable regardless of who you may buy your electricity from because it will be tacked onto the distribution side of the bill. If the average customer's charge at 750kwh is \$3.50 per month, the **average all-electric customer's winter bill usage of 2,600 kwh will experience a bill increase of at least \$11.00 per month.**

If FirstEnergy's plan passes, it will essentially allow the regulated and guaranteed profits (which we already pay) from FirstEnergy's distribution companies to subsidize two *unregulated* and unprofitable power plants. Not only is this unfair to the consumer, but it will completely reverse any progress made since 1999 to de-regulate the generation of electricity in Ohio to form a competitive market.

Almost every organization who has intervened in this rate case plan is opposed to FirstEnergy's ideas due to its negative customer impact and anti-competitive nature, including the Ohio Consumer's Counsel and the PUCO Staff. However, we need consumers to also go on record as being opposed to the plan too, and since all-electric customers are once again going to be the most impacted, it is vital for us to speak out against this rate plan. Local hearings will be held sometime in the future, and I will contact you when those are announced. But in the meantime, it is critical that we all go on record in the case docket as being **opposed to FirstEnergy's proposed Purchased Power Agreement to bailout their failing power plants.**

I ask that you take the time to write a short letter of opposition to the PUCO that will be filed in the case docket. You can do so by sending an email to docketing@puc.state.oh.us and by noting at the top of the email to **file your comments into the docket for Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO.**

Of course, FirstEnergy is already filling the docket with “customer” letters from employees of Davis Besse and WH Sammis saying they cannot afford to lose their jobs, etc., which FirstEnergy is threatening if this rate case does not pass. None of us want employees to lose jobs, but we do want FirstEnergy to foot the bill out of corporate profits to keep these plants running under regulation and not continue to pass the charges onto customers.

We would like to see a flood of opposition comments in the docket over the next two weeks, so please try to **complete your letter by December 5th**. Thank you for your help and for fighting to keep the all-electric home affordable to live in.

Sue Steigerwald

Genesky, Donielle

From: Marilyn Beckman <marilynbeckman40@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2014 8:29 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: First Eneryg Ohio Edison' Proposed Purchase Agreement

I am opposed to Ohio Edison's request for a bailout for their failing power pants. Like all companies they should use money from their profits. I am a senior citizen with a fixed income living in a condo. This increase will be difficult for me to pay.

PLEASE FILE THIS EMAIL IN THE DOCKET FOR CASE #14-1297-EL-SSO

Marilyn Beckman
4104 Woodridge Dr.
Sandusky, Ohio 44870

Genesky, Donielle

From: flicka <flickaisaidflicka@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2014 10:09 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: Case #14-1297-EL-SSO

NOTE: Please file my comments into the docket for Case #14-1297-EL-SSO.

I am writing to express my outrage at the recent actions taken by First Energy Solutions and its Three-Year Rate Plan Proposal.

The Purchased Power Agreement essentially eliminates market competition and forces electric customers to subsidize FES financial losses due to their own mismanagement. This is supposed to be a democracy founded on principles of free enterprise yet this Proposal is an agreement one would expect to find in Russia or China -- zero competition, zero choice, a forced consumer bailout to ensure that the FES shareholders continue to line their pockets at the expense of the helpless homeowners and small businesses.

FES states that there will be losses for the next three years and project profits after that. It seems highly unlikely, even to a layperson in the field such as myself, that FES can recoup its expenses from upgrading its old power plants (which should be done at its own expense as other businesses must pay for their own modernization) and from lost retail revenue due to its own poorly written contracts in a three-year period.

Citizens are hopeful that PUCO will recognize the absurdity of this FES Proposal and the damaging impact the agreement would have on so many.

Sincerely,

--

Felicia Matras

flickaisaidflicka@gmail.com

Received on 12/03/14 10:09 AM

Genesky, Donielle

From: Steve Kinnett <skinnett@roadrunner.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2014 10:24 AM
To: Puco Docketing
Subject: file your comments into the docket for Case # 14-1297-EL-SSO

I am writing this letter to indicate my opposition to FES to "Purchased Power Agreement" plan submitted with their recent 3 year rate plan. This provision totally benefits FES and eradicates competition in the attempt to de-regulate the generation of electricity in creating the formation of a competitive Ohio market.

Thank You,
Steve Kinnett
17155 S. Franklin St
Chagrin Falls, OH 44023